

**Part III**  
**2-Knots**



# Chapter 14

## Knots and links

In this chapter we introduce the basic notions and constructions of knot theory. Many of these apply equally well in all dimensions, and for the most part we have framed our definitions in such generality, although our main concern is with 2-knots (embeddings of  $S^2$  in  $S^4$ ). In particular, we show how the classification of higher dimensional knots may be reduced (essentially) to the classification of certain closed manifolds, and we give Kervaire's characterization of high dimensional knot groups.

In the final sections we comment briefly on links and link groups.

### 14.1 Knots

The standard orientation of  $R^n$  induces an orientation on the unit  $n$ -disc  $D^n = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in R^n \mid x_i^2 \leq 1\}$  and hence on its boundary  $S^{n-1} = \partial D^n$ , by the convention "outward normal first". We shall assume that standard discs and spheres have such orientations. Qualifications shall usually be omitted when there is no risk of ambiguity. In particular, we shall often abbreviate  $X(K)$ ,  $M(K)$  and  $\pi_1(K)$  (defined below) as  $X$ ,  $M$  and  $\pi_1$ , respectively.

An  $n$ -knot is a locally flat embedding  $K : S^n \rightarrow S^{n+2}$ . (We shall also use the terms "classical knot" when  $n = 1$ , "higher dimensional knot" when  $n = 2$  and "high dimensional knot" when  $n = 3$ .) It is determined up to (ambient) isotopy by its image  $K(S^n)$ , considered as an oriented codimension 2 submanifold of  $S^{n+2}$ , and so we may let  $K$  also denote this submanifold. Let  $r_n$  be an orientation reversing self homeomorphism of  $S^n$ . Then  $K$  is *invertible*, *+amphicheiral* or *-amphicheiral* if it is isotopic to  $r_n K = r_{n+2} K$ ,  $K = K r_n$  or  $-K = r_n K$ , respectively. An  $n$ -knot is *trivial* if it is isotopic to the composite of equatorial inclusions  $S^n \hookrightarrow S^{n+1} \hookrightarrow S^{n+2}$ .

Every knot has a product neighbourhood: there is an embedding  $j : S^n \rightarrow D^2$  onto a closed neighbourhood  $N$  of  $K$ , such that  $j(S^n \setminus \text{pt}) = K$  and  $\partial N$  is bicollared in  $S^{n+2}$  [KS75, FQ]. We may assume that  $j$  is orientation preserving, and it is then unique up to isotopy *rel*  $S^n \setminus \text{pt}$ . The *exterior* of  $K$  is the compact  $(n+2)$ -manifold  $X(K) = S^{n+2} - \text{int}N$  with boundary  $\partial X(K) =$

$S^n \times S^1$ , and is well defined up to homeomorphism. It inherits an orientation from  $S^{n+2}$ . An  $n$ -knot  $K$  is trivial if and only if  $X(K) \simeq S^1$ ; this follows from Dehn's Lemma if  $n = 1$ , is due to Freedman if  $n = 2$  ([FQ] - see Corollary 17.1.1 below) and is an easy consequence of the  $s$ -cobordism theorem if  $n \geq 3$ .

The *knot group* is  $\pi_1(X(K))$ . An oriented simple closed curve isotopic to the oriented boundary of a transverse disc  $fjg \subset S^1$  is called a *meridian* for  $K$ , and we shall also use this term to denote the corresponding elements of  $\pi_1$ . If  $\mu$  is a meridian for  $K$ , represented by a simple closed curve on  $@X$  then  $X \sqcup D^2$  is a deformation retract of  $S^{n+2} - fjg$  and so is contractible. Hence  $\pi_1$  is generated by the conjugacy class of its meridians.

Assume for the remainder of this section that  $n \geq 2$ . The group of pseudoisotopy classes of self homeomorphisms of  $S^n \times S^1$  is  $(\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z})^3$ , generated by reflections in either factor and by the map given by  $(x; y) \mapsto (\gamma(y)(x); y)$  for all  $x$  in  $S^n$  and  $y$  in  $S^1$ , where  $\gamma : S^1 \rightarrow SO(n+1)$  is an essential map [Gl62, Br67, Kt69]. As any self homeomorphism of  $S^n \times S^1$  extends across  $D^{n+1} \times S^1$  the *knot manifold*  $M(K) = X(K) \sqcup (D^{n+1} \times S^1)$  obtained from  $S^{n+2}$  by surgery on  $K$  is well defined, and it inherits an orientation from  $S^{n+2}$  via  $X$ . Moreover  $\pi_1(M(K)) = \pi_1(K)$  and  $\pi_2(M(K)) = 0$ . Conversely, suppose that  $M$  is a closed orientable 4-manifold with  $\pi_2(M) = 0$  and  $\pi_1(M)$  is generated by the conjugacy class of a single element. (Note that each conjugacy class in  $\pi_1$  corresponds to an unique isotopy class of oriented simple closed curves in  $M$ .) Surgery on a loop in  $M$  representing such an element gives a 1-connected 4-manifold  $\tilde{M}$  with  $\pi_2(\tilde{M}) = \mathbb{Z}$  which is thus homeomorphic to  $S^4$  and which contains an embedded 2-sphere as the cocore of the surgery. We shall in fact study 2-knots through such 4-manifolds, as it is simpler to consider closed manifolds rather than pairs.

There is however an ambiguity when we attempt to recover  $K$  from  $M = M(K)$ . The cocore  $\tilde{M} = fjg \subset S^1 \times D^{n+1} \times S^1 \subset M$  of the original surgery is well defined up to isotopy by the conjugacy class of a meridian in  $\pi_1(K) = \pi_1(M)$ . (In fact the orientation of  $\tilde{M}$  is irrelevant for what follows.) Its normal bundle is trivial, so  $\tilde{M}$  has a product neighbourhood,  $P$  say, and we may assume that  $M - \text{int}P = X(K)$ . But there are two essentially distinct ways of identifying  $@X$  with  $S^n \times S^1 = @ (S^n \times D^2)$ , modulo self homeomorphisms of  $S^n \times S^1$  that extend across  $S^n \times D^2$ . If we reverse the original construction of  $M$  we recover  $(S^{n+2}; K) = (X \sqcup_j S^n \times D^2; S^n \times fjg)$ . If however we identify  $S^n \times S^1$  with  $@X$  by means of  $j$  we obtain a new pair

$$(\tilde{M}; K) = (X \sqcup_j S^n \times D^2; S^n \times fjg):$$

It is easily seen that  $\tilde{M} \simeq S^{n+2}$ , and hence  $\pi_2(\tilde{M}) = \mathbb{Z}$ . We may assume that the homeomorphism is orientation preserving. Thus we obtain a new  $n$ -knot

$K$ , which we shall call the *Gluck reconstruction* of  $K$ . The knot  $K$  is *reflexive* if it is determined as an unoriented submanifold by its exterior, i.e., if  $K$  is isotopic to  $K$ ,  $rK$ ,  $K$  or  $-K$ .

If there is an orientation preserving homeomorphism from  $X(K_1)$  to  $X(K)$  then  $K_1$  is isotopic to  $K$ ,  $K$ ,  $K$  or  $K$ . If the homeomorphism also preserves the homology class of the meridians then  $K_1$  is isotopic to  $K$  or to  $K$ . Thus  $K$  is determined up to an ambiguity of order at most 2 by  $M(K)$  together with the conjugacy class of a meridian.

A *Seifert hypersurface* for  $K$  is a locally flat, oriented codimension 1 submanifold  $V$  of  $S^{n+2}$  with (oriented) boundary  $K$ . By a standard argument these always exist. (Using obstruction theory it may be shown that the projection  $pr_2 j^{-1} : @X \rightarrow S^n \times S^1 \rightarrow S^1$  extends to a map  $p : X \rightarrow S^1$  [Ke65]. By topological transversality we may assume that  $p^{-1}(1)$  is a bicollared, proper codimension 1 submanifold of  $X$ . The union  $p^{-1}(1) \cup j(S^n \times [0;1])$  is then a Seifert hypersurface for  $K$ .) We shall say that  $V$  is *minimal* if the natural homomorphism from  $H_1(V)$  to  $H_1(K)$  is a monomorphism.

In general there is no canonical choice of Seifert surface. However there is one important special case. An  $n$ -knot  $K$  is *bred* if there is such a map  $p : X \rightarrow S^1$  which is the projection of a fibre bundle. (Clearly  $K$  is then *bred* also.) The exterior is then the mapping torus of a self homeomorphism of the fibre  $F$  of  $p$ . The isotopy class of  $p$  is called the (*geometric*) *monodromy* of the bundle. Such a map  $p$  extends to a fibre bundle projection  $q : M(K) \rightarrow S^1$ , with fibre  $\tilde{F} = F \times D^{n+1}$ , called the *closed fibre* of  $K$ . Conversely, if  $M(K)$  fibres over  $S^1$  then the cocore is homotopic (and thus isotopic) to a cross-section of the bundle projection, and so  $K$  is *bred*. If the monodromy has finite order (and is nontrivial) then it has precisely two fixed points on  $@F$ , and we may assume that the closed monodromy also has finite order. However the converse is false; the closed monodromy may have finite order but not be isotopic to a map of finite order with nonempty fixed point set.

## 14.2 Covering spaces

Let  $K$  be an  $n$ -knot. Then  $H_1(X(K); \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$  and  $H_i(X(K); \mathbb{Z}) = 0$  if  $i > 1$ , by Alexander duality. The meridians are all homologous and generate  $H_1(X; \mathbb{Z})$ , and so determine a canonical isomorphism with  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Moreover  $H_2(X; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ , since it is a quotient of  $H_2(X; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ .

We shall let  $X^\theta(K)$  and  $M^\theta(K)$  denote the covering spaces corresponding to the commutator subgroup. (The cover  $X^\theta = X$  is also known as the *infinite*

cyclic cover of the knot.) Since  $\tilde{X} = \tilde{X}^\theta = Z$  the (co)homology groups of  $X^\theta$  are modules over the group ring  $\mathbb{Z}[Z]$ , which may be identified with the ring of integral Laurent polynomials  $\mathbb{Z}[t; t^{-1}]$ . If  $A$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}[Z]$ -module, let  $zA$  be the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -torsion submodule, and let  $e^i A = \text{Ext}^i(A; \mathbb{Z})$ .

Since  $\mathbb{Z}[Z]$  is noetherian the (co)homology of a finitely generated free  $\mathbb{Z}[Z]$ -chain complex is finitely generated. The Wang sequence for the projection of  $X^\theta$  onto  $X$  may be identified with the long exact sequence of homology corresponding to the exact sequence of coefficients

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$$

Since  $X$  has the homology of a circle it follows easily that multiplication by  $t - 1$  induces automorphisms of the modules  $H_i(X; \mathbb{Z})$  for  $i > 0$ . Hence these homology modules are all finitely generated torsion  $\mathbb{Z}[Z]$ -modules. It follows that  $\text{Hom}(H_i(X; \mathbb{Z}); \mathbb{Z})$  is 0 for all  $i$ , and the UCSS collapses to a collection of short exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow e^2 H_{i-2} \rightarrow H^i(X; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow e^1 H_{i-1} \rightarrow 0$$

The infinite cyclic covering spaces  $X^\theta$  and  $M^\theta$  behave homologically much like  $(n+1)$ -manifolds, at least if we use field coefficients [Mi68, Ba80]. If  $H_i(X; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq (n+1)-2$  then  $X^\theta$  is acyclic; thus if also  $\tilde{X} = Z$  then  $X \simeq S^1$  and so  $K$  is trivial. All the classifications of high dimensional knots to date assume that  $\tilde{X} = Z$  and that  $X^\theta$  is highly connected.

When  $n = 1$  or  $2$  knots with  $\tilde{X} = Z$  are trivial, and it is more profitable to work with the universal cover  $\tilde{X}$  (or  $\tilde{M}$ ). In the classical case  $\tilde{X}$  is contractible [Pa57]. In higher dimensions  $X$  is aspherical only when the knot is trivial [DV73]. Nevertheless the closed 4-manifolds  $M(K)$  obtained by surgery on 2-knots are often aspherical. (This asphericity is an additional reason for choosing to work with  $M(K)$  rather than  $X(K)$ .)

### 14.3 Sums, factorization and satellites

The sum of two knots  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  may be defined (up to isotopy) as the  $n$ -knot  $K_1 \# K_2$  obtained as follows. Let  $D^n(\pm)$  denote the upper and lower hemispheres of  $S^n$ . We may isotope  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  so that each  $K_j(D^n(\pm))$  contained in  $D^{n+2}(\pm)$ ,  $K_1(D^n(+))$  is a trivial  $n$ -disc in  $D^{n+2}(+)$ ,  $K_2(D^n(-))$  is a trivial  $n$ -disc in  $D^{n+2}(-)$  and  $K_1 \#_{S^{n-1}} = K_2 \#_{S^{n-1}}$  (as the oriented boundaries of the images of  $D^n(-)$ ). Then we let  $K_1 \# K_2 = K_1 \#_{D^n(-)} [ K_2 \#_{D^n(+)}$ . By van Kampen's theorem  $\pi_1(K_1 \# K_2) = \pi_1 K_1 * \pi_1 K_2$  where the amalgamating subgroup

is generated by a meridian in each knot group. It is not hard to see that  $X^0(K_1 \# K_2) = X^0(K_1) \times X^0(K_2)$  and so in particular  $\pi^0(K_1 \# K_2) = \pi^0(K_1) \times \pi^0(K_2)$ .

The knot  $K$  is *irreducible* if it is not the sum of two nontrivial knots. Every knot has a finite factorization into irreducible knots [DF87]. (For 1- and 2-knots whose groups have finitely generated commutator subgroups this follows easily from the Grushko-Neumann theorem on factorizations of groups as free products.) In the classical case the factorization is essentially unique, but for each  $n \geq 3$  there are  $n$ -knots with several distinct such factorizations [BHK81]. Essentially nothing is known about uniqueness (or otherwise) of factorization when  $n = 2$ .

If  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  are  $\pi$ -bred then so is their sum, and the closed  $\pi$ -bre of  $K_1 \# K_2$  is the connected sum of the closed  $\pi$ -bres of  $K_1$  and  $K_2$ . However in the absence of an adequate criterion for a 2-knot to  $\pi$ -bre, we do not know whether every summand of a  $\pi$ -bred 2-knot is  $\pi$ -bred. In view of the unique factorization theorem for oriented 3-manifolds we might hope that there would be a similar theorem for  $\pi$ -bred 2-knots. However the closed  $\pi$ -bre of an irreducible 2-knot need not be an irreducible 3-manifold. (For instance, the Artin spin of a trefoil knot is an irreducible  $\pi$ -bred 2-knot, but its closed  $\pi$ -bre is  $(S^2 \times S^1) \# (S^2 \times S^1)$ ).

A more general method of combining two knots is the process of forming satellites. Although this process arose in the classical case, where it is intimately connected with the notion of torus decomposition, we shall describe only the higher-dimensional version of [Kn83]. Let  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  be  $n$ -knots (with  $n \geq 2$ ) and let  $\gamma$  be a simple closed curve in  $X(K_1)$ , with a product neighbourhood  $U$ . Then there is a homeomorphism  $h$  which carries  $S^{n+2} - \text{int}U = S^n \times D^2$  onto a product neighbourhood of  $K_2$ . The knot  $(K_2; K_1; \gamma)$  is called the *satellite* of  $K_1$  about  $K_2$  relative to  $\gamma$ . We also call  $K_2$  a *companion* of  $hK_1$ . If either  $\gamma = 1$  or  $K_2$  is trivial then  $(K_2; K_1; \gamma) = K_1$ . If  $\gamma$  is a meridian for  $K_1$  then  $(K_2; K_1; \gamma) = K_1 \# K_2$ . If  $\gamma$  has finite order in  $K_1$  let  $q$  be that order; otherwise let  $q = 0$ . Let  $w$  be a meridian in  $K_2$ . Then  $(K_2; K_1; \gamma) = K = (K_2 = hhw^q i i) \#_{Z=qZ} K_1$ , where  $w$  is identified with  $\gamma$  in  $K_1$ , by Van Kampen's theorem.

### 14.4 Spinning and twist spinning

The first nontrivial examples of higher dimensional knots were given by Artin [Ar25]. We may paraphrase his original idea as follows. As the half space  $R^3_+ = \{(w; x; y; z) \in R^4 \mid w = 0; z \geq 0\}$  is spun about the axis  $A = \{(0; x; y; 0) \mid g\}$

it sweeps out the whole of  $R^4$ , and any arc in  $R^3_+$  with endpoints on  $A$  sweeps out a 2-sphere.

Fox incorporated a twist into Artin's construction [Fo66]. Let  $r$  be an integer and choose a small  $(n+2)$ -disc  $B^{n+2}$  which meets  $K$  in an  $n$ -disc  $B^n$  such that  $(B^{n+2}; B^n)$  is homeomorphic to the standard pair. Then  $S^{n+2} - \text{int}B^{n+2} = D^n \times D^2$ , and we may choose the homeomorphism so that  $@(K - \text{int}B^n)$  lies in  $@D^n \times \{0\}$ . Let  $\tau$  be the self homeomorphism of  $D^n \times D^2$  that rotates the  $D^2$  factor through  $\frac{2\pi}{r}$  radians. Then  $[0, 2\pi) \times (\tau^r(K - \text{int}B^n) \times \{0\})$  is a submanifold of  $(S^{n+2} - \text{int}B^{n+2}) \times S^1$  homeomorphic to  $D^n \times S^1$  and which is standard on the boundary. The  $r$ -twist spin of  $K$  is the  $(n+1)$ -knot  ${}_rK$  with image

$${}_rK = [0, 2\pi) \times (\tau^r(K - \text{int}B^n) \times \{0\}) \cup (S^{n-1} \times D^2)$$

in  $S^{n+3} = ((S^{n+2} - \text{int}B^{n+2}) \times S^1) \cup (S^{n+1} \times D^2)$ .

The 0-twist spin is the *Artin spin*  $K = {}_0K$ , and  ${}_1K = K$ . The group of  ${}_rK$  is obtained from  $K$  by adjoining the relation making the  $r^{\text{th}}$  power of (any) meridian central. Zeeman discovered the remarkable fact that if  $r \neq 0$  then  ${}_rK$  is  $\pi$ -bred, with geometric monodromy of order dividing  $r$ , and the closed  $\pi$ -braid is the  $r$ -fold cyclic branched cover of  $S^{n+2}$ , branched over  $K$  [Ze65]. Hence  ${}_1K$  is always trivial. Twist spins of  $\pi$ -amphicheiral knots are  $\pi$ -amphicheiral, while twist spinning interchanges invertibility and  $\pi$ -amphicheirality [Li85].

If  $K$  is a classical knot the factors of the closed  $\pi$ -braid of  ${}_rK$  are the cyclic branched covers of the prime factors of  $K$ , and are Haken, hyperbolic or Seifert  $\pi$ -bred. With some exceptions for small values of  $r$ , the factors are aspherical, and  $S^2 \times S^1$  is never a factor [Pl84]. If  $r > 1$  and  $K$  is nontrivial then  ${}_rK$  is nontrivial, by the Smith Conjecture.

