COUNTING HYPERBOLIC MANIFOLDS

M. Burger, T. Gelander, A. Lubotzky and S. Mozes

Introduction

A classical theorem of Wang [Wa] implies that for a fixed dimension $n \geq 4$, and any $V \in \mathbb{R}$, there are only finitely many complete hyperbolic manifolds without boundary of volume at most V up to isometries. Let $\rho_n(V)$ be the number of these manifolds. In this note we establish the following estimate for $\rho_n(V)$

Theorem. *For every $n \geq 4$, there are two constants $a = a(n) > 0$ and $b = b(n) > 0$ such that for all sufficiently large V*

$$aV \log V \leq \log \rho_n(V) \leq bV \log V$$

This estimate answers a question asked by S. Carlip. Carlip has shown (cf. [Ca1-2]) that the lower bound estimate has some applications in theoretical physics.

Of course the theorem is not true for dimension $n = 2$ or 3. If $n = 2$ there is a continuum of different hyperbolic surfaces of bounded (even the same) area. When $n = 3$, there may be countably many hyperbolic 3-manifolds of bounded volume. In the last section we discuss some conjectures concerning other locally symmetric spaces.

The lower bound

For every $n \geq 2$, Gromov and Piatetski-Shapiro [GPS] constructed a non-arithmetic cocompact lattice $\Gamma = \Gamma_n$ in $SO(n,1)$ the group of isometries of the n-dimensional hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}^n$. In [Lu3], Lubotzky showed that Γ has a finite index subgroup Δ, which is mapped onto a non-abelian free group F on 2 generators. It is clear that Δ can be arranged to be torsion free. Thus Δ defines a hyperbolic n-dimensional manifold $M = \Delta\backslash\mathbb{H}^n$ whose volume is, say, v_0. Now, every finite index subgroup of F of index r defines an index r subgroup of Δ, which in turn gives an r-sheeted covering of M. The free group F has approximately $r \cdot r!$ subgroups of index r (see [Ha] or [Lub1]). Thus, M has at least this number of coverings of volume rv_0. Some of these covering spaces may be isometric, but if, say, M_1 and M_2 are isometric manifolds which correspond to subgroups Δ_1 and Δ_2 of Δ, respectively, then there exists an element $g \in SO(n,1)$ with $g^{-1}\Delta_1 g = \Delta_2$. Hence, by definition, g belongs to the commensurability group of Δ, $Comm(\Delta) = \{h \in SO(n,1) : [\Delta : h^{-1}\Delta h \cap \Delta] < \infty,\ [h^{-1}\Delta h : h^{-1}\Delta h \cap \Delta] < \infty\}$. Since Δ is a non-arithmetic lattice, it follows from Margulis' Theorem ([Ma] Theorem 1 page 2) that $[Comm(\Delta) : \Delta] = m_1 < \infty$. Thus, the orbit of Δ_1 under conjugation by elements of $Comm(\Delta)$ consists of at most $m_1 \cdot r$ groups. This shows

Typeset by $\mathcal{AMS}$-TEX

that there are at least $(r \cdot r!)/(m_1 \cdot r) = \frac{1}{m_1} r!$ non-isometric hyperbolic manifolds of volume at most $r \cdot v_0$, establishing the required lower bound.

■

We remark that the constants may be explicitly estimated. This requires also an estimate of the index of the lattice Δ in its commensurator. This may be obtained using the lower bound, given by Kazhdan-Margulis to the covolume of the lattice(!) $Comm(\Delta) \leq SO(n, 1)$.

