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Let $g \geq 1$. Let $\bar{M}_{g, 1}$ be the moduli space of genus $g$ stable curves with 1 marking. We are interested in two basic divisors classes,

$$
\psi, \delta \in A^{1}\left(\bar{M}_{g, 1}\right),
$$

where $\psi$ is the cotangent line class at the marking and $\delta$ is the locus parameterizing singular curves (the full boundary divisor). We consider here a question asked by I. Smith:

For which values of $(a, b) \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^{2}$ is $a \psi-b \delta$ an effective divisor?
The divisor class $\psi$ is well-known to be nef. Using the Weierstrass divisor, multiples of $\psi$ can be shown to be effective. We might expect then that $a \psi-b \delta$ is effective if $a$ is sufficiently larger than $b$. The method developed in [2] gives the following simple bound.

Proposition 1. If the class $a \psi-b \delta$ is effective, then

$$
\frac{a}{b} \geq \frac{12 g}{2 g-1}>6
$$

Proof. Let $C$ be a freely moving curve on $\bar{M}_{g, 1}$. If $a \psi-b \delta$ is effective, then

$$
[C] \cdot(a \psi-b \delta) \geq 0 .
$$

Let $\delta_{0}$ be the boundary divisor parameterizing irreducible singular curves. Since $\delta_{0}$ is an effective summand of $\delta$,

$$
[C] \cdot\left(a \psi-b \delta_{0}\right) \geq 0
$$

As explained in [2], we can construct (limits of) freely moving classes on $\bar{M}_{g, 1}$ by pushing-forward the curve class

$$
\psi_{2}^{3 g-2} \in A_{1}\left(\bar{M}_{g, 2}\right)
$$

to $\bar{M}_{g, 1}$ via the map

$$
\epsilon: \bar{M}_{g, 2} \rightarrow \bar{M}_{g, 1}
$$

forgetting the second marking. Hence, we find the bound

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{a}{b} \geq \frac{\int_{\bar{M}_{g, 2}} \psi_{2}^{3 g-2} \epsilon^{*}\left(\delta_{0}\right)}{\int_{\bar{M}_{g, 2}} \psi_{2}^{3 g-2} \epsilon^{*}\left(\psi_{1}\right)} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now calculate the integrals appearing on the right side of (1). The numerator was essentially evaluated in [2]. The pull-back by $\epsilon$ of $\delta_{0}$ is simply $\delta_{0}$ on $\bar{M}_{g, 2}$. Using the normalization map of the irreducible divisor,

$$
\int_{\bar{M}_{g, 2}} \psi_{2}^{3 g-2} \epsilon^{*}\left(\delta_{0}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\bar{M}_{g-1,4}} \psi_{2}^{3 g-2}=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\bar{M}_{g-1,1}} \psi_{1}^{3 g-5}
$$

The string equation is used in the last equality. The evaluation $\int_{\bar{M}_{g-1,1}} \psi_{1}^{3 g-5}=\frac{1}{(24)^{g-1}(g-1)!}$ is well-known. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\bar{M}_{g, 2}} \psi_{2}^{3 g-2} \epsilon^{*}\left(\delta_{0}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{(24)^{g-1}(g-1)!} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The denominator on the right side of (1) is also easily computed:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\bar{M}_{g, 2}} \psi_{2}^{3 g-2} \epsilon^{*}\left(\psi_{1}\right) & =\int_{\bar{M}_{g, 2}} \psi_{2}^{3 g-2}\left(\psi_{1}-\delta_{12}\right) \\
& =\int_{\bar{M}_{g, 2}} \psi_{2}^{3 g-2} \psi_{1} \\
& =(2 g-2+1) \int_{\bar{M}_{g, *}} \psi_{*}^{3 g-2} \\
& =\frac{2 g-1}{(24)^{g} g!}
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking the ratio with (2), we find

$$
\frac{a}{b} \geq \frac{\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{(24)^{g-1}(g-1)!}}{\frac{2 g-1}{(24)^{g} g!}}=\frac{12 g}{2 g-1}
$$

Of course, the latter approaches 6 from above as $g$ tend to $\infty$.