For other formulations and extensions of twist spinning see [GK78], [Li79], [Mo83,84] and [Pl84'].

### 14.5 Ribbon and slice knots

An  $n$ -knot  $K$  is a *slice* knot if it is concordant to the unknot; equivalently, if it bounds a properly embedded  $(n+1)$ -disc in  $D^{n+3}$ . Such a disc is called a *slice disc* for  $K$ . Doubling the pair  $(D^{n+3}; \cdot)$  gives an  $(n+1)$ -knot which meets the equatorial  $S^{n+2}$  of  $S^{n+3}$  transversally in  $K$ ; if the  $(n+1)$ -knot can be chosen to be trivial then  $K$  is *doubly slice*. All even-dimensional knots are



slice [Ke65], but not all slice knots are doubly slice, and no adequate criterion is yet known. The sum  $K \# -K$  is a slice of  $\pi_1 K$  and so is doubly slice [Su71].

An  $n$ -knot  $K$  is a *ribbon knot* if it is the boundary of an immersed  $(n+1)$ -disc in  $S^{n+2}$  whose only singularities are transverse double points, the double point sets being a disjoint union of discs. Given such a "ribbon"  $(n+1)$ -disc in  $S^{n+2}$  the cartesian product  $D^\rho \times S^{n+2} \times D^\rho \subset S^{n+2+\rho}$  determines a ribbon  $(n+1+\rho)$ -disc in  $S^{n+2+\rho}$ . All higher dimensional ribbon knots derive from ribbon 1-knots by this process [Yn77]. As the  $\rho$ -disc has an orientation reversing involution this easily implies that all ribbon  $n$ -knots with  $n \geq 2$  are  $\pi_1$ -amphicheiral. The Artin spin of a 1-knot is a ribbon 2-knot. Each ribbon 2-knot has a Seifert hypersurface which is a once-punctured connected sum of copies of  $S^1 \times S^2$  [Yn69]. Hence such knots are reflexive. (See [Su76] for more on geometric properties of such knots.)

An  $n$ -knot  $K$  is a *homotopy ribbon knot* if it has a slice disc whose exterior  $W$  has a handlebody decomposition consisting of 0-, 1- and 2-handles. The dual decomposition of  $W$  relative to  $\partial W = M(K)$  has only  $(n+1)$ - and  $(n+2)$ -handles, and so the inclusion of  $M$  into  $W$  is  $n$ -connected. (The definition of "homotopically ribbon" for 1-knots given in Problem 4.22 of [GK] requires only that this latter condition be satisfied.) Every ribbon knot is homotopy ribbon and hence slice [Hi79]. It is an open question whether every classical slice knot is ribbon. However in higher dimensions "slice" does not even imply "homotopy ribbon". (The simplest example is  $\pi_2 \mathbb{3}_1$  - see below.)

More generally, we shall say that  $K$  is  $\pi_1$ -slice if the inclusion of  $M(K)$  into the exterior of some slice disc induces an isomorphism on fundamental groups. Nontrivial classical knots are never  $\pi_1$ -slice, since  $H_2(\pi_1(M(K)); \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$  is nonzero while  $H_2(\pi_1(D^4 - \text{int } D^4); \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ . On the other hand higher-dimensional homotopy ribbon knots are  $\pi_1$ -slice.

Two 2-knots  $K_0$  and  $K_1$  are *s-concordant* if there is a concordance  $K : S^2 \times [0;1] \rightarrow S^4 \times [0;1]$  whose exterior is an  $s$ -cobordism (rel  $\partial$ ) from  $X(K_0)$  to  $X(K_1)$ . (In higher dimensions the analogous notion is equivalent to ambient isotopy, by the  $s$ -cobordism theorem.)

## 14.6 The Kervaire conditions

A group  $G$  has *weight 1* if it has an element whose conjugates generate  $G$ . Such an element is called a *weight element* for  $G$ , and its conjugacy class is called a *weight class* for  $G$ . If  $G$  is solvable then it has weight 1 if and only if  $G = G^g$  is cyclic, for a solvable group with trivial abelianization must be trivial.

If  $\pi_1(M)$  is the group of an  $n$ -knot  $K$  then

- (1)  $\pi_1(M)$  is finitely presentable;
- (2)  $\pi_1(M)$  is of weight 1;
- (3)  $H_1(\pi_1(M); \mathbb{Z}) = \pi_1(M) / \pi_1(M)^2 = Z$ ; and
- (4)  $H_2(\pi_1(M); \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ .

Kervaire showed that any group satisfying these conditions is an  $n$ -knot group, for every  $n \geq 3$  [Ke65]. These conditions are also necessary when  $n = 1$  or  $2$ , but are then no longer sufficient, and there are as yet no corresponding characterizations for 1- and 2-knot groups. If (4) is replaced by the stronger condition that  $\text{def}(\pi_1(M)) = 1$  then  $\pi_1(M)$  is a 2-knot group, but this condition is not necessary [Ke65]. (See §9 of this chapter, §4 of Chapter 15 and §4 of Chapter 16 for examples with deficiency 0.) Gonzalez-Acuña has given a characterization of 2-knot groups as groups admitting certain presentations [GA94]. (Note also that if  $\pi_1(M)$  is a high dimensional knot group then  $q(\pi_1(M)) = 0$ , and  $q(\pi_1(M)) = 0$  if and only if  $\pi_1(M)$  is a 2-knot group.)

If  $K$  is a nontrivial classical knot then  $\pi_1(M)$  has one end [Pa57], so  $X(K)$  is aspherical, and  $X(K)$  collapses to a finite 2-complex, so  $g.d.: \pi_1(M) = 2$ . Moreover  $\pi_1(M)$  has a Wirtinger presentation of deficiency 1, i.e., a presentation of the form

$$\langle x_i; 0 \leq i < n \mid x_j = w_j x_0 w_j^{-1}, 1 \leq j < n \rangle$$

A group has such a presentation if and only if it has weight 1 and has a deficiency 1 presentation  $P$  such that the presentation of the trivial group obtained by adjoining the relation killing a weight element is AC-equivalent to the empty presentation [Yo82']. (See [Si80] for connections between Wirtinger presentations and the condition that  $H_2(\pi_1(M); \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ .) If  $G$  is an  $n$ -knot group then  $g.d.: G = 2$  if and only if  $c.d.: G = 2$  and  $\text{def}(G) = 1$ , by Theorem 2.8.

Since the group of a homotopy ribbon  $n$ -knot (with  $n \geq 2$ ) is the fundamental group of a  $(n+3)$ -manifold  $W$  with  $\pi_1(W) = 0$  and which can be built with 0-, 1- and 2-handles only, such groups also have deficiency 1. Conversely, if a finitely presentable group  $G$  has weight 1 and deficiency 1 then we use such a presentation to construct a 5-dimensional handlebody  $W = D^5 [fh_1^1 g [fh_2^2 g$  with  $\pi_1(@W) = \pi_1(W) = G$  and  $\pi_1(W) = 0$ . Adjoining another 2-handle  $h$  along a loop representing a weight class for  $\pi_1(@W)$  gives a homotopy 5-ball  $B$  with 1-connected boundary. Thus  $@B = S^4$ , and the boundary of the cocore of the 2-handle  $h$  is clearly a homotopy ribbon 2-knot with group  $G$ . (In fact any group of weight 1 with a Wirtinger presentation of deficiency 1 is the group of a ribbon  $n$ -knot, for each  $n \geq 2$  [Yj69] - see [H3].)

The deficiency may be estimated in terms of the minimum number of generators of the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $e^2(\theta = \theta)$ . Using this observation, it may be shown that if  $K$  is the sum of  $m + 1$  copies of  $2_3^1$  then  $\text{def}(K) = -m$  [Le78]. Moreover there are irreducible 2-knots whose groups have deficiency  $-m$ , for each  $m \geq 0$  [Kn83].

A knot group has two ends if and only if  $\theta$  is finite. We shall determine all such 2-knots in  $\mathcal{K}$  of Chapter 15. Nontrivial torsion free knot groups have one end [K193]. There are also many 2-knot groups with infinitely many ends. The simplest is perhaps the group with presentation

$$ha; b; t \ j \ a^3 = b^7 = 1; \ ab = b^2a; \ ta = a^2ti:$$

It is evidently an HNN extension of the metacyclic group generated by  $fa; bg$ , but is also the free product of such a metacyclic group with  $2_3^1$ , amalgamated over a subgroup of order 3 [GM78].

### 14.7 Weight elements, classes and orbits

Two 2-knots  $K$  and  $K_1$  have homeomorphic exteriors if and only if there is a homeomorphism from  $M(K_1)$  to  $M(K)$  which carries the conjugacy class of a meridian of  $K_1$  to that of  $K$  (up to inversion). In fact if  $M$  is any closed orientable 4-manifold with  $\chi(M) = 0$  and with  $\pi_1(M)$  of weight 1 then surgery on a weight class gives a 2-knot with group  $\pi_1$ . Moreover, if  $t$  and  $u$  are two weight elements and  $f$  is a self homeomorphism of  $M$  such that  $u$  is conjugate to  $f(t^{-1})$  then surgeries on  $t$  and  $u$  lead to knots whose exteriors are homeomorphic (via the restriction of a self homeomorphism of  $M$  isotopic to  $f$ ). Thus the natural invariant to distinguish between knots with isomorphic groups is not the weight class, but rather the orbit of the weight class under the action of self homeomorphisms of  $M$ . In particular, the orbit of a weight element under  $\text{Aut}(\pi_1)$  is a well defined invariant, which we shall call the *weight orbit*. If every automorphism of  $\pi_1$  is realized by a self homeomorphism of  $M$  then the homeomorphism class of  $M$  and the weight orbit together form a complete invariant for the (unoriented) knot. (This is the case if  $M$  is an infrasolvmanifold.)

For oriented knots we need a refinement of this notion. If  $w$  is a weight element for  $\pi_1$  then we shall call the set  $f(w) \ j \ 2 \ \text{Aut}(\pi_1); \ (w) \ w \ \text{mod } \theta g$  a *strict weight orbit* for  $\pi_1$ . A strict weight orbit determines a transverse orientation for the corresponding knot (and its Gluck reconstruction). An orientation for the ambient sphere is determined by an orientation for  $M(K)$ . If  $K$  is invertible or +amphicheiral then there is a self homeomorphism of  $M$  which is orientation

preserving or reversing (respectively) and which reverses the transverse orientation of the knot, i.e., carries the strict weight orbit to its inverse. Similarly, if  $K$  is amphicheiral there is an orientation reversing self homeomorphism of  $M$  which preserves the strict weight orbit.

**Theorem 14.1** *Let  $G$  be a group of weight 1 and with  $G=G^0 = Z$ . Let  $t$  be an element of  $G$  whose image generates  $G=G^0$  and let  $c_t$  be the automorphism of  $G^0$  induced by conjugation by  $t$ . Then*

- (1)  *$t$  is a weight element if and only if  $c_t$  is meridional;*
- (2) *two weight elements  $t, u$  are in the same weight class if and only if there is an inner automorphism  $c_g$  of  $G^0$  such that  $c_u = c_g c_t c_g^{-1}$ ;*
- (3) *two weight elements  $t, u$  are in the same strict weight orbit if and only if there is an automorphism  $d$  of  $G^0$  such that  $c_u = d c_t d^{-1}$  and  $d c_t d^{-1} c_t^{-1}$  is an inner automorphism;*
- (4) *if  $t$  and  $u$  are weight elements then  $u$  is conjugate to  $(g^0 t)^{-1}$  for some  $g^0$  in  $G^0$ .*

**Proof** The verification of (1-3) is routine. If  $t$  and  $u$  are weight elements then, up to inversion,  $u$  must equal  $g^0 t$  for some  $g^0$  in  $G^0$ . Since multiplication by  $t^{-1}$  is invertible on  $G^0=G^0$  we have  $g^0 = k h t h^{-1} t^{-1}$  for some  $h$  in  $G^0$  and  $k$  in  $G^0$ . Let  $g^0 = h^{-1} k h$ . Then  $u = g^0 t = h g^0 t h^{-1}$ .  $\square$

An immediate consequence of this theorem is that if  $t$  and  $u$  are in the same strict weight orbit then  $c_t$  and  $c_u$  have the same order. Moreover if  $C$  is the centralizer of  $c_t$  in  $Aut(G^0)$  then the strict weight orbit of  $t$  contains at most  $[Aut(G^0) : C : Inn(G^0)] = |jOut(G^0)j|$  weight classes. In general there may be infinitely many weight orbits [Pl83']. However if  $G$  is metabelian the weight class (and hence the weight orbit) is unique up to inversion, by part (4) of the theorem.

## 14.8 The commutator subgroup

It shall be useful to reformulate the Kervaire conditions in terms of the automorphism of the commutator subgroup induced by conjugation by a meridian. An automorphism  $\alpha$  of a group  $G$  is *meridional* if  $h \alpha g^{-1} (g) j g \in G$ . If  $H$  is a characteristic subgroup of  $G$  and  $\alpha$  is meridional the induced automorphism of  $G=H$  is then also meridional. In particular,  $H_1(\ ) - 1$  maps

$H_1(G; \mathbb{Z}) = G/G^0$  onto itself. If  $G$  is solvable an automorphism satisfying the latter condition is meridional, for a solvable perfect group is trivial.

It is easy to see that no group  $G$  with  $G/G^0 = Z$  can have  $G^0 = Z$  or  $D$ . It follows that the commutator subgroup of a knot group never has two ends.

**Theorem 14.2** [HK78, Le78] *A finitely presentable group is a high dimensional knot group if and only if  $G/G^0 = Z$  for some meridional automorphism of  $G/G^0$  such that  $H_2(G/G^0) - 1$  is an automorphism of  $H_2(G/G^0; \mathbb{Z})$ .*  $\square$

If  $G$  is a knot group then  $G/G^0$  is a finitely generated  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module. Levine and Weber have made explicit the conditions under which a finitely generated  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module may be the commutator subgroup of a metabelian high dimensional knot group [LW78]. Leaving aside the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module structure, Hausmann and Kervaire have characterized the finitely generated abelian groups  $A$  that may be commutator subgroups of high dimensional knot groups [HK78]. "Most" can occur; there are mild restrictions on 2- and 3-torsion, and if  $A$  is infinite it must have rank at least 3. We shall show that the abelian groups which are commutator subgroups of 2-knot groups are  $Z^3$ ,  $Z[\frac{1}{2}]$  (the additive group of dyadic rationals) and the cyclic groups of odd order. The commutator subgroup of a nontrivial classical knot group is never abelian.

Hausmann and Kervaire also showed that any finitely generated abelian group could be the centre of a high dimensional knot group [HK78']. We shall show that the centre of a 2-knot group is either  $Z^2$ , torsion free of rank 1, finitely generated of rank 1 or is a torsion group. (The only known examples are  $Z^2$ ,  $Z$  ( $Z=2Z$ ),  $Z$ ,  $Z=2Z$  and 1.) The centre of a classical knot group is nontrivial if and only if the knot is a torus knot [BZ]; the centre is then  $Z$ .

Silver has given examples of high dimensional knot groups with  $G/G^0$  finitely generated but not finitely presentable [Si91]. He has also shown that there are embeddings  $j : T \rightarrow S^4$  such that  $H_1(S^4 - j(T))^{G/G^0}$  is finitely generated but not finitely presentable [Si97]. However no such 2-knot groups are known. If the commutator subgroup is finitely generated then it is the unique HNN base [Si96]. Thus knots with such groups have no minimal Seifert hypersurfaces.

The first examples of high dimensional knot groups which are not 2-knot groups made use of Poincare duality with coefficients  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Farber [Fa77] and Levine [Le77] independently found the following theorem.

**Theorem 14.3** (Farber, Levine) *Let  $K$  be a 2-knot and  $A = H_1(M(K); \mathbb{Q})$ . Then  $H_2(M(K); \mathbb{Q}) = \overline{e^1}A$ , and there is a nondegenerate  $\mathbb{Z}$ -bilinear pairing  $[ ; ] : zA \times zA \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $[t ; t] = [ ; ]$  for all  $t$  and  $z$  in  $zA$ .*  $\square$

Most of this theorem follows easily from Poincare duality with coefficients  $\mathbb{Z}$ , but some care is needed in order to establish the symmetry of the pairing. When  $K$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -bred 2-knot, with closed braid  $\hat{K}$ , the Farber-Levine pairing is just the standard linking pairing on the torsion subgroup of  $H_1(\hat{K}; \mathbb{Z})$ , together with the automorphism induced by the monodromy.

In particular, Farber observed that the group  $\Gamma$  with presentation

$$ha; t j t a t^{-1} = a^2; a^5 = 1 i$$

is a high dimensional knot group but if  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is any nondegenerate  $\mathbb{Z}$ -bilinear pairing on  $\mathbb{Z}^5 = \mathbb{Z}^5/\mathbb{Z}$  with values in  $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$  then  $\langle t \cdot; t \cdot \rangle = -\langle \cdot; \cdot \rangle$  for all  $\cdot, \cdot$  in  $\mathbb{Z}^5$ , and so  $\Gamma$  is not a 2-knot group.

**Corollary 14.3.1** [Le78]  $H_2(\mathbb{Z}^5; \mathbb{Z})$  is a quotient of  $\overline{\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}^5/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}(t)/\mathbb{Z})}$ . □

In many cases every orientation preserving meridional automorphism of a torsion free 3-manifold group is realizable by a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -bred 2-knot.

**Theorem 14.4** Let  $N$  be a closed orientable 3-manifold whose prime factors are virtually Haken or  $S^1 \times S^2$ . If  $K$  is a 2-knot such that  $(K)^\theta = \pi_1(N)$  then  $M(K)$  is homotopy equivalent to the mapping torus of a self homeomorphism of  $N$ . If  $\alpha$  is a meridional automorphism of  $\pi_1(N)$  then  $\Gamma_\alpha = \pi_1(N)$  is a 2-knot group if and only if  $\alpha$  fixes the image of the fundamental class of  $N$  in  $H_3(\pi_1(N); \mathbb{Z})$ .

**Proof** The first assertion follows from Corollary 4.6.1. The classifying maps for the fundamental groups induce a commuting diagram involving the Wang sequences of  $M(K)$  and  $\pi_1(N)$  from which the necessity of the orientation condition follows easily. (It is vacuous if  $\pi_1(N)$  is free group.)

If  $(c_N[N]) = c_N[N]$  then  $\alpha$  may be realized by an orientation preserving self homotopy equivalence  $g$  of  $N$  [Sw74]. Let  $N = P \cup R$  where  $P$  is a connected sum of copies of  $S^1 \times S^2$  and  $R$  has no such factors. By the Splitting Theorem of [La74],  $g$  is homotopic to a connected sum of homotopy equivalences between the irreducible factors of  $R$  with a self homotopy equivalence of  $P$ . Every virtually Haken 3-manifold is either Haken, hyperbolic or Seifert-bred, by [CS83] and [GMT96], and self homotopy equivalences of such manifolds are homotopic to homeomorphisms, by [Hm], Mostow rigidity and [Sc83], respectively. A similar result holds for  $P = \mathbb{Z} \times (S^1 \times S^2)$ , by [La74]. Thus we may assume that  $g$  is a self homeomorphism of  $N$ . Surgery on a weight class in the mapping torus of  $g$  gives a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -bred 2-knot with closed braid  $N$  and group  $\Gamma_\alpha$ . □

If Thurston's Geometrization Conjecture is true then it would suffice to assume that  $N$  is a closed orientable 3-manifold with  $\pi_1(N)$  torsion free. The mapping torus is determined up to homeomorphism among 4-manifolds with fibre  $N$  by its homotopy type if  $N$  is hyperbolic, Seifert fibered or if its prime factors are Haken or  $S^1 \times S^2$ , since homotopy implies isotopy in each case, by Mostow rigidity, [Sc85, BO91] and [HL74], respectively.

