

PARTIAL REGULARITY FOR HARMONIC MAPS, AND RELATED PROBLEMS

TRISTAN RIVIÈRE AND MICHAEL STRUWE

ABSTRACT. Via gauge theory, we give a new proof of partial regularity for harmonic maps in dimensions $m \geq 3$ into arbitrary targets. This proof avoids the use of adapted frames and permits to consider targets of "minimal" C^2 regularity. The proof we present moreover extends to a large class of elliptic systems.

1. INTRODUCTION

In [10], the first author presented a new approach to the regularity result of Hélein [6] for weakly harmonic maps in dimension $m = 2$ where he succeeded in writing the harmonic map system in the form of a conservation law whose constituents satisfied elliptic equations with a Jacobian structure to which Wente's [12] regularity results could be applied.

Consider for instance a harmonic map $u = (u^1, \dots, u^n) \in H^1(B; \mathbb{R}^n)$ from a ball $B^m = B \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ to a hypersurface $N \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with normal ν . In this case the harmonic map equation may be written in the form

$$(1) \quad -\Delta u^i = w^i \nabla w^j \cdot \nabla w^j = (w^i \nabla w^j - w^j \nabla w^i) \cdot \nabla w^j, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

where $w = \nu \circ u$. The key idea then is to identify the anti-symmetry of the 1-form

$$(2) \quad \Omega^{ij} = (w^i dw^j - w^j dw^i), \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq n,$$

as the essential structure of equation (1).

Interpreting $\Omega \in L^2(B; so(n) \otimes \wedge^1 \mathbb{R}^n)$ as a connection in the $SO(n)$ -bundle u^*TN and following Uhlenbeck's approach to the existence of Coulomb gauges [11], if $m = 2$, one succeeds in finding $P \in H^1(B; SO(n))$ and $\xi \in H^1(B)$ such that

$$(3) \quad P^{-1}dP + P^{-1}\Omega P = *d\xi,$$

where $*$ is the Hodge dual. Further algebraic manipulations then yield the existence of matrix-valued $A, B \in H^1(B)$ with

$$(4) \quad \|dist(A, SO(n))\|_{L^\infty} \leq C\|\Omega\|_{L^2}$$

such that (1) may be written as

$$(5) \quad div(A\nabla u + B\nabla^\perp u) = 0,$$

where $\nabla^\perp = *d$. By Hodge decomposition one then obtains E and D in $W^{1,2}(B)$ such that

$$(6) \quad A\nabla u = \nabla D + \nabla^\perp E.$$

Date: May 14, 2007.

From (5) we see that D and E satisfy the equations

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} -\Delta D &= -\operatorname{div}(A \nabla u) = \nabla B \cdot \nabla^\perp u, \\ -\Delta E &= \operatorname{curl}(A \nabla u) = \nabla^\perp A \cdot \nabla u, \end{aligned}$$

which exhibit the desired Jacobian structure. The results in [3] then imply that $D, E \in W_{loc}^{2,1}(B)$. Provided that we restrict our attention to a domain where $\|\Omega\|_{L^2}$ is sufficiently small, from (4) we conclude that $\nabla u = A^{-1}(\nabla D + \nabla^\perp E) \in W_{loc}^{1,1}(B)$ and $u \in W_{loc}^{2,1}(B) \hookrightarrow C^0(B)$, which implies full regularity.

In dimensions $m \geq 3$, the harmonic map equation is super-critical in the Sobolev space $H^1(B; \mathbb{R}^n)$ and no regularity result, not even a partial one, can be expected. In fact, in [9] the first author constructed examples of weak solutions to (1) in $H^1(B; S^2)$ for $m \geq 3$ which are *nowhere* continuous.

Under the further assumption that the solution u lies in the homogeneous Morrey space $L_1^{2,m-2}$, which sometimes also is denoted as $M_2^{1,2}$, with

$$(8) \quad \|u\|_{L_1^{2,m-2}}^2 = \sup_{x \in B, r > 0} \left(\frac{1}{r^{m-2}} \int_{B_r(x) \cap B} |\nabla u|^2 \right) < +\infty, \quad ,$$

the harmonic map equation (1) becomes critical. More generally, this is true for any elliptic system with a nonlinearity growing quadratically in the gradient (see [5]). Assumption (8) is natural in the context of harmonic maps; in fact, it is a direct consequence of the geometric stationarity assumption described in Section 2. Observe that in dimension $m = 2$ assumption (8) corresponds exactly to the assumption of finite energy and it therefore appears as the natural extension of the finite energy condition to higher dimensions.

