

Corrigendum: Self-dual instantons and holomorphic curves

Stamatis Dostoglou
University of Missouri-Columbia

Dietmar A. Salamon
ETH-Zürich

7 September 2006

Abstract

We correct two mistakes in [1]. The first concerns the exponential decay in the proof of Theorem 7.4 and the second concerns the bubbling argument in the proof of Theorem 9.1.

1 Exponential decay

For Theorem 7.1: Replace the hypothesis $\|B_t\|_{L^\infty(\Omega \times \Sigma)} + \varepsilon \|C\|_{L^\infty(\Omega \times \Sigma)}$ on page 615 by the weaker assumption

$$\sup_{(s,t) \in \Omega} \|B_t(s,t)\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} + \varepsilon \sup_{(s,t) \in \Omega} \|C(s,t)\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} \leq c_0. \quad (1)$$

All the estimates in the proof of Theorem 7.1 continue to hold under this assumption. To see this, use the inclusion $W^{1,2}(\Sigma) \hookrightarrow L^4(\Sigma)$ to obtain inequalities of the form

$$\|B_t\|_{L^4(\Sigma)} \|C\|_{L^4(\Sigma)} \leq c\sqrt{u_0 v_0}, \quad \|B_t\|_{L^4(\Sigma)}^2 \leq v_0 + cu_0,$$

where u_0, v_0 are as in the proof of Theorem 7.1.

Corollary 1.1. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ be an open set and $K \subset \Omega$ be a compact subset. Then for every constant $c_0 > 0$, there exist constants $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ and $c > 0$ such that the following holds. If $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$ and $\Xi = A + \Phi ds + \Psi dt$ is a connection on $\Omega \times \Sigma$ that satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t A - d_A \Psi + *_s(\partial_s A - d_A \Phi - X_s(A)) &= 0, \\ \partial_t \Phi - \partial_s \Psi - [\Phi, \Psi] + \varepsilon^{-2} * F_A &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

and (1) then

$$\|B_t\|_{L^\infty(K \times \Sigma)} + \varepsilon \|C\|_{L^\infty(K \times \Sigma)} \leq c \left(\|B_t\|_{L^2(\Omega \times \Sigma)} + \varepsilon \|C\|_{L^2(\Omega \times \Sigma)} \right).$$

Proof. By Theorem 7.1 (in the above strengthened form), the connection Ξ satisfies (7.4) in [1, page 615]. The assertion follows by taking $p = \infty$ and using [1, Lemma 7.6] with $p = 4$. \square

For Lemma 7.5: On page 620 replace the inequality (7.7) by

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\alpha\|^2 + \|\phi\|^2 + \|\psi\|^2 \\ & \leq c \left(\|\ast_s \nabla_s \alpha - \ast_s dX_s(A)\alpha - \ast_s d_A \phi - d_A \psi\|^2 \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \varepsilon^2 \|\nabla_s \psi - \varepsilon^{-2} d_A \alpha\|^2 + \varepsilon^2 \|\nabla_s \ast_s \phi + \varepsilon^{-2} d_A \ast_s \alpha\|^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

On page 621 replace the last two sentences in the proof of Lemma 7.5 by the following text.

Hence it follows from Lemma 7.3 and Lemma 7.4 in [10] that there exist constants $\varepsilon_0 > 0$, $\nu_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, and $c > 0$ such that the estimate (7.7) holds with $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$ and $A + \Phi ds$ replaced by $A_\nu + \Phi_\nu ds$ where $\nu \geq \nu_0$ (here the estimate for α follows from Lemma 7.4 and the estimate for ϕ and ψ from Lemma 7.3). With $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_\nu$ and $\nu > c$ this contradicts our assumption. \square

Proof of Theorem 7.4: The last displayed inequality on page 622 is correct as it stands, however its proof uses Corollary 1.1 above.

Replace the first displayed inequality on page 623 by

$$\|B_t\|^2 + \|C\|^2 \leq c_3 \left(\|\nabla_s B_t - dX_s(A)B_t - d_A C\|^2 + \varepsilon^{-2} \|d_A B_t\| \right).$$

(The mistake in [1] is the factor ε^2 in front of $\|C\|^2$ in this inequality; it can be removed because of the improved inequality in Lemma 7.5.) Inspection of the formula for $f''(t)$ shows that this stronger estimate is needed to prove the inequality $f''(t) \geq \rho^2 f(t)$ for $t \geq 1$ (use the expression after the fourth equal sign in the formula for $f''(t)$ on page 622). \square

2 An a priori estimate

The following a priori estimate is an adaptation of [2, Lemma 9.1] to the present context. It is needed in the proof of Theorem 9.1.

