EXPLICIT MULTIPLICATIVE COMBINATORICS

E. KOWALSKI

We give explicit forms of some of the results in Tao's paper [3] on product set estimates in finite (non-necessarily abelian) groups, which are useful for implementing the Bourgain-Gamburd reduction of the expander properties for certain families of Cayley graphs to a suitable classification of approximate subgroups.

The presentation is highly condensed, and there might well be minor computational mistakes remaining – these points will hopefully be improved when incorporating this in the lecture notes [1].

Below all sets are subsets of a fixed finite group G, and are all non-empty. We use the notation d(A, B) and E(A, B) from [3] or [4] for the Ruzsa distance and the multiplicative energy.

1. DIAGRAMS

We will use the following diagrammatic conventions to allow for bookkeeping of constants.

(1) If A and B are sets with $d(A, B) \leq \log \alpha$, we write

$$A \bullet B$$

(2) If A and B are sets with $|B| \leq \alpha |A|$, we write

$$B \stackrel{\alpha}{\longrightarrow} A$$

and in particular if $|X| \leq \alpha$, we write

$$X \xrightarrow{\alpha} 1$$

(3) If A and B are sets with $e(A, B) = E(A, B)/(|A||B|)^{3/2} \ge 1/\alpha$, we write

$$A \bullet \overset{\alpha}{\longrightarrow} B$$

(4) If $A \subset B$, we write

 $A \rightarrow B$.

The following rules are easy to check (in addition to some more obvious ones which we do not spell out):

(1) From

$$A \bullet B$$

we can get

$$A \bullet \xrightarrow{\alpha^2} B , \qquad B \bullet \xrightarrow{\alpha^2} A$$

- (2) (Ruzsa's triangle inequality, [3, Lemma 3.2]) From
 - $A \bullet_{\alpha_1} \bullet B \bullet_{\alpha_2} \bullet C$

we get

we get

$$A \bullet_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2} \bullet C$$

(3) From

(4) ("Unfolding edges") From

$$B \underbrace{\overset{\alpha}{\longleftarrow}}_{\beta} A$$

we get

$$AB^{-1} \bullet \xrightarrow{\sqrt{\alpha}\beta} A$$

(note that by the second point in this list, we only need to have

$$B \bullet_{\beta} \bullet A$$

to obtain the full statement with $\alpha = \beta^2$, which is usually qualitatively equivalent.) (5) ("Folding") From

we get

$$AB^{-1} \stackrel{\alpha}{\longleftrightarrow} A \stackrel{\beta}{\longleftrightarrow} B$$
$$A \stackrel{\alpha}{\longleftarrow} B.$$

Note that the relation $A \stackrel{\alpha}{\longleftrightarrow} B$ is purely a matter of the size of A and B, while the other arrow types depend on structural relations involving the sets (for $A \xrightarrow{} B$) and product sets (for $A \stackrel{\alpha}{\longleftarrow} B$ or $A \stackrel{\alpha}{\longleftarrow} B$).

2. Statements and "Proofs"

Theorem 2.1 (Ruzsa covering lemma; Tao, Lemma 3.6). If

$$AB \xrightarrow{\alpha} A$$

there exists a set X which satisfies

 $X {\longmapsto} B \ , \quad X { \overset{\alpha}{\longmapsto} } 1 \ , \quad B { \longmapsto} A^{-1}AX \ ,$

and symmetrically, if

$$BA \xrightarrow{\alpha} A$$
,

there exists Y with

$$Y {\succ} {\longrightarrow} B \ , \qquad Y {\bullet} {\overset{\alpha}{\longrightarrow}} 1 \ , \qquad B {\succ} {\longrightarrow} YAA^{-1}$$

Definition 2.2 (Approximate group; Tao, Def. 3.8). A set H is an α -approximate group if $1 \in H, H = H^{-1}$, and there exists a set X with

$$X \xrightarrow{\alpha} 1 , \ H^{(2)} \rightarrow XH$$

Next is another result which is essentially due to Ruzsa: the tripling constant of a symmetric set controls all other n-fold product sets.

Theorem 2.3 (Ruzsa). If A is symmetric and

$$A^{(3)} \stackrel{\alpha}{\longrightarrow} A ,$$

then we have

$$A^{(n)} \bullet \xrightarrow{\alpha^{n-2}} A$$

for all $n \ge 3$. In particular, we get

$$A^{(7)} \xrightarrow{\alpha^5} A$$
.

In [2, Th. 1.6] or [3, Lemma 3.4], one finds versions of this result with A^n replaced by any *n*-fold product of factors equal to A or A^{-1} . But we will only use symmetric subsets, in which case the above has much better constants.

Theorem 2.4 (Tao, Th. 3.9 and Cor. 3.10). Let $A = A^{-1}$ with $1 \in A$ and

 $A^{(3)} \xrightarrow{\alpha} A$.