Strengthening the assumption that $u \in H^1(B; \mathbb{R}^n)$ by assuming (8), one might then hope to be able to extend the approach described above to the case $m \geq 3$. However, in order to achieve (4), in dimension $m = 2$ one crucially uses that by Wente's result mentioned above the solution $\psi \in H^1(B^2; \wedge^2 \mathbb{R}^n)$ of the equation

$$(9) \quad \begin{cases} \Delta \psi = df \wedge dg & \text{in } B^m \\ \psi = 0 & \text{on } \partial B^m \end{cases}$$

for given f and g in $H^1(B^2)$ belongs to L^∞ . Unfortunately, this result does not extend to $m \geq 3$ when we replace the assumption $f, g \in H^1(B^m)$ by the condition that f and g belong to the Morrey space $L_1^{2,m-2}(B^m)$. Indeed, for $m = 3$, letting $f = \frac{x_1}{|x|}$ and choosing $g = \frac{x_2}{|x|}$, we have $f, g \in L_1^{2,1}(B^3)$ and equation (9) admits a unique solution $\psi \in L_1^{2,1}(B^3)$, but $\psi \notin L^\infty$. Thus the L^∞ -bound (4) does not seem to be available in dimension larger than 2 and the approach outlined above seems to fail for this reason.

However, as we presently explain, (1) - (3) in combination with standard techniques of elliptic regularity theory already suffice to conclude partial regularity, directly. In fact, via the gauge transformation P , from (1) we obtain the equation

$$(10) \quad -\operatorname{div}(P^{-1} \nabla u) = (P^{-1} \nabla P + P^{-1} \Omega P) \cdot P^{-1} \nabla u = *d\xi \cdot P^{-1} du,$$

where the right hand side already has the structure of a Jacobian – up to the harmless (bounded) factor P^{-1} . Also observe that ∇u may be recovered from the term $P^{-1}\nabla u$ without any difficulty.

More generally, partial regularity results can be obtained for a large class of elliptic systems with quadratic growth that can be cast in the form

$$(11) \quad -\Delta u = \Omega \cdot \nabla u \quad \text{in } B$$

already considered in [10]. (In coordinates, equation (11) simply reads $-\Delta u^i = \Omega^{ij} \cdot \nabla u^j$.)

Theorem 1.1. *For every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $\varepsilon(m) > 0$ such that for every $\Omega \in L^2(B^m, so(n) \otimes \wedge^1 \mathbb{R}^m)$ and for every weak solution $u \in H^1(B^m, \mathbb{R}^n)$ of equation (11), satisfying the Morrey growth assumption*

$$(12) \quad \sup_{x \in B, r > 0} \left(\frac{1}{r^{m-2}} \int_{B_r(x) \cap B} (|\nabla u|^2 + |\Omega|^2) dx \right) < \varepsilon(m) \quad ,$$

we have that u is locally Hölder continuous in $B = B(m)$ with exponent $0 < \alpha = \alpha(m) < 1$.

The previous result is optimal, as shown by the standard example of the weakly harmonic map $u: B^3 \rightarrow S^2 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ with $u(x) = x/|x|$. We have $u \in H^1(B^3, \mathbb{R}^3)$ and, letting $\Omega = (\Omega^{ij}) := (u^i du^j - u^j du^i) \in L^2(B^m, so(n) \otimes \wedge^1 \mathbb{R}^m)$, we see that u weakly satisfies the equation (11) and the condition

$$(13) \quad \sup_{x \in B, r > 0} \left(\frac{1}{r^{m-2}} \int_{B_r(x) \cap B} (|\nabla u|^2 + |\Omega|^2) dx \right) < +\infty \quad .$$

The map u , however, is not continuous at the origin.

2. STATIONARY HARMONIC MAPS

For a smooth, closed, oriented k -dimensional submanifold $N \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and a ball $B \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ let

$$(14) \quad H^1(B; N) = \{u \in H^1(B; \mathbb{R}^n); u(x) \in N \text{ for almost every } x \in B\}.$$

Recall that a map $u \in H^1(B; N)$ is *stationary* if u is critical for the energy

$$E(u) = \int_B |\nabla u|^2 dx$$

both with respect to variations of the map u and with respect to variations in the domain.

