

# Geometric Convergence of Overlapping Schwarz Methods for Obstacle Problems

Jinping Zeng

## 1 Obstacle Problem and its Discretization

Schwarz methods have been paid great attention in recent years. In our paper, we consider Schwarz methods for the obstacle problem of finding a  $u$  such that

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u(x) \geq f(x), \\ u(x) \geq 0, \\ u(x)(-\Delta u(x) - f(x)) = 0, \\ u(x) = g(x), \end{cases} \quad \begin{array}{l} x \in \Omega, \\ x \in \partial\Omega, \end{array} \quad (1.1)$$

where  $\Omega$  is a bounded polyhedron convex domain in  $R^2$  or  $R^3$  with boundary  $\partial\Omega$ .  $f$  and  $g$  are given functions.

We discrete problem (1.1) as finite-dimensional linear complementarity problem by using a conforming finite element method (e.g. Lagrange linear elements):

$$\begin{cases} LU(x) \geq f(x), & U(x) \geq 0 & U^T(x)(LU(x) - f(x)) = 0. & x \in \Omega_h, \\ U(x) = g(x), & & & x \in \partial\Omega_h, \end{cases}$$

where  $\Omega_h$  is grid set of the triangulation of  $\Omega$ . Let grid function  $U$  be the restriction of  $U(x)$  on  $\Omega_h$ . Then we have that

$$AU \geq F, \quad U \geq 0, \quad U^T(AU - F) = 0. \quad (1.2)$$

Where  $A$  is a symmetric positive definite M-matrix if each angle of the mesh is an acute angle.

In [KNT94, KNT95] the numerical solution of linear complementarity problems with monotone operators by relaxation and Schwarz-type overlapping domain decomposition methods are considered. Monotone convergence was obtained for a special initial choice. Similar convergence was also discussed in [Sca90, Zho96]. Until now, however, we have not seen any discussion on the convergence rate except in

[Tar96, ZZ]. In [ZZ], we analyzed convergence rate of the algorithm for solving obstacle problem if we confine the initial value to as special set, the same as [KNT94] or [KNT95]. Here, without any limitation of initial value, we prove that the iterate sequence generated by the algorithm we proposed converges to the solution of (1.2).

## 2 Schwarz Algorithm

Following the substructuring idea given by Dryja and Widlund (c.f. [Dry89, DW90, LSL92]), we can construct Schwarz algorithm for solving (1.2): We use the two-level triangulation of  $\Omega$  given in [LSL92]. In this way we get the H-level triangulation consisting of  $\Omega_i$  with diameters  $H_i (i = 1, \dots, m)$  and the overlapping open subdomains  $\Omega'_i \supset \Omega_i (i = 1, \dots, m)$ . We assume that there is a positive constant  $c$  such that

$$\text{dist}(\partial\Omega'_i \setminus \partial\Omega, \partial\Omega_i \setminus \partial\Omega) \geq cH_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, m. \quad (2.1)$$

Let  $\Omega_{ih} (i = 1, \dots, m)$  be the sets of the nodes that belong to open subdomains  $\Omega'_i$  respectively,  $N_i$  be the subset of index set  $\{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ :  $N_i = \{j \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\} : x_j \in \Omega_{ih}\}$ .  $A_{I,J}$  denotes the submatrix of  $A$  with elements  $a_{ij} (i \in I, j \in J)$ ,  $U_I$  denotes the subvector of  $U$  with elements  $U_i (i \in I)$ . Then additive Schwarz algorithm can be stated as follows:

### Additive Schwarz Algorithm

**Step 1.**  $n := 0$ .

**Step 2.** For  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ,

$$\begin{cases} A_{N_i, N_i} U_{N_i}^{n+1, i} \geq F^{n, i}, \\ U_{N_i}^{n+1, i} \geq 0, \\ (U_{N_i}^{n+1, i})^T (A_{N_i, N_i} U_{N_i}^{n+1, i} - F^{n, i}) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

$$U_{N \setminus N_i}^{n+1, i} = U_{N \setminus N_i}^n, \quad (2.3)$$

where

$$F^{n, i} = F_{N_i} - A_{N_i, N \setminus N_i} U_{N \setminus N_i}^n. \quad (2.4)$$

**Step 3.** Choose  $\omega_i$  satisfying

$$0 < \omega_i < 1, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m, \quad \sum_{i=1}^m \omega_i = 1.$$