Yoshikawa has shown that a finitely generated abelian group is the base of some HNN extension which is a high dimensional knot group if and only if it satisfies the restrictions on torsion of [HK78], while if a knot group has a non-finitely generated abelian base then it is metabelian. Moreover a 2-knot group which is an HNN extension with abelian base is either metabelian or has base  $Z \times (Z = Z)$  for some odd  $n \geq 1$  [Yo86, Yo92]. In §6 of Chapter 15 we shall show that in the latter case  $n$  must be 1, and so  $G$  has a deficiency 1 presentation  $ht: x j t x^n t^{-1} = x^{n+1} i$ . No nontrivial classical knot group is an HNN extension with abelian base. (This is implicit in Yoshikawa's work, and can also be deduced from the facts that classical knot groups have cohomological dimension  $\leq 2$  and symmetric Alexander polynomial.)

## 14.9 De ciency and geometric dimension

J.H.C.Whitehead raised the question "is every subcomplex of an aspherical 2-complex also aspherical?" This is so if the fundamental group of the subcomplex is a 1-relator group [Go81] or is locally indicable [Ho82] or has no nontrivial superperfect normal subgroup [Dy87]. Whitehead's question has interesting connections with knot theory. (For instance, the exterior of a ribbon  $n$ -knot or of a ribbon concordance between classical knots is homotopy equivalent to such a 2-complex. The asphericity of such ribbon exteriors has been raised in [Co83] and [Go81].)

If the answer to Whitehead's question is YES, then a high dimensional knot group has geometric dimension at most 2 if and only if it has deficiency 1 (in which case it is a 2-knot group). For let  $G$  be a group of weight 1 and with  $G = G^{\theta} = Z$ . If  $C(P)$  is the 2-complex corresponding to a presentation of deficiency 1 then the 2-complex obtained by adjoining a 2-cell to  $C(P)$  along a loop representing a weight element for  $G$  is 1-connected and has Euler characteristic 1, and so is contractible. The converse follows from Theorem 2.8. On the other hand a positive answer in general implies that there is a group  $G$  such that  $c:d:G = 2$  and  $g:d:G = 3$  [BB97].

If the answer is NO then either there is a finite nonaspherical 2-complex  $X$  such that  $X \times_f D^2$  is contractible for some  $f: S^1 \rightarrow X$  or there is an infinite ascending chain of nonaspherical 2-complexes whose union is contractible [Ho83]. In the finite case  $\chi(X) = 0$  and so  $\pi_1(X)$  has deficiency 1; moreover,  $\pi_1(X)$  has weight 1 since it is normally generated by the conjugacy class represented by  $f$ . Such groups are 2-knot groups. Since  $X$  is not aspherical  $\pi_1^{(2)}(X) \neq 0$ , by Theorem 2.4, and so  $\pi_1(X)$  cannot be finitely generated, by Lemma 2.1.

A group is called *knot-like* if it has abelianization  $Z$  and deficiency 1. If the commutator subgroup of a classical knot group is finitely generated then it is free; Rapaport asked whether this is true of all knot-like groups  $G$ , and established this in the 2-generator, 1-relator case [Rp60]. This is true also if  $G$  is  $FP_2$ , by Corollary 2.5.1. If every knot-like group has a finitely presentable HNN base then this Corollary would settle Rapaport's question completely, for if  $G$  is finitely generated then it is the unique HNN base for  $G$  [Si96].

In particular, if the group of a braid 2-knot has a presentation of deficiency 1 then its commutator subgroup must be free. Any 2-knot with such a group is  $s$ -concordant to a braid homotopy ribbon knot (see §6 of Chapter 17). Must it in fact be a ribbon knot?

It follows also that if  $\pi_1(K)$  is a nontrivial twist spin then  $\text{def}(\pi_1(K)) = 0$  and  $\pi_1(K)$  is not a homotopy ribbon 2-knot. For  $S^2 \times S^1$  is never a factor of the closed braid of  $\pi_1(K)$  [Pl84], and so  $(\pi_1(K))^\theta$  is never a nontrivial free group.

The next result is a consequence of Theorem 2.5, but the argument below is self contained.

**Lemma 14.5** *If  $G$  is a group with  $\text{def}(G) = 1$  and  $e(G) = 2$  then  $G = Z$ .*

**Proof** The group  $G$  has an infinite cyclic subgroup  $A$  of finite index, since  $e(G) = 2$ . Let  $C$  be the finite 2-complex corresponding to a presentation of deficiency 1 for  $G$ , and let  $D$  be the covering space corresponding to  $A$ . Then  $D$  is a finite 2-complex with  $\pi_1(D) = A = Z$  and  $\chi(D) = [\chi(C) - 1] = 0$ . Since  $H_2(D; \mathbb{Z}[A]) = H_2(\tilde{D}; \mathbb{Z})$  is a submodule of a free  $\mathbb{Z}[A]$ -module and is of rank  $\chi(D) = 0$  it is 0. Hence  $\tilde{D}$  is contractible, and so  $G$  must be torsion free and hence abelian.  $\square$

It follows immediately that  $\text{def}(\pi_2 3_1) = 0$ , since  $\pi_2 3_1 = (Z=3Z) \times_{-1} Z$ . Moreover, if  $K$  is a nontrivial classical knot then  $\pi_1(K)$  is infinite. Hence if  $\pi_1(K)$  is finitely generated then  $H^1(\pi_1(K); \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ , and so  $X(K)$  is aspherical, by Poincaré duality.



**Theorem 14.6** *Let  $K$  be a 2-knot with group  $\pi_1(M(K))$ . Then  $\pi_1(M(K)) = Z$  if and only if  $\text{def}(\pi_1(M(K))) = 1$  and  $\pi_2(M(K)) = 0$ .*

**Proof** The conditions are necessary, by Theorem 11.1. If they hold then  $\pi_j^{(2)}(M) = \pi_j^{(2)}(\pi_1(M(K)))$  for  $j \geq 2$ , by Theorem 6.54 of [Lü], and so  $0 = \pi_2(M) = \pi_2^{(2)}(\pi_1(M(K))) - 2\pi_1^{(2)}(\pi_1(M(K)))$ . Now  $\pi_1^{(2)}(\pi_1(M(K))) - \pi_2^{(2)}(\pi_1(M(K))) = \text{def}(\pi_1(M(K))) - 1 = 0$ , by Corollary 2.4.1. Therefore  $\pi_1^{(2)}(\pi_1(M(K))) = \pi_2^{(2)}(\pi_1(M(K))) = 0$  and so  $g:d$  is  $\geq 2$ , by the same Corollary. Since  $\text{def}(\pi_1(M(K))) = 1$  the manifold  $M$  is not aspherical, by Theorem 3.6. Hence  $H^1(\pi_1(M(K)); \mathbb{Z}) = H_3(M; \mathbb{Z}) \neq 0$ . Since  $\pi_1(M(K))$  is torsion free it is indecomposable as a free product [KI93]. Therefore  $e(\pi_1(M(K))) = 2$  and so  $\pi_1(M(K)) = Z$ , by Lemma 14.5.  $\square$

In fact  $K$  must be trivial ([FQ] - see Corollary 17.1.1). A simpler argument is used in [H1] to show that if  $\text{def}(\pi_1(M(K))) = 1$  then  $\pi_2(M)$  maps onto  $H_2(M; \mathbb{Z})$ , which is nonzero if  $\pi_1(M(K)) \neq \mathbb{Z}$ .

### 14.10 Asphericity

The outstanding property of the exterior of a classical knot is that it is aspherical. Swarup extended the classical Dehn's lemma criterion for unknotting to show that if  $K$  is an  $n$ -knot such that the natural inclusion of  $S^n$  (as a factor of  $\partial X(K)$ ) into  $X(K)$  is null homotopic then  $X(K) \simeq S^n$ , provided  $\pi_1(X(K))$  is accessible [Sw75]. Since it is now known that finitely presentable groups are accessible [DD], it follows that the exterior of a higher dimensional knot is aspherical if and only if the knot is trivial. Nevertheless, we shall see that the closed 4-manifolds  $M(K)$  obtained by surgery on 2-knots are often aspherical.

**Theorem 14.7** *Let  $K$  be a 2-knot. Then  $M(K)$  is aspherical if and only if  $\pi_1(M(K))$  is a  $PD_4$ -group (which must then be orientable).*

**Proof** The condition is clearly necessary. Suppose that it holds. Let  $M^+$  be the covering space associated to  $\pi_1^+ = \text{Ker}(\omega_1(\pi_1(M(K))))$ . Then  $[t : \pi_1^+] \geq 2$ , so  $\pi_1^+ < \pi_1$ . Since  $\pi_1 = \mathbb{Z}$  and  $t-1$  acts invertibly on  $H_1(\pi_1^+; \mathbb{Z})$  it follows that  $\pi_1(\pi_1^+) = 1$ . Hence  $\pi_2(M^+) = 0$ , since  $M^+$  is orientable and  $\pi_1(M^+) = 0$ . Hence  $\pi_2(\pi_1^+)$  is also 0, so  $\pi_1(\pi_1^+) = 0$ , by Poincare duality for  $\pi_1^+$ . Therefore  $\pi_1(M(K)) = \mathbb{Z}$  and so  $M$  must be aspherical, by Corollary 3.5.1.  $\square$

We may use this theorem to give more examples of high dimensional knot groups which are not 2-knot groups. Let  $A \in GL(3; \mathbb{Z})$  be such that  $\det(A) = -1$ ,

$\det(A - I) = -1$  and  $\det(A + I) = 1$ . The characteristic polynomial of  $A$  must be either  $f_1(X) = X^3 - X^2 - 2X + 1$ ,  $f_2(X) = X^3 - X^2 + 1$ ,  $f_3(X) = X^3 f_1(X^{-1})$  or  $f_4(X) = X^3 f_2(X^{-1})$ . It may be shown that the rings  $\mathbb{Z}[X]/(f_i(X))$  are principal ideal domains. Hence there are only two conjugacy classes of such matrices, up to inversion. The Kervaire conditions hold for  $Z^3 \cong \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ , and so it is a 3-knot group. However it cannot be a 2-knot group, since it is a  $PD_4$ -group of nonorientable type. (Such matrices have been used to construct fake  $RP^4$ s [CS76].)

Is every (torsion free) 2-knot group with  $H^s(\pi; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$  for  $s \geq 2$  a  $PD_4$ -group? Is every 3-knot group which is also a  $PD_4$ -group a 2-knot group? (Note that by Theorem 3.6 such a group cannot have deficiency 1.)

We show next that knots with such groups cannot be a nontrivial satellite.

**Theorem 14.8** *Let  $K = (K_2; K_1; \gamma)$  be a satellite 2-knot. If  $\pi(K)$  is a  $PD_4$ -group then  $K = K_1$  or  $K_2$ .*

**Proof** Let  $q$  be the order of  $\gamma$  in  $K_1$ . Then  $\pi(K) = K = K_1 \ast C B$ , where  $B = K_2 \ast \langle \gamma \rangle$ , and  $C$  is cyclic. Since  $\pi(K)$  is torsion free  $q = 0$  or  $1$ . Suppose that  $K \neq K_1$ . Then  $q = 0$ , so  $C = \mathbb{Z}$ , while  $B \neq C$ . If  $K_1 \neq C$  then  $K_1$  and  $B$  have infinite index in  $\pi(K)$ , and so  $c:d: K_1 = 3$  and  $c:d: B = 3$ , by Strebel's Theorem. A Mayer-Vietoris argument then gives  $4 = c:d: \pi(K) = 3$ , which is impossible. Therefore  $K_1$  is trivial and so  $K = K_2$ .  $\square$

In particular if  $\pi(K)$  is a  $PD_4$ -group then  $K$  is irreducible.

### 14.11 Links

An  $n$ -component  $n$ -link is a locally flat embedding  $L : S^n \rightarrow S^{n+2}$ . The exterior of  $L$  is  $X(L) = S^{n+2} \setminus \text{int}N(L)$ , where  $N(L) = \cup D^2$  is a regular neighbourhood of the image of  $L$ , and the group of  $L$  is  $\pi_1(X(L))$ . Let  $M(L) = X(L) \cup_{\partial} D^{n+1} \times S^1$  be the closed manifold obtained by surgery on  $L$  in  $S^{n+2}$ .

An  $n$ -link  $L$  is *trivial* if it bounds a collection of  $c$  disjoint locally flat 2-discs in  $S^n$ . It is *split* if it is isotopic to one which is the union of nonempty sublinks  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  whose images lie in disjoint discs in  $S^{n+2}$ , in which case we write  $L = L_1 \# L_2$ , and it is a *boundary link* if it bounds a collection of  $c$  disjoint hypersurfaces in  $S^{n+2}$ . Clearly a trivial link is split, and a split link is a boundary link; neither implication can be reversed if  $c > 1$ . Knots

are boundary links, and many arguments about knots that depend on Seifert hypersurfaces extend readily to boundary links. The definitions of slice and ribbon knots and  $s$ -concordance extend naturally to links.

A 1-link is trivial if and only if its group is free, and is split if and only if its group is a nontrivial free product, by the Loop Theorem and Sphere Theorem, respectively. (See Chapter 1 of [H3].) Gutierrez has shown that if  $n \geq 4$  an  $n$ -link  $L$  is trivial if and only if  $L$  is freely generated by meridians and the homotopy groups  $\pi_j(X(L))$  are all 0, for  $2 \leq j \leq (n+1)/2$  [Gu72]. His argument applies also when  $n = 3$ . While the fundamental group condition is necessary when  $n = 2$ , we cannot yet use surgery to show that it is a complete criterion for triviality of 2-links with more than one component. We shall settle for a weaker result.

**Theorem 14.9** *Let  $M$  be a closed 4-manifold with  $\pi_1(M)$  free of rank  $r$  and  $\chi(M) = 2(1 - r)$ . If  $M$  is orientable it is  $s$ -cobordant to  $J^r(S^1 \times S^3)$ , while if it is nonorientable it is  $s$ -cobordant to  $(S^1 \times S^3) \cup J^{r-1}(S^1 \times S^3)$ .*

**Proof** We may assume without loss of generality that  $\pi_1(M)$  has a free basis  $\{x_1, \dots, x_r\}$  such that  $x_i$  is an orientation preserving loop for all  $i \geq 1$ , and we shall use  $c_M$  to identify  $\pi_1(M)$  with  $F(r)$ . Let  $N = J^r(S^1 \times S^3)$  if  $M$  is orientable and let  $N = (S^1 \times S^3) \cup J^{r-1}(S^1 \times S^3)$  otherwise. (Note that  $w_1(N) = w_1(M)$  as homomorphisms from  $F(r)$  to  $F(1)g$ .) Since  $c: \pi_1(M) \rightarrow \pi_1(N)$  and  $\chi(M) = 2(1 - r)$  we have  $\chi_2(M) = H^2(F(r); \mathbb{Z}[F(r)])$ , by Theorem 3.12. Hence  $\chi_2(M) = 0$  and so  $\chi_3(M) = H_3(\tilde{M}; \mathbb{Z}) = D = H^1(F(r); \mathbb{Z}[F(r)])$ , by the Hurewicz theorem and Poincaré duality. Similarly, we have  $\chi_2(N) = 0$  and  $\chi_3(N) = D$ .

Let  $c_M = g_M h_M$  be the factorization of  $c_M$  through  $P_3(M)$ , the third stage of the Postnikov tower for  $M$ . Thus  $\pi_i(h_M)$  is an isomorphism if  $i \leq 3$  and  $\pi_j(P_3(M)) = 0$  if  $j > 3$ . As  $K(F(r); 1) = \underline{E}^r S^1$  each of the fibrations  $g_M$  and  $g_N$  clearly have cross-sections and so there is a homotopy equivalence  $k: P_3(M) \rightarrow P_3(N)$  such that  $g_M = g_N k$ . (See Section 5.2 of [Ba].) We may assume that  $k$  is cellular. Since  $P_3(M) = M$  [  $f$  cells of dimension  $\leq 5$  ] it follows that  $kh_M = h_N f$  for some map  $f: M \rightarrow N$ . Clearly  $\pi_i(f)$  is an isomorphism for  $i \leq 3$ . Since the universal covers  $\tilde{M}$  and  $\tilde{N}$  are 2-connected open 4-manifolds the induced map  $\tilde{f}: \tilde{M} \rightarrow \tilde{N}$  is an homology isomorphism, and so is a homotopy equivalence. Hence  $f$  is itself a homotopy equivalence. As  $Wh(F(r)) = 0$  any such homotopy equivalence is simple.

If  $M$  is orientable  $[M; G=TOP] = \mathbb{Z}$ , since  $H^2(M; \mathbb{Z} \oplus 2\mathbb{Z}) = 0$ . As the surgery obstruction in  $L_4(F(r)) = \mathbb{Z}$  is given by a signature difference, it is a bijection,

and so the normal invariant of  $f$  is trivial. Hence there is a normal cobordism  $F : P \rightarrow N \cup I$  with  $Fj_{@_-}P = f$  and  $Fj_{@_+}P = id_N$ . There is another normal cobordism  $F^\theta : P^\theta \rightarrow N \cup I$  from  $id_N$  to itself with surgery obstruction  $\langle \chi_5(P^\theta; F^\theta) \rangle = -\langle \chi_5(P; F) \rangle$  in  $L_5(F(r))$ , by Theorem 6.7 and Lemma 6.9. The union of these two normal cobordisms along  $@_+P = @_-P^\theta$  is a normal cobordism from  $f$  to  $id_N$  with surgery obstruction 0, and so we may obtain an  $s$ -cobordism  $W$  by 5-dimensional surgery (rel  $@$ ).