It follows that u is weakly harmonic; that is, u satisfies the equation

$$(15) \quad -\Delta u = A(u)(\nabla u, \nabla u) = \sum_{l=1}^{n-k} \sum_{\alpha=1}^m \nu_l \langle d\nu_l \partial_\alpha u, \partial_\alpha u \rangle = \sum_{l=1}^{n-k} w_l \langle \nabla w_l, \nabla u \rangle$$

in the sense of distributions, where A is the second fundamental form of N , defined locally via an orthonormal frame field ν_l , $1 \leq l \leq n - k$ for the normal bundle to N . Again we denote as $w_l = \nu_l \circ u$ the corresponding unit normal vector field along the map u , and we denote as $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ the Euclidean inner product.

Moreover, as a consequence of the stationarity condition with respect to variations in the domain we have the monotonicity estimate

$$(16) \quad r^{2-m} \int_{B_r(x_0)} |\nabla u|^2 dx \leq R^{2-m} \int_{B_R(x_0)} |\nabla u|^2 dx$$

for all balls $B_R(x_0) \subset B$ and all $r \leq R$.

The following result was obtained by Evans [4] and Bethuel [1]. Note that their approach in general requires the target manifold N^k to be of class C^5 ; see [6], Theorem 4.3.1 and Remark 4.3.2. As a corollary to our main result Theorem 1.1, however, we now easily obtain the following generalization of their result to manifolds of class C^2 .

Theorem 2.1. *Let $N^k \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a closed submanifold of class C^2 . Let $m \geq 3$ and suppose $u \in H^1(B^m; N)$ is a stationary harmonic map. There exists a constant $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ depending only on N with the following property. Whenever on some ball $B_R(x_0) \subset B$ there holds*

$$(17) \quad R^{2-m} \int_{B_R(x_0)} |\nabla u|^2 dx < \varepsilon_0,$$

then u is Hölder continuous (and hence as smooth as permitted by the regularity of N) on $B_{R/2}(x_0)$. In particular, u is regular in B away from a singular set S with $\mathcal{H}^{m-2}(S) = 0$.

Proof. As in (1), equation (15) equivalently may be written in the form

$$(18) \quad -\Delta u^i = \Omega^{ij} \cdot \nabla u^j,$$

where $\Omega \in L^2(B; so(n) \times \wedge^1 \mathbb{R}^n)$ in view of our assumption on N , with components locally given by

$$(19) \quad \Omega^{ij} = \Omega_{\alpha}^{ij} dx^{\alpha} = \sum_{l=1}^{n-k} (w_l^i dw_l^j - w_l^j dw_l^i), \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq n.$$

Note that (16) and (17) imply that Ω belongs to the Morrey space $L^{2,m-2}(B)$ with

$$(20) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\Omega\|_{L^{2,m-2}}^2 &= \sup_{x_0 \in B} r^{2-m} \int_{B_r(x_0) \cap B} |\Omega|^2 dx \\ &\leq C \sup_{x_0 \in B} r^{2-m} \int_{B_r(x_0) \cap B} |\nabla u|^2 dx \leq C\varepsilon_0. \end{aligned}$$

The result now is an immediate consequence of Theorem 1.1. \square

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

We may assume that condition (12) is satisfied on $B = B_1(0)$. As in (3), we obtain the existence of a suitable gauge transformation Φ , transforming Ω into Coulomb gauge by applying the following lemma. The bound (12) also yields corresponding estimates for P and ξ .

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose that condition (12) is satisfied on B . There exists $P \in H^1(B; SO(n))$ and $\xi \in H^1(B, so(n) \otimes \wedge^{m-2}\mathbb{R}^m)$ such that*

$$(21) \quad P^{-1}dP + P^{-1}\Omega P = *d\xi \text{ on } B, \quad d(*\xi) = 0 \text{ on } B, \text{ and } \xi = 0 \text{ on } \partial B.$$

Moreover, dP and $d\xi$ belong to $L^{2,m-2}(B)$ with

$$(22) \quad \|dP\|_{L^{2,m-2}}^2 + \|d\xi\|_{L^{2,m-2}}^2 \leq C(\|\Omega\|_{L^{2,m-2}}^2 + \|du\|_{L^{2,m-2}}^2) \leq C\varepsilon(m).$$

The proof of this lemma will be given in the next section.