Let

$$U^{n+1} = \sum_{i=1}^m \omega_i U^{n+1, i}. \quad (2.5)$$

**Step 4.**  $n := n + 1$ , go to step 2.

## 3 Geometric Convergence

**Lemma 3.1 (iteration error estimate)** *Let  $\epsilon^{n+1, i} = U^{n+1, i} - U$ ,  $\epsilon^n = U^n - U$  (where  $U$  is the solution of (1.2)). Then for all  $n = 0, 1, \dots$  and  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , we have that*

$$(A|\epsilon^{n+1, i}|)_{N_i} \leq 0. \quad (3.1)$$

**Proof.** If  $(U_{N_i})_k = 0$  and  $(U_{N_i}^{n+1,i})_k > 0$ , then

$$(A_{N_i, N_i} U_{N_i}^{n+1,i} - F^{n,i})_k = 0. \quad (3.2)$$

Let

$$F^{*,i} = F_{N_i} - A_{N_i, N \setminus N_i} U_{N \setminus N_i}.$$

We have

$$(A_{N_i, N_i} U_{N_i} - F^{*,i})_k \geq 0. \quad (3.3)$$

If we subtract (3.3) from (3.2), we get that

$$(A_{N_i, N_i} \epsilon_{N_i}^{n+1,i})_k \leq (-A_{N_i, N \setminus N_i} \epsilon_{N \setminus N_i}^n)_k.$$

Since  $A_{kj} \leq 0$  for  $k \neq j$  and (2.3), we have

$$(A_{N_i, N_i} |\epsilon_{N_i}^{n+1,i}|)_k \leq (-A_{N_i, N \setminus N_i} |\epsilon_{N \setminus N_i}^{n+1,i}|)_k.$$

i.e.

$$((A |\epsilon^{n+1,i}|)_{N_i})_k \leq 0.$$

If  $(U_{N_i})_k > 0$  and  $(U_{N_i}^{n+1,i})_k > 0$ , then

$$(A_{N_i, N_i} U_k^{n+1,i} - F^{n,i})_k = 0,$$

and

$$(A_{N_i, N_i} U_{N_i} - F^{*,i})_k = 0.$$

Hence

$$(A_{N_i, N_i} \epsilon_{N_i}^{n+1,i})_k = (-A_{N_i, N \setminus N_i} \epsilon_{N \setminus N_i}^n)_k.$$

Therefore,

$$(A_{N_i, N_i} |\epsilon_{N_i}^{n+1,i}|)_k \leq (-A_{N_i, N \setminus N_i} |\epsilon_{N \setminus N_i}^n|)_k = (-A_{N_i, N \setminus N_i} |\epsilon_{N \setminus N_i}^{n+1,i}|)_k.$$

If  $(U_{N_i})_k > 0$  and  $(U_{N_i}^{n+1,i})_k = 0$ , then

$$(A_{N_i, N_i} U_k^{n+1,i} - F^{n,i})_k \geq 0,$$

and

$$(A_{N_i, N_i} U_{N_i} - F^{*,i})_k = 0.$$

Then

$$(A_{N_i, N_i} \epsilon_{N_i}^{n+1,i})_k \geq (-A_{N_i, N \setminus N_i} \epsilon_{N \setminus N_i}^n)_k.$$

Since  $(\epsilon_{N_i}^{n+1,i})_k < 0$ , we also have

$$(A_{N_i, N_i} |\epsilon_{N_i}^{n+1,i}|)_k \leq (-A_{N_i, N \setminus N_i} |\epsilon_{N \setminus N_i}^n|)_k = (-A_{N_i, N \setminus N_i} |\epsilon_{N \setminus N_i}^{n+1,i}|)_k.$$

That is (3.1) holds. Thus we complete the proof.

In order to prove the geometric convergence, we also need to establish the discrete strong maximum principle as follows:

**Lemma 3.2** *For finite element discretization, if each angle of the mesh is an acute angle and the boundary of every subdomain has a common part with the boundary of the whole domain. Then, for any  $V \geq 0$  satisfying  $(AV)_{N_i} \leq 0$ , there exists a constant  $k_i \in (0, 1)$  such that*

$$\max_{j \in N_i} V_j \leq k_i \max_{j \notin N_i} V_j.$$

**Remark** Under the conditions of lemma 3.2, matrix  $A$  has the following properties: (i)  $a_{ii} > 0$ ,  $a_{ij} \leq 0 (j \neq i)$ ; (ii)  $A$  is irreducible and weak diagonal dominant; (iii)  $A_{N_i N_i}$  are irreducible,  $i = 1, \dots, m$ ; (iv) for every  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , there exists  $l_i \in N_i$  such that  $\sum_{j=1}^N a_{l_i j} > 0$ . Therefore, lemma 3.1 can be proved by reduction to absurdity.

By lemma 3.1 and lemma 3.2 we get the following geometric convergence result:

**Theorem 3.1** *Under the conditions of lemma 3.2, we have that*

$$\|\epsilon^{n+1}\|_\infty \leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} (\omega_i k_i + \sum_{j \neq i} \omega_j) \|\epsilon^n\|_\infty = k \|\epsilon^n\|_\infty, \quad (3.4)$$

where  $k = \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} (\omega_i k_i + \sum_{j \neq i} \omega_j) \in (0, 1)$ .