Lemma 2.1. *There is a constant $\delta_0 > 0$ with the following significance. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be an open set and $K \subset \Omega$ be a compact subset. Then, for every $c_0 > 0$ and every $p \geq 2$, there are positive constants ε_0 and c such that the following holds. If $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$ and the maps $A : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(P)$ and $\Phi, \Psi : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega^0(\Sigma, \mathfrak{g}_P)$ satisfy (2) and*

$$\|\partial_t A - d_A \Psi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega \times \Sigma)} \leq c_0, \quad \|F_A\|_{L^\infty(\Omega \times \Sigma)} \leq \delta_0, \quad (3)$$

then

$$\int_K \left(\|F_A\|_{L^2(\Sigma)}^p + \varepsilon^p \|\nabla_s F_A\|_{L^2(\Sigma)}^p + \varepsilon^p \|\nabla_t F_A\|_{L^2(\Sigma)}^p \right) \leq c\varepsilon^{2p}, \quad (4)$$

$$\sup_K \left(\|F_A\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} + \varepsilon \|\nabla_s F_A\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} + \varepsilon \|\nabla_t F_A\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} \right) \leq c\varepsilon^{2-2/p}. \quad (5)$$

Proof. As in [1, Lemma 7.6] one can show that there exist constants $\delta_0 > 0$ and $c_1 > 0$ such that every $A \in \mathcal{A}(P)$ with $\|F_A\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma)} \leq \delta_0$ satisfies the inequalities

$$\|\phi\| \leq c_1 \|d_A \phi\|,$$

$$\|d_A(*_s dX_s(A)\alpha + \dot{*}_s \alpha)\| \leq c_1 (\|\alpha\| + \|d_A \alpha\| + \|d_A *_s \alpha\|)$$

for $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $\phi \in \Omega^0(\Sigma; \mathfrak{g}_P)$, and $\alpha \in \Omega^1(\Sigma; \mathfrak{g}_P)$. Here and in the following all norms are L^2 -norms on Σ .

Now let A, Φ, Ψ satisfy the hypotheses of the lemma and define

$$B_s := \partial_s A - d_A \Phi, \quad B_t := \partial_t A - d_A \Psi, \quad C := \partial_t \Phi - \partial_s \Psi - [\Phi, \Psi]. \quad (6)$$

Then the proof of [1, Theorem 7.1] shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon^2 (\nabla_s \nabla_s C + \nabla_t \nabla_t C) &= d_A^{*s} d_A C - 2 * [B_t \wedge B_t] + * [*_s X_s(A) \wedge B_t] \\ &\quad - * d_A (*_s dX_s(A) B_t + \dot{*}_s B_t). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, with $\Delta := \partial^2/\partial s^2 + \partial^2/\partial t^2$ the standard Laplacian, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \|C\|^2 &= 2 \|\nabla_s C\|^2 + 2 \|\nabla_t C\|^2 + 2 \langle \nabla_s \nabla_s C + \nabla_t \nabla_t C, C \rangle \\ &= 2\varepsilon^{-4} \|d_A *_s B_t\|^2 + 2\varepsilon^{-4} \|d_A B_t\|^2 + 2\varepsilon^{-2} \|d_A C\|^2 \\ &\quad - 4\varepsilon^{-2} \langle C, * [B_t \wedge B_t] \rangle + 2\varepsilon^{-2} \langle C, * [*_s X_s(A) \wedge B_t] \rangle \\ &\quad - 2\varepsilon^{-2} \langle C, * d_A (*_s dX_s(A) B_t + \dot{*}_s B_t) \rangle \\ &\geq \frac{\delta}{\varepsilon^2} \|C\|^2 - \frac{c}{\varepsilon^2} \|C\|. \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality holds for $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$, with ε_0 sufficiently small, and suitable positive constants δ and c , depending only on δ_0, c_0 , and c_1 (as well as the metrics on Σ and the vector fields X_s). Since $2\Delta \|C\|^p \geq p \|C\|^{p-2} \Delta \|C\|^2$ for $p \geq 2$, this implies

$$\|C\|^p \leq \frac{c}{\delta} \|C\|^{p-1} + \frac{2\varepsilon^2}{p\delta} \Delta \|C\|^p.$$

