

# CORRECTIONS AND IMPROVEMENTS

6 July 2021

I would like to thank *Riccardo Plati*, *Dávid Uhrík*, and *Michael Weiss* for their numerous comments.

---

## Chapter 3

page 35, line 10 ...which implies that  $x_0$  is not an  $\in$ -minimal element. . .

page 48, line 9  $\forall x (\exists z (z \in x) \rightarrow \dots$

page 48, line 13 ... we have  $x_n \ni x_{n+1}$ .

page 55, line -11 ff. (a) (b) (c) instead of 1. 2. 3.

page 56, line -4 ...any infinite ordinal. . .

page 62, line -2 ...  $C \in \mathcal{W}_0 \dots$

page 63, line 2 ...  $C \in \mathcal{W}_0 \dots$

page 69, line 4  $|\text{seq}(\kappa)| = \kappa$

## Chapter 5

page 118, line 3 Now, let  $s_\alpha := f_0(M)$  and define  $F_{\alpha+1} := F_\alpha \cup \{(\alpha, s_\alpha)\}$ .

page 127, line 14  $I_{n,k}(X)$

## Chapter 6

page 141, line 21  $ax_0^{k_0} \dots x_l^{k_l}$  where. . .

page 141, line -4  $x = \sum_{v \in B(x)} q_v^x \cdot v$

page 152, line -16  $p_u \vee p_{-u}$  instead of  $p_u \vee \neg p_{-u}$

page 154, line 11  $\chi_A \cap \chi_B \supseteq \chi_{A \cup B}$

## Chapter 7

page 185, line 2 ff. Indeed, let  $y \in [z]^\sim$ , and let  $\rho \neq \iota$  be such that  $\rho(y) = y$  and  $\rho$  induces a proper cycle in  $[z]^\sim$  (i.e., the cycle starts and ends with  $y$  and the other points in the cycle are pairwise distinct).

page 186, line 2 ... whenever  $\sigma$  has label  $\textcircled{j}$ ,  $\varphi_m \sigma$  cannot get label  $\textcircled{i}$ .

## Chapter 8

page 201, line -8  $S \mapsto (k, E)$

page 202, line 8 ...for some  $m \in n \dots$

page 208, line 4 ... we have  $\pi a = \tau a$ .

page 210, line 7  $f(s) := \{(m + l + 1, s, 0), (m + l + 1, s, 1)\}$

page 213, line 9 ...in  $\omega \setminus N_1$  instead of  $\omega \setminus (N_1 \cup N_2)$

page 215, line 20 f.

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_E : \{S \subseteq A : \text{supp}(S) = E\} &\longrightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(k)) \\ S_0 &\longmapsto \left\{ I \subseteq k : \exists a \in S_0 (\vartheta_E(a) = \{\varphi_i(x) : i \in I\}) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

page 215, line -7 ...  $\Psi_E$  maps  $S$  to  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(k))$ , and  $l < 2^{2^k}$  encodes the set  $\Psi_E(S)$  ...

## Chapter 9

page 228, line -8  $i = \min\{|\mathcal{I}| : \mathcal{I} \subseteq [\omega]^\omega \text{ is maximal independent}\}$

page 229, line 11  $A_k := A_0 \cup \{t \cup \{k\} : t \in A_0\}$

page 229, line -10  $\{x \in [\omega]^\omega : x \in \mathcal{I}_0 \wedge f(x) = 1\} \cup \{(\omega \setminus y) \in [\omega]^\omega : y \in \mathcal{I}_0 \wedge f(y) = 0\}$

page 231, line 10 ff.  $g \in {}^\omega 2$  (four times).

page 231, line -1  $\bigcap I \setminus \bigcup J \supseteq \left( \bigcap I' \setminus \bigcup J' \right) \cap \bigcap_{n \in m} X_n^{g(n)*} \supseteq \left( \bigcap I' \setminus \bigcup J' \right) \cap Y_g$

page 232, line 3 ... and therefore  $Z \cap (\bigcap I \setminus \bigcup J)$  is infinite.

page 232, line 19 ... show that  $\mathcal{F}'$  is a dominating ...

page 233, line -4 ... for all  $m \in A$  with  $m \geq g_\xi(n)$  ...

page 236, line 3 ...  $\mathcal{A}_\xi \in \mathcal{E}$  ...