The upper bound

Recall the Thick-Thin decomposition of a manifold M. For any $\epsilon > 0$ denote by $M_{>\epsilon}$ the subset of M consisting of those points for which the injectivity radius is larger than ϵ. Let $M_{\leq\epsilon} = M \setminus M_{>\epsilon}$. We shall need the following:

Theorem (Margulis' Lemma). *(cf.* [Th] *Theorem 4.5.6). For each $n \geq 2$ there exists $\epsilon(n) > 0$ such that for any $\epsilon < \epsilon(n)$ the thin part $M_{\leq\epsilon}$ of a complete hyperbolic manifold is a finite union of components of one of the following types: neighborhoods of short closed geodesics homeomorphic to ball bundles over the circle or neighborhoods of cusps, homeomorphic to products of Euclidean manifolds with a half infinite interval. For $n \geq 3$ the thick part is a connected compact manifold with boundary.* ■

Corollary. *Let M be a complete hyperbolic manifold of dimension $n \geq 4$ and $\epsilon = \epsilon(n)$ as above. Then $\pi_1(M) = \pi_1(M_{>\epsilon})$ where $M_{>\epsilon}$ is the thick part of M.*

Proof. Let Y be a connected component of the thin part $M_{\leq\epsilon}$. Note that when the dimension is at least 4 we have $\pi_1(Y) = \pi_1(\partial Y)$. Indeed when Y is a ball bundle over a circle its fundamental group coincides with that of its boundary (as $n \geq 4$, note that this fails for $n \leq 3$). Similarly when Y is a product of a Euclidean manifold with a half infinite interval (in which case there is no need for a restriction on the dimension).

By a successive use of Van Kampen's theorem we can remove the component of the thin part $M_{\leq\epsilon}$ one by one, and obtain the desired statement.

■

Remark. As noted in the proof, the corollary holds for $n = 3$ if the manifold has no "short" closed geodesics. In fact the main theorem has a version which is still true for dimension 3: Given $\delta > 0$, the number of 3-dimensional hyperbolic manifolds without closed geodesic of length $\leq \delta$ is bounded by $V^{b_\delta V}$.

In the sequel we shall need to look more closely at the geometric structure, rather than just the topology, of the connected components of the thin parts. It is convenient to look at the preimage of such a component in the universal covering $\mathbb{H}^n$ of the manifold. We shall use the "Upper half space" model of the hyperbolic space, which we denote by $\mathbb{H}^n_+$. In this model the preimage of a cusp thin part is a half space. The preimage of a compact connected thin component is a cone over a finite union of concentric coaxial ellipsoids. We refer to the book [BP] section D.3 for a detailed discussion.

By Mostow rigidity a hyperbolic manifold of dimension at least 3 is determined by its fundamental group. Thus to bound the number of hyperbolic manifolds of given volume it suffices to bound the number of possible fundamental groups. The basic idea in counting the number of possible fundamental groups of hyperbolic manifolds of a fixed dimension whose volume is bounded by V is to associate with each of them a two dimensional complex with the same fundamental group and count these complexes. For the purpose of clarity let us first give a WRONG argument which has the advantage of avoiding some technical difficulties and then give the correct argument.

Fix $n \geq 4$ and some $\epsilon_0 < \epsilon(n)$. Given a complete hyperbolic n-manifold M of volume at most V we can choose a finite cover $\mathcal{C}_M$ of $M_{\geq\epsilon}$ by open balls of radius ϵ_0 such that the balls having the same centers and of radius $\frac{\epsilon_0}{2}$ are pairwise disjoint. (Considering a maximal collection of points which are at least ϵ_0 apart from one another in $M_{\geq\epsilon}$ yields such a collection of balls.) Notice that the number of balls in $\mathcal{C}_M$ is bounded by c_1V where $c_1 = c_1(n, \epsilon_0)$ is some fixed constant, namely 1 over the volume of an $\epsilon_0/2$-ball. Observe also that the intersection of any of these balls is either empty or convex and hence diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^n$. Thus in the terminology of [BT] it is a "good cover". It follows (cf. [BT] Theorem 13.4) that $\pi_1(\cup\mathcal{C}_M) = \pi_1(\mathfrak{N})$ where $\mathfrak{N} = \mathfrak{N}(\mathcal{C}_M)$ is the simplicial complex corresponding to the "nerve" of the cover $\mathcal{C}_M$. I.e., the vertices of $\mathfrak{N}$ correspond to the open balls in the cover $\mathcal{C}_M$ and a set of vertices forms a simplex when the intersection of the corresponding balls is non empty. Here lies the problem in this argument - we would have liked to be able to claim that actually $\cup\mathcal{C}_M$ and $M_{\geq\epsilon}$ have the same fundamental group. However note that some of the balls in $\mathcal{C}_M$ may "extend" out of $M_{\geq\epsilon}$, alternatively if one tries to restrict each of the balls to $M_{\geq\epsilon}$ we encounter the problem that the truncated balls are no longer convex and we do not know that intersections of balls are contractibles. As said above, let us first ignore this problem and complete the argument. We will indicate afterwards how to correct the argument (essentially by showing that one can choose a cover so that $\cup\mathcal{C}_M$ and $M_{\geq\epsilon}$ are homotopic to one another).