A similar argument applies in the nonorientable case. The surgery obstruction is then a bijection from  $[N; G=TOP]$  to  $L_4(F(r); -) = Z=2Z$ , so  $f$  is normally cobordant to  $id_N$ , while  $L_5(Z; -) = 0$ , so  $L_5(F(r); -) = L_5(F(r-1))$  and the argument of [FQ] still applies.  $\square$

**Corollary 14.9.1** *Let  $L$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -component 2-link such that  $L$  is freely generated by  $\mathbb{Z}$  meridians. Then  $L$  is  $s$ -concordant to the trivial  $\mathbb{Z}$ -component link.*

**Proof** Since  $M(L)$  is orientable,  $\langle \chi_1(M(L)) \rangle = 2(1 - \mathbb{Z})$  and  $\langle \chi_1(M(L)) \rangle = L = F(\mathbb{Z})$ , there is an  $s$ -cobordism  $W$  with  $@W = M(L) \cup M(\mathbb{Z})$ , by Theorem 14.9. Moreover it is clear from the proof of that theorem that we may assume that the elements of the meridional basis for  $L$  are freely homotopic to loops representing the standard basis for  $\langle \chi_1(M(\mathbb{Z})) \rangle$ . We may realise such homotopies by disjoint embeddings of annuli running from meridians for  $L$  to such standard loops in  $M(\mathbb{Z})$ . Surgery on these annuli (i.e., replacing  $D^3 \times S^1 \times [0; 1]$  by  $S^2 \times D^2 \times [0; 1]$ ) then gives an  $s$ -concordance from  $L$  to the trivial  $\mathbb{Z}$ -component link.  $\square$

A similar strategy may be used to give an alternative proof of the higher dimensional unlinking theorem of [Gu72] which applies uniformly for  $n \geq 3$ . The hypothesis that  $L$  be freely generated by meridians cannot be dropped entirely [Po71]. On the other hand, if  $L$  is a 2-link whose longitudes are all null homotopic then the pair  $(X(L); @X(L))$  is homotopy equivalent to the pair  $(J \times S^1 \times D^3; @J \times S^1 \times D^3)$  [Sw77], and hence the Corollary applies.

There is as yet no satisfactory splitting criterion for higher-dimensional links. However we can give a stable version for 2-links.

**Theorem 14.10** *Let  $M$  be a closed 4-manifold such that  $\langle \chi_1(M) \rangle$  is isomorphic to a nontrivial free product  $G * H$ . Then  $M$  is stably homeomorphic to a connected sum  $M_G \# M_H$  with  $\langle \chi_1(M_G) \rangle = G$  and  $\langle \chi_1(M_H) \rangle = H$ .*

**Proof** Let  $K = K_G \natural [-1;1] \natural K_H = (G \cup -1; +1 \cup H)$ , where  $K_G$  and  $K_H$  are  $K(G;1)$ - and  $K(H;1)$ -spaces with basepoints  $g$  and  $h$  (respectively). Then  $K$  is a  $K(\ ;1)$ -space and so there is a map  $f : M \rightarrow K$  which induces an isomorphism of fundamental groups. We may assume that  $f$  is transverse to  $0 \in [-1;1]$ , so  $V = f^{-1}(0)$  is a submanifold of  $M$  with a product neighbourhood  $V \times [-\epsilon; \epsilon]$ . We may also assume that  $V$  is connected, by the arc-chasing argument of Stallings' proof of Kneser's conjecture. (See page 67 of [Hm].) Let  $j : V \rightarrow M$  be the inclusion. Since  $f \circ j$  is a constant map and  $\pi_1(f)$  is an isomorphism  $\pi_1(j)$  is the trivial homomorphism, and so  $j_* \pi_1(M) = 0$ . Hence  $V$  is orientable and so there is a framed link  $L \subset V$  such that surgery on  $L$  in  $V$  gives  $S^3$  [Li62]. The framings of the components of  $L$  in  $V$  extend to framings in  $M$ . Let  $W = M \natural [0;1] \natural L \natural D^2 \natural [-\epsilon; \epsilon] \natural \text{flg}(D^2 \natural D^2 \natural [-\epsilon; \epsilon])$ , where  $\epsilon$  is the number of components of  $L$ . Note that if  $w_2(M) = 0$  then we may choose the framed link  $L$  so that  $w_2(W) = 0$  also [Kp79]. Then  $@W = M \natural \mathcal{M}$ , where  $\mathcal{M}$  is the result of surgery on  $L$  in  $M$ . The map  $f$  extends to a map  $F : W \rightarrow K$  such that  $\pi_1(Fj_{\widehat{M}})$  is an isomorphism and  $(Fj_{\widehat{M}})^{-1}(0) = S^3$ . Hence  $\mathcal{M}$  is a connected sum as in the statement. Since the components of  $L$  are null-homotopic in  $M$  they may be isotoped into disjoint discs, and so  $\mathcal{M} = M \natural (J \natural S^2 \natural S^2)$ . This proves the theorem.  $\square$

Note that if  $V$  is a homotopy 3-sphere then  $M$  is a connected sum, for  $V \natural R$  is then homeomorphic to  $S^3 \natural R$ , by 1-connected surgery.

**Theorem 14.11** *Let  $L$  be a  $n$ -component 2-link with sublinks  $L_1$  and  $L_2 = L \natural L_1$  such that there is an isomorphism from  $L$  to  $L_1 \natural L_2$  which is compatible with the homomorphisms determined by the inclusions of  $X(L)$  into  $X(L_1)$  and  $X(L_2)$ . Then  $X(L)$  is stably homeomorphic to  $X(L_1 \natural L_2)$ .*

**Proof** By Theorem 14.10,  $M(L) \natural (J^a \natural S^2 \natural S^2) = N \natural P$ , where  $\pi_1(N) = \pi_1(L_1)$  and  $\pi_1(P) = \pi_1(L_2)$ . On undoing the surgeries on the components of  $L_1$  and  $L_2$ , respectively, we see that  $M(L_2) \natural (J^a \natural S^2 \natural S^2) = N \natural P$ , and  $M(L_1) \natural (J^a \natural S^2 \natural S^2) = N \natural P$ , where  $N$  and  $P$  are simply connected. Since undoing the surgeries on all the components of  $L$  gives  $J^a \natural S^2 \natural S^2 = N \natural P$ ,  $N$  and  $P$  are each connected sums of copies of  $S^2 \natural S^2$ , so  $N$  and  $P$  are stably homeomorphic to  $M(L_1)$  and  $M(L_2)$ , respectively. The result now follows easily.  $\square$

Similar arguments may be used to show that, firstly, if  $L$  is a 2-link such that  $c:d : L \rightarrow 2$  and there is an isomorphism  $\phi : L \rightarrow L_1 \natural L_2$  which is compatible with the natural maps to the factors then there is a map  $f_\phi :$

$M(L)_o = M(L) \text{ nint} D^4 \text{ ! } M(L_1) \text{ J} M(L_2)$  such that  $\text{ }_1(f_o) = \text{ }$  and  $\text{ }_2(f_o)$  is an isomorphism; and secondly, if moreover  $f_o$  extends to a homotopy equivalence  $f : M(L) \text{ ! } M(L_1) \text{ J} M(L_2)$  and the factors of  $L$  are either classical link groups or are square root closed accessible then  $L$  is  $s$ -concordant to the split link  $L_1 \text{ Q} L_2$ . (The surgery arguments rely on [AFR97] and [Ca73], respectively.) However we do not know how to bridge the gap between the algebraic hypothesis and obtaining a homotopy equivalence.

### 14.12 Link groups

If  $\text{ }$  is the group of a  $\text{ }$ -component  $n$ -link  $L$  then

- (1)  $\text{ }$  is nitely presentable;
- (2)  $\text{ }$  is of weight  $\text{ }$  ;
- (3)  $H_1(\text{ } ; \mathbb{Z}) = \text{ } = \text{ }^\theta = Z$  ; and
- (4) (if  $n > 1$ )  $H_2(\text{ } ; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ .

Conversely, any group satisfying these conditions is the group of an  $n$ -link, for every  $n \geq 3$  [Ke 65']. (Note that  $q(\text{ }) = 2(1 - \text{ })$ , with equality if and only if  $\text{ }$  is the group of a 2-link.) If (4) is replaced by the stronger condition that  $\text{def}(\text{ }) = \text{ }$  (and  $\text{ }$  has a de ciency Wirtinger presentation) then  $\text{ }$  is the group of a (ribbon) 2-link which is a sublink of a (ribbon) link whose group is a free group. (See Chapter 1 of [H3].) The group of a classical link satisfies (4) if and only if the link splits completely as a union of knots in disjoint balls. If subcomplexes of aspherical 2-complexes are aspherical then a higher-dimensional link group has geometric dimension at most 2 if and only if it has de ciency  $\text{ }$  (in which case it is a 2-link group).

A link  $L$  is a boundary link if and only if there is an epimorphism from  $\text{ } (L)$  to the free group  $F(\text{ })$  which carries a set of meridians to a free basis. If the latter condition is dropped  $L$  is said to be an homology boundary link. Although sublinks of boundary links are clearly boundary links, the corresponding result is not true for homology boundary links. It is an attractive conjecture that every even-dimensional link is a slice link. This has been verified under additional hypotheses on the link group. For a 2-link  $L$  it suffices that there be a homomorphism  $\text{ } : L \text{ ! } G$  where  $G$  is a high-dimensional link group such that  $H_3(G; \mathbb{F}_2) = H_4(G; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$  and where the normal closure of the image of  $\text{ }$  is  $G$  [Co84]. In particular, sublinks of homology boundary 2-links are slice links.

A choice of (based) meridians for the components of a link  $L$  determines a homomorphism  $f: F(\Sigma) \rightarrow \pi_1(L)$  which induces an isomorphism on abelianization. If  $L$  is a higher dimensional link  $H_2(L; \mathbb{Z}) = H_2(F(\Sigma); \mathbb{Z}) = 0$  and hence  $f$  induces isomorphisms on all the nilpotent quotients  $F(\Sigma) = F(\Sigma)_{[n]} = \pi_1(L)_{[n]}$ , and a monomorphism  $F(\Sigma) \rightarrow \pi_1(L)_{[1]} = \pi_1(L) = \pi_1(L)_{[1]}$  [St65]. (In particular, if  $n \geq 2$  then  $\pi_1(L)$  contains a nonabelian free subgroup.) The latter map is an isomorphism if and only if  $L$  is a homology boundary link. In that case the homology groups of the covering space  $X(L)^j$  corresponding to  $\pi_1(L)_{[j]}$  are modules over  $\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(L)_{[j]}] = \mathbb{Z}[F(\Sigma)]$ , which is a coherent ring of global dimension 2. Poincare duality and the UCSS then give rise to an isomorphism  $e^2 e^2(\pi_1(L)_{[j]}) = e^2(\pi_1(L)_{[j]})$ , where  $e^j(M) = \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}[F(\Sigma)]}^j(M; \mathbb{Z}[F(\Sigma)])$ , which is the analogue of the Farber-Levine pairing for 2-knots.

The argument of [HK78'] may be adapted to show that every finitely generated abelian group is the centre of the group of some  $n$ -component boundary  $n$ -link, for any  $n \geq 1$  and  $n \geq 3$ . However the centre of the group of a 2-link with more than one component must be finite. (In all known examples the centre is trivial.)

**Theorem 14.12** *Let  $L$  be a  $n$ -component 2-link with group  $G$ . If  $n > 1$  then*

- (1)  *$G$  has no infinite amenable normal subgroup;*
- (2)  *$G$  is not an ascending HNN extension over a finitely generated base.*

**Proof** If (1) or (2) is false then  $\chi_1^{(2)}(G) = 0$  (see 2.2 of Chapter 2), and clearly  $\chi_2^{(2)}(G) > 0$ . Since  $\chi_2^{(2)}(M(L)) = \chi_1(M(L)) + 2\chi_1^{(2)}(G) = 2(1 - \chi_1^{(2)}(G))$ , we must have  $\chi_1^{(2)}(G) = 1$ . □

In particular, the exterior of a 2-link with more than one component never fibres over  $S^1$ . (This is true of all higher dimensional links: see Theorem 5.12 of [H3].) Moreover a 2-link group has finite centre and is never amenable. In contrast, we shall see that there are many 2-knot groups which have infinite centre or are solvable.

The exterior of a classical link is aspherical if and only if the link is unsplittable, while the exterior of a higher dimensional link with more than one component is never aspherical [Ec76]. Is  $M(L)$  ever aspherical?

### 14.13 Homology spheres

A closed connected  $n$ -manifold  $M$  is an homology  $n$ -sphere if  $H_q(M; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$  for  $0 < q < n$ . In particular, it is orientable and so  $H_n(M; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$ . If  $\pi_1(M)$  is the group of an homology  $n$ -sphere then

- (1)  $\pi_1(M)$  is nitely presentable;
- (2)  $\pi_1(M)$  is perfect, i.e.,  $\pi_1(M) = \pi_1(M)'$ ; and
- (3)  $H_2(\pi_1(M); \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ .

A group satisfying the latter two conditions is said to be superperfect. Every nitely presentable superperfect group is the group of an homology  $n$ -sphere, for every  $n \geq 5$  [Ke69], but in low dimensions more stringent conditions hold. As any closed 3-manifold has a handlebody structure with one 0-handle and equal numbers of 1- and 2-handles, homology 3-sphere groups have deficiency 0. Every perfect group with a presentation of deficiency 0 is an homology 4-sphere group (and therefore is superperfect) [Ke69]. However none of the implications " $G$  is an homology 3-sphere group"  $\Rightarrow$  " $G$  is nitely presentable, perfect and  $\text{def}(G) = 0$ "  $\Rightarrow$  " $G$  is an homology 4-sphere group"  $\Rightarrow$  " $G$  is nitely presentable and superperfect" can be reversed, as we shall now show.

Although the finite groups  $SL(2; \mathbb{F}_p)$  are perfect and have deficiency 0 for each prime  $p \geq 5$  [CR80] the binary icosahedral group  $I = SL(2; \mathbb{F}_5)$  is the only nontrivial finite perfect group with cohomological period 4, and thus is the only finite homology 3-sphere group.

Let  $G = \langle hx; s j x^3 = 1; sxs^{-1} = x^{-1}i \rangle$  be the group of  ${}_23_1$  and let  $H = \langle ha; b; c; d j bab^{-1} = a^2; cbc^{-1} = b^2; dcd^{-1} = c^2; ada^{-1} = d^2i \rangle$  be the Higman group [Hg51]. Then  $H$  is perfect and  $\text{def}(H) = 0$ , so there is an homology 4-sphere with group  $H$ . Surgery on a loop representing  $sa^{-1}$  in  $JM({}_23_1)$  gives an homology 4-sphere with group  $\pi_1 = (G/H) = \langle hhsa^{-1}ii \rangle$ . Then  $\pi_1$  is the semidirect product  $\pi_1 \rtimes H$ , where  $\pi_1 = \langle hhsa^{-1}ii \rangle$  is the normal closure of the image of  $G^0$  in  $\pi_1$ . The obvious presentation for this group has deficiency -1. We shall show that this is best possible.

Let  $\pi_1 = \mathbb{Z}[H]$ . Since  $H$  has cohomological dimension 2 [DV73'] the augmentation ideal  $I = \text{Ker}(\epsilon: \pi_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z})$  has a short free resolution

$$C : 0 \rightarrow I^4 \rightarrow I^4 \rightarrow I \rightarrow 0;$$

Let  $B = H_1(\pi_1; \mathbb{Z}) = \pi_1 / I$ . Then  $B = \mathbb{Z} \oplus (3; a + 1)$  as a left  $\pi_1$ -module and there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow A \rightarrow I \rightarrow 0;$$



in which  $A = H_1(\mathbb{S}^3; \mathbb{Z})$  is a relative homology group [Cr61]. Since  $B = \mathbb{Z}[a; a^{-1}]$  (where  $\mathbb{Z}[a; a^{-1}] = \mathbb{Z}[a; a^{-1}]$ ), there is a free resolution

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{(3; a+1)} \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} a+1 \\ -3 \end{pmatrix}} B \rightarrow 0$$

Suppose that  $\mathbb{S}^3$  has deficiency 0. Evaluating the Jacobian matrix associated to an optimal presentation for  $\mathbb{S}^3$  via the natural epimorphism from  $\mathbb{Z}[a]$  to  $\mathbb{Z}$  gives a presentation matrix for  $A$  as a module (see [Cr61] or [Fo62]). Thus there is an exact sequence

$$D : \mathbb{Z}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^n \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$$

A mapping cone construction leads to an exact sequence of the form

$$D_1 \rightarrow C_1 \rightarrow D_0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow C_0 \rightarrow 0$$

and hence to a presentation of deficiency 0 for  $B$  of the form

$$D_1 \rightarrow C_0 \rightarrow C_1 \rightarrow D_0 \rightarrow B$$

Hence there is a free resolution

$$0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{\rho} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{\rho} \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0$$

Schanuel's Lemma gives an isomorphism  $\mathbb{Z}^{1+\rho+1} = L \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\rho+2}$ , on comparing these two resolutions of  $B$ . Since  $\mathbb{S}^3$  is weakly finite the endomorphism of  $\mathbb{Z}^{\rho+2}$  given by projection onto the second summand is an automorphism. Hence  $L = 0$  and so  $B$  has a short free resolution. In particular,  $Tor_2(R; B) = 0$  for any right  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $R$ . But it is easily verified that if  $\overline{B} = \mathbb{Z}[a; a^{-1}]$  is the conjugate right  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module then  $Tor_2(\overline{B}; B) \neq 0$ . Thus our assumption was wrong, and  $def(\mathbb{S}^3) = -1 < 0$ .

If  $k \geq 0$  let  $G_k = (\mathbb{F}_5^2)^k \rtimes I$ , where  $I$  acts diagonally on  $(\mathbb{F}_5^2)^k$ , with respect to the standard action on  $\mathbb{F}_5^2$ , and let  $H_k$  be the subgroup generated by  $\mathbb{F}_5^{2k}$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 2 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ . Then  $G_k$  is a finite superperfect group,  $[G_k : H_k] = 12$ ,  $\chi_1(H_k; \mathbb{F}_5) = 1$  and  $\chi_2(H_k; \mathbb{F}_5) = k^2$ . Applying part (1) of Lemma 3.11 we find that  $def G_k < 0$  if  $k > 3$  and  $q^{SG}(G_k) > 2$  if  $k > 4$ . In the latter case  $G_k$  is not realized by any homology 4-sphere. (This argument derives from [HW85].)

Does every finite homology 4-sphere group have deficiency 0? Our example above is "very infinite" in the sense that the Higman group  $H$  has no finite quotients, and therefore no finite-dimensional representations over any field [Hg51]. The smallest finite superperfect group which is not known to have deficiency 0 nor to be an homology 4-sphere group is  $G_1$ , which has order 3000 and has the deficiency -2 presentation

$$hx; y; e; j; x^2 = y^3 = (xy)^5; xex^{-1} = yey^{-1}; eyey^{-1} = yey^{-1}e; ey^2e = yeyi;$$

Kervaire's criteria may be extended further to the groups of links in homology spheres. Unfortunately, the condition  $\beta(M) = 0$  is central to most of our arguments, and is satisfied only by the manifolds arising from knots in homology 4-spheres.

## Chapter 15

### Restrained normal subgroups

It is plausible that if  $K$  is a 2-knot whose group  $\pi_1(K)$  has an infinite restrained normal subgroup  $N$  then either  $\pi_1(K)$  is finite or  $\pi_1(K) = \pi_1(K)$  (the group of Fox's Example 10) or  $M(K)$  is aspherical and  $\pi_1(K) \neq 1$  or  $N$  is virtually  $Z$  and  $\pi_1(K)/N$  has infinitely many ends. In this chapter we shall give some evidence in this direction. In order to clarify the statements and arguments in later sections, we begin with several characterizations of  $\pi_1(K)$ , which plays a somewhat exceptional role. In §2 we assume that  $N$  is almost coherent and locally virtually indicable, but not locally finite. In §3 we assume that  $N$  is abelian of positive rank and almost establish the tetrachotomy in this case. In §4 we determine all such  $\pi_1(K)$  with  $\pi_1(K)$  finite, and in §5 we give a version of the Tits alternative for 2-knot groups. In §6 we shall complete Yoshikawa's determination of the 2-knot groups which are HNN extensions over abelian bases. We conclude with some observations on 2-knot groups with infinite locally finite normal subgroups.