## Chapter 10

page 245, line -4 f. ... such that  $y_0 \notin C$  and  $y_1 \in C$ .

page 246, line 13  $[s, y_n]^\omega \cap C = \emptyset$

page 248, line 2  $D_\xi = \{y \in [\omega]^\omega : \forall z \in [\omega]^\omega (z \subseteq^* y \rightarrow [\emptyset, z]^\omega \cap C_\xi = \emptyset)\}$

page 248, line 6 ...  $x \in [\omega]^\omega \setminus \mathcal{A}_\xi$  ...

## Chapter 11

page 266, line -15 f. ...  $x_{\alpha+1}$  exists... (twice)

page 267, line 18  $x_0 := \bigcup\{x \cap I_{2m} : m \in \omega\}$  and  $x_0 := \bigcup\{x \cap I_{2m+1} : m \in \omega\}$ .

page 279, line 4 Now, since  $f(D') \subseteq D''$  and  $f(D'') \subseteq D' \cup (\omega \setminus D)$ , this...

page 279, line 12 ff. ...but since  $f(I'_0) \subseteq I''_0 \cup (\omega \setminus I_0)$  and  $f(I''_0) \subseteq I'_0 \cup (\omega \setminus I_0)$ , this is a contradiction to  $f(\mathcal{U}) = \mathcal{U}$ . So,  $I_0 \notin \mathcal{U}$ , which implies that  $I_\omega \in \mathcal{U}$ . Now, for each  $n \in I_\omega$  there exists a least number  $m_n \in I_\omega$  such that there are  $k, k' \in \omega$  with  $f^k(m_n) = f^{k'}(n)$ . Let

$$I'_\omega := \left\{ n \in I_\omega : \exists k, k' \in \omega (f^k(m_n) = f^{k'}(n) \wedge k + k' \text{ is odd}) \right\}$$

and let  $I''_\omega := I_\omega \setminus I'_\omega$ . Since the two sets  $I'_\omega$  and  $I''_\omega$  are disjoint and their union is  $I_\omega$ , either  $I'_\omega$  or  $I''_\omega$  belongs to  $\mathcal{U}$ , but not both. Furthermore, we get  $f(I'_\omega) \subseteq I''_\omega$  and  $f(I''_\omega) \subseteq I'_\omega$ , which is again a contradiction to  $f(\mathcal{U}) = \mathcal{U}$ .

page 280, line 3 ... which shows that  $\tilde{g}(\mathcal{U}) \supseteq \mathcal{V}$ .

page 280, line -5  $\{a' \in \omega : \{b \in \omega : \langle a', b \rangle \in X_0\} \notin \mathcal{V}\} \in \mathcal{U}$

page 281, line -1 ... for  $y_Q := \pi_{\mathcal{U}}(Y_Q \cap D)$ ...

## Chapter 14

page 324, line 2 ff. [throughout Chapter 14]  $\mathbb{P} = (P, \leq)$

page 326, line -20 ...for any **uncountable** set. ...

page 327, line 7 ...the set  $\{p \in \mathcal{F} : \text{dom}(p) = K\}$  is countable. ...

page 327, line 16 Now we show that  $|\mathcal{D}| < \mathfrak{c}$  cannot. ...

page 330, line -5 Let  $\mathcal{F}_0 := \{\omega \setminus s : s \in [\omega]^{<\omega}(\omega)\}$ ...

page 331, line -3 For each  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} \in \text{fin}(P_{\beta_0})$ ...

page 332, line 2 ...finite set  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_0 \in \text{fin}(P_{\beta_0})$ ...

page 332, line -2 ff. Now, for each  $x \in \mathcal{F}_{\beta_0}$  and  $m \in \omega$ , let

$$D_x := \{(\langle s_{n_i} : i \in k+1 \rangle, X) \in P : x \in X\},$$

and

$$D_m := \{(\langle s_{n_i} : i \in k+1 \rangle, X) \in P : m \in k+1\}.$$

By CLAIM 2, for each  $x \in \mathcal{F}_{\beta_0}$  and  $m \in \omega$ , the sets  $D_x$  and  $D_m$  are open dense subsets of  $P$ . Hence, since  $|\mathcal{F}_{\beta_0}| < \mathfrak{c}$ , the set

$$\mathcal{D} := \{D_x \subseteq P : x \in \mathcal{F}_{\beta_0}\} \cup \{D_m \subseteq P : m \in \omega\}$$

is of cardinality. ...

page 334, line -6 ...belongs to the dual ideal of **the filter generated by**  $\mathcal{F}_{\eta|\beta_0}$  ...