We now look briefly at the existence issue. To start, let $g$ be odd. The Brill-Noether locus of curves in $M_{g}$ which carry a $g_{d}^{1}$ for $2 d=g+1$ is a divisor. Taking the closure and pulling-back to $\bar{M}_{g, 1}$ yields the Brill-Noether class ${ }^{1}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{B}=(g+3) \lambda-\left(\frac{g+1}{6}\right) \delta_{0}-\sum_{i=1}^{g-1} i(g-i) \delta_{i} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $\lambda$ is the first Chern class of the Hodge bundle, and $\delta_{i}$ is the boundary divisor parametrizing reducible curves with the marking 1 on a component of genus $i$. Another basic effective class is the Weierstrass divisor

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{W}=-\lambda+\binom{g+1}{2} \psi-\sum_{i=1}^{g-1}\binom{g-i+1}{2} \delta_{i} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]parameterizing $(C, p)$ where $p$ is a Weierstrass point of $C$. The irreducible boundary divisor $\delta_{0}$ does not appear in the formula for W . The divisor
$$
\mathrm{B}+(g+3) \mathbf{W}=(g+3)\binom{g+1}{2} \psi-\frac{g+1}{6} \delta_{0}-\sum_{i=1}^{g-1}\left(i(g-i)+(g+3)\binom{g-i+1}{2}\right) \delta_{i}
$$
is then certainly effective. Since $\frac{g+1}{6}$ is the smallest coefficient of the boundary divisors, we have established existence of effective divisors $a \psi-b \delta$ for
$$
a=(g+3)\binom{g+1}{2}, \quad b=\frac{g+1}{6}, \quad \frac{a}{b}=3 g^{2}+9 g .
$$

In fact, the same construction is valid in the $g$ even case since Farkas has proven the effectivity of the class B defined by (3) for $g$ even. Formula (4) for the Weierstrass divisor holds for all $g$. The slope $3 g^{2}+9 g$ greatly exceeds the bound of Proposition 1.

Proposition 2. If the class $a \psi-b \delta$ is effective, then $\frac{a}{b} \geq 10$.

Proof. The idea here is to push-forward to $M_{g}$. Let D be an effective divisor of class $a \psi-b \delta$ on $\bar{M}_{g, 1}$. Since $\psi$ is nef, the push-forward

$$
\epsilon_{*}(\psi \cdot[\mathrm{D}]) \in A^{1}\left(\bar{M}_{g}\right)
$$

is the limit of classes of effective divisors. We easily compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
\epsilon_{*}(\psi \cdot[\mathrm{D}]) & =\epsilon_{*}\left(a \psi^{2}-b \psi \delta\right) \\
& =a \kappa_{1}-(2 g-2) b \delta \\
& =12 a \lambda-(a+(2 g-2) b) \delta
\end{aligned}
$$

where the basic relation

$$
\lambda=\frac{1}{12} \kappa_{1}+\frac{1}{12} \delta
$$

on $\bar{M}_{g}$ is used in the last equality. By the main result of [2], effectivity of the push-forward implies

$$
\frac{12 a}{a+(2 g-2) b} \geq \frac{60}{g+4} .
$$

Writing $s$ for the slope $a / b$, we see

$$
\frac{12 s}{s+(2 g-2)} \geq \frac{60}{g+4}
$$

or equivalently,

$$
12(g+4) s \geq 60 s+60(2 g-2)
$$

The conclusion $s \geq 10$ immediately follows for $g \geq 2$.
For $g=1$, the class $\psi$ has degree $\frac{1}{24}$ and the the class $\delta$ has degree $\frac{1}{2}$. Hence, the stronger bound $s \geq 12$ holds for $g=1$.

We have gained a little in Proposition 2, but not much. Inspired by Teichmüller curve heuristics, Dawei Chen has suggested to me that the slope bound for I. Smith's question should be linear in $g$.

Proposition 3. If the slope bound for the effectivity of $a \psi-b \delta$ on $\bar{M}_{g, 1}$ is linear in $g$, then a slope bound for the effectivity of $x \lambda-y \delta$ on $\bar{M}_{g}$ which goes as the inverse of $g$ can be derived.

Proof. Suppose D is an effective divisor of class $x \lambda-y \delta$ on $\bar{M}_{g}$. Certainly $\epsilon^{*}(\mathrm{D})$ is effective. Hence, the divisors

$$
\epsilon^{*}(\mathrm{D})+x \mathbf{W}=x\binom{g+1}{2} \psi-y \delta_{0}-\sum_{i=1}^{g-1}\left(y+x\binom{g-i+1}{2}\right) \delta_{i}
$$

and $x\binom{g+1}{2} \psi-y \delta$ are both effective on $\bar{M}_{g, 1}$. If

$$
\frac{x}{y}\binom{g+1}{2} \sim g
$$

then $\frac{x}{y} \sim \frac{1}{g}$.
Proposition 3 appears pointless since a $\frac{1}{g}$ bound for the slope question on $\bar{M}_{g}$ has already been proven in [2]. However, the result does suggest that Chen's prediction is very reasonable. Also, if a $g^{2}$ bound for Smith's question can be proven, a genus independent slope bound on $\bar{M}_{g}$ could be deduced.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The formulas for $B$ and $W$, calculated via the moduli space of admissible covers [1] (and by earlier methods of S. Diaz), were communicated to the author by G. Farkas. In fact, the whole paragraph was communicated by Farkas.