Recall that a function $f \in L^1(B)$ belongs to the space $BMO(B)$ if

$$[f]_{BMO} = \sup_{x_0 \in B, r > 0} \left(\int_{B_r(x_0) \cap B} |f - \bar{f}_{x_0,r}| dx \right) < \infty,$$

where

$$\bar{f}_{x_0,r} = \int_{B_r(x_0) \cap B} f dx$$

denotes the average of f over $B_r(x_0) \cap B$, and so on. By Poincaré's inequality, moreover, for $1 \leq p \leq m$ any function $f \in W^{1,p}(B)$ with $df \in L^{p,m-p}(B)$ belongs to $BMO(B)$ and there holds

$$[f]_{BMO}^p \leq C \|df\|_{L^{p,m-p}}^p = \sup_{x_0 \in B, r > 0} \left(r^{p-m} \int_{B_r(x_0) \cap B} |df|^p dx \right).$$

Applying the gauge transformation P^{-1} to ∇u and observing the identity $dP^{-1} = -P^{-1}dPP^{-1}$, from (11) we obtain the equation (10), that is

$$(23) \quad -\operatorname{div}(P^{-1}\nabla u) = (P^{-1}\nabla P + P^{-1}\Omega P) \cdot P^{-1}\nabla u = *d\xi \cdot P^{-1}du.$$

Fix a smooth cut-off function $\tau \in C_0^\infty(B)$ such that $0 \leq \tau \leq 1$, $\tau = 1$ on $B_{1/2}(0)$. Multiplying (23) by τ , we obtain the equation

$$(24) \quad -\operatorname{div}(P^{-1}\nabla(u\tau)) = *d\xi \cdot P^{-1}d(u\tau) - e,$$

with "error" term

$$(25) \quad e = \operatorname{div}(P^{-1}u\nabla\tau) + P^{-1}\nabla u \cdot \nabla\tau + *d\xi \cdot P^{-1}u d\tau.$$

Since $u \in H^1 \cap L_1^{2,m-2}(B)$, we have $u \in L^p(B)$ for every $p < \infty$. Therefore, a direct application of Hölder's inequality tells us that $u \in L^{p,m-\delta}$ for every $p < \infty$ and for every $\delta > 0$. Using this last observation and the fact that $d\xi$ is in $L^{2,m-2}$, we conclude that

$$(26) \quad \forall s \in [1, 2[\quad \forall \delta > 0 \quad : \quad \|e\|_{L^{s,m-s-\delta}} < \infty \quad .$$

We claim that $v = u\tau$ is Hölder continuous in B , provided the bound (12) holds with $\varepsilon(m) > 0$ sufficiently small.

Let $B_R(x_0) \subset B$ and let

$$(27) \quad P^{-1}dv = df + *dg + h$$

be the Hodge decomposition of $P^{-1}dv$ on $B_R(x_0)$, where $f \in H_0^1(B_R(x_0))$ and where g is a co-closed $m-2$ -form of class $H^1(B_R(x_0))$ whose restriction to the boundary ∂B also vanishes, and with a harmonic 1-form $h \in L^2(B_R(x_0))$; see [7]

Corollary 10.5.1, p.236, for the Hodge decomposition of forms in Sobolev Spaces. Similar to (7) we have the equations

$$(28) \quad \begin{aligned} -\Delta f &= -\operatorname{div}(P^{-1}\nabla v) = *d\xi \cdot P^{-1}dv - e, \\ -\Delta g &= *d(P^{-1}dv) = *(dP^{-1} \wedge dv). \end{aligned}$$

Fix a number $1 < p < m/(m-1)$ and let $q > m$ be the conjugate exponent with $1/p + 1/q = 1$. Since $f = 0$ on $\partial B_R(x_0)$, by duality we have

$$(29) \quad \|df\|_{L^p} \leq C \sup_{\varphi \in W_0^{1,q}(B_R(x_0)); \|\varphi\|_{W^{1,q}} \leq 1} \int_{B_R(x_0)} df \cdot d\varphi dx.$$

Here and in the following computations all norms refer to the domain $B_R(x_0)$. Note that $W_0^{1,q}(B_R(x_0)) \hookrightarrow C^{1-m/q}(B_R(x_0))$ and for all $\varphi \in W_0^{1,q}(B_R(x_0))$ with $\|\varphi\|_{W^{1,q}} \leq 1$ there holds

$$(30) \quad \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty} \leq CR^{1-m/q} \|\varphi\|_{W^{1,q}} \leq CR^{1-m/q}, \quad \|d\varphi\|_{L^2} \leq CR^{m/2-m/q}.$$