page 335, line 11 ...meets **either** infinitely many sets of  $\mathcal{P}_{\beta_0}$  **or has empty intersection with co-finitely many of them.**

**Chapter 15**

page 342, line -16  $\mathbf{V}[G] = \{\emptyset\}$

page 350, line 8

$$\forall \langle y_2, s_2 \rangle \in x_2 \forall q \in P ((q \geq s_2 \wedge q \Vdash_{\mathbb{P}} y_1 = y_2) \rightarrow q \perp r),$$

page 352, line -14  $x_1 := \{\langle \emptyset, p \rangle, \langle \emptyset, q \rangle\} \dots$

page 353, line 12  $\dots$  then there is a  $\mathbb{P}$ -name  $y$  and a pair  $\langle y, r \rangle \in \underline{B} \dots$

page 353, line 14  $\dots$  and since  $y[G] = \{x[G] : \exists q \in G (\langle x, q \rangle \in y)\}$

page 353, line -18 f.  $\dots$  then there is a  $\mathbb{P}$ -name  $y$  and a pair  $\langle y, r \rangle \in \underline{B} \dots$

page 353, line -3 f.  $\dots$  and since  $p \in G$ , for  $y = y[G]$  we get  $y \in \mathbf{V}[G]$ . Hence  $\dots$

page 360, line 6 ff. *Four times  $\bigcup G$  instead of just  $G$ .*

page 361, line 18 ff. LEMMA 15.16. *If a forcing notion preserves cofinalities, then it preserves also cardinalities.*

page 361, line 20 f. *Proof. Since cofinalities are always cardinals, any forcing notion which preserves cardinalities must preserve cofinalities. For the other direction,*

page 362, line 15 ff. *Since  $p \in G$ , for every  $\alpha \in \lambda$ ,  $G \cap D_\alpha \neq \emptyset$ , and therefore,  $\mathcal{S}[G](\alpha) \in Y_\alpha$ .*

**Chapter 16**

page 371, line -3 If  $\mathbf{V} \models \text{ZFC} \dots$

page 372, line 1  $\dots$  Let  $\mathbf{V}$  be a model of ZFC  $\dots$

page 372, line 8  $\dots$  is equivalent to  $\psi$ ,  $\text{free}(\varphi_0) \subseteq \dots$

page 372, line -3  $\dots$  reflects  $\bar{\psi}$ .

page 373, line 3 f.  $h_{n,i}(\langle x_1, \dots, x_i \rangle) := \mu \{y \in V_{\alpha_{n+1}} : \forall x_{i+1} \in V_{\alpha_n} \exists y_{i+1} \dots \forall x_k \in V_{\alpha_n} \exists y_k \dots$

page 379, line -7  $\dots$  the forcing notion  $\mathbb{K}_0 \dots$

**Chapter 17**

page 384, line 19 ~~for each  $a \in A$ ,  $\{\alpha \in \mathcal{G} : \alpha a = a\} \in \mathcal{F}$~~

page 389, line -9 Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be the group **generated by** automorphisms of  $\mathbb{C}_\omega$  of the form  $\alpha_{\pi_F, n_0}$ , i.e.,

$$\mathcal{G} = \langle \alpha_{\pi_F, n_0} : F \in \text{fin}(\omega) \wedge n_0 \in \omega \rangle.$$

page 397, line -8 f. ...corresponds an automorphism  $\alpha_\pi$  of  $\mathbb{P}$  by stipulating

$$\alpha_\pi p(\pi(\bar{a}, \xi), \eta) := p(\bar{a}, \xi, \eta),$$

page 397, line -2  $\{\bar{H} : H \in \mathcal{F}_0\} \cup \{\text{fix}_{\bar{G}}(E) : E \in \text{fin}(\bar{A} \times \kappa)\}$ .

page 398, line 6 f. ...i.e., for every  $\sigma \in \text{sym}_{\mathcal{G}_0}(a)$ ,  $\bar{\sigma} \subseteq \text{sym}_{\bar{G}}(a)$ .