#### 15.1 The group

Let  $\Gamma = Z_2$  be the group with presentation  $\langle a, b; a^2 = 1, bab^{-1} = a^{-1}b \rangle$ . This group is an ascending HNN extension with base  $Z$ , is metabelian, and has commutator subgroup isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]$ . The 2-complex corresponding to this presentation is aspherical and so  $g:d = 2$ .

The group  $\Gamma$  is the group of Example 10 of Fox, which is the boundary of the ribbon  $D^3$  in  $S^4$  obtained by "thickening" a suitable immersed ribbon  $D^2$  in  $S^3$  for the stevedore's knot  $6_2$  [Fo62]. Such a ribbon disc may be constructed by applying the method of §7 of Chapter 1 of [H3] to the equivalent presentation  $\langle h, t; u; v; uvv^{-1} = t; tut^{-1} = vi \text{ for } i \in \mathbb{Z} \rangle$  (where  $u = ta$  and  $v = t^2at^{-1}$ ).

**Theorem 15.1** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a 2-knot group such that  $g:d = 2$  and  $\Gamma$  has a nontrivial normal subgroup  $E$  which is either elementary amenable or almost coherent, locally virtually indicable and restrained. Then either  $\Gamma = \Gamma$  or  $\Gamma$  is an iterated free product of (one or more) torus knot groups, amalgamated over central subgroups. In either case  $\text{def}(\Gamma) = 1$ .*

**Proof** If  $G$  is solvable then  $G = Z_m$ , for some  $m \neq 0$ , by Corollary 2.6.1. Since  $G = G^\theta = Z$  we must have  $m = 2$  and so  $G = Z$ .

Otherwise  $E = Z$ , by Theorem 2.7. Then  $[G : C(E)] = 2$  and  $C(E)^\theta$  is free, by Bieri's Theorem. This free subgroup must be nonabelian for otherwise  $G$  would be solvable. Hence  $E \setminus C(E)^\theta = 1$  and so  $E$  maps injectively to  $H = G/C(E)^\theta$ . As  $H$  has an abelian normal subgroup of index at most 2 and  $H = H^\theta = Z$  we must in fact have  $H = Z$ . It follows easily that  $C(E) = G$ , and so  $G$  is free. The further structure of  $G$  is then due to Strebel [St76]. The final observation follows readily.  $\square$

The following alternative characterizations of  $G$  shall be useful.

**Theorem 15.2** *Let  $G$  be a 2-knot group with maximal locally finite normal subgroup  $T$ . Then  $G = T = G$  if and only if  $G$  is elementary amenable and  $h(G) = 2$ . Moreover the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $G$  has an abelian normal subgroup  $A$  of rank 1 such that  $G/A$  has two ends;
- (2)  $G$  is elementary amenable,  $h(G) = 2$  and  $G$  has an abelian normal subgroup  $A$  of rank 1;
- (3)  $G$  is almost coherent, elementary amenable and  $h(G) = 2$ ;
- (4)  $G = G$ .

**Proof** Since  $G$  is finitely presentable and has finite cyclic abelianization it is an HNN extension  $G = H$  with base  $H$  a finitely generated subgroup of  $G^\theta$ , by Theorem 1.13. Since  $G$  is elementary amenable the extension must be ascending. Since  $h(G/G^\theta) = 1$  and  $G^\theta = T$  has no nontrivial locally-finite normal subgroup  $[G^\theta : T] = 2$ . The meridional automorphism of  $G^\theta$  induces a meridional automorphism on  $(G^\theta/T) = \overline{G^\theta/T}$  and so  $G^\theta = T$ . Hence  $G^\theta = T$  is a torsion free rank 1 abelian group. Let  $J = H = H \setminus T$ . Then  $h(J) = 1$  and  $J = G^\theta = T$  so  $J = Z$ . Now  $G$  induces a monomorphism  $G : J \rightarrow J$  and  $G = T = J$ . Since  $G = G^\theta = Z$  we must have  $J = Z$ .

If (1) holds then  $G$  is elementary amenable and  $h(G) = 2$ . Suppose (2) holds. We may assume without loss of generality that  $A$  is the normal closure of an element of finite order, and so  $G/A$  is finitely presentable. Since  $G/A$  is elementary amenable and  $h(G/A) = 1$  it is virtually  $Z$ . Therefore  $G$  is virtually an HNN extension with base a finitely generated subgroup of  $A$ , and so is coherent. If (3) holds then  $G = G$ , by Corollary 3.17.1. Since  $G$  clearly satisfies conditions (1-3) this proves the theorem.  $\square$

**Corollary 15.2.1** *If  $T$  is finite and  $G = T = G$  then  $T = 1$  and  $G = G$ .  $\square$*

## 15.2 Almost coherent, restrained and locally virtually indicable

We shall show that the basic tetrachotomy of the introduction is essentially correct, under mild coherence hypotheses on  $K$  or  $N$ . Recall that a restrained group has no noncyclic free subgroups. Thus if  $N$  is a countable restrained group either it is elementary amenable and  $h(N) = 1$  or it is an increasing union of finitely generated one-ended groups.

**Theorem 15.3** *Let  $K$  be a 2-knot whose group  $\pi_1(K)$  is an ascending HNN extension over an  $FP_2$  base  $H$  with finitely many ends. Then either  $\pi_1(K)$  is finite or  $\pi_1(K) = \mathbb{Z}$  or  $M(K)$  is aspherical.*

**Proof** This follows from Theorem 3.17, since a group with abelianization  $\mathbb{Z}$  cannot be virtually  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ .  $\square$

Is  $M(K)$  still aspherical if we assume only that  $H$  is finitely generated and one-ended?

**Corollary 15.3.1** *If  $H$  is  $FP_3$  and has one end then  $\pi_1(K) = H$  and is a  $PD_3^+$ -group.*

**Proof** This follows from Lemma 3.4 of [BG85], as in Theorem 2.13.  $\square$

Does this remain true if we assume only that  $H$  is  $FP_2$  and has one end?

**Corollary 15.3.2** *If  $\pi_1(K)$  is an ascending HNN extension over an  $FP_2$  base  $H$  and has an infinite restrained normal subgroup  $A$  then either  $\pi_1(K)$  is finite or  $\pi_1(K) = \mathbb{Z}$  or  $M(K)$  is aspherical or  $\pi_1(K) \setminus A = 1$  and  $\pi_1(K) = A$  has finitely many ends.*

**Proof** If  $H$  is finite or  $A \setminus H$  is finite then  $H$  has finitely many ends (cf. Corollary 1.16.1) and Theorem 15.3 applies. Therefore we may assume that  $H$  has finitely many ends and  $A \setminus H$  is infinite. But then  $A \not\subset \pi_1(K)$ , so  $\pi_1(K)$  is virtually  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Hence  $\pi_1(K) = H$  and  $M(K)$  is a  $PD_3$ -complex. In particular  $\pi_1(K) \setminus A = 1$  and  $\pi_1(K) = A$  has finitely many ends.  $\square$

In §4 we shall determine all 2-knot groups with  $\pi_1(K)$  finite. If  $K$  is the  $r$ -twist spin of an irreducible 1-knot then the  $r^{\text{th}}$  power of a meridian is central in  $\pi_1(K)$  and either  $\pi_1(K)$  is finite or  $M(K)$  is aspherical. (See §3 of Chapter 16.) The final possibility is realized by Artin spins of nontrivial torus knots.

**Theorem 15.4** *Let  $K$  be a 2-knot whose group  $\pi_1(K)$  is an HNN extension with  $FP_2$  base  $B$  and associated subgroups  $I$  and  $J$  ( $I \neq J$ ). If  $\pi_1(K)$  has a restrained normal subgroup  $N$  which is not locally finite and  $\chi_1^{(2)}(\pi_1(K)) = 0$  then either  $\pi_1(K)$  is finite or  $\pi_1(K) = \pi_1(K) \cdot N$  or  $M(K)$  is aspherical or  $N$  is locally virtually  $Z$  and  $\pi_1(K)/N$  has infinitely many ends.*

**Proof** If  $\pi_1(K)/N$  is locally finite then it follows from Britton’s lemma (on normal forms in HNN extensions) that either  $B \setminus N = I \setminus N$  or  $B \setminus N = J \setminus N$ . Moreover  $N \not\subset \pi_1(K)$  (since  $N$  is not locally finite), and so  $\pi_1(K) = \pi_1(K) \cdot N$  is finitely generated. Hence  $B \setminus N = I \setminus N = J \setminus N$ . Thus either  $B = I$  or  $B = J$  and so the HNN extension is ascending. If  $B$  has infinitely many ends we may apply Theorem 15.3. Otherwise  $B \setminus N$  is finite, so  $\pi_1(K)/N = B \setminus N$  and  $N$  is virtually  $Z$ . Hence  $\pi_1(K)/N$  is commensurable with  $B \setminus N$ , and  $e(\pi_1(K)/N) = \infty$ .

If  $\pi_1(K)/N$  is locally virtually  $Z$  and  $\pi_1(K) = \pi_1(K) \cdot N$  has two ends then  $\pi_1(K)$  is elementary amenable and  $h(\pi_1(K)) = 2$ , so  $\pi_1(K) = \pi_1(K) \cdot N$ . Otherwise we may assume that either  $\pi_1(K) = \pi_1(K) \cdot N$  has one end or  $\pi_1(K) \setminus N$  has a finitely generated, one-ended subgroup. In either case  $H^s(\pi_1(K); \mathbb{Z}[t]) = 0$  for  $s \geq 2$ , by Theorem 1.18, and so  $M(K)$  is aspherical, by Theorem 3.5. □

Note that  $\chi_1^{(2)}(\pi_1(K)) = 0$  if  $N$  is amenable. Every knot group is an HNN extension with finitely generated base and associated subgroups, by Theorem 1.13, and in all known cases these subgroups are  $FP_2$ .

**Theorem 15.5** *Let  $K$  be a 2-knot such that  $\pi_1(K) = \pi_1(K)$  has an almost coherent, locally virtually indicable, restrained normal subgroup  $E$  which is not locally finite. Then either  $\pi_1(K)$  is finite or  $\pi_1(K) = \pi_1(K) \cdot E$  or  $M(K)$  is aspherical or  $E$  is abelian of rank 1 and  $\pi_1(K)/E$  has infinitely many ends or  $E$  is elementary amenable,  $h(E) = 1$  and  $\pi_1(K)/E$  has one or infinitely many ends.*

**Proof** Let  $F$  be a finitely generated subgroup of  $E$ . Since  $F$  is  $FP_2$  and virtually indicable it has a subgroup of finite index which is an HNN extension over a finitely generated base, by Theorem 1.13. Since  $F$  is restrained the HNN extension is ascending, and so  $\chi_1^{(2)}(F) = 0$ , by Lemma 2.1. Hence  $\chi_1^{(2)}(E) = 0$  and so  $\chi_1^{(2)}(\pi_1(K)) = 0$ , by Theorem 7.2 of [Lü].

If every finitely generated finite subgroup of  $E$  has two ends, then  $E$  is elementary amenable and  $h(E) = 1$ . If  $\pi_1(K)/E$  is finite then  $\pi_1(K)$  is finite. If  $\pi_1(K)/E$  has two ends then  $\pi_1(K)$  is almost coherent, elementary amenable and  $h(\pi_1(K)) = 2$ , and so  $\pi_1(K) = \pi_1(K) \cdot E$ , by Theorem 15.2. If  $E$  is abelian and  $\pi_1(K)/E$  has one end, or if  $E$

has a finitely generated, one-ended subgroup and  $\pi_1$  is not elementary amenable of Hirsch length 2 then  $H^s(\pi_1; \mathbb{Z}[t]) = 0$  for  $s \geq 2$ , by Theorem 1.17. Hence  $M(K)$  is aspherical, by Theorem 3.5.

The remaining possibilities are that either  $\pi_1 = E$  has infinitely many ends or that  $E$  is locally virtually  $Z$  but nonabelian and  $\pi_1 = E$  has one end.  $\square$

Does this theorem hold without any coherence hypothesis? Note that the other hypotheses hold if  $E$  is elementary amenable and  $h(E) \geq 2$ . If  $E$  is elementary amenable,  $h(E) = 1$  and  $\pi_1 = E$  has one end is  $H^2(\pi_1; \mathbb{Z}[t]) = 0$ ?

**Corollary 15.5.1** *Let  $K$  be a 2-knot with group  $\pi_1 = K$ . Then either  $\pi_1$  is finite or  $\pi_1 = Z$  or  $M(K)$  is aspherical and  $\pi_1 = Z^2$  or  $M(K)$  is homeomorphic to an infrasolvmanifold or  $h(\pi_1) = 1$  and  $\pi_1 = E$  has one or infinitely many ends or  $\pi_1$  is locally finite.*

**Proof** Finitely generated nilpotent groups are polycyclic. If  $\pi_1 = E$  has two ends we may apply Theorem 15.3. If  $h(\pi_1) = 2$  then  $\pi_1 = Z^2$ , by Theorem 9.2, while if  $h > 2$  then  $\pi_1$  is virtually poly- $Z$ , by Theorem 8.1.  $\square$

Under somewhat stronger hypotheses we may assume that  $\pi_1$  has a nontrivial torsion free abelian normal subgroup.

**Theorem 15.6** *Let  $N$  be a group which is either elementary amenable or is locally  $FP_3$ , virtually indicable and restrained. If  $c:d:N = 3$  then  $N$  is virtually solvable.*

**Proof** Suppose first that  $N$  is locally  $FP_3$  and virtually indicable, and let  $E$  be a finitely generated subgroup of  $N$  which maps onto  $Z$ . Then  $E$  is an ascending HNN extension  $H \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$  with  $FP_3$  base  $H$  and associated subgroups. If  $c:d:H = 3$  then  $H^3(H; \mathbb{Z}[E]) = H^3(H; \mathbb{Z}[H]) \otimes_{H \rtimes \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[E] \neq 0$  and the homomorphism  $H^3(H; \mathbb{Z}[E]) \rightarrow H^3(H; \mathbb{Z}[E])$  in the Mayer-Vietoris sequence for the HNN extension is not onto, by Lemma 3.4 and the subsequent Remark 3.5 of [BG85]. But then  $H^4(E; \mathbb{Z}[E]) \neq 0$ , contrary to  $c:d:N = 3$ . Therefore  $c:d:H = 2$ , and so  $H$  is elementary amenable, by Theorem 2.7. Hence  $N$  is elementary amenable, and so is virtually solvable by Theorem 1.11.  $\square$

In particular,  $\pi_1$  is a nontrivial, torsion free abelian characteristic subgroup of  $N$ . A similar argument shows that if  $N$  is locally  $FP_n$ , virtually indicable, restrained and  $c:d:N = n$  then  $N$  is virtually solvable.

### 15.3 Abelian normal subgroups

In this section we shall consider 2-knot groups with infinite abelian normal subgroups. The class with rank 1 abelian normal subgroups includes the groups of torus knots and twist spins, the group  $\pi_1(S^1 \times S^2)$ , and all 2-knot groups with infinite commutator subgroup. If there is such a subgroup of rank  $> 1$  the knot manifold is aspherical; this case is considered further in Chapter 16.

**Theorem 15.7** *Let  $K$  be a 2-knot whose group  $\pi_1(K)$  has an infinite abelian normal subgroup  $A$ , of rank  $r$ . Then  $r \leq 4$  and*

- (1) *if  $A$  is a torsion group then  $\pi_1(K)$  is not  $FP_2$ ;*
- (2) *if  $r = 1$  either  $\pi_1(K)$  is finite or  $\pi_1(K) = \pi_1(S^1 \times S^2)$  or  $M(K)$  is aspherical or  $e(\pi_1(K)/A) = 1$ ;*
- (3) *if  $r = 1$ ,  $e(\pi_1(K)/A) = 1$  and  $\pi_1(K) \in C(A)$  then  $A$  and  $P^{\infty}$  are virtually  $Z$ ;*
- (4) *if  $r = 1$  and  $A \not\subset P^{\infty}$  then  $M(K)$  is a  $PD_3^+$ -complex, and is aspherical if and only if  $\pi_1(K)$  is a  $PD_3^+$ -group if and only if  $e(\pi_1(K)) = 1$ ;*
- (5) *if  $r = 2$  then  $A = Z^2$  and  $M(K)$  is aspherical;*
- (6) *if  $r = 3$  then  $A = Z^3$ ,  $\pi_1(K) \in C(A)$  and  $M(K)$  is homeomorphic to an infrasolvmanifold;*
- (7) *if  $r = 4$  then  $A = Z^4$  and  $M(K)$  is homeomorphic to a flat 4-manifold.*

**Proof** If  $\pi_1(K)$  is  $FP_2$  then  $M(K)$  is a  $PD_3$ -complex, by Corollary 4.5.2, and so locally finite normal subgroups of  $\pi_1(K)$  are finite.

The four possibilities in case (2) correspond to whether  $\pi_1(K)/A$  is finite or has one, two or infinitely many ends, by Theorem 15.5. These possibilities are mutually exclusive; if  $e(\pi_1(K)/A) = 1$  then a Mayer-Vietoris argument as in Lemma 14.8 implies that  $\pi_1(K)$  cannot be a  $PD_4$ -group.

Suppose that  $r = 1$ , and  $A \subset P^{\infty}$ . Then  $A$  is a module over  $\mathbb{Z}[t] = \mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}]$ . On replacing  $A$  by a subgroup, if necessary, we may assume that  $A$  is cyclic as a  $\mathbb{Z}[t]$ -module and is  $\mathbb{Z}$ -torsion free. If moreover  $e(\pi_1(K)/A) = 1$  then  $P^{\infty}/A$  must be finite and  $K = P^{\infty}/A$  is not finitely generated. We may write  $K$  as an increasing union of finitely generated subgroups  $K = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n$ . Let  $S$  be an infinite cyclic subgroup of  $A$  and let  $G = P^{\infty}/S$ . Then  $G$  is an extension of  $K$  by  $A/S$ , and so is an increasing union  $G = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} G_n$ , where  $G_n$  is an extension of  $K_n$  by  $A/S$ . If  $A$  is not finitely generated then  $A/S$  is an infinite abelian normal subgroup. Therefore if some  $G_n$  is finitely generated then it has one end, and so  $H^1(G_n; F) = 0$  for any free  $\mathbb{Z}[G_n]$ -module  $F$ . Otherwise we may write  $G_n$  as an increasing union of finitely generated subgroups  $G_n = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} G_{nm}$ , where



$G_{nm}$  is an extension of  $K_n$  by a finite cyclic group  $Z=d_mZ$ ,  $d_m$  divides  $d_{m+1}$  for all  $m \geq 1$ , and  $A=S = [Z=d_mZ$ . Let  $u$  be a generator of the subgroup  $Z=d_1Z$ , and let  $G_n = G_n=hu$  and  $G_{nm} = G_{nm}=hu$  for all  $m \geq 1$ . Then  $G_{n1} = K_n$ , and so  $G_n = K_n (A=d_1^{-1}S)$ . Since  $K_n$  is finitely generated and  $A=d_1^{-1}S$  is finite we again find that  $H^1(G_n; F) = 0$  for any free  $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -module  $F$ . It now follows from Theorem 1.16 that  $H^1(G; F) = 0$  for any free  $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -module  $F$ . An application of the LHSSS for  $\theta$  as an extension of  $G$  by the normal subgroup  $d_1^{-1}S = Z$  then gives  $H^s(\theta; \mathbb{Z}[G]) = 0$  for  $s \geq 2$ . Another LHSSS argument then gives  $H^s(\theta; \mathbb{Z}[G]) = 0$  for  $s \geq 2$  and so  $M(K)$  is aspherical. As observed above, this contradicts the hypothesis  $e(\theta=A) = 1$ .