Using the inequality $ab \leq a^p/p + b^q/q$ with $1/p + 1/q = 1$, $a := c/\delta$ and $b := \|C\|^{p-1}$ we obtain $b^q = \|C\|^p$, and hence

$$\|C\|^p \leq \frac{c^p}{\delta^p} + \frac{2\varepsilon^2}{\delta} \Delta \|C\|^p. \quad (7)$$

By [2, Lemma 9.2], this implies that

$$\int_{B_R(z)} \|C\|^p \leq \frac{\pi(R+r)^2 c^p}{\delta^p} + \frac{8\varepsilon^2}{r^2 \delta} \int_{B_{R+r}(z)} \|C\|^p.$$

for every $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and every pair of positive real numbers R and r such that $B_{R+r}(z) \subset \Omega$. Now observe that $\varepsilon^2 \|C\| = \|F_A\| \leq \delta_0 \text{Vol}(\Sigma)$ and use the last inequality repeatedly, with R replaced by $R+r, R+2r, \dots, R+(p-1)r$, to

obtain the estimate $\int_{B_R(z)} \|C\|^p \leq c_p$ for every $z \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $B_{R+pr}(z) \subset \Omega$. Now choose R and r such that $B_{R+pr}(z) \subset \Omega$ for every $z \in K$. Cover K by finitely many balls of radius R to obtain

$$\int_K \|F_A\|^p = \varepsilon^{2p} \int_K \|C\|^p \leq c_{K,p} \varepsilon^{2p}. \quad (8)$$

It follows from (7) that the function $z \mapsto \|C(z)\|^p + c^p |z - z_0|^2 / 8\delta^{p-1} \varepsilon^2$ is subharmonic in Ω for every $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$. Hence, by the mean value inequality and (8), we have

$$\sup_K \|F_A\| = \varepsilon^2 \sup_K \|C\| \leq c_{K,p} \varepsilon^{2-2/p} \quad (9)$$

for a suitable constant $c_{K,p}$. It follows from (8) and (9) that every connection $\Xi = A + \Phi ds + \Psi dt$ on $\Omega \times P$ that satisfies (2) and (3) also satisfies (1) in every compact subset of Ω and hence, by Corollary 1.1, satisfies the hypotheses of [1, Theorem 7.1]. Hence it follows from [1, Theorem 7.1] with $p = \infty$ that, for every open set U with $\text{cl}(U) \subset \Omega$, there is a constant c_U such that every connection Ξ on $\Omega \times P$ that satisfies (2) and (3) also satisfies the estimates

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon \|\nabla_s B_t\|_{L^\infty(U \times \Sigma)} + \varepsilon \|\nabla_t B_t\|_{L^\infty(U \times \Sigma)} &\leq c_U, \\ \varepsilon \|C\|_{L^\infty(U \times \Sigma)} + \varepsilon^2 \|\nabla_s C\|_{L^\infty(U \times \Sigma)} + \varepsilon^2 \|\nabla_t C\|_{L^\infty(U \times \Sigma)} &\leq c_U, \quad (10) \\ \|C\|_{L^2(U \times \Sigma)} + \varepsilon \|\nabla_s C\|_{L^2(U \times \Sigma)} + \varepsilon \|\nabla_t C\|_{L^2(U \times \Sigma)} &\leq c_U. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the last inequality is equivalent to (4) for $p = 2$.