Then $H = A^{(3)}$ is a $(2\alpha^5)$ -approximate subgroup containing A. Proof. We have first

 $H \stackrel{\alpha}{\longleftrightarrow} A \ , \quad A \rightarrowtail H \ .$

Then by Ruzsa's resut, we get

$$AH^{(2)} = A^{(7)} \stackrel{\alpha^5}{\longrightarrow} A ,$$

and by the Ruzsa covering lemma there exists X with

$$X \longrightarrow H^{(2)}$$
, $X \stackrel{\alpha^5}{\longrightarrow} 1$,

such that

$$H^{(2)} \rightarrowtail A^{(2)} X \rightarrowtail A^{(3)} X = HX .$$

Taking $X_1 = X \cup X^{-1}$, we get

$$X_1 \rightarrow H^{(2)}$$
, $X_1 \bullet \xrightarrow{2\alpha^5} 1$,

and

$$H^{(2)} \longrightarrow HX$$
, $H^{(2)} \longrightarrow XH$

which are the properties defining a $(2\alpha^5)$ -approximate subgroup. **Theorem 2.5** (Tao, Th. 4.6, (i) implies (ii)). Let A and B with

$$A \bullet_{\alpha} \bullet B^{-1}$$

Then there exists a γ -approximate subgroup H and a set X with

$$X \bullet \xrightarrow{\gamma_1} 1 , \quad A \rightarrowtail XH , \quad B \rightarrowtail HX , \quad H \bullet \xrightarrow{\gamma_2} A ,$$

where

$$\gamma \leqslant 2^{21} \alpha^{80}, \qquad \gamma_1 \leqslant 2^{28} \alpha^{104}, \qquad \gamma_2 \leqslant 8 \alpha^{14}.$$

Furthermore, one can ensure that

(1)
$$H^{(3)} \bullet \xrightarrow{2^{10} \alpha^{40}} H$$

Proof. From

$$A \underbrace{\stackrel{1}{\longleftarrow} A}_{\alpha^2} A ,$$

we get first

$$AA^{-1} \xrightarrow{\alpha^2} A$$

By [3, Prop. 4.5], we find a set S with $1 \in S$ and $S = S^{-1}$ such that

$$A \bullet \xrightarrow{2\alpha^2} S$$
, $AS^{(n)}A^{-1} \bullet \xrightarrow{2^n \alpha^{4n+2}} A$

for all $n \ge 1$. In particular, we get

$$AS^{-1} = AS \bullet \xrightarrow{2\alpha^6} A \ , \quad S \bullet \xrightarrow{2\alpha^6} A$$

We have

$$S^{(3)} \bullet \xrightarrow{8\alpha^{14}} A \bullet \xrightarrow{2\alpha^2} S$$

¹ The property $1 \in S$ is not explicitly stated in [3], but follows from the explicit definition used by Tao, namely $S = \{x \in G \mid |A \cap Ax| > (2\alpha^2)^{-1}|A|\}.$

and Theorem 2.4 says that $H = S^{(3)}$ is a γ -approximate subgroup containing S, with $\gamma = 2(16\alpha^{16})^5 = 2^{21}\alpha^{80}$, and (as we see)

$$H \bullet \xrightarrow{8\alpha^{14}} A \ .$$

Moreover, we have

$$H^{(3)} = S^{(9)} \xrightarrow{} AS^{(9)}A^{-1} \xrightarrow{2^9 \alpha^{38}} A \xrightarrow{2\alpha^2} S ,$$

which gives (1). Now from

$$AH = AS^{(3)} \bullet \xrightarrow{8\alpha^{14}} A \bullet \xrightarrow{2\alpha^2} S \bullet \xrightarrow{1} H ,$$

we see by the Ruzsa covering lemma that there exists Y with

$$Y \rightarrow A$$
, $Y \bullet \xrightarrow{16\alpha^{16}} 1$, $A \rightarrow YHH$

By definition of an approximate subgroup, there exists Z with

$$Z \stackrel{\gamma}{\longleftrightarrow} 1 , \quad HH \longrightarrow ZH ,$$

and hence

$$A \longrightarrow (YZ)H$$

Now we go towards B. First we have

$$AH^{-1} = AS^{(3)} \bullet \xrightarrow{8\alpha^{14}} A \bullet \xrightarrow{2\alpha^2} H$$

which, again by folding, gives

$$A \bullet_{\alpha_1} \bullet H$$

with $\alpha_1 = 8\sqrt{2}\alpha^{15}$. Hence we can write

$$H \bullet_{\alpha_1} \bullet A \bullet_{\alpha} \bullet B^{-1} ,$$

and so

$$H \bullet a \alpha_1 \bullet B^{-1}$$
.

In addition, we have

$$H \bullet \xrightarrow{8\alpha^{14}} A \bullet \xrightarrow{\alpha^2} B^{-1} ,$$

and therefore we get

$$H \underbrace{\overset{8\alpha^{16}}{\overbrace{\alpha\alpha_1}}}_{\alpha\alpha_1} B^{-1},$$

from which it follows by unfolding that

$$B^{-1}H^{-1} = B^{-1}H \bullet \xrightarrow{32\alpha^{20}} B^{-1} \bullet \xrightarrow{\alpha^2} A \bullet \xrightarrow{2\alpha^2} H$$

Once more by the Ruzsa covering lemma, we find Y_1 with

$$Y_1 \longrightarrow B^{-1}$$
, $Y_1 \stackrel{2^6 \alpha^{24}}{\longrightarrow} 1$, $B^{-1} \longrightarrow Y_1 H H \longrightarrow (Y_1 Z) H$.