Suppose next that  $r = 1$  and  $A$  is not contained in  $\theta$ . Let  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  be a set of generators for  $\theta$  and let  $s$  be an element of  $A$  which is not in  $\theta$ . As each commutator  $[s; x_i]$  is in  $\theta \setminus A$  it has finite order,  $e_i$  say. Let  $e = \prod e_i$ . Then  $[s^e; x] = s^e(xs^{-1}x^{-1})^e = (sxs^{-1}x^{-1})^e$ , so  $s^e$  commutes with all the generators. The subgroup generated by  $\theta s^e g [ \theta$  has finite index in  $\theta$  and is isomorphic to  $Z \times \theta$ , so  $\theta$  is finitely presentable. Hence  $M(K)^\theta$  is an orientable  $PD_3$ -complex, by Corollary 4.5.2, and  $M(K)$  is aspherical if and only if  $\theta$  has one end, by Theorem 4.1. (In particular,  $A$  is finitely generated.)

If  $r = 2$  then  $A = Z^2$  and  $M(K)$  is aspherical by Theorem 9.2. If  $r > 2$  then  $r \geq 4$ ,  $A = Z^r$  and  $M(K)$  is homeomorphic to an infrasolvmanifold by Theorem 8.1. In particular,  $\theta$  is virtually poly- $Z$  and  $h(\theta) = 4$ . If  $r = 3$  then  $A \not\subset \theta$ , for otherwise  $h(\theta \setminus A) = 2$ , which is impossible for a group with abelianization  $Z$ . If  $r = 4$  then  $[ \theta : A ] < 1$  and so  $M(K)$  is homeomorphic to a flat 4-manifold. □

It remains an open question whether abelian normal subgroups of  $PD_n$  groups must be finitely generated. If this is so,  $\theta$  is the only 2-knot group with an abelian normal subgroup of positive rank which is not finitely generated.

The argument goes through with  $A$  a nilpotent normal subgroup. Can it be extended to the Hirsch-Plotkin radical? The difficulties are when  $h(\theta) = 1$  and  $e(\theta) = 1$  or  $\infty$ .

**Corollary 15.7.1** *If  $A$  has rank 1 its torsion subgroup  $T$  is finite, and if moreover  $\theta$  is finite and  $\theta=A$  is finitely generated  $T = 1$ . □*

The evidence suggests that if  $\theta$  is finitely generated and finite then  $A$  is free abelian. Little is known about the rank 0 case. All the other possibilities allowed by this theorem occur. (We shall consider the cases with rank  $\geq 2$

further in Chapter 16.) In particular, if  $\pi_1(M)$  is torsion free and  $\pi_1(M) \setminus A = 1$  then  $\pi_1(M)$  is a free product of  $PD_3^+$ -groups and free groups, and the various possibilities ( $\pi_1(M)$  finite,  $e(\pi_1(M)) = 1$  or  $e(\pi_1(M)) = -1$ ) are realized by twists/spins of classical knots. Is every 2-knot  $K$  such that  $\pi_1(M) \not\cong \pi_1(M)$  and  $\pi_1(M)$  is torsion free  $S$ -concordant to a Seifert-bred knot?

**Corollary 15.7.2** *If  $\pi_1(M)$  is finitely generated then either  $\pi_1(M)$  is finite or  $\pi_1(M) \setminus A = 1$  or  $M(K)$  is aspherical. If moreover  $\pi_1(M) \setminus A$  has rank 1 then  $\pi_1(M) \not\cong \pi_1(M)$ .*

**Proof** As  $\pi_1(M) \setminus A$  is torsion free  $Aut(\pi_1(M) \setminus A)$  is abelian. Hence  $\pi_1(M) \setminus A \cong \pi_1(M)$ .  $\square$

If  $\pi_1(M)$  is  $FP_2$  and  $\pi_1(M) \setminus A$  is infinite then  $\pi_1(M)$  is the fundamental group of an aspherical Seifert-bred 3-manifold. There are no known examples of 2-knot groups  $\pi_1(M)$  with  $\pi_1(M)$  finitely generated but not finitely presentable.

We may construct examples of 2-knots with such groups as follows. Let  $N$  be a closed 3-manifold such that  $\pi_1(N)$  has weight 1 and  $\pi_1(N) = Z$ , and let  $w = w_1(N)$ . Then  $H^2(N; Z^w) = Z$ . Let  $M_e$  be the total space of the  $S^1$ -bundle over  $N$  with Euler class  $e \in H^2(N; Z^w)$ . Then  $M_e$  is orientable, and  $\pi_1(M_e)$  has weight 1 if  $e = 1$  or if  $w \neq 0$  and  $e$  is odd. In such cases surgery on a weight class in  $M_e$  gives  $S^4$ , so  $M_e = M(K)$  for some 2-knot  $K$ .

In particular, we may take  $N$  to be the result of 0-framed surgery on a classical knot. If the classical knot is  $3_1$  or  $4_1$  (i.e., is Seifert-bred of genus 1) then the resulting 2-knot group has commutator subgroup  $\pi_1$ . For examples with  $w \neq 0$  we may take one of the nonorientable surface bundles with group  $\langle ht; a_i; b_i (1 \leq i \leq n) \mid [a_i; b_i] = 1; ta_i t^{-1} = b_i; tb_i t^{-1} = a_i b_i (1 \leq i \leq n) \rangle$ , where  $n$  is odd. (When  $n = 1$  we get the third of the three 2-knot groups with commutator subgroup  $\pi_1$ . See Theorem 16.13.)

**Theorem 15.8** *Let  $K$  be a 2-knot with a minimal Seifert hypersurface, and such that  $\pi_1(M) = K$  has an abelian normal subgroup  $A$ . Then  $A \setminus \pi_1(M)$  is finite cyclic or is torsion free, and  $\pi_1(M)$  is finitely generated.*

**Proof** By assumption,  $\pi_1(M) = HNN(H; \phi: I = J)$  for some finitely presentable group  $H$  and isomorphism  $\phi$  of subgroups  $I$  and  $J$ , where  $I = J = \pi_1(V)$  for some Seifert hypersurface  $V$ . Let  $t \in \pi_1(M)$  be the stable letter. Either  $H \setminus A = I \setminus A$  or  $H \setminus A = J \setminus A$  (by Britton's Lemma). Hence  $\pi_1(M) \setminus A = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} t^n (I \setminus A) t^{-n}$  is a monotone union. Since  $I \setminus A$  is an abelian normal subgroup of a 3-manifold group it is finitely generated [Ga92], and since  $V$  is orientable  $I \setminus A$  is torsion

free or finite. If  $A \setminus I$  is finite cyclic or is central in  $\pi$  then  $A \setminus I = t^n(A \setminus I)t^{-n}$ , for all  $n$ , and so  $A \setminus I = A \setminus I$ . (In particular,  $\pi$  is finitely generated.) Otherwise  $A \setminus I$  is torsion free.  $\square$

This argument derives from [Yo92,97], where it was shown that if  $A$  is a finitely generated abelian normal subgroup then  $\pi \setminus A = I \setminus J$ .

**Corollary 15.8.1** *Let  $K$  be a 2-knot with a minimal Seifert hypersurface. If  $\pi = \pi(K)$  has a nontrivial abelian normal subgroup  $A$  then  $\pi \setminus A$  is finite cyclic or is torsion free. Moreover  $\pi \setminus A = 1, Z=2Z, Z, Z^2 (Z=2Z)$  or  $Z^2$ .*  $\square$

The knots  $0_41$ , the trivial knot,  $3_31$  and  $6_31$  are bred and their groups have centres  $1, Z, Z^2 (Z=2Z)$  and  $Z^2$ , respectively. A 2-knot with a minimal Seifert hypersurface and such that  $\pi \setminus A = Z=2Z$  is constructed in [Yo82]. This paper also gives an example with  $\pi \setminus A = Z, \pi \setminus A < \pi$  and such that  $\pi \setminus A$  has finitely many ends. In all known cases the centre of a 2-knot group is cyclic,  $Z^2 (Z=2Z)$  or  $Z^2$ .

### 15.4 Finite commutator subgroup

It is a well known consequence of the asphericity of the exteriors of classical knots that classical knot groups are torsion free. The first examples of higher dimensional knots whose groups have nontrivial torsion were given by Mazur [Mz62] and Fox [Fo62]. These examples are 2-knots whose groups have finite commutator subgroup. We shall show that if  $\pi$  is such a group  $\pi$  must be a CK group, and that the images of meridional automorphisms in  $Out(\pi)$  are conjugate, up to inversion. In each case there is just one 2-knot group with given finite commutator subgroup. Many of these groups can be realized by twist spinning classical knots. Zeeman introduced twist spinning in order to study Mazur's example; Fox used hyperplane cross sections, but his examples (with  $\pi \setminus A = Z=3Z$ ) were later shown to be twist spins [Kn83'].

**Lemma 15.9** *An automorphism of  $Q(8)$  is meridional if and only if it is conjugate to  $\sigma$ .*

**Proof** Since  $Q(8)$  is solvable an automorphism is meridional if and only if the induced automorphism of  $Q(8) = Q(8)^\theta$  is meridional. It is easily verified that all such elements of  $Aut(Q(8)) = (Z=2Z)^2 \rtimes SL(2; \mathbb{F}_2)$  are conjugate to  $\sigma$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 15.10** *All nontrivial automorphisms of  $I$  are meridional. Moreover each automorphism is conjugate to its inverse. The nontrivial outer automorphism class of  $I$  cannot be realised by a 2-knot group.*

**Proof** Since the only nontrivial proper normal subgroup of  $I$  is its centre ( $I = Z=2Z$ ) the first assertion is immediate. Since  $Aut(I) = S_5$  and the conjugacy class of a permutation is determined by its cycle structure each automorphism is conjugate to its inverse. Consideration of the Wang sequence for the projection of  $M(K)^\theta$  onto  $M(K)$  shows that the meridional automorphism induces the identity on  $H_3(\theta; \mathbb{Z})$ , and so the nontrivial outer automorphism class cannot occur, by Lemma 11.4.  $\square$

The elements of order 2 in  $A_5 = Inn(I)$  are all conjugate, as are the elements of order 3. There are two conjugacy classes of elements of order 5.

**Lemma 15.11** *An automorphism of  $T_k$  is meridional if and only if it is conjugate to  $\theta^{3^{k-1}}$  or  $\theta^{-3^{k-1}}$ . All such automorphisms have the same image in  $Out(T_k)$ .*

**Proof** Since  $T_k$  is solvable an automorphism is meridional if and only if the induced automorphism of  $T_k = (T_k)^\theta$  is meridional. Any such automorphism is conjugate to either  $\theta^{2j+1}$  or to  $\theta^{-2j+1}$  for some  $0 \leq j < 3^{k-1}$ . (Note that 3 divides  $2^{2j} - 1$  but does not divide  $2^{2j+1} - 1$ .) However among them only those with  $2j + 1 = 3^{k-1}$  satisfy the isometry condition of Theorem 14.3.  $\square$

**Theorem 15.12** *Let  $K$  be a 2-knot with group  $\pi_1(K) = K$ . If  $\theta$  is finite then  $\theta = P$  ( $Z=nZ$ ) where  $P = 1, Q(8), I$  or  $T_k$ , and  $(n; 2jP) = 1$ , and the meridional automorphism sends  $x$  and  $y$  in  $Q(8)$  to  $y$  and  $xy$ , is conjugation by a noncentral element on  $I$ , sends  $x, y$  and  $z$  in  $T_k$  to  $y^{-1}, x^{-1}$  and  $z^{-1}$ , and is  $-1$  on the cyclic factor.*

**Proof** Since  $H_2(M(K)) = 0$  and  $\pi_1(K)$  has two ends  $\theta$  has cohomological period dividing 4, by Theorem 11.1, and so is among the groups listed in  $\S 2$  of Chapter 11. As the meridional automorphism of  $\theta$  induces a meridional automorphism on the quotient by any characteristic subgroup, we may eliminate immediately the groups  $O(k)$  and  $A(m; e)$  and direct products with  $Z=2nZ$  since these all have abelianization cyclic of even order. If  $k > 1$  the subgroup generated by  $x$  in  $Q(8k)$  is a characteristic subgroup of index 2. Since  $Q(2^n a)$  is a quotient of  $Q(2^n a; b; c)$  by a characteristic subgroup (of order  $bc$ ) this eliminates this class also. Thus there remain only the above groups.

It is clear that automorphisms of a group  $G = H \ast J$  such that  $(jHj; jJ) = 1$  correspond to pairs of automorphisms  $\alpha_H$  and  $\alpha_J$  of  $H$  and  $J$ , respectively, and  $\alpha$  is meridional if and only if  $\alpha_H$  and  $\alpha_J$  are. Multiplication by  $s$  induces a meridional automorphism of  $Z = mZ$  if and only if  $(s - 1; m) = (s; m) = 1$ . If  $Z = mZ$  is a direct factor of  $\alpha$  then it is a direct summand of  $\alpha = \alpha \circ \alpha = H_1(M(K); \mathbb{Z})$  and so  $s^2 \equiv 1$  modulo  $(m)$ , by Theorem 14.3. Hence we must have  $s \equiv -1$  modulo  $(m)$ . The theorem now follows from Lemmas 15.9-15.11.  $\square$

Finite cyclic groups are realized by the 2-twist spins of 2-bridge knots, while the commutator subgroups of  ${}_3 3_1$ ,  ${}_4 3_1$  and  ${}_5 3_1$  are  $Q(8)$ ,  $T_1$  and  $I$ , respectively. As the groups of 2-bridge knots have 2 generator 1 relator presentations the groups of these twist spins have 2 generator presentations of deficiency 0. The groups with  $\alpha = Q(8)$  ( $Z = nZ$ ) also have such presentations, namely  $ht; u j t u^2 t^{-1} = u^{-2}; t^2 u^n t^{-2} = u^n t u^n t^{-1} i$ . They are realized by  $\alpha$ -bred 2-knots [Yo82], but if  $n > 1$  no such group can be realized by a twist spin (see  $\alpha 3$  of Chapter 16). An extension of the twist spin construction may be used to realize such groups by smooth  $\alpha$ -bred knots in the standard  $S^4$ , if  $n = 3, 5, 11, 13, 19, 21$  or  $27$  [Kn88, Tr90]. Is this so in general? The direct products of  $T_k$  and  $I$  with cyclic groups are realized by the 2-twist spins of certain pretzel knots [Yo82]. The corresponding knot groups have presentations  $ht; x; y; z j z = 1; x = z t z t^{-1}; y = z^2 t z t^{-1} z^{-1}; z y z^{-1} = x y; t x = x t i$  and  $ht; w j t w^n t^{-1} = w^n t^2 w^n t^{-2}; t^5 w^n = w^n t^5; t w^{10} t^{-1} = w^{-10} j$ ; respectively. We may easily eliminate the generators  $x$  and  $y$  from the former presentations to obtain 2 generator presentations of deficiency -1. It is not known whether any of these groups (other than those with  $\alpha = T_1$  or  $I$ ) have deficiency 0. Note that when  $P = I$  there is an isomorphism  $\alpha = I$  ( $\alpha = I$ ).

If  $P = 1$  or  $Q(8)$  the weight class is unique up to inversion, while  $T_k$  and  $I$  have 2 and 4 weight orbits, respectively, by Theorem 14.1. If  $\alpha = T_1$  or  $I$  each weight orbit is realized by a branched twist spun torus knot [PS87].

The group  ${}_5 3_1 = Z \ast I = Z \ast SL(2; \mathbb{F}_5)$  is the common member of two families of high dimensional knot groups which are not otherwise 2-knot groups. If  $p$  is a prime greater than 3 then  $SL(2; \mathbb{F}_p)$  is a finite superperfect group. Let  $e_p = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . Then  $(1; e_p)$  is a weight element for  $Z \ast SL(2; \mathbb{F}_p)$ . Similarly,  $(I)^m$  is superperfect and  $(1; e_5; \dots; e_5)$  is a weight element for  $G = Z \ast (I)^m$ , for any  $m \geq 0$ . However  $SL(2; \mathbb{F}_p)$  has cohomological period  $p - 1$  (see Corollary 1.27 of [DM85]), while  $(I)^m = (Z = 2Z)^m$  and so  $(I)^m$  does not have periodic cohomology if  $m > 1$ .

Kanenobu has shown that for every  $n > 0$  there is a 2-knot group with an element of order exactly  $n$  [Kn80].

### 15.5 The Tits alternative

An HNN extension (such as a knot group) is restrained if and only if it is ascending and the base is restrained. The class of groups considered in the next result probably includes all restrained 2-knot groups.

**Theorem 15.13** *Let  $G$  be a 2-knot group. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $G$  is restrained, locally  $FP_3$  and locally virtually indicable;
- (2)  $G$  is an ascending HNN extension  $H \rtimes \langle t \rangle$  where  $H$  is  $FP_3$ , restrained and virtually indicable;
- (3)  $G$  is elementary amenable and has an abelian normal subgroup of rank  $> 0$ ;
- (4)  $G$  is elementary amenable and is an ascending HNN extension  $H \rtimes \langle t \rangle$  where  $H$  is  $FP_2$ ;
- (5)  $G$  is finite or  $G = \langle t \rangle$  or  $G$  is torsion free virtually poly- $Z$  and  $h(G) = 4$ .

**Proof** Condition (1) implies (2) by Corollary 3.17.1. If (2) holds and  $H$  has one end then  $G = H$  and is a  $PD_3$ -group, by Corollary 15.3.1. Since  $H$  is virtually indicable and admits a meridional automorphism, it must have a subgroup of finite index which maps onto  $Z^2$ . Hence  $H$  is virtually poly- $Z$ , by Corollary 2.13.1 (together with the remark following it). Hence (2) implies (5). Conditions (3) and (4) imply (5) by Theorems 15.2 and 15.3, respectively. On the other hand (5) implies (1-4).  $\square$

In particular, if  $K$  is a 2-knot with a minimal Seifert hypersurface,  $K$  is restrained and the Alexander polynomial of  $K$  is nontrivial then either  $G = \langle t \rangle$  or  $G$  is torsion free virtually poly- $Z$  and  $h(G) = 4$ .