Now consider the function $u : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$u(s, t)^2 := \frac{1}{2} \left(\|C(s, t)\|^2 + \varepsilon^2 \|\nabla_s C(s, t)\|^2 + \varepsilon^2 \|\nabla_t C(s, t)\|^2 \right)$$

Again all norms are L^2 -norms on Σ . In the following we shall assume, for simplicity, that the Hodge $*$ -operator $*_s = *$ is independent of s and that $X_s = 0$ for all s . Then, as in the proof of [1, Theorem 7.1], we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta u^2 &= \varepsilon^{-2} \|d_A C\|^2 + \|\nabla_s C\|^2 + \|\nabla_t C\|^2 + \|d_A \nabla_s C\|^2 + \|d_A \nabla_t C\|^2 \\ &\quad + \varepsilon^2 \|\nabla_s \nabla_s C\|^2 + \varepsilon^2 \|\nabla_t \nabla_t C\|^2 + 2\varepsilon^2 \|\nabla_s \nabla_t C\|^2 \\ &\quad - 2\varepsilon^2 \langle C, [\nabla_s C, \nabla_t C] \rangle - 2\varepsilon^{-2} \langle C, *[B_t \wedge B_t] \rangle \\ &\quad - 4 \langle \nabla_s C, *[B_t \wedge \nabla_s B_t] \rangle - 4 \langle \nabla_t C, *[B_t \wedge \nabla_t B_t] \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle d_A \nabla_s C, [B_s, C] \rangle + \langle d_A \nabla_t C, [B_t, C] \rangle \\ &\quad - \langle \nabla_s C, *[B_s \wedge *d_A C] \rangle - \langle \nabla_t C, *[B_t \wedge *d_A C] \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

For ε sufficiently small it follows that

$$\Delta u^2 \geq \frac{\delta}{\varepsilon^2} u^2 - \frac{c}{\varepsilon^2} u$$

with suitable positive constants δ and c . To see this examine the last eight terms in the formula for Δu^2 and use (10). Now it follows as in (7) that

$$u^p \leq \frac{c}{\delta} u^{p-1} + \frac{2\varepsilon^2}{p\delta} \Delta u^p$$

for $p \geq 2$. By (9) and (10), we have $u \leq c'/\varepsilon$ for some constant c' . Hence we can argue as above to show that, for every compact subset $K \subset U$, there is a constant $c_{K,p} > 0$ such that $\int_K u^p \leq c_{K,p}$ and $\sup_K u^p \leq c_{K,p}\varepsilon^{-2}$. This proves the lemma. \square

3 Bubbling analysis

The assertion on page 634 that the limit connection Ξ_0 represents a **nonconstant** holomorphic sphere $S^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(P)$ does not seem to follow from the argument in [1]. A modified bubbling argument does result in a nonconstant holomorphic sphere but only proves a weaker estimate, i.e. we must weaken the assertion of Theorem 9.1 and the assumption of Theorem 8.1. Then Theorem 9.2 remains valid.

For Theorem 8.1: The assertion of Theorem 8.1 in [1, page 623] continues to hold if the hypothesis (8.1) is replaced by the weaker inequality

$$\varepsilon^{-1} \|F_A\|_{L^\infty} + \|\partial_t A - d_A \Psi\|_{L^\infty} \leq c_0 \quad (11)$$

To see this, replace the last inequality on page 625 by $\|C^\nu\|_{L^p} \leq c\varepsilon_\nu^{2/p-1}$ or, equivalently,

$$\|F_{A_\nu}\|_{L^p} \leq c\varepsilon_\nu^{1+2/p}.$$

For $p = 2$ this follows from the first inequality in Step 2 on page 625, for $p = \infty$ it holds by assumption, and for $2 \leq p \leq \infty$ it follows by interpolation. Now replace the constant ε_ν^2 by $\varepsilon_\nu^{1+2/p}$ in the following places.

- In the inequality (8.4) on page 626.
- Replace the inequality $\|A' - A\|_{L^p} \leq c_2\varepsilon^2$ by $\|A' - A\|_{L^p} \leq c_2\varepsilon^{1+2/p}$ in the middle of page 626.
- In the first two inequalities after (8.9), in the first inequality after (8.10), and in the first inequality in the proof of Step 5 (page 628).
- In the first inequality on page 629 and in the last inequality before (8.11).