**Proof**  $\forall k \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$  and  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ . If  $k \notin N_i$ , then  $|\epsilon^{n+1, i}|_k = |\epsilon^n|_k \leq \|\epsilon^n\|_\infty$ ; If  $k \in N_i$ , since  $(A|\epsilon^{n+1, i}|)_{N_i} \leq 0$ . By use of lemma 3.1 and lemma 3.2, we have that

$$|\epsilon^{n+1, i}|_k \leq k_i \max_{j \notin N_i} |\epsilon^{n+1, i}|_j = k_i \max_{j \notin N_i} |\epsilon^n|_j \leq k_i \|\epsilon^n\|_\infty.$$

Since  $\{1, 2, \dots, N\} = \bigcup_1^m N_i$ , we get (3.4).

#### 4 Concluding Remarks

The goal of the paper is to give the convergence proof of additive Schwarz algorithm for the algebraic obstacle problems by establishing discrete maximum principle. The convergence theory can be found useful for many reasons. First, Our results are suitable for obstacle problems with nonsymmetric operators. As we know, many discussions about Schwarz methods for obstacle problems require the problems have self-adjoint and positive definite operators (c.f. [Lio88, Lio89, Sca90, Xu92, Zho96]). Second, we can get geometric convergent rate by establishing corresponding discrete maximum principle. Especially, we can estimating h-independent convergence of the additive Schwarz algorithm by estimating  $k_i$  in lemma 3.2 (see [ZZ] for details). Finally, we notice that the convergence theory of this paper can be extended to multiplicative Schwarz algorithm or other obstacle problems as well. For example, using a similar analysis, we could prove geometric convergence of additive or multiplicative algorithms applied to solving bilateral discrete obstacle problems. These extensions will be studied in the forthcoming publications.

## Acknowledgement

The author would like to thank Prof. Shuzi Zhou for his valuable comments on the paper and the Organizing Committee of DD9 and NNSF of China for their financial support.

## REFERENCES

- [Dry89] Dryja M. (1989) An additive Schwarz algorithm for two-and three-dimensional finite element elliptic problems. In Chan T., Glowinski R., Périaux J., and Widlund O. B. (eds) *Domain Decomposition Methods*, pages 168–172. SIAM, PA, Philadelphia.
- [DW90] Dryja M. and Widlund O. B. (1990) Towards a unified theory of domain decomposition algorithms for elliptic problems. In Chan T. F., Glowinski R., Périaux J., and Widlund O. B. (eds) *Domain Decomposition Method for PDE*, pages 340–349. SIAM, Philadelphia.
- [KNT94] Kuznetsov Y., Neittaanmäki P., and Tarvainen P. (1994) Block relaxation methods for algebraic obstacle problem with m-matrices. *East-West Journal of Numerical Mathematics* (2): 75–89.
- [KNT95] Kuznetsov Y., Neittaanmäki P., and Tarvainen P. (1995) Schwarz methods for obstacle problems with convection-diffusion operators. In Keyes D. E. and Xu J. C. (eds) *Domain Decomposition Methods in Scientific and Engineering Computing*, pages 251–256. AMS.
- [Lio88] Lions P. L. (1988) On the Schwarz alternating method I. In Glowinski R., Golub G. H., Meurant G. A., and Périaux J. (eds) *Domain Decomposition Method*, pages 1–40. SIAM.
- [Lio89] Lions P. L. (1989) On the Schwarz alternating method II. In Chan T. F., Glowinski R., Périaux J., and Widlund O. B. (eds) *Domain Decomposition Method*, pages 47–70. SIAM.
- [LSL92] Lü T., Shih T. M., and Liem C. B. (1992) *Domain Decomposition Methods—New Numerical Technique for solving PDE (in Chinese)*. Academic Press, Beijing.
- [Sca90] Scarpini F. (1990) The alternative Schwarz method applied to some biharmonic variational inequalities. *Calcolo* 27: 57–72.
- [Tar96] Tarvainen P. (1996) On the convergence of block relaxation methods for algebraic obstacle problems with m-matrices. *East-West Journal of Numerical Mathematics* (4): 69–82.
- [Xu92] Xu J. C. (1992) Iterative methods by space decomposition and subspace correction. *SIAM Review* 34: 581–613.
- [Zho96] Zhou S. Z. (1996) An additive Schwarz algorithm for variational inequality. In Glowinski R., Périaux J., Shi Z., and Widlund O. B. (eds) *Domain Decomposition Methods in Science and Engineering*.
- [ZZ] Zeng J. P. and Zhou S. Z. On monotone and geometric convergence of Schwarz methods for two-side obstacle problems. *SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis (to appear)*.