Since the fundamental group of a simplicial complex is the same as that of its 2-skeleton (cf. [Sp]) it is enough to consider the 2-skeleton of $\mathfrak{N}$ which we shall denote by $\mathfrak{N}^{(2)}$. Note that the 1-skeleton, $\mathfrak{N}^{(1)}$ is a finite graph such that the degree of each vertex is at most $d = d(n, \epsilon_0)$. This bound may be deduced by considering the ratio of the volume of a ball of radius $2.5\epsilon_0$ to that of a ball of radius $\epsilon_0/2$ in the hyperbolic n-space. Thus we have the following estimates:

Proposition.

(1) *The number of graphs obtained as the* 1*-skeleton* $\mathfrak{N}^{(1)}$ *of a simplicial complex associated via the above process with a complete hyperbolic manifold of volume at most* V *is at most* $e^{c_2 V \log V}$ *for some constant* $c_2 = c_2(d)$.

(2) *The number of* 2*-dimensional simplicial complexes* $\mathfrak{N}^{(2)}$ *obtained via the above process for manifolds of volume bounded by* V *is at most* $e^{c_3 V \log V}$ *for some constant* $c_3 = c_3(d)$.

Proof. Part (1) is just a crude estimate on the number of graphs having c_1V vertices and of degree bounded by d. Going through the vertices one by one and for each one choosing at each step neighboring vertices from the available vertices at that stage yields the required estimate.

Part (2) follows from part (1) combined with the observation that in each graph of degree at most d, the number of triangles, i.e., closed paths of length 3, is at most d^3 times the number of vertices and thus for each graph as in (1) we have at most $2^{d^3\#\text{vertices}} = 2^{c'V}$ possible 2-dimensional simplicial complexes having it as their 1-skeleton. ■

We can thus deduce that if M is a complete hyperbolic n-manifold whose volume is at most V then its fundamental group is isomorphic to the fundamental group of one of at most $e^{c_3 V \log V}$ 2-dimensional simplicial complexes. Now, as by Mostow rigidity theorem, $\pi_1(M)$ determines M, we conclude that the number of hyperbolic manifolds of a fixed dimension $n \geq 4$ having volume $\leq V$ is at most $e^{c_3 V \log V}$.

Let us now show how to modify the above construction so that we would get coverings such that $\pi_1(\cup \mathcal{C}_M) = \pi_1(M_{\geq \epsilon}) = \pi_1(M)$.

We shall need some notation. Let $M = \mathbb{H}^n/\Gamma$ be a hyperbolic manifold of dimension n with fundamental group Γ. Let $\epsilon(n)$ be the constant from Margulis' Lemma, and let $\epsilon = \epsilon(n)/2$. For $\gamma \in \Gamma$, the set $T(\gamma) = \{x \in \mathbb{H}^n : d\big(\gamma(x), x\big) \leq \epsilon\}$ is convex. The preimage in $\mathbb{H}^n$ of the ϵ thin part $M_{\leq \epsilon}$, is a union of convex sets $\cup_{\gamma \in \Gamma \setminus \{1\}} T(\gamma)$. For a set A denote by $(A)_\eta$ its $\eta-$neighborhood. If A is convex then $(A)_\eta$ is convex with smooth boundary. For $x_t \in \partial(M_{\leq \epsilon})_t$, $t < \epsilon$ we denote by $\{\hat{n}_i(x_t)\}$ the set of unite length external normals to $(M_{\leq \epsilon})_t$, i.e. to the convex sets $\big(T(\gamma_i)\big)_t$ which contains x_t on their boundary.

lemma. *There exist constants $\eta, b, \delta > 0$, depending only on n, such that:*