The next lemma is a local version of Theorem 8.1; it is needed in the proof of Theorem 9.1. Let $\Omega_\nu \subset \mathbb{C}$ be an exhausting sequence of open sets and $s_\nu, \varepsilon_\nu > 0, \delta_\nu > 0$ be sequences of real numbers such that $s_\nu \rightarrow s_0, \varepsilon_\nu \rightarrow 0, \delta_\nu \rightarrow 0$. Abbreviate $*_{\nu s} := *_{s_\nu + \delta_\nu s}$ and $X_{\nu s} := \delta_\nu X_{s_\nu + \delta_\nu s}$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\Xi_\nu = A_\nu + \Phi_\nu ds + \Psi_\nu dt$ be a sequence of solutions of the equation (2), with $(*_s, X_s)$ replaced by $(*_{\nu s}, X_{\nu s})$, on $\Omega_\nu \times P$ such that*

$$\sup_\nu \left(\varepsilon_\nu^{-1} \|F_{A_\nu}\|_{L^2(\Omega_\nu \times \Sigma)} + \|\partial_t A_\nu - d_{A_\nu} \Psi_\nu\|_{L^2(\Omega_\nu \times \Sigma)} \right) < \infty, \quad (12)$$

$$\sup_\nu \left(\varepsilon_\nu^{-1} \|F_{A_\nu}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_\nu \times \Sigma)} + \|\partial_t A_\nu - d_{A_\nu} \Psi_\nu\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_\nu \times \Sigma)} \right) < \infty.$$

Then there is a subsequence, still denoted by Ξ_ν , a sequence of gauge transformations $g_\nu : \Omega_\nu \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(P)$, and a connection $\Xi_0 = A_0 + \Phi_0 ds + \Psi_0 dt$ on $\mathbb{C} \times P$ such that

$$\partial_t A_0 - d_{A_0} \Psi_0 + *_{s_0} (\partial_s A_0 - d_{A_0} \Phi_0) = 0, \quad F_{A_0} = 0,$$

$$\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \left(\|g_\nu^* A_\nu - A_0\|_{L^\infty(K \times \Sigma)} + \sup_{(s,t) \in K} \|g_\nu^{-1} B_{\nu t} g_\nu - B_{0t}\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} \right) = 0$$

for every compact set $K \subset \mathbb{C}$; here $B_{\nu t} := \partial_t A_\nu - d_{A_\nu} \Psi_\nu$, $B_{0t} := \partial_t A_0 - d_{A_0} \Psi_0$.

Proof. For every compact set $K \subset \mathbb{C}$ there is a constant $\nu_K > 0$ such that, for every $(s, t) \in K$ and every $\nu \geq \nu_K$, there is a unique section $\eta_\nu(s, t) \in \Omega^0(\Sigma, \mathfrak{g}_P)$ such that

$$F_{A'_\nu} = 0, \quad A'_\nu := A_\nu + *_{\nu s} d_{A_\nu} \eta_\nu,$$

and

$$\|d_{A_\nu} \eta_\nu\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma)} \leq c_1 \|F_{A_\nu}\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma)} \leq c_2 \varepsilon_\nu \quad (13)$$

(see Lemma 8.2 in [1]). Choose $\Phi'_\nu(s, t), \Psi'_\nu(s, t) \in \Omega^0(\Sigma, \mathfrak{g}_P)$ such that

$$d_{A'_\nu} *_{\nu s} (\partial_s A'_\nu - d_{A'_\nu} \Phi'_\nu - X_{\nu s}(A'_\nu)) = d_{A'_\nu} *_{\nu s} (\partial_t A'_\nu - d_{A'_\nu} \Psi'_\nu) = 0.$$

Note that the sequence $\Xi'_\nu = A'_\nu + \Phi'_\nu ds + \Psi'_\nu dt$ depends only on ν and not on the compact set K in question. One proves exactly as in [1, pages 626–627] that the sequence Ξ'_ν satisfies the estimates

$$\|\Xi'_\nu - \Xi_\nu\|_{1,p,\varepsilon;K} \leq c_{K,p} \varepsilon_\nu^{1+2/p}, \quad (14)$$

$$\|B'_{\nu t}\|_{L^\infty(K \times \Sigma)} \leq c_K, \quad (15)$$

$$\|B'_{\nu t} + *_{\nu s} (B'_{\nu s} - X_{\nu s}(A'_\nu))\|_{L^p(K \times \Sigma)} \leq c_{K,p} \varepsilon_\nu^{1+2/p}, \quad (16)$$

for every compact set $K \subset \mathbb{C}$ and every $p \geq 2$, with suitable positive constants c_K and $c_{K,p}$. In addition we wish to prove the estimate

$$\sup_K \|B'_{\nu t} - B_{\nu t}\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} \leq c_K \sqrt{\varepsilon_\nu}. \quad (17)$$