For such φ then we can estimate

$$(31) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{B_R(x_0)} df \cdot d\varphi dx &= - \int_{B_R(x_0)} \Delta f \varphi dx \\ &= \int_{B_R(x_0)} d\xi \wedge P^{-1}dv\varphi - \int_{B_R(x_0)} e\varphi dx = I + II \end{aligned}$$

as follows. Similar to the approach introduced in [2], upon integrating by parts and using [3], Theorem II.1, we have (up to sign, which is of no importance in what follows)

$$(32) \quad \begin{aligned} I &= \int_{B_R(x_0)} d\xi \wedge P^{-1}dv\varphi = \int_{B_R(x_0)} d\xi \wedge d(P^{-1}\varphi)(v - \bar{v}_{x_0,R}) \\ &\leq C \|d\xi \wedge d(P^{-1}\varphi)\|_{\mathcal{H}^1} [v]_{BMO} \leq C \|d\xi\|_{L^2} \|d(P^{-1}\varphi)\|_{L^2} [v]_{BMO} \\ &\leq C \|d\xi\|_{L^2} (\|dP\|_{L^2} \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty} + \|d\varphi\|_{L^2}) [v]_{BMO} \\ &\leq CR^{m-1-m/q} \|d\xi\|_{L^{2,m-2}} (\|dP\|_{L^{2,m-2}} + \|d\varphi\|_{L^q}) [v]_{BMO} \\ &\leq CR^{m/p-1} \varepsilon(m) [v]_{BMO}, \end{aligned}$$

while (25), combined with (26) and (30), for any $\delta > 0$ gives the bound

$$(33) \quad \begin{aligned} II &= - \int_{B_R(x_0)} e\varphi dx \leq \|e\|_{L^1(B_R(x_0))} \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\leq C_\delta R^{m-1-\delta} \|e\|_{L^{1,m-1-\delta}} \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty} \leq C_\delta R^{m-m/q-\delta} = C_\delta R^{m/p-\delta}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence from (28) we conclude that for every $\delta > 0$ there holds

$$(34) \quad \|df\|_{L^p} \leq CR^{m/p-1} \varepsilon(m) [v]_{BMO} + C_\delta R^{m/p-\delta}.$$

Similarly, letting s satisfy $1/2 + 1/q + 1/s = 1$, by Hölder's inequality for an arbitrary $(m-2)$ -form $\psi \in W^{1,q}(B_R(x_0), \wedge^{m-2}\mathbb{R}^m)$ vanishing on ∂B and with

$\|\psi\|_{W^{1,q}} \leq 1$ in view of the decomposition $-\Delta = *d*d + d*d*$ of the Hodge-Laplacian and the equation $d(*g) = 0$ we can bound (again up to sign)

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{B_R(x_0)} dg \cdot d\psi \, dx = - \int_{B_R(x_0)} \Delta g \cdot \psi \, dx \\
 (35) \quad & = \int_{B_R(x_0)} dP^{-1} \wedge dv \wedge \psi = \int_{B_R(x_0)} dP^{-1} \wedge d\psi(v - \bar{v}_{x_0,R}) \\
 & \leq C \|dP\|_{L^2} \|d\psi\|_{L^q} \|v - \bar{v}_{x_0,R}\|_{L^s} \leq CR^{m/p-1} \varepsilon(m) [v]_{BMO}.
 \end{aligned}$$

By duality, we have

$$(36) \quad \|dg\|_{L^p} = \sup_{k \in L^q(B_R(x_0); \wedge^{m-1}\mathbb{R}^m); \|k\|_q \leq 1} \int_{B_R(x_0)} dg \cdot k \, dx.$$

Decomposing any $k \in L^q(B_R(x_0); \wedge^{m-2}\mathbb{R}^m)$ as $k = d\psi + *d\rho + \eta$ with η satisfying $d\eta = 0$, $d(*\eta) = 0$ and with $\psi = 0$ on ∂B as in [7], Corollary 10.5.1, and recalling that the restriction of g to $\partial B_R(x_0)$ vanishes, we then arrive at the estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
 (37) \quad \|dg\|_{L^p} & \leq C \sup_{\psi \in W^{1,q}(B_R(x_0), \wedge^{m-2}\mathbb{R}^m); \|d\psi\|_q \leq 1} \int_{B_R(x_0)} dg \cdot d\psi \\
 & \leq CR^{m/p-1} \varepsilon(m) [v]_{BMO}.
 \end{aligned}$$