1. *For a maximal δ-discrete subset $\mathcal{F} \subset M \setminus (M_{\leq \epsilon})_{\eta+\delta}$ the union of the $(b+1)\delta$-balls $\cup_{y \in \mathcal{F}} B\big(y, (b+1)\delta\big)$ covers $M \setminus (M_{\leq \epsilon})_\eta$. We fix $\mathcal{F}$ and denote this union by $U = \cup_{y \in \mathcal{F}} B\big(y, (b+1)\delta\big)$.*
2. *There is a deformation retract from the intersection $U \cap (M_{\leq \epsilon})_\eta$ to the boundary of $(M_{\leq \epsilon})_\eta$.*
3. *There is a homotopy equivalence between $(M_{\leq \epsilon}, \partial M_{\leq \epsilon})$ and $\big((M_{\leq \epsilon})_\eta, \partial (M_{\leq \epsilon})_\eta\big)$.*

Remark: Since we are looking at points outside the ϵ-thin part, and our relevant sizes are much smaller then ϵ (namely $\eta, (b+1)\delta$) we may lift the picture to the universal covering $\tilde{M} = \mathbb{H}^n$ of M without distorting it, and prove our claims there. We work with the upper half space model $\mathbb{H}^n_+$. Notice that every hyperbolic ball is also an Euclidean ball (in the standard metric) with deferent center and radius.

Proof. The proof, which will be carry out in few steps, is based on the existence of a nice vector field which is almost transversal to the boundary of the thin part.

Step A *(Constructing the vector field and determining the constant b:)* Fix

$$b = \max\{n^{1/2}, 1/\cos\big(\arctan(2/\epsilon)\big)\}.$$

We will show that there is a normalized vector field F, defined on $(M_{\leq \epsilon})_{2\epsilon} \setminus M_{<\epsilon}$, and continuous on its integral curves, such that for any $x_t \in \partial(M_{\leq \epsilon})_t, 0 \leq t \leq \epsilon$, the inner product of $F(x_t)$ with each of the normals $\hat{n}_i(x_t)$ to $\partial(M_{\leq \epsilon})_t$ at x_t is $\geq 1/b$.

Let $M^0_{\leq \epsilon}$ be a connected component of $M_{\leq \epsilon}$. We will now construct F near $M^0_{\leq \epsilon}$. In fact we will construct it in $\mathbb{H}^n$ near $\tilde{M}^0_{\leq \epsilon}$, a connected component of the pre-image of $M^0_{\leq \epsilon}$ in the universal covering $\tilde{M} = \mathbb{H}^n$. However, since our F will be invariant under the action of the fundamental group $\pi_1(M^0_{\leq\epsilon})$ of our connected component, it will project to a vector field (denoted also by F) in M, defined near $M^0_{\leq\epsilon}$.

If $M^0_{\leq\epsilon}$ is a hyperbolic component, i.e. one which corresponds to a hyperbolic isometry of $\mathbb{H}^n$, then a connected component of the pre-image of $M^0_{\leq\epsilon}$ in $\mathbb{H}^n_+$ is a neighborhood of a geodesic line. Taking this line to be the one connecting the origin to ∞, this neighborhood is a cone over a finite union concentric coaxial ellipsoids (note that the horospheres through ∞ inherits an $(n-1)$-Euclidean structure from $\mathbb{R}^n$ in which our model $\mathbb{H}^n_+$ sits). We may assume that the axes of these ellipsoids are the standard coordinates of $R^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{H}^n_+$. In this case, at a point $x = (x^0, x^1, ..., x^{n-1}) \in \mathbb{H}^n_+ = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x^0 > 0\}$, we take

$$F(x) = (1/n)^{1/2}\big(-1, sign(x^1), sign(x^2), \dots, sign(x^{n-1})\big).$$

It is then easy to see that $F(x_t) \cdot \hat{n}_i(x_t) \geq n^{-1/2}$ for $0 \leq t \leq \epsilon$.