## Chapter 19

page 338, line 1 ...the function  $H : \bigcup_{n \in \omega} {}^n 2 \rightarrow \text{fin}(\omega) \dots$

## Chapter 20

page 445, line 7 ff. ...for some limit ordinal  $\lambda \in \omega_1$  let

$$\bar{x} := \{y \in T'' : y < x\}.$$

For each  $\bar{x}$  we add an extra node  $w_{\bar{x}}$  to  $T''$  and stipulate

$$z < w_{\bar{x}} \iff z < x \quad \text{and} \quad w_{\bar{x}} < z \iff x \leq z.$$

Roughly speaking,  $w_{\bar{x}}$  is a node between  $\{z \in T'' : z < x\}$  and  $x$ . Let

$$T''' := T'' \cup \{w_{\bar{x}} : x \in T'' \wedge \text{o.t.}(x) = \lambda\}$$

where  $\lambda \in \omega_1$  is a limit ordinal. Notice that the root of  $T'''$  is  $w_{\bar{x}_0}$ , where  $x_0$  is ...

page 451, line -7  $f(k_{i+1}) := \begin{cases} f(k_i) & \text{if } k_i \in A, \\ k_i & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

## Chapter 21

page 460, line -5  $H_{\omega_1}$

page 461, line 4 ... GCH holds in the ground model and  $|P| \leq \omega_1$ , then  $\chi = \omega_3 \dots$

## Chapter 22

page 478, line 5 ...model  $\mathbf{V}[G|_\alpha]$ , fix an arbitrary dense set  $D \subseteq \text{Fn}(\omega, 2)$  and let  $\underline{D} \in \mathbf{V}[G|_\alpha] \dots$

page 478, line 12 ...  $T_{3,i,j} \geq T_2[s_{i,j}]$ .

## Chapter 23

page 486, line -14 ...  $T_{3,i,j} \geq T_2[s_{i,j}]$ .

page 486, line -13 ...  $T_3[s_{i,j}] \in D_3$ .

page 488, line 8  $\mathcal{T}[s] := T_0[s_0] \times \dots \times T_{d-1}[s_{d-1}]$

page 489, line 8  $|\mathcal{T}'_i(l_k)| = 2^k$

page 493, line -16  $\delta_{\omega_1} := \bigcup_{i \in \omega_1} \delta_i$

## MINOR CORRECTIONS AND IMPROVEMENTS

page 14, line 16 Let  $\varphi, \varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3$ , and  $\psi \dots$

page 16, line -6  $\dots$  is equal to the formula  $\forall \nu \varphi_j$ , where  $\nu$  is a variable which does not occur free in any non-logical axiom of  $\mathbb{T}$ .

page 41, line 9 **subset** instead of subsets

page 120, line 1  $\dots 2^m \leq \text{seq}(m) \dots$

page 120, line 14  $\dots 2^m \cdot 2^{\aleph_0} \leq \text{seq}(m + \aleph_0) \dots$

page 126, line 2 f.  $\dots$  such that ~~we have~~  $\varphi(U', X)$ .

page 143, line -2 f. ~~which shows that  $V_{\alpha_0}$  can be well-ordered in the case when  $\alpha_0$  is a successor ordinal.~~

page 154, line 8 add a space: **of  $G$**

page 154, line -16 add a space: **}Notice**

page 185, line 3 ff.  $\dots$  is as above. **So**,  $\rho\sigma_y(x_0) = \sigma_y(x_0)$  and therefore  $\sigma_y^{-1}\rho\sigma_y(x_0) = x_0$ . Consequently we have  $\sigma_y^{-1}\rho\sigma_y = \vartheta^n$ , **and therefore  $\rho = \sigma_y\vartheta^n\sigma_y^{-1}$ . Thus, since  $\rho$  induces a proper cycle, this implies  $y \in \{x_0, \dots, x_k\}$ .**

page 198, line -9 **The Ordered Mostowski Model** instead of “Ordered Mostowski Models”.

page 211, line -3 Fraïssé-limit

page 213, line 18 Fraïssé-limit

page 215, line -11  $\Psi : \mathcal{P}(A) \dots$

page 231, line -9  $\dots J$  are arbitrary finite, **disjoint** subfamilies.  $\dots$

page 234, line -11 add a space: shatter  **$x$**

page 323, line 2,  $\dots (P, \leq)$

page 324, line -7  $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(P)$

page 325, line 17 ~~In other words,  $\text{MA}(\kappa)$  holds for each cardinal  $\kappa < \epsilon$~~

page 343, line -6 ff.

$$\text{up}(x, y) := \{ \langle x, \mathbf{0} \rangle, \langle y, \mathbf{0} \rangle \}$$

and

$$\text{op}(x, y) := \{ \langle \{ \langle x, \mathbf{0} \rangle \}, \mathbf{0} \rangle, \langle \{ \langle x, \mathbf{0} \rangle, \langle y, \mathbf{0} \rangle \}, \mathbf{0} \rangle \}.$$

page 343, line 10

Replace everywhere  $\underline{G}$  with  $G$ , and cancel in the index the definition of  $\underline{G}$ .

page 345, line -7

In order to show the second part of this proof ( $G$  is  $\mathbb{P}$ -generic) one needs FACT 15.7.

page 357, line 4 ff.