### 15.6 Abelian HNN bases

We shall complete Yoshikawa's study of 2-knot groups which are HNN extensions with abelian base. The first four paragraphs of the following proof outline the arguments of [Yo86,92]. (Our contribution is the argument in the final paragraph, eliminating possible torsion when the base has rank 1.)

**Theorem 15.14** *Let  $G$  be a 2-knot group which is an HNN extension with abelian base. Then either  $G$  is metabelian or it has a deficiency 1 presentation  $ht; x j t x^n t^{-1} = x^{n+1} j$  for some  $n > 1$ .*

**Proof** Suppose that  $G = HNN(A; j : B ! C)$  where  $A$  is abelian. Let  $j$  and  $j_C$  be the inclusions of  $B$  and  $C$  into  $A$ , and let  $\tilde{j} = j_C \circ j$ . Then  $\tilde{j} : B ! A$  is an isomorphism, by the Mayer-Vietoris sequence for homology with coefficients  $\mathbb{Z}$  for the HNN extension. Hence  $rank(A) = rank(B) = r$ , say, and the torsion subgroups  $TA$ ,  $TB$  and  $TC$  of  $A$ ,  $B$  and  $C$  coincide.

Suppose first that  $A$  is not finitely generated. Since  $G$  is finitely presentable and  $G = \langle \tilde{j} \rangle = Z$  it is also an HNN extension with finitely generated base and associated subgroups, by the Bieri-Strebel Theorem (1.13). Moreover we may assume the base is a subgroup of  $A$ . Considerations of normal forms with respect to the latter HNN structure imply that it must be ascending, and so  $G$  is metabelian [Yo92].

Assume now that  $A$  is finitely generated. Then the image of  $TA$  in  $G$  is a finite normal subgroup  $N$ , and  $G/N$  is a torsion free HNN extension with base  $A=TA = Z^r$ . Let  $j_F$  and  $j_{\tilde{F}}$  be the induced inclusions of  $B=TB$  into  $A=TA$ , and let  $M_j = j det(j_F)j$  and  $M_{\tilde{j}} = j det(j_{\tilde{F}})j$ . Applying the Mayer-Vietoris sequence for homology with coefficients  $\mathbb{Z}$ , we find that  $t \tilde{j}$  is injective and  $H_1(G/N; \mathbb{Z}) = H_1(Z^r; \mathbb{Z})$  has rank  $r$  as an abelian group. Now  $H_2(A; \mathbb{Z}) = A \wedge A$  (see page 334 of [Ro]) and so  $H_2(G/N; \mathbb{Z}) = Cok(t \wedge_2 \tilde{j} - \wedge_2 j)$  has rank  $\binom{r}{2}$ . Let  $\alpha_i(t) = \alpha_0(H_i(G/N; \mathbb{Z}))$ , for  $i = 1$  and  $2$ . Then  $\alpha_1(t) = det(t j_F - j_F)$  and  $\alpha_2(t) = det(t j_{\tilde{F}} \wedge j_{\tilde{F}} - j_F \wedge j_F)$ . Moreover  $\alpha_2(t^{-1})$  divides  $\alpha_1(t)$ , by Theorem 14.3. In particular,  $\binom{r}{2} \leq r$ , and so  $r \leq 3$ .

If  $r = 0$  then clearly  $B = A$  and so  $G$  is metabelian. If  $r = 2$  then  $\binom{r}{2} = 1$  and  $\alpha_2(t) = (t M_{\tilde{j}} - M_j)$ . Comparing coefficients of the terms of highest and lowest degree in  $\alpha_1(t)$  and  $\alpha_2(t^{-1})$ , we see that  $M_j = M_{\tilde{j}}$ , so  $\alpha_2(1) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ , which is impossible since  $j \alpha_1(1) j = 1$ . If  $r = 3$  a similar comparison of coefficients in  $\alpha_1(t)$  and  $\alpha_2(t^{-1})$  shows that  $M_j^3$  divides  $M_{\tilde{j}}$  and  $M_{\tilde{j}}^3$  divides  $M_j$ , so  $M_j = M_{\tilde{j}} = 1$ . Hence  $G/N$  is an isomorphism, and so  $G$  is metabelian.

There remains the case  $r = 1$ . Yoshikawa used similar arguments involving coefficients  $\mathbb{F}_p$  instead to show that in this case  $N = Z = Z$  for some odd prime  $p$ . The group  $G/N$  then has a presentation  $ht; x j t x^n t^{-1} = x^{n+1} j$  (with  $n \geq 1$ ). Let  $p$  be a prime. There is an isomorphism of the subfields  $\mathbb{F}_p(X^n)$  and  $\mathbb{F}_p(X^{n+1})$  of the rational function field  $\mathbb{F}_p(X)$  which carries  $X^n$  to  $X^{n+1}$ . Therefore  $\mathbb{F}_p(X)$  embeds in a skew field  $L$  containing an element  $t$  such that  $t X^n t^{-1} = X^{n+1}$ , by Theorem 5.5.1 of [Cn]. It is clear from the argument of

this theorem that the group ring  $\mathbb{F}_p[\pi/N]$  embeds as a subring of  $L$ , and so this group ring is weakly finite. Therefore so is the subring  $\mathbb{F}_p[C(\pi/N)]$ . It now follows from Lemma 3.15 that  $N$  must be trivial. Since  $\pi$  is metabelian if  $n = 1$  this completes the proof.  $\square$

## 15.7 Locally finite normal subgroups

Let  $K$  be a 2-knot such that  $\pi = \pi/K$  has an infinite locally finite normal subgroup  $T$ , which we may assume maximal. As  $\pi$  has one end and  $\chi_1^{(2)}(\pi) = 0$ , by Gromov's Theorem (2.3),  $H^2(\pi; \mathbb{Z}[T]) \neq 0$ . For otherwise  $M(K)$  would be aspherical and so  $\pi$  would be torsion free, by Theorem 3.5. Moreover  $T < \pi$  and  $\pi/T$  is not virtually  $Z$ , so  $e(\pi/T) = 1$  or  $1/2$ . (No examples of such 2-knot groups are known, and we expect that there are none with  $e(\pi/T) = 1$ ).

If  $H_1(T; R) = 0$  for some subring  $R$  of  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}[T]$  embeds in a weakly finite ring  $S$  with an involution extending that of the group ring, which is flat as a right  $\mathbb{Z}[T]$ -module and such that  $S_{\mathbb{Z}[T]} Z = 0$  then either  $\pi/T$  is a  $PD_4^+$ -group over  $R$  or  $H^2(\pi/T; R[T]) \neq 0$ , or  $e(\pi/T) = 1/2$ , by the Addendum to Theorem 2.7 of [H2]. This applies in particular if  $\pi/T$  has a nontrivial locally nilpotent normal subgroup  $U=T$ , for then  $U=T$  is torsion free. (See Proposition 5.2.7 of [Ro].) Moreover  $e(\pi/T) = 1$ . An iterated LHSSS argument shows that if  $h(U=T) > 1$  or if  $U=T = Z$  and  $e(\pi/U) = 1$  then  $H^2(\pi/T; \mathbb{Q}[T]) = 0$ . (This is also the case if  $h(U=T) = 1$ ,  $e(\pi/U) = 1$  and  $\pi/T$  is finitely presentable, by Theorem 1 of [Mi87] with [GM86].) Thus if  $H^2(\pi/T; \mathbb{Q}[T]) \neq 0$  then  $U=T$  is abelian of rank 1 and either  $e(\pi/U) = 2$  (in which case  $\pi/T = \pi/U$ , by Theorem 15.2),  $e(\pi/U) = 1$  (and  $U=T$  not finitely generated and  $\pi/U$  not finitely presentable) or  $e(\pi/U) = 1/2$ . As  $Aut(U=T)$  is then abelian  $U=T$  is central in  $\pi/U$ . Moreover  $\pi/U$  can have no nontrivial locally finite normal subgroups, for otherwise  $T$  would not be maximal in  $\pi$ , by an easy extension of Schur's Theorem (Proposition 10.1.4 of [Ro]).

Hence if  $\pi$  has an ascending series whose factors are either locally finite or locally nilpotent then either  $\pi/T = \pi/U$  or  $h(\pi/U) = 2$  and so  $\pi/T$  is a  $PD_4^+$ -group over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Since  $J = \pi/T$  is elementary amenable and has no nontrivial locally finite normal subgroup it is virtually solvable and  $h(J) = 4$ , by Theorem 1.11. It can be shown that  $J$  is virtually poly- $Z$  and  $J^\theta \setminus J = Z^3$  or  $Z^q$  for some  $q \geq 1$ . (See Theorem VI.2 of [H1].) The possibilities for  $J^\theta$  are examined in Theorems VI.3-5 and VI.9 of [H1]. We shall not repeat this discussion here as we expect that if  $G$  is finitely presentable and  $T$  is an infinite locally finite normal subgroup such that  $e(G/T) = 1$  then  $H^2(G; \mathbb{Z}[G]) = 0$ .



The following lemma suggests that there may be a homological route to showing that solvable 2-knot groups are virtually torsion free.

**Lemma 15.15** *Let  $G$  be an  $FP_2$  group with a torsion normal subgroup  $T$  such that either  $G/T = Z_m$  for some  $m \neq 0$  or  $G/T$  is virtually poly- $Z$ . Then  $T=T^\theta$  has finite exponent as an abelian group. In particular, if  $G$  is solvable then  $T = 1$  if and only if  $H_1(T; \mathbb{F}_\rho) = 0$  for all primes  $\rho$ .*

**Proof** Let  $C$  be a free  $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -resolution of the augmentation module  $Z$  which is finitely generated in degrees  $\leq 2$ . Since  $\mathbb{Z}[G/T]$  is coherent [BS79],  $T=T^\theta = H_1(\mathbb{Z}[G/T] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[G]} C)$  is finitely presentable as a  $\mathbb{Z}[G/T]$ -module. If  $T=T^\theta$  is generated by elements  $t_i$  of order  $e_i$  then  $e_i$  is a finite exponent for  $T=T^\theta$ .

If  $G$  is solvable then so is  $T$ , and  $T = 1$  if and only if  $T=T^\theta = 1$ . Since  $T=T^\theta$  has finite exponent  $T=T^\theta = 1$  if and only if  $H_1(T; \mathbb{F}_\rho) = 0$  for all primes  $\rho$ .  $\square$

Note also that  $\mathbb{F}_\rho[Z_m]$  is a coherent Ore domain of global dimension 2, while if  $J$  is a torsion free virtually poly- $Z$  group then  $\mathbb{F}_\rho[J]$  is a noetherian Ore domain of global dimension  $h(J)$ . (See x4.4 and x13.3 of [Pa].)



## Chapter 16

### Abelian normal subgroups of rank

2

If  $K$  is a 2-knot such that  $h(\overline{K}) = 2$  then  $\overline{K} = Z^2$ , by Corollary 15.5.1. The main examples are the branched twist spins of torus knots, whose groups usually have centre of rank 2. (There are however examples in which  $\overline{K}$  is not central.) Although we have not been able to show that all 2-knot groups with centre of rank 2 are realized by such knots, we have a number of partial results that suggest strongly that this may be so. Moreover we can characterize the groups which arise in this way (obvious exceptions aside) as being the 3-knot groups which are  $PD_4^+$ -groups and have centre of rank 2, with some power of a weight element being central. The strategy applies to other twist spins of prime 1-knots; however in general we do not have satisfactory algebraic characterizations of the 3-manifold groups involved. If  $h(\overline{K}) > 2$  then  $M(K)$  is homeomorphic to an infrasolvmanifold. We shall determine the groups of such knots and give optimal presentations for them in §4 of this chapter. Two of these groups are virtually  $Z^4$ ; in all other cases  $h(\overline{K}) = 3$ .

#### 16.1 The Brieskorn manifolds $M(p; q; r)$

Let  $M(p; q; r) = f(u; v; w) \subset \mathbb{C}^3$   $ju^p + v^q + w^r = 0g \setminus S^5$ . Thus  $M(p; q; r)$  is a Brieskorn 3-manifold (the link of an isolated singularity of the intersection of  $n$  algebraic hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{C}^{n+2}$ , for some  $n \geq 1$ ). It is clear that  $M(p; q; r)$  is unchanged by a permutation of  $fp; q; rg$ .

Let  $s = hcfpq; pr; qrg$ . Then  $M(p; q; r)$  admits an effective  $S^1$ -action given by  $z(u; v; w) = (z^{qr=s}u; z^{pr=s}v; z^{pq=s}w)$  for  $z \in S^1$  and  $(u; v; w) \in M(p; q; r)$ , and so is Seifert-fibered. More precisely, let  $\ell = lcmfp; q; rg$ ,  $p^\ell = lcmfq; rg$ ,  $q^\ell = lcmfp; rg$  and  $r^\ell = lcmfp; qg$ ,  $s_1 = qr=p^\ell$ ,  $s_2 = pr=q^\ell$  and  $s_3 = pq=r^\ell$  and  $t_1 = \ell/p^\ell$ ,  $t_2 = \ell/q^\ell$  and  $t_3 = \ell/r^\ell$ . Let  $g = (2 + (pqr=\ell) - s_1 - s_2 - s_3)=2$ . Then  $M(p; q; r) = M(g; s_1(t_1 - 1); s_2(t_2 - 2); s_3(t_3 - 3))$ , in the notation of [NR78], where the coefficients  $s_i$  are determined modulo  $t_i$  by the equation

$$e = -(qr_{-1} + pr_{-2} + pq_{-3})=\ell = -pqr=\ell^2$$

for the generalized Euler number. (See [NR78].) If  $p^{-1} + q^{-1} + r^{-1} > 1$  the Seifert fibration is essentially unique. (See Theorem 3.8 of [Sc83'].) In most cases the triple  $(p; q; r)$  is determined by the Seifert structure of  $M(p; q; r)$ . (Note however that, for example,  $M(2; 9; 18) = M(3; 5; 15)$  [Mi75].)

The map  $f : M(p; q; r) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^1$  given by  $f(u; v; w) = [u^p : v^q]$  is constant on the orbits of the  $S^1$ -action, and the exceptional fibres are those above 0,  $-1$  and  $1$  in  $\mathbb{C}P^1$ . In particular, if  $p, q$  and  $r$  are pairwise relatively prime  $f$  is the orbit map and  $M(p; q; r)$  is Seifert fibred over the orbifold  $S^2(p; q; r)$ . The involution  $c$  of  $M(p; q; r)$  induced by complex conjugation in  $\mathbb{C}^3$  is orientation preserving and is compatible with  $f$  and complex conjugation in  $\mathbb{C}P^1$ .

The 3-manifold  $M(p; q; r)$  is a  $S^3$ -manifold if and only if  $p^{-1} + q^{-1} + r^{-1} > 1$ . The triples  $(2; 2; r)$  give lens spaces. The other triples with  $p^{-1} + q^{-1} + r^{-1} > 1$  are permutations of  $(2; 3; 3)$ ,  $(2; 3; 4)$  or  $(2; 3; 5)$ , and give the three CK 3-manifolds with fundamental groups  $Q(8)$ ,  $T_1$  and  $I$ . The manifolds  $M(2; 3; 6)$ ,  $M(3; 3; 3)$  and  $M(2; 4; 4)$  are  $Ni\beta$ -manifolds; in all other cases  $M(p; q; r)$  is a  $\mathbb{H}L$ -manifold (in fact, a coset space of  $\mathbb{H}L$  [Mi75]), and  $\pi_1(M(p; q; r)) = Z$ .

Let  $A(u; v; w) = (u; v; e^{i-r}w)$  and  $g(u; v; w) = (u; v; (juj^2 + jvj^2))$ , for  $(u; v; w) \in M(p; q; r)$ . Then  $A$  generates a  $Z=rZ$ -action which commutes with the above  $S^1$ -action, and these actions agree on their subgroups of order  $r=s$ . The projection to the orbit space  $M(p; q; r)/\langle A \rangle$  may be identified with the map  $g : M(p; q; r) \rightarrow S^3$ , which is an  $r$ -fold cyclic branched covering, branched over the  $(p; q)$ -torus link. (See Lemma 1.1 of [Mi75].)

### 16.2 Rank 2 subgroups

In this section we shall show that an abelian normal subgroup of rank 2 in a 2-knot group is free abelian and not contained in the commutator subgroup.

**Lemma 16.1** *Let  $G$  be the fundamental group of a closed  $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{E}^1$ -,  $So\beta$ - or  $S^2 \times \mathbb{E}^1$ -manifold. Then  $G$  admits no meridional automorphism.*

**Proof** The fundamental group of a closed  $So\beta$ - or  $S^2 \times \mathbb{E}^1$ -manifold has a characteristic subgroup with quotient having two ends. If  $\Gamma$  is a lattice in  $Isom^+(\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{E}^1)$  then  $\rho_- = Z$  and either  $\rho_- = \Gamma$  and is not contained in  $\rho$  or  $C(\rho_-)$  is a characteristic subgroup of index 2 in  $\rho_-$ . In none of these cases can  $\rho_-$  admit a meridional automorphism. □

**Theorem 16.2** *Let  $K$  be a 2-knot whose group  $\pi_1(K)$  has an abelian normal subgroup  $A$  of rank 2. Then  $\pi_1(K)$  is a  $PD_4^+$ -group,  $A = Z^2$ ,  $\pi_1(K) \setminus A = Z$ ,  $\pi_1(K) \setminus A \cong \pi_1(K) \setminus I(\mathbb{R}^3)$ ,  $[\rho : C(A)] = 2$  and  $\pi_1(K) = \pi_1(N)$ , where  $N$  is a  $Ni\beta$ - or  $\mathbb{S}L$ -manifold. If  $\pi_1(K)$  is virtually solvable then  $M(K)$  is homeomorphic to a  $Ni\beta \mathbb{E}^1$ -manifold. If  $\pi_1(K)$  is not virtually solvable then  $M(K)$  is s-cobordant to the mapping torus  $M(\rho)$  of a self homeomorphism  $\rho$  of a  $\mathbb{S}L$ -manifold;  $M(\rho)$  is a  $\mathbb{S}L \mathbb{E}^1$ -manifold if  $\rho = Z^2$ .*

**Proof** The first two assertions follow from Theorem 9.2, where it is also shown that  $\pi_1(K) \setminus A$  is virtually a  $PD_2$ -group. If  $A < \pi_1(K)$  then  $\pi_1(K) \setminus A$  has infinite abelianization and so maps onto some planar discontinuous group, with infinite kernel [EM82]. As the planar discontinuous group is virtually a surface group it has a compact fundamental region. But no such group has abelianization  $Z$ . (This follows for instance from consideration of the presentations given in Theorem 4.5.6 of [ZVC].) Therefore  $\pi_1(K) \setminus A = Z$ . If  $\rho$  is the meridional automorphism of  $\pi_1(K) \setminus I(\mathbb{R}^3)$  then  $\rho - 1$  is invertible, and so cannot have  $-1$  as an eigenvalue. Hence  $\pi_1(K) \setminus A \cong \pi_1(K) \setminus I(\mathbb{R}^3)$ . In particular,  $\pi_1(K)$  is not abelian.