If $M^0_{\leq\epsilon}$ is a parabolic component, i.e. one which corresponds to a group of parabolic isometries, we take

$$F(x) = (-1, 0, 0, \dots, 0)$$

in $\mathbb{H}^n_+$ where ∞ is the fixed point of the fundamental group of this component. Notice that if γ is a parabolic isometry (which stabilize ∞ in $\mathbb{H}^n_+$) then the angle between the external normal to the boundary of $T(\gamma)$ and the vector $(-1, 0, 0, .., 0)$ is at most $\arctan(2/\epsilon)$: If $d\big(\gamma(x), x\big) = \epsilon$ and y is a point at the same altitude (the same horoshphere through ∞) at infinitesimal distance t from x, then $d\big(\gamma(y), y\big) \leq \epsilon + 2t$. It follows that the point at distance $2t/\epsilon$ above y is in $T(\gamma)$.

Moreover in the parabolic case the inner product of F with each the normals of $(M_{\leq\epsilon})_t$ is increasing with t, and thus $> \cos(\arctan 2/\epsilon)$ for any $t \leq \epsilon$.

This completes step A.

Step B *(Proving condition 1:)* The existence of the vector field F, constructed above, implies that for any $\eta \leq \epsilon$:

1') $M \setminus (M_{\leq\epsilon})_\eta \subset \big(M \setminus (M_{\leq\epsilon})_{(\eta+\delta)}\big)_{b\delta}$ i.e. each point outside $(M_{<\epsilon})_\eta$ is at distance at most $b\delta$ from the complement of $(M_{\leq\epsilon})_{(\eta+\delta)}$.

Indeed, just let any $x_{t_0} \in \partial(M_{\leq\epsilon})_{t_0}$ (for $t_0 \geq \eta$) to flow $b\delta$ seconds on F to the point $x_{t_0+b\delta} \in M \setminus (M_{\leq\epsilon})_{\eta+\delta}$.

Now, it follows from the definition of $\mathcal{F}$ that its δ-neighborhood $(\mathcal{F})_\delta$ contains $M \setminus (M_{\leq\epsilon})_{\eta+\delta}$. It follows from 1' that

$$M \setminus (M_{\leq\epsilon})_\eta \subset \big(M \setminus (M_{\leq\epsilon})_{(\eta+\delta)}\big)_{b\delta} \subset \big((\mathcal{F})_\delta\big)_{b\delta} = (\mathcal{F})_{(b+1)\delta},$$

which is exactly the statement of condition 1.

We turn now to proving condition 2. We will do this in few steps. The following is easily verified:

step C *(Small curvature:)* Let $A \subset \mathbb{H}^n$ be a convex set (below, we shell take $A = \big(T(\gamma)\big)_\epsilon$). Then for any boundary point $x \in \partial(A)_\eta$ the η-ball, tangent to $\partial(A)_\eta$ at x, with the same external normal, is contained in $(A)_\eta$.

Indeed, if we denote by $P_A(x)$ the projection of x to A then this ball is no other then $B\big(P_A(x), \eta\big)$.

We will now use the fact that the external angles at any "corner" of $M_{\leq\epsilon}$ are large to show:

step D *(Existence of "large" ball tangent to any boundary point:)* If η is sufficiently small then we have:

2') For any point x in $(M_{\leq\epsilon})_\eta \cap U$ there is a unique closest point $\pi(x)$ on the boundary $\partial(M_{\leq\epsilon})_\eta$, and $(M_{\leq\epsilon})_\eta$ contains the ball of radius $\eta/4b$ which contains $\pi(x)$ on its boundary sphere and the normal at $\pi(x)$ to this sphere is tangent to the geodesic line $\overline{x\pi(x)}$.

