

1.2 Integers: inverse elements

To each natural number n we introduce an **inverse element** $(-n)$ and define

$$n + (-n) := 0.$$

Since 0 is the neutral element with respect to addition, we obviously have $(-0) = 0$.

Now, since $0 = 0 + 0 = \underbrace{n + (-n)}_{=0} + \underbrace{m + (-m)}_{=0} = (n + m) + (-n) + (-m)$, we get

$$(-n) + (-m) = -(n + m),$$

and therefore, the addition is also defined for these new inverse elements.

We also write $n - m$ instead of $n + (-m)$, which is in fact the definition of the **subtraction**.

The set of **integers** is $\{\dots, -3, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, 3\}$ and is denoted by \mathbb{Z} .

Multiplication

First we define the **multiplication** “ \cdot ” for natural numbers in a similar way as we have done it for the addition:

- (i) For any natural number n we define $1 \cdot n := n$ (the number 1 is called the **neutral element** with respect to multiplication)
- (ii) For natural numbers n and m we define $n \cdot (m + 1) := (n \cdot m) + n$.

Some calculations: In the following we use the basic laws of calculation (these laws will be explained below). Let x and y be integers.

1. $0 \cdot x$?

$0 \cdot x = (0 + 0) \cdot x = 0 \cdot x + 0 \cdot x$, and therefore, by adding $(-0 \cdot x)$ on both sides,

$$\underbrace{0 \cdot x + (-0 \cdot x)}_{=0} = 0 \cdot x + \underbrace{0 \cdot x + (-0 \cdot x)}_{=0},$$

and therefore, $0 = 0 \cdot x + 0$ which implies $0 = 0 \cdot x$.

2. $(-1) \cdot x$?

$$((-1) \cdot x) + x \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{1 is neutral}}}{=} ((-1) \cdot x) + 1 \cdot x \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{distributive law}}}{=} ((-1) + 1) \cdot x \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ (-1)+1=0}}{=} 0 \cdot x \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{by 1.}}}{=} 0.$$
 So, $((-1) \cdot x) + x = 0 = (-x) + x$, which implies $((-1) \cdot x) = (-x)$.
3. $(-(-x))$? (*What is the inverse of an inverse element?*)
 By definition, $(-x) + (-(-x)) = 0 = (-x) + x$, which implies $(-(-x)) = x$.
4. $(-x) \cdot (-y)$? (*What is the product of two inverse elements?*)

$$(-x) \cdot (-y) \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{by 2.}}}{=} ((-1) \cdot x) \cdot ((-1) \cdot y) \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{commuta-} \\ \text{tive law}}}{=} \underbrace{((-1) \cdot (-1))}_{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{by 2.}}} \cdot (x \cdot y) \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{by 3.}}}{=} 1 \cdot (x \cdot y) = x \cdot y.$$
5. $(-x) \cdot y$?

$$(-x) \cdot y \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{by 2.}}}{=} ((-1) \cdot x) \cdot y \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{associa-} \\ \text{tive law}}}{=} (-1) \cdot (x \cdot y) \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{by 2.}}}{=} (-x \cdot y).$$
6. $(-x) + (-x)$?

$$(-x) + (-x) \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{by 2.}}}{=} ((-1) \cdot x) + ((-1) \cdot x) \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{distribu-} \\ \text{tive law}}}{=} (-1) \cdot (x + x) \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{1 is neutral}}}{=} (-1) \cdot (1 \cdot x + 1 \cdot x) \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{distribu-} \\ \text{tive law}}}{=} (-1) \cdot ((1 + 1) \cdot x) \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{by 2.}}}{=} (-1) \cdot (2 \cdot x) \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{by 2.}}}{=} -2 \cdot x.$$

In \mathbb{Z} , not every element has an inverse element with respect to multiplication (for $x \in \mathbb{Z}$, an inverse element w.r.t. multiplication would be a number y such that $x \cdot y = 1$). In fact, just 1 and (-1) have inverse elements with respect to multiplication, all other elements, like 2 or (-5) don't have inverse elements with respect to multiplication.

However, a set like the integers together with the two operations addition and multiplication, with the inverse operation “ $-$ ” (with respect to addition) and with the two neutral elements 0 and 1 (0 w.r.t. addition and 1 w.r.t. multiplication), such a set is called a **ring**, or more precisely, a **commutative ring** (since the multiplication is commutative). So, $(\mathbb{Z}, 0, +, -, 1, \cdot)$ is a ring. Later we will also see a non-commutative ring, namely the ring of matrices.