To see this we use the identities

$$\begin{aligned} B'_t - B_t &= d_{A'}(\Psi' - \Psi) + *_{s_0} d_A \nabla_t \eta + *_{s_0} [B_t, \eta], \\ d_A *_{s_0} d_A(\Psi' - \Psi) &= d_A *_{s_0} B_t - [d_A B_t, \eta] - [F_A, \nabla_t \eta] \\ &\quad - [(A' - A) \wedge ([d_A \nabla_t \eta + [B_t, \eta]])] \\ d_A *_{s_0} d_A \nabla_t \eta &= -d_A B_t - [d_A \nabla_t \eta \wedge d_A \eta] - [[B_t, \eta] \wedge d_A \eta] \\ &\quad - 2[B_t \wedge *_{s_0} d_A \eta] - [d_A *_{s_0} B_t, \eta] \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

(see (8.5), (8.7), and (8.8) in [1]). Here we have dropped the subscript ν . Since

$$d_A B_t = \nabla_t F_A, \quad d_A *_{s_0} B_t = d_A B_s = \nabla_s F_A$$

we obtain from Lemma 2.1 with $p = 2$ that, for every compact set $K \subset \mathbb{C}$, there is a constant $c'_K > 0$ such that

$$\sup_K \left(\|d_A B_t\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} + \|d_A *_s B_t\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} \right) \leq c'_K \sqrt{\varepsilon}.$$

Hence it follows from (13) and the last equation in (18) that

$$\sup_K \|d_A \nabla_t \eta\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} \leq c''_K \sqrt{\varepsilon}.$$

Using this estimate and the second equation in (18) we obtain

$$\sup_K \|d_A (\Psi' - \Psi)\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} \leq c'''_K \sqrt{\varepsilon}.$$

Combining the last two estimates with the first equation in (18) we obtain (17). Now Ξ'_ν descends to a sequence

$$\bar{u}'_\nu : K \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(P)$$

of approximate holomorphic curves (see (16)) with uniformly bounded derivatives (see (15)). We must prove that the sequence \bar{u}'_ν is bounded in $W^{2,p}$ for some $p > 2$. By the elliptic bootstrapping analysis for holomorphic curves (see [3, Appendix B]), this is equivalent to a $W^{1,p}$ -bound on $\bar{\partial}_J(\bar{u}'_\nu)$. To obtain such a bound we examine the following formula from [1, page 627]:

$$\begin{aligned} B'_t + *_s(B'_s - X_s(A')) &= *_s \dot{*}_s d_A \eta - [X_s(A), \eta] - *_s(X_s(A') - X_s(A)) \\ &\quad + [(A' - A), \nabla_s \eta] - *_s[(A' - A), \nabla_t \eta] \\ &\quad - d_{A'}(\Psi' - \Psi + \nabla_s \eta) - *_s d_{A'}(\Phi' - \Phi - \nabla_t \eta). \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

To begin with observe that, by Lemma 2.1, we have estimates of the form

$$\int_K \left(\|d_A B_t\|_{L^2(\Sigma)}^p + \|d_A *_s B_t\|_{L^2(\Sigma)}^p \right) \leq c_{K,p} \varepsilon^p.$$

Carrying the argument in the proof of Lemma 2.1 one step further we obtain estimates for the second derivatives of the curvature and hence

$$\int_K \left(\|d_A \nabla_s B_t\|_{L^2(\Sigma)}^p + \|d_A *_s \nabla_s B_t\|_{L^2(\Sigma)}^p \right) \leq c_{K,p};$$

similarly for ∇_t . Differentiate the identities in (18) to obtain

$$\int_K \left(\|d_A \nabla_s \nabla_s \eta\|_{L^2(\Sigma)}^p + \|d_A \nabla_t \nabla_t \eta\|_{L^2(\Sigma)}^p + \|d_A \nabla_s \nabla_t \eta\|_{L^2(\Sigma)}^p \right) \leq c_{K,p},$$

$$\int_K \left(\|d_A \nabla_s (\Psi' - \Psi)\|_{L^2(\Sigma)}^p + \|d_A \nabla_t (\Psi' - \Psi)\|_{L^2(\Sigma)}^p \right) \leq c_{K,p}.$$