To prove 2' take a closest point to x in the boundary of $(M_{\leq\epsilon})_\eta$, and denote it by $\pi(x)$. We may assume that δ is small enough so that $(b+1)\delta < \eta/4b$ and thus uniqueness of $\pi(x)$ follows from the existence of this $\eta/4b$-ball that we will show now. We start with:

Sublemma. *The tangent $\hat{n}$ to the geodesic line $\overline{x\pi(x)}$ at $\pi(x)$ must be inside the convex cone of the external normals $\{\hat{n}_i\}$ to $(M_{\leq\epsilon})_\eta$ at $\pi(x)$.*

Proof. The point $\pi(x)$ is on the boundary of a finite union of convex sets of the form $\big(T(\gamma)\big)_\eta$. The finite set $\{\hat{n}_i\}$ consists of the normals to the smooth boundaries of these sets. As $\pi(x)$ is closest to x the intersections of the half spaces $\cap_i\{v \in T_{\pi(x)}M : \hat{n}_i \cdot v \geq 0\} \cap \{v \in T_{\pi(x)}M : \hat{n}\cdot v \leq 0\}$ has empty interior. Helley's theorem implies that we may consider the case where only $k+1$ half spaces involved (one of them must be the one defined by $-\hat{n}$) where $k = \dim\operatorname{span}\{\hat{n}_i\}$. Thus there is a unique expression $\hat{n} = \Sigma_{i=1}^k \alpha_i \hat{n}_i$ (Lagrange's multipliers theorem implies that $\hat{n} \in \operatorname{span}\{\hat{n}_i\}$). Pass to the relevant subspace $\operatorname{span}\{\hat{n}_i\}$ of dimension k. We need to show that $\alpha_i \geq 0$ for all i. Assume the contrary, say, $\alpha_1 < 0$. Let N denote the matrix with rows corresponding to $\hat{n}_i$ and let $\overline{\alpha}$ be the row vector $(\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_k)$. We have $\hat{n} = \overline{\alpha}N$. Our condition that "the interiors have no intersection" is equivalent to "$Nv \geq 0$ implies $\hat{n}\cdot v \geq 0$", but taking v which satisfies $Nv = (1,0,0,...,0)^t$ gives us a contradiction:

$$\hat{n}\cdot v = \overline{\alpha}Nv = \overline{\alpha}(1,0,0,...,0)^t = \alpha_1 < 0.$$

Thus $\hat{n} = \Sigma_{i=1}^k \alpha_i \hat{n}_i$ with $\alpha_i \geq 0$. We claim further

Sublemma. $\Sigma_{i=1}^k \alpha_i \leq b$.

Proof.

$$1 \geq \hat{n}\cdot F\big(\pi(x)\big) = \Sigma_{i=1}^k \alpha_i \hat{n}_i \cdot F\big(\pi(x)\big) \geq 1/b\Sigma_{i=1}^k \alpha_i.$$

■

This implies that if $v \in \mathbb{R}^n = T_{\pi(x)}M$ satisfies $v\cdot\hat{n} \geq b$ then $v\cdot\hat{n}_i \geq 1$ for some $1 \leq i \leq k$. In other words, the half space $\{v : \hat{n}\cdot v \geq b\}$ is contained in the union of the half spaces $\{v : \hat{n}_i \cdot v \geq 1\}$. Applying inversion by the sphere of radius $2^{1/2}$ around $0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we obtain:

Corollary. *The Euclidean ball of radius $1/b$ with normal $\hat{n}$ at $\pi(x)$ is contained in the union of the unit Euclidean balls tangent to $\pi(x)$ with normals $\hat{n}_i$'s.*

Now move $\pi(x)$ (or, more precisely, a pre-image of $\pi(x)$ in $\mathbb{H}^n_+$) to the point $(1,0,0,...,0) \in \mathbb{H}^n_+$. If η is small enough, the Euclidean radius r_E, of a ball containing $\pi(x)$ on its sphere, of hyperbolic radius $r_h \le \eta$, satisfies $r_h/2 < r_E < 2r_h$.

Now it follows from step C that the hyperbolic balls of radius η tangent to the relevant $T(\gamma)$'s at $\pi(x)$ are contained in $(M_{\le\epsilon})_\eta$. By the choice of η we get that these balls have Euclidean radiuses $> \eta/2$, hence the $\eta/2b$ Euclidean ball, for which the sphere pass through $\pi(x)$ with normal $\hat{n}$, is contained in $(M_{\le\epsilon})_\eta$. This ball has hyperbolic radius $> \eta/4b$. This finish the proof of 2'.

Step E *(Positive direction:)* One can easily verify that, after b and η are fixed, for any small enough δ the following is satisfied:

2") $\beta < \pi/2$. see figure 1.