Axiom of Foundation: Let  $G \subseteq P$  be  $\mathbb{P}$ -generic over  $\mathbf{V}$ . With respect to  $G$ , we define a rank-function  $\text{rk}_G : \mathbf{V}^{\mathbb{P}} \rightarrow \Omega$  by stipulating

$$\text{rk}_G(z) := \bigcup \left\{ \text{rk}_G(y) + 1 : \exists p \in G (\langle y, p \rangle \in z) \right\}.$$

Let  $x$  and  $y$  be two  $\mathbb{P}$ -names. First, we show that  $x[G] = y[G]$  implies  $\text{rk}_G(x) = \text{rk}_G(y)$ . To see this, assume that  $\alpha = \text{rk}_G(y) < \text{rk}_G(x)$ . By definition of  $\text{rk}_G$ , there is a name  $z$  with  $\alpha \leq \text{rk}_G(z)$  and  $z[G] \in x[G]$ . Since  $\alpha \leq \text{rk}_G(z)$ , we have  $z[G] \notin y[G]$ , and hence,  $x[G] \neq y[G]$ .

Now, let

$$\alpha_0 := \bigcap \left\{ \text{rk}_G(y) : \exists p \in G (\langle y, p \rangle \in x) \right\}.$$

Then there is a  $\mathbb{P}$ -name  $y_0$  such that  $y_0[G] \in x[G]$  and  $\text{rk}_G(y_0) = \alpha_0$ , which implies that  $x[G] \cap y_0[G] = \emptyset$ .

page 361, line 1

**collapses  $\kappa$  [bold] and preserves  $\kappa$  [bold]**

page 362, line 13

This is because **whenever**  $q_1 \Vdash_{\mathbb{P}} \mathcal{S}(\alpha) = \gamma_1$  and  $q_2 \Vdash_{\mathbb{P}} \mathcal{S}(\alpha) = \gamma_2$ , where  $\gamma_1 \neq \gamma_2$ , then  $q_1 \perp q_2$ .

page 362, line 6 ff.

Replace  $p$  with  $p_0$  on line 6, 7, 9, 10, 15.

page 364, line 17 f.

...countable union of ~~at most~~ countable sets of ordered pairs...

page 364, line 17 f.

...countable union of ~~at most~~ countable sets of ordered pairs...

page 372, line -5

...(b), we **refine** the construction in the proof of (a). By ...

page 378, line 5

...is a countable transitive model in  $\mathbf{V}$ ,  $\mathbf{N}[G] \models \Phi_0$ , **and if**  $p_0 \Vdash_{\mathbb{P}} \varphi$ , **then**  $\mathbf{N}[G] \models \varphi$ .

page 378, line 20

...then  $\mathbf{N}[G] \models \Phi_0 + \varphi$ .

page 391, line -3

add a space: contains **a**

page 407, line 8 f.

$q$  instead of  $g$  and  $g$ , respectively.

page 428, line -5

... let  $\mathbb{P}_{\omega_2} = \langle \mathbb{Q}_\alpha : \alpha \in \omega_2 \rangle \dots$

page 465, line 2 ff.

**Since  $\hat{\mathcal{U}}$  is generated by  $\mathcal{U}$ , for each  $n \in \omega$  there is an  $x'_n \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $x'_n \subseteq x_n$ . Then define  $A := \{f(x'_n) : n \in \omega\}$  and notice that  $y \subseteq^* x'_n \subseteq x_n$ .**

- page 478, line 12 ...there is some  $\langle q_0, q_1 \rangle \in (G|_\alpha \times G(\alpha)) \cap E$ .
- page 492, line -11 A general form of the  $\Delta$ -System-Lemma (see Kunen, Thm. 1.6, p. 49) is needed here.
- page 493, line 3 ...  $\leq \omega_2 \cdot \omega_2 \dots$
- page 493, line -8 ...  $P$ -point—and in particular every Ramsey ultrafilter—in ...
- page 551, line -6 ...  $P$ -point in  $\mathbf{V}[G|_\delta]$ , for some  $\delta \in \omega_2$ .