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I'm very interested in $\operatorname{CAT}(0)$ cube complexes and the groups that act on them. A typical way to see that a group $G$ acts on a $\operatorname{CAT}(0)$ cube complex is to find a finite collection of "immersed walls" in a presentation complex (or similar) $X$ of $G$, and hope that for each such immersed wall $W \rightarrow X$, the image $\bar{W}$ of the lift $\widetilde{W} \rightarrow \widetilde{X}$ of the universal cover of $W$ to the universal cover of $X$ is a wall. Here, this means that $\underset{\sim}{X}-\bar{W}$ has two components, each containing vertices arbitrarily far from $\bar{W} \cap \widetilde{X}^{1}$ (as measured by the usual graph metric on the 1 -skeleton of $\widetilde{X}$ ). At this point, a construction of Sageev yields an action of $G$ on a $\operatorname{CAT}(0)$ cube complex.

If $G$ is word-hyperbolic, then any such $G$-cube complex obtained from a $G$-finite collection of walls in $\widetilde{X}$ will be $G$-cocompact, provided each wall has quasiconvex stabilizer in $G$. If in addition there are enough walls to "cut" every axis in $\widetilde{X}^{1}$, then the action on the cube complex is proper.

Recently, Dani Wise and I have put this into practice in the situation where $G$ is a sufficiently nice ascending HNN extension of a finitely generated free group. More precisely:

Theorem 1 (H.-Wise 2013). Let $\Phi: F \rightarrow F$ be an injective endomorphism of the finite-rank free group $F$. Suppose that $G=F *_{\Phi}$ is word-hyperbolic and that $\Phi$ is irreducible. Then $G$ acts freely and cocompactly on a CAT(0) cube complex.

The motivating case is that in which $G$ is (f.g. free)-by-cyclic, i.e. $\Phi$ is an automorphism. In this situation, the same techniques seem to yield a geometric $G$-action on a cube complex even in the absence of the hypothesis that $\Phi$ is irreducible, although some things remain to be sorted out in this case. It is very interesting to wonder to what extent the hypothesis of hyperbolicity can be relaxed. In such a setting, one cannot expect cocompactness, but it is plausible that the same construction of immersed walls in the mapping torus of $\Phi$ will yield enough walls to guarantee a free action on a (possibly infinite-dimensional?) CAT(0) cube complex.