The figure shows two concentric circles of radiuses $\eta/4b$ and $\eta/4b+\delta$ and two points, one on each circle, at distance $2(b+1)\delta$, and the segment between them. β is the angle between this segment and the external normal to the smaller circle.

To see this, think of the following. Instead of shrinking δ (until it is small enough), keep it as the fixed parameter, and let η tends to infinity (by rescaling the Riemannian metric each time). We get, in the limit, two parallel lines at distance δ and two points, one on each line, at distance $2(b+1)\delta$. Thus, the limit angle is certainly $< \pi/2$. This together with 2' implies:

sublemma. *If $x \in U \cap (M_{\le\epsilon})_\eta$ and $y \in M \setminus (M_{\le\epsilon})_{\eta+\delta}$ is a point for which $d(y,x) \le (b+1)\delta$ then (since $d\big(x,\pi(x)\big) \le d(x,y) \le (b+1)\delta$) the angle between the tangents at $\pi(x)$ to $[x,\pi(x)]$ and $[\pi(x),y]$ is at most $\beta < \pi/2$.*

step F (Proving condition 2:) We have to show that there is a deformation retract from $U \cap (M_{\leq\epsilon})_\eta$ to $\partial(M_{\leq\epsilon})_\eta$. For this we let any $x \in U \cap (M_{\leq\epsilon})_\eta$ to flow at constant rate $d\big(x, \pi(x)\big)$ in the direction of $\pi(x)$. Uniqueness of $\pi(x)$ implies continuity. We only need to show that the segment $[x, \pi(x)]$ is contained in $U \cap (M_{\leq\epsilon})_\eta$. Clearly $[x, \pi(x)] \subset (M_{\leq\epsilon})_\eta$. Since $x \in U$ there is $y \in \mathcal{F}$ with $d(x, y) \leq (b+1)\delta$. Let $c(t)$ be the geodesic line with $c(0) = x$ and $c\Big(d\big(x, \pi(x)\big)\Big) = \pi(x)$. The negative curvature implies that $\varphi(t) = d\big(c(t), y\big)$ is a convex function of t. The above sublemma implies that the derivative

$$\dot{\varphi}\Big(d\big(x, \pi(x)\big)\Big) \leq -\cos\beta < 0.$$

Since the derivative of a convex function is non-decreasing, it follows that $\dot{\varphi}(t) < 0$ for any $t < d\big(x, \pi(x)\big)$, i.e. $\varphi(t)$ is monotonically decreasing and

$$[x, \pi(x)] \subset B\big(y, (b+1)\delta\big) \subset U.$$

step G *(Proving condition 3:)* Condition 3 follows from the "star-shaped" structure of every connected component of $M_{\leq\epsilon}$. The hyperbolic components are "star-shaped" with respect to the line $(0, \infty)$ where the function $d\big(x, \gamma(x)\big)$ atains its minimum. The parabolic components are "star-shaped" with respect to ∞.

■

Remark. All the constants in the above proof may be estimated effectively yielding an explicit constant depending on the dimension.

Some concluding remarks

A general theorem of Wang [Wa] asserts

Theorem. *Let G be a semisimple Lie group without compact factors, and with no factors locally isomorphic to $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ or $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$. Then for any $V > 0$, there are only finitely many conjugacy classes of lattices in G of covolume at most V.*

The result of Wang quoted at the introduction is just the very special case when $G = SO(n,1), n \geq 4$ and only torsion-free lattices are considered. Our work, thus, can be viewed as a first attempt towards a quantitive version of Wang's Theorem, whose proof is non-effective and gives no estimate on that number.

Let $\rho_G(V)$ denote the number of conjugacy classes of irreducible lattices in G of covolume at most V. Denote by $\rho^\circ_G(V)$ the number of those which are torsion-free. An interesting problem is to estimate the growth of $\rho_G(V)$ as a function of V. Our theorem actually says that for $G = SO(n,1), n \geq 4$, $\log \rho^\circ_G(V) \approx V \log V$.

One may expect that the growth of $\rho_G(V)$ and $\rho^\circ_G(V)$ are essentially the same, but this has not been verified yet even for $SO(n,1)$.