From the Campanato estimates for harmonic functions, as in Giaquinta [5], proof of Theorem 2.2, p.84 f., we thus conclude that for any $r < R$ there holds

$$\begin{aligned}
 (38) \quad & \int_{B_r(x_0)} |dv|^p \, dx \leq C \int_{B_r(x_0)} |h|^p \, dx + C \int_{B_r(x_0)} (|df|^p + |dg|^p) \, dx \\
 & \leq C \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^m \int_{B_R(x_0)} |h|^p \, dx + C \int_{B_r(x_0)} (|df|^p + |dg|^p) \, dx \\
 & \leq C \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^m \int_{B_R(x_0)} |dv|^p \, dx + C \int_{B_R(x_0)} (|df|^p + |dg|^p) \, dx \\
 & \leq C \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^m \int_{B_R(x_0)} |dv|^p \, dx + CR^{m-p} \varepsilon(m) [v]_{BMO}^p + C_\delta R^{m-\delta p},
 \end{aligned}$$

for any $\delta > 0$. Set

$$\Phi(x_0, r) = r^{p-m} \int_{B_r(x_0)} |dv|^p \, dx$$

and define

$$\Psi(R) = \sup_{x_0 \in B, 0 < r < R} \Phi(x_0, r).$$

Then we can bound

$$\sup_{x_0 \in B} [v]_{BMO(B_R(x_0))}^p \leq C\Psi(R).$$

Fixing $\delta < 1 - 1/p$, thus from (38) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (39) \quad \Phi(x_0, r) & \leq C \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^p \Phi(x_0, R) + C \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^{p-m} \varepsilon(m) \Psi(R) + C_\delta \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^{p-m} R^{p-\delta p} \\
 & \leq C_1 \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^p \left(1 + \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^{-m} \varepsilon(m)\right) \Psi(R) + C \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^{p-m} R
 \end{aligned}$$

with a uniform constant C_1 . Also fixing $r/R = \gamma$ such that $C_1\gamma^{(p-1)/2} \leq 1/2$, and choosing $\varepsilon(m) = \gamma^m$, for any $R_0 < 1$ and $0 < R < R_0$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(x_0, \gamma R) &\leq C_1\gamma^p(1 + \varepsilon(m)\gamma^{-m})\Psi(R) + C\gamma^{p-m}R \\ &\leq \gamma^{(p+1)/2}\Psi(R) + CR \leq \gamma^{(p+1)/2}\Psi(R_0) + CR_0. \end{aligned}$$

Upon passing to the supremum with respect to x_0 and $R < R_0$ we deduce that

$$\Psi(\gamma R_0) \leq \gamma^{(p+1)/2}\Psi(R_0) + CR_0$$

for any $R_0 \in]0, 1]$.

Finally, for any $r \in]0, \gamma]$, letting $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $\gamma^{k+1} < r \leq \gamma^k$ and iterating as in Giaquinta [5], proof of Lemma 2.1, p.86, we conclude that

$$\Psi(r) \leq \Psi(\gamma^k) \leq \gamma^{k(p+1)/2}\Psi(1) + C\gamma^k \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \gamma^{j(p-1)/2} \right) \leq Cr.$$

Hence $v \in C^{1/p}(B)$ and therefore also $u \in C^{1/p}(B_{1/2}(0))$, as claimed.

4. PROOF OF LEMMA 3.1

For the proof of Lemma 3.1 we follow [8], where Uhlenbeck's [11] construction of a local Coulomb gauge in Sobolev spaces was generalized to Morrey spaces. Due to the fact that the space $L_1^{2,m-2}$ defined earlier does not embed into C^0 , the inverse mapping $P \rightarrow P^{-1}$ is not smooth from the space $L_1^{2,m-2}$ into itself. In order to avoid this difficulty, similar to [11] we first construct the local Coulomb gauge under slightly more stringent regularity assumptions.

Lemma 4.1. *There exists $\varepsilon(m, n) > 0$ and $C > 0$ such that, on $B = B^m$ for every $\alpha > 0$ and every $\Omega \in L^{2,m-2+\alpha}(B, so(n) \otimes \wedge^1 \mathbb{R}^m)$ with*

$$(40) \quad \|\Omega\|_{L^{2,m-2}}^2 \leq \varepsilon(n, m)$$

there exist $P \in L_1^{2,m-2+\alpha}(B; SO(n))$ and $\xi \in L_1^{2,m-2+\alpha}(B, so(n) \otimes \wedge^{m-2} \mathbb{R}^m)$ such that

$$(41) \quad P^{-1}dP + P^{-1}\Omega P = *d\xi \text{ on } B, \quad d(*\xi) = 0 \text{ on } B, \text{ and } \xi = 0 \text{ on } \partial B.$$

Moreover, dP and $d\xi$ satisfy

$$(42) \quad \|dP\|_{L^{2,m-2+\alpha}}^2 + \|d\xi\|_{L^{2,m-2+\alpha}}^2 \leq C\|\Omega\|_{L^{2,m-2+\alpha}}^2,$$

and

$$(43) \quad \|dP\|_{L^{2,m-2}}^2 + \|d\xi\|_{L^{2,m-2}}^2 \leq C\|\Omega\|_{L^{2,m-2}}^2 \leq C\varepsilon(n, m).$$