Now we need only take $X = (Y_1 Z \cup Y Z)$, so that

$$X \xrightarrow{\gamma_1} 1$$

with $\gamma_1 = \gamma (64\alpha^{24} + 16\alpha^{16})$, in order to conclude. Since

$$\gamma_1 \leqslant 2^{28} \alpha^{104},$$

we are done.

The next result is a version of the Balog-Gowers-Szemerédi Lemma.

Theorem 2.6 (Balog-Gowers-Szemerédi; Tao, Th. 5.2). Let A and B with

 $A \bullet \overset{\alpha}{\longrightarrow} B$.

Then there exist A_1 , B_1 with

 $A_1 \longrightarrow A$, $B_1 \longrightarrow B$,

as well as

$$A \bullet \xrightarrow{8\sqrt{2}\alpha} A_1 , \quad B \bullet \xrightarrow{8\alpha} B_1 ,$$

and

$$A_1 \bullet_{\alpha_1} \bullet B_1^{-1}$$

where $\alpha_1 = 2^{23} \alpha^9$.

This is not entirely spelled out in [3], but only the last two or three inequalities in the proof need to be made explicit to obtain this value of α_1 .

Theorem 2.7 (Tao, Th. 5.4; (i) implies (iv)). Let A and B with

$$A \bullet \overset{\alpha}{\longrightarrow} B$$

Then there exist a β -approximate subgroup H and $x, y \in G$, such that

$$H \stackrel{\beta_2}{\longleftrightarrow} A , \quad A \stackrel{\beta_1}{\longleftrightarrow} A \cap xH , \quad B \stackrel{\beta_1}{\longleftrightarrow} B \cap Hy$$

where

$$\beta \leq 2^{1861} \alpha^{720}, \qquad \beta_1 \leq 2^{2424} \alpha^{937}, \qquad \beta_2 \leq 2^{325} \alpha^{126}.$$

Moreover, one can ensure that

$$H^{(3)} \xrightarrow{\beta_3} H$$

where $\beta_3 = 2^{930} \alpha^{360}$.

Proof. By the Balog-Gowers-Szemerédi Theorem, we get A_1 , B_1 with

$$A_1 \rightarrow A$$
, $B_1 \rightarrow B$,

as well as

$$A \bullet \xrightarrow{8\sqrt{2}\alpha} A_1 , \quad B \bullet \xrightarrow{8\alpha} B_1 ,$$

and

$$A_1 \bullet_{\alpha_1} \bullet B_1^{-1}$$

where $\alpha_1 = 2^{23} \alpha^9$. Applying Theorem 2.5 to A_1 and B_1 , we get a β -approximate subgroup H and a set X with

$$H \bullet \xrightarrow{8\alpha_1^{14}} A_1 \bullet \xrightarrow{1} A$$

and

$$X \xrightarrow{\gamma} 1$$
, $A_1 \rightarrow XH$, $B_1 \rightarrow HX$,

where

$$\beta = 2^{21} \alpha_1^{80} = 2^{1861} \alpha^{720}, \qquad \gamma = 2^{28} \alpha_1^{104} = 2^{2420} \alpha^{936},$$

and moreover

$$H^{(3)} \xrightarrow{\beta_3} H$$

where $\beta_3 = 2^{10} \alpha_1^{40} = 2^{930} \alpha^{360}$.

Applying the pigeonhole principle, we find x such that

$$A \bullet \xrightarrow{8\sqrt{2\alpha}} A_1 \bullet \xrightarrow{\gamma} A_1 \cap xH \longrightarrow A \cap xH$$

and y with

$$B \bullet \xrightarrow{8\alpha} B_1 \bullet \xrightarrow{\gamma} B_1 \cap Hy \longrightarrow B \cap Hy .$$

This gives what we want with

$$\beta_1 \leqslant 8\sqrt{2}\alpha\gamma \leqslant 2^{2424}\alpha^{937}, \qquad \beta_2 = 8\alpha_1^{14} = 2^{325}\alpha^{126}.$$

References

- [1] E. Kowalski: *Expander graphs*, lecture notes (in progress), available at www.math.ethz.ch/~kowalski/ expander-graphs.pdf
- [2] G. Petridis: New proofs of Plünnecke-type estimates for product sets in groups, preprint (2011), arXiv: 1101.3507v3.
- [3] T. Tao: Product set estimates for non-commutative groups, Combinatorica 28 (2008), 547–594.
- [4] T. Tao and V. Vu: Additive combinatorics, Cambridge Studies Adv. Math. 105, Cambridge Univ. Press (2006).

 $E\text{-}mail \; address: \texttt{kowalskiQmath.ethz.ch}$