In [Ge1], Gelander extended the recent work by proving upper bounds on $\rho^\circ_G(V)$ for arbitrary rank one simple Lie groups (not locally isomorphic to $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ or $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$). He also proves upper bounds on $\rho^\circ_G(V)$ when G is a non-trivial product of $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ and/or $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$, excluding the case $G = SL_2(\mathbb{R}) \times SL_2(\mathbb{R})$. In [Ge2], upper bounds of similar form are given for the number of conjugacy classes of irreducible non-uniform arithmetic lattices, in any semi-simple group not locally isomorphic to $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$. The result there holds also for the group $G = SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ and therefore yelds a new finiteness result in a case where Wang's theorem is not valid.

However, if $rank(G) \geq 2$ then all lattices are arithmetic so somewhat "rare". Given an arithmetic lattice Γ it has congruence subgroups. The growth of the number of the congruence subgroups was determined in [Lu2]. It is shown there that the number of index n congruence subgroups grows like $n^{c\frac{\log n}{\log\log n}}$. It was conjectured by Serre (and proved in most cases, cf. [PR]) that the congruence subgroup problem has an affirmative solution for higher rank arithmetic groups. If one expects "few" maximal arithmetic groups, then the following estimate suggest itself.

Conjecture. *Let G be a simple Lie group of $\mathbb{R}$-rank at least 2. Then $\log \rho_G(V)$ grows like $c(G)\frac{(\log V)^2}{\log\log V}$.*

In [Ge1] and [Ge2] weaker upper bounds are established for a subclass of all lattices.

References

[BP] R. Benedetti and C. Petronio, *Lectures on Hyperbolic Geometry*, Springer-Verlag, 1992.

[BT] R. Bott and L.W. Tu, *Differential Forms in Algebraic Topology*, Springer-Verlag, 1982.

[Ca1] S. Carlip, *Spacetime foam and the cosmological constant*, Phys.Rev.Lett. **79** (1997), 4071-4074, UCD-97-19, gr-qc/9708026.

[Ca2] S. Carlip, *Dominant topologies in Euclidean quantum gravity*, Class.Quant.Grav. **15** (1998), 2629-2638, UCD-97-21, gr-qc/9710114.

[Ge1] T. Gelander, *Counting locally symmetric manifolds. preprint.*

[Ge2] T. Gelander, *Non-compact arithmetic manifolds have simple homotopy type. preprint.*

[GPS] M. Gromov and I. Piatetski-Shapiro, *Non-arithmetic groups in Lobachevsky spaces*, Publ. Math. IHES **66** (1988), 93-103.

[Ha] M. Hall, *Subgroups of finite index in free groups*, Canadian J.- Math **1** (1949), 187-190.

[Lu1] A. Lubotzky, *Counting finite index subgroups. in: Groups '93 Galway/St. Andrews* **2, Ed. C.M. Campbell** (1995), London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes Series 212, Cambridge university press, 368–404.

[Lu2] A. Lubotzky, *Subgroup growth and congruence subgroups*, Invent. Math. **119** (1995), 267–295.

[Lu3] A. Lubotzky, *Free quotients and the first Betti number of some hyperbolic manifolds*, Transformation Groups **1** (1996), 71–82.

[Ma] G.A. Margulis, *Discrete Subgroups of Semisimple Lie Groups*, Springer-Verlag, 1991.

[PR] V. Platonov and A. Rapinchuk, *Algebraic Groups and Number Theory*, Academic Press, 1994.

[Sp] E. Spanier, *Algebraic Topology*, Springer-Verlag, 1966.

[Th] W.P. Thurston, *Three-Dimensional Geometry and Topology, Volume 1,*, Prinston univ. press, 1997.

[Wa] H.C. Wang, *Topics on totally discontinuous groups*, Symmetric spaces, edited by W. Boothby and G. Weiss (1972), M. Dekker, 460–487.

M. Burger, Department of Mathematics, ETH, Zurich, Switzerland.

T. Gelander, A. Lubotzky and S. Mozes, Institute of Mathematics, Hebrew University, Jerusalem, Israel.