Proof of Lemma 3.1. Let Ω be in $L^{2,m-2}$ and suppose that $\|\Omega\|_{L^{2,m-2}} < \varepsilon$ for some number $\varepsilon > 0$ to be fixed below. Although smooth functions are not dense in $L^{2,m-2}$, it is not difficult to show that the mollified forms $\Omega_\delta = \Omega * \chi_\delta$ obtained from Ω by convoluting Ω with a standard mollifier satisfy the uniform estimate $\|\Omega_\delta\|_{L^{2,m-2}} \leq C\|\Omega\|_{L^{2,m-2}}$. By choosing $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small, we can then achieve the uniform bound $\|\Omega_\delta\|_{L^{2,m-2}} \leq \varepsilon(m, n)$, where $\varepsilon(m, n)$ is given in Lemma 4.1, to obtain the existence of ξ_δ and P_δ satisfying (41), (42), and (43) for Ω_δ instead of Ω . The uniform bound given by (43) permits to pass to the limit $\delta \rightarrow 0$ in (41), and the assertion of Lemma 3.1 follows. \square

Proof of Lemma 4.1. For $\alpha > 0$ introduce the set

$$\mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon, C}^{\alpha} := \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Omega \in L^{2, m-2+\alpha}(B^m, so(n)); \|\Omega\|_{L^{2, m-2}} \leq \varepsilon, \text{ and} \\ \text{there exist } P \text{ and } \xi \text{ satisfying (41), (42), (43)} \end{array} \right\}$$

Since clearly $\Omega = 0 \in \mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon, C}^{\alpha}$, the set $\mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon, C}^{\alpha}$ is not empty. The proof therefore will be complete once we show that, for ε small enough and C large enough, $\mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon, C}^{\alpha}$ is both open and closed in the star-shaped and hence path-connected set

$$\mathcal{V}_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha} := \{\Omega \in L^{2, m-2+\alpha}(B^m, so(n) \otimes \wedge^1 \mathbb{R}^m); \|\Omega\|_{L^{2, m-2}} \leq \varepsilon\}.$$

The proof of closedness is similar to the proof of Lemma 3.1 given above. To see that $\mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon, C}^{\alpha}$ is open in $\mathcal{V}_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha}$, observe that for $\alpha > 0$ the space $L_1^{2, m-2+\alpha}$ embeds continuously into C^0 and the inverse mapping $P \rightarrow P^{-1}$ from the space $L_1^{2, m-2+\alpha}(B, SO(n))$ into itself is smooth. Therefore the argument of [11] can be applied to show that, for sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$ and sufficiently large C , for every Ω in $\mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon, C}^{\alpha}$ there exists $\eta_{\Omega} > 0$ with the property that for every $\omega \in L^{2, m-2+\alpha}$ satisfying the bound $\|\omega\|_{L^{2, m-2+\alpha}} \leq \eta_{\Omega}$ and $\|\Omega + \omega\|_{L^{2, m-2}} < \varepsilon$ we can find $\xi_{\omega} \in L_1^{2, m-2+\alpha}(B, so(n) \otimes \wedge^{m-2} \mathbb{R}^m)$ and $P_{\omega} \in L_1^{2, m-2+\alpha}(B, SO(n))$, respectively, satisfying (41). The openness of $\mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon, C}^{\alpha}$ then may be obtained as in [10] from the following lemma. This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 4.2. *There exists $\delta > 0$ with the following property. Suppose that for $\Omega \in \mathcal{V}_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha}$ there exist $\xi \in L_1^{2, m-2+\alpha}(B^m, so(n) \otimes \wedge^{m-2} \mathbb{R}^m)$, $P \in L_1^{2, m-2+\alpha}(B^m, SO(n))$ satisfying (41) and the estimate*

$$(44) \quad \|d\xi\|_{L^{2, m-2}} + \|dP\|_{L^{2, m-2}} \leq \delta \quad .$$

Then (42) and (43) hold for some C independent of $\Omega \in \mathcal{V}_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha}$.