Combining these estimates with (19) we obtain

$$\int_K \|\nabla_s(B'_t + *_s(B'_s - X_s(A')))\|_{L^2(\Sigma)}^p \leq c_{K,p},$$

and similarly for ∇_t . This is the required $W^{1,p}$ -estimate for $\bar{\partial}_J(\bar{u}'_\nu)$. It follows that \bar{u}'_ν is bounded in $W^{2,p}$ and hence has a C^1 -convergent subsequence. The limit of this subsequence is the required holomorphic curve in $\mathcal{M}(P)$. The assertion of the lemma now follows from (17) and the C^1 -convergence of \bar{u}'_ν . \square

For Theorem 9.1: On Page 630 replace the estimate in the assertion of Theorem 9.1 by (11) above. In the proof on page 631 replace the factor ε_ν^{-2} in (9.1) and (9.2) by ε_ν^{-1} . Replace the next displayed formula by

$$c_\nu = c_\nu(w_\nu) = \varepsilon_\nu^{-1} \|F_{A_\nu(w_\nu)}\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} + \|\partial_t A_\nu(w_\nu) - d_{A_\nu(w_\nu)} \Psi_\nu(w_\nu)\|_{L^2(\Sigma)}.$$

On page 633 the assertion that the limits $A_\infty(\theta)$ and $\Phi_\infty(\theta)$ exist can be proved by a similar argument as in [2, Proposition 11.1]. Alternatively, one can use the beautiful and elegant argument in [4] for a direct proof of the energy identity.

On page 634 replace the second displayed inequality by

$$\sup_{|w| \leq \rho_\nu c_\nu} \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon_\nu c_\nu} \|F_{\tilde{A}_\nu(w)}\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} + \|\partial_t \tilde{A}_\nu(w) - d_{\tilde{A}_\nu(w)} \tilde{\Psi}_\nu(w)\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} \right) \leq 2.$$

We prove that the limit connection Ξ_0 represents a nonconstant holomorphic sphere. First, note that

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon_\nu c_\nu} \|F_{\tilde{A}_\nu(0)}\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} + \|\partial_t \tilde{A}_\nu(0) - d_{\tilde{A}_\nu(0)} \tilde{\Psi}_\nu(0)\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} = 1$$

and use Corollary 1.1 with ε replaced by $\tilde{\varepsilon}_\nu := \varepsilon_\nu c_\nu \rightarrow 0$ to deduce that the functions $\partial_t \tilde{A}_\nu - d_{\tilde{A}_\nu} \tilde{\Psi}_\nu$ and $(\varepsilon_\nu c_\nu)^{-1} F_{\tilde{A}_\nu}$ are uniformly bounded on every compact subset of $\mathbb{C} \times \Sigma$. Second, use Lemma 3.1 to deduce that the sequence $\Xi_\nu = \tilde{A}_\nu + \tilde{\Phi}_\nu ds + \tilde{\Psi}_\nu dt$ has a C^1 convergent subsequence (after gauge transformation). Third, use Lemma 2.1 to deduce that $(\varepsilon_\nu c_\nu)^{-1} \|F_{\tilde{A}_\nu(0)}\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} \rightarrow 0$ and hence

$$\|\partial_t A_0(0) - d_{A_0(0)} \Psi_0(0)\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} = \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \|\partial_t \tilde{A}_\nu(0) - d_{\tilde{A}_\nu(0)} \tilde{\Psi}_\nu(0)\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} = 1.$$

References

- [1] S. Dostoglou and D.A. Salamon, Self-dual instantons and holomorphic curves, *Annals of Mathematics* **139** (1994), 581–640.
- [2] A.R. Gaio, D.A. Salamon, Gromov–Witten invariants of symplectic quotients and adiabatic limits, Preprint ETH–Zürich, June 2001. Submitted. <http://www.math.ethz.ch/salamon>
- [3] D. McDuff, D. Salamon, *J-holomorphic Curves and Symplectic Topology*, AMS Colloquium Publications, Volume **52**, 2004.
- [4] K. Wehrheim, Energy identity for anti-self-dual instantons on $\mathbb{C} \times \Sigma$, *Math. Res. Lett.* **13** (2006), 161–166.