Proof. In view of (41), the $(m-2)$ -form ξ satisfies

$$(45) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Delta \xi = *(dP^{-1} \wedge dP) + *d(P^{-1}\Omega P) \quad \text{in } B, \\ \xi = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial B \end{array} \right.$$

We decompose $\xi = u + w$ in two forms u and w solving, respectively,

$$(46) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Delta u = *(dP^{-1} \wedge dP) \quad \text{in } B \\ u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial B, \end{array} \right.$$

and

$$(47) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Delta w = *d(P^{-1}\Omega P) \quad \text{in } B \\ w = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial B. \end{array} \right.$$

From [5], Theorem III.2.2, for $s \in \{2 - \alpha, 2\}$ first we obtain the bound

$$(48) \quad \|dw\|_{L^{2, m-s}(B)} \leq C\|\Omega\|_{L^{2, m-s}(B)} \quad .$$

Following the strategy of the proof of Theorem III.2.2 in [5], likewise for $s \in \{2 - \alpha, 2\}$ we obtain that

$$(49) \quad \begin{aligned} \|du\|_{L^{2, m-s}(B)} &\leq C\|P\|_{BMO(B)}\|dP\|_{L^{2, m-s}(B)} \\ &\leq C\|dP\|_{L^{2, m-2}(B)}\|dP\|_{L^{2, m-s}(B)} \leq C\delta\|dP\|_{L^{2, m-s}(B)} \quad . \end{aligned}$$

The only modification required with respect to [5], p. 85, is that we use [3], Theorem II.1, to estimate, with v as defined in [5],

$$\begin{aligned}
(50) \quad & \|d(u-v)\|_{L^2(B_R(x_0)\cap B)}^2 = - \int_{B_R(x_0)\cap B} \Delta(u-v) \wedge (u-v) \\
& = \int_{B_R(x_0)\cap B} dP^{-1} \wedge dP \wedge (u-v) \\
& = \int_{B_R(x_0)\cap B} dP^{-1}(P - \bar{P}_{x_0,R}) \wedge d(u-v) \\
& \leq \|d(u-v)\|_{L^2(B_R(x_0)\cap B)} \|P\|_{BMO(B)} \|dP^{-1}\|_{L^2(B_R(x_0)\cap B)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (48) and (49) we then conclude

$$(51) \quad \|d\xi\|_{L^{2,m-s}(B)} \leq C\delta \|dP\|_{L^{2,m-s}(B)} + C \|\Omega\|_{L^{2,m-s}(B)} .$$

Moreover, from (41) we have

$$(52) \quad \|dP\|_{L^{2,m-s}(B)} \leq \|d\xi\|_{L^{2,m-s}(B)} + \|\Omega\|_{L^{2,m-s}(B)} .$$

Putting the estimates (51) and (52) together, upon choosing $\delta > 0$ small enough we then obtain (42) and (43). The proof is complete. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Bethuel, Fabrice *On the singular set of stationary harmonic maps*. Manuscripta Math. 78 (1993), no. 4, 417–443.
- [2] Chang, Sun-Yung A.; Wang, Lihe; Yang, Paul C. *Regularity of harmonic maps*. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 52 (1999), no. 9, 1099–1111.
- [3] Coifman, R.; Lions, P.-L.; Semmes, S.: *Compensated compactness and Hardy spaces*, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) 72 (1993), no. 3, 247–286.
- [4] Evans, Craig: *Partial regularity for stationary harmonic maps into spheres*, Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal. 116 (1991), 101–113.
- [5] Giaquinta, Mariano: *Multiple integrals in the calculus of variations and nonlinear elliptic systems*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, 105. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1983.
- [6] Hélein, Frédéric: *Harmonic maps, conservation laws and moving frames*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, 150, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002.
- [7] Iwaniec, Tadeusz; Martin, Gaven *Geometric function theory and non-linear analysis*. Oxford Mathematical Monographs. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 2001.
- [8] Meyer, Yves, Rivière, Tristan *A partial regularity result for a class of stationary Yang-Mills fields in high dimension*. Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana 19 (2003), no. 1, 195–219.
- [9] Rivière, Tristan *Everywhere discontinuous harmonic maps into spheres*. Acta Math. 175 (1995), no. 2, 197–226.
- [10] Rivière, Tristan: *Conservation laws for conformal invariant variational problems*, preprint 2006.
- [11] Uhlenbeck, Karen K.: *Connections with L^p bounds on curvature*, Comm. Math. Phys. 83 (1982), 31–42.
- [12] Wente, Henry C.: *An existence theorem for surfaces of constant mean curvature*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 26 1969 318–344.

(T. Rivière) MATHEMATIK, ETH-ZÜRICH, CH-8092 ZÜRICH

E-mail address: riviere@math.ethz.ch

(M. Struwe) MATHEMATIK, ETH-ZÜRICH, CH-8092 ZÜRICH

E-mail address: struwe@math.ethz.ch