The image of  $\rho = C(A)$  in  $Aut(A) = GL(2; \mathbb{Z})$  is triangular, since  $\pi_1(K) \setminus A = Z$  is normal in  $\pi_1(K)$ . Therefore as  $\rho = C(A)$  has infinite cyclic abelianization it must have order at most 2. Thus  $[\rho : C(A)] = 2$ , so  $\rho < C(A)$  and  $\pi_1(K) \setminus A < \pi_1(K)$ . The subgroup  $H$  generated by  $\rho \setminus A$  has finite index in  $\pi_1(K)$  and so is also a  $PD_4^+$ -group. Since  $A$  is central in  $H$  and maps onto  $H \setminus A$  we have  $H = \pi_1(K) \setminus Z$ . Hence  $\pi_1(K)$  is a  $PD_3^+$ -group with nontrivial centre. As the nonabelian flat 3-manifold groups either admit no meridional automorphism or have trivial centre,  $\pi_1(K) = \pi_1(N)$  for some  $Ni\beta$ - or  $\mathbb{S}L$ -manifold  $N$ , by Theorem 2.14 and Lemma 16.1.

The manifold  $M(K)$  is s-cobordant to the mapping torus  $M(\rho)$  of a self homeomorphism of  $N$ , by Theorem 13.2. If  $N$  is a  $Ni\beta$ -manifold  $M(K)$  is homeomorphic to  $M(\rho)$ , by Theorem 8.1, and  $M(K)$  must be a  $Ni\beta \mathbb{E}^1$ -manifold, since the groups of  $\mathbb{S}O_1^4$ -manifolds do not have rank 2 abelian normal subgroups, while the groups of  $Ni^4$ -manifolds cannot have abelianization  $Z$ , as they have characteristic rank 2 subgroups contained in their commutator subgroups.

We may assume also that  $M(\rho)$  is Seifert fibered over a 2-orbifold  $B$ . If moreover  $\rho = Z^2$  then  $B$  must be orientable, and the monodromy representation of  $\pi_1^{orb}(B)$  in  $Aut(\pi_1(K) \setminus A) = GL(2; \mathbb{Z})$  is trivial. Therefore if  $N$  is an  $\mathbb{S}L$ -manifold and  $\rho = Z^2$  then  $M(\rho)$  is a  $\mathbb{S}L \mathbb{E}^1$ -manifold, by Theorem B of [Ue91] and Lemma 16.1. □

If  $p, q$  and  $r$  are pairwise relatively prime  $M(p; q; r)$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -homology 3-sphere and  $\pi_1(M(p; q; r))$  has a presentation

$$\langle a_1, a_2, a_3, h \mid a_1^p = a_2^q = a_3^r = a_1 a_2 a_3 = h \rangle$$

(see [Mi75]). The automorphism  $c$  of  $\pi_1(M(p; q; r))$  induced by the involution  $c$  is determined by  $c(a_1) = a_1^{-1}$ ,  $c(a_2) = a_2^{-1}$  and  $c(h) = h^{-1}$ , and hence  $c(a_3) = a_2 a_3^{-1} a_2^{-1}$ . If one of  $p, q$  and  $r$  is even  $c$  is meridional. Surgery on the mapping torus of  $c$  gives rise to a 2-knot whose group  $\pi_1 \Sigma_c$  has an abelian normal subgroup  $A = \langle h^2, h \rangle$ . If moreover  $p^{-1} + q^{-1} + r^{-1} < 1$  then  $A = \mathbb{Z}^2$ , but is not central.

The only virtually poly- $\mathbb{Z}$  groups with noncentral rank 2 abelian normal subgroups are the groups  $(b; \cdot)$  discussed in §4 below.

**Theorem 16.3** *Let  $\Sigma$  be a 2-knot group such that  $\pi_1 \Sigma$  has rank greater than 1. Then  $\pi_1 \Sigma = \mathbb{Z}^2$ ,  $\pi_1 \Sigma \setminus \langle h \rangle = \mathbb{Z}$ , and  $\pi_1 \Sigma \setminus \langle h^2 \rangle = \mathbb{Z}$ .*

**Proof** If  $\pi_1 \Sigma$  had rank greater than 2 then  $\pi_1 \Sigma \setminus \langle h \rangle$  would contain an abelian normal subgroup of rank 2, contrary to Theorem 16.2. Therefore  $\pi_1 \Sigma = \mathbb{Z}^2$  and  $\pi_1 \Sigma \setminus \langle h \rangle = \mathbb{Z}$ . Moreover  $\pi_1 \Sigma \setminus \langle h^2 \rangle = \mathbb{Z}$ , since  $\pi_1 \Sigma \setminus \langle h \rangle = \mathbb{Z}$ . In particular  $\pi_1 \Sigma$  is nonabelian and  $\pi_1 \Sigma$  has nontrivial centre. Hence  $\pi_1 \Sigma$  is the fundamental group of a  $\mathbb{N}/\beta$ - or  $\mathbb{S}^1/\mathbb{L}$ -manifold, by Theorem 16.2, and so  $\pi_1 \Sigma = \mathbb{Z}$ . It follows easily that  $\pi_1 \Sigma \setminus \langle h^2 \rangle = \mathbb{Z}$ .  $\square$

The proof of this result in [H1] relied on the theorems of Bieri and Strebel, rather than Bowditch's Theorem.

### 16.3 Twist spins of torus knots

The commutator subgroup of the group of the  $r$ -twist spin of a classical knot  $K$  is the fundamental group of the  $r$ -fold cyclic branched cover of  $S^3$ , branched over  $K$  [Ze65]. The  $r$ -fold cyclic branched cover of a sum of knots is the connected sum of the  $r$ -fold cyclic branched covers of the factors, and is irreducible if and only if the knot is prime. Moreover the cyclic branched covers of a prime knot are either aspherical or finitely covered by  $S^3$ ; in particular no summand has free fundamental group [Pl84]. The cyclic branched covers of prime knots with nontrivial companions are Haken 3-manifolds [GL84]. The  $r$ -fold cyclic branched cover of a simple nontorus knot is a hyperbolic 3-manifold if  $r \geq 3$ , excepting only the 3-fold cyclic branched cover of the figure-eight knot, which is the Hantzsche-Wendt flat 3-manifold [Du83]. The  $r$ -fold cyclic branched cover

of the  $(p; q)$ -torus knot  $k_{p; q}$  is the Brieskorn manifold  $M(p; q; r)$  [Mi75]. (In particular, there are only four  $r$ -fold cyclic branched covers of nontrivial knots for any  $r > 2$  which have finite fundamental group.)

**Theorem 16.4** *Let  $M$  be the  $r$ -fold cyclic branched cover of  $S^3$ , branched over a knot  $K$ , and suppose that  $r > 2$  and that  $\frac{1}{r} \chi(M) \notin 1$ . Then  $K$  is uniquely determined by  $M$  and  $r$ , and either  $K$  is a torus knot or  $K = 4_1$  and  $r = 3$ .*

**Proof** As the connected summands of  $M$  are the cyclic branched covers of the factors of  $K$ , any homotopy sphere summand must be standard, by the proof of the Smith conjecture. Therefore  $M$  is aspherical, and is either Seifert fibered or is a  $S^2$ -manifold, by Theorem 2.14. It must in fact be a  $\mathbb{E}^3$ -,  $\mathbb{N}/\beta^3$ - or  $\mathbb{S}^2/\mathbb{L}$ -manifold, by Lemma 16.1. If there is a Seifert fibration which is preserved by the automorphisms of the branched cover the fixed circle (the branch set of  $M$ ) must be a fibre of the fibration (since  $r > 2$ ) which therefore passes to a Seifert fibration of  $X(K)$ . Thus  $K$  must be a  $(p; q)$ -torus knot, for some relatively prime integers  $p$  and  $q$  [BZ]. These integers may be determined arithmetically from  $r$  and the formulae for the Seifert invariants of  $M(p; q; r)$  given in §1. Otherwise  $M$  is flat [MS86] and so  $K = 4_1$  and  $r = 3$ , by [Du83].  $\square$

All the knots whose 2-fold branched covers are Seifert fibered are torus knots or Montesinos knots. (This class includes the 2-bridge knots and pretzel knots, and was first described in [Mo73].) The number of distinct knots whose 2-fold branched cover is a given Seifert fibered 3-manifold can be arbitrarily large [Be84]. Moreover for each  $r \geq 2$  there are distinct simple 1-knots whose  $r$ -fold cyclic branched covers are homeomorphic [Sa81, Ko86].

If  $K$  is a fibered 2-knot with monodromy of finite order  $r$  and if  $(r; s) = 1$  then the  $s$ -fold cyclic branched cover of  $S^4$ , branched over  $K$  is again a 4-sphere and so the branch set gives a new 2-knot, which we shall call the  $s$ -fold cyclic branched cover of  $K$ . This new knot is again fibered, with the same fibre and monodromy the  $s^{\text{th}}$  power of that of  $K$  [Pa78, Pl86]. If  $K$  is a classical knot we shall let  ${}_{r; s}K$  denote the  $s$ -fold cyclic branched cover of the  $r$ -twist spin of  $K$ . We shall call such knots *branched twist spins*, for brevity.

Using properties of  $S^1$ -actions on smooth homotopy 4-spheres, Plotnick obtains the following result [Pl86].

**Theorem** (Plotnick) *A 2-knot is fibered with periodic monodromy if and only if it is a branched twist spin of a knot in a homotopy 3-sphere.*  $\square$

Here "periodic monodromy" means that the fibration of the exterior of the knot has a characteristic map of finite order. It is not in general sufficient that the *closed* monodromy be represented by a map of finite order. (For instance, if  $K$  is a braid 2-knot with  $\theta = Q(8)$  ( $Z=nZ$ ) for some  $n > 1$  then the meridional automorphism of  $\theta$  has order 6, and so it follows from the observations above that  $K$  is not a twist spin.)

In our application in the next theorem we are able to show directly that the homotopy 3-sphere arising there may be assumed to be standard.

**Theorem 16.5** *A group  $G$  which is not virtually solvable is the group of a branched twist spin of a torus knot if and only if it is a 3-knot group and a  $PD_4^+$ -group with centre of rank 2, some nonzero power of a weight element being central.*

**Proof** If  $K$  is a cyclic branched cover of the  $r$ -twist spin of the  $(p; q)$ -torus knot then  $M(K)$  fibres over  $S^1$  with fibre  $M(p; q; r)$  and monodromy of order  $r$ , and so the  $r^{\text{th}}$  power of a meridian is central. Moreover the monodromy commutes with the natural  $S^1$ -action on  $M(p; q; r)$  (see Lemma 1.1 of [Mi75]) and hence preserves a Seifert fibration. Hence the meridian commutes with  $\pi_1(M(p; q; r))$ , which is therefore also central in  $G$ . Since (with the above exceptions)  $\pi_1(M(p; q; r))$  is a  $PD_3^+$ -group with infinite centre and which is virtually representable onto  $Z$ , the necessity of the conditions is evident.

Conversely, if  $G$  is such a group then  $G^\theta$  is the fundamental group of a Seifert braid 3-manifold,  $N$  say, by Theorem 2.14. Moreover  $N$  is "sufficiently complicated" in the sense of [Zi79], since  $G^\theta$  is not virtually solvable. Let  $t$  be an element of  $G$  whose normal closure is the whole group, and such that  $t^n$  is central for some  $n > 0$ . Let  $\tau$  be the automorphism of  $G^\theta$  determined by  $t$ , and let  $m$  be the order of the outer automorphism class  $[\tau] \in \text{Out}(G^\theta)$ . By Corollary 3.3 of [Zi79] there is a fibre preserving self homeomorphism  $\sigma$  of  $N$  inducing  $[\tau]$  such that the group of homeomorphisms of  $\mathcal{N} = R^3$  generated by the covering group  $G^\theta$  together with the lifts of  $\sigma$  is an extension of  $Z=mZ$  by  $G^\theta$ , and which is a quotient of the semidirect product  $\hat{G} = G \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle = G^\theta \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle$  ( $Z=nZ$ ). Since the self homeomorphism of  $\mathcal{N}$  corresponding to the image of  $t$  has finite order it has a connected 1-dimensional fixed point set, by Smith theory. The image  $P$  of a fixed point in  $N$  determines a cross-section  $\Sigma = fPg \subset S^1$  of the mapping torus  $M(\sigma)$ . Surgery on  $\Sigma$  in  $M(\sigma)$  gives a 2-knot with group  $G$  which is braid with monodromy (of the fibration of the exterior  $X$ ) of finite order. We may then apply Plotnick's Theorem to conclude that the 2-knot is a branched twist spin of a knot in a homotopy 3-sphere. Since the monodromy



respects the Seifert fibration and leaves the centre of  $G^\theta$  invariant, the branch set must be a fibre, and the orbit manifold a Seifert fibred homotopy 3-sphere. Therefore the orbit knot is a torus knot in  $S^3$ , and the 2-knot is a branched twist spin of a torus knot.  $\square$

Can we avoid the appeal to Plotnick's Theorem in the above argument?

If  $p$ ,  $q$  and  $r$  are pairwise relatively prime then  $M(p; q; r)$  is an homology sphere and the group of the  $r$ -twist spin of the  $(p; q)$ -torus knot has a central element which maps to a generator of  $\pi_1 = \theta$ . Hence  $\pi_1 = \theta \cdot Z$  and  $\theta$  has weight 1. Moreover if  $t$  is a generator for the  $Z$  summand then an element  $h$  of  $\pi_1$  is a weight element for  $\theta$  if and only if  $ht$  is a weight element for  $\theta$ . This correspondance also gives a bijection between conjugacy classes of such weight elements. If we exclude the case  $(2; 3; 5)$  then  $\pi_1$  has infinitely many distinct weight orbits, and moreover there are weight elements such that no power is central [Pl83]. Therefore we may obtain many 2-knots whose groups are as in Theorem 16.5 but which are not themselves branched twist spins by surgery on weight elements in  $M(p; q; r) \times S^1$ .

If  $K$  is a 2-knot with group as in Theorem 16.5 then  $M(K)$  is aspherical, and so is homotopy equivalent to  $M(K_1)$  for some  $K_1$  which is a branched twist spin of a torus knot. If we assume that  $K$  is fibred, with irreducible fibre, we get a stronger result. The next theorem is a version of Proposition 6.1 of [Pl86], starting from more algebraic hypotheses.

**Theorem 16.6** *Let  $K$  be a fibred 2-knot whose group has centre of rank 2, some power of a weight element being central. Suppose that the fibre is irreducible. Then  $M(K)$  is homeomorphic to  $M(K_1)$ , where  $K_1$  is some branched twist spin of a torus knot.*

**Proof** Let  $F$  be the closed fibre and  $\pi_1 : F \rightarrow F$  the characteristic map. Then  $F$  is a Seifert fibred manifold, as above. Now the automorphism of  $F$  constructed as in Theorem 16.5 induces the same outer automorphism of  $\pi_1(F)$  as  $\pi_1$ , and so these maps must be homotopic. Therefore they are in fact isotopic [Sc85, BO91]. The theorem now follows.  $\square$

We may apply Plotnick's theorem in attempting to understand twist spins of other knots. As the arguments are similar to those of Theorems 16.5 and 16.6, except in that the existence of homeomorphisms of finite order and "homotopy implies isotopy" require different justifications, while the conclusions are less satisfactory, we shall not give proofs for the following assertions.

Let  $G$  be a 3-knot group such that  $G^0$  is the fundamental group of a hyperbolic 3-manifold and in which some nonzero power of a weight element is central. If the 3-dimensional Poincaré conjecture is true then we may use Mostow rigidity to show that  $G$  is the group of some branched twist spin  $K$  of a simple non-torus knot. Moreover if  $K_1$  is any other Seifert-bred 2-knot with group  $G$  and hyperbolic core then  $M(K_1)$  is homeomorphic to  $M(K)$ . In particular the simple knot and the order of the twist are uniquely determined by  $G$ .

Similarly if  $G^0$  is the fundamental group of a Haken 3-manifold which is not Seifert-bred and the 3-dimensional Poincaré conjecture is true then we may use [Zi82] to show that  $G$  is the group of some branched twist spin of a prime non-torus knot. If moreover all finite group actions on the core are geometric the prime knot and the order of the twist are uniquely determined by  $G^0$  [Zi86].

### 16.4 Solvable $PD_4$ -groups

If  $G$  is a 2-knot group such that  $h(G^0) > 2$  then  $G$  is virtually poly- $Z$  and  $h(G) = 4$ , by Theorem 8.1. In this section we shall determine all such 2-knot groups.

**Lemma 16.7** *Let  $G$  be torsion free and virtually poly- $Z$  with  $h(G) = 4$  and  $G^0 = G^1 = Z$ . Then  $G^0 = Z^3$  or  $G_6$  or  $G^0 = \langle \gamma \rangle_q$  (for some  $q > 0$ ) and  $G^1 = G^2 = Z = 3Z$  or 1.*

**Proof** Let  $H = G = \langle \gamma \rangle_q$ . Then  $H = H^0 = Z$  and  $h(H^0) = 1$ , since  $G^0 = G^1 \setminus G$  and  $h(G^0 \setminus G) = h(G) - 1 = 3$ . Hence  $H^0 = G^0 = \langle \gamma \rangle_q$  is finite.

If  $G^0 = Z^3$  then  $G^1 = Z^3$  or  $G_6$ , since these are the only flat 3-manifold groups which admit meridional automorphisms.

If  $G^0 = \langle \gamma \rangle_q$  for some  $q > 0$  then  $G^0 = Z$  is normal in  $G$  and so is central in  $G^1$ . Using the known structure of automorphisms of  $\langle \gamma \rangle_q$ , it follows that the finite group  $G^1 = G^2$  must act on  $G^0 = G^1 = Z^2$  via  $SL(2; \mathbb{Z})$  and so must be cyclic. Moreover it must be of odd order, and hence 1 or  $Z = 3Z$ , since  $G = G^1$  has infinite cyclic abelianization.  $\square$

Such a group  $G$  is the group of a Seifert-bred 2-knot if and only if it is orientable, by Theorems 14.4 and 14.7.

**Theorem 16.8** Let  $G$  be a 2-knot group with  $H^1(G) = Z^3$ , and let  $C$  be the image of the meridional automorphism in  $SL(3; \mathbb{Z})$ . Then  $\chi_C(t) = \det(tI - C)$  is irreducible,  $\text{tr}(C) = 1$  and  $H^1(G)$  is isomorphic to an ideal in the domain  $R = \mathbb{Z}[t] / (\chi_C(t))$ . Two such groups are isomorphic if and only if the polynomials are equal (after inverting  $t$ , if necessary) and the ideal classes then agree. There are finitely many ideal classes for each such polynomial and each class (equivalently, each such matrix) is realized by some 2-knot group. Moreover  $H^2(G) = H^1(G)$  and  $H^3(G) = 1$ . Each such group  $G$  has two strict weight orbits.

**Proof** Let  $t$  be a weight element for  $G$  and let  $C$  be the matrix of the action of  $t$  by conjugation on  $H^1(G)$ , with respect to some basis. Then  $\det(C - I) = -1$ , since  $t-1$  acts invertibly. Moreover if  $K$  is a 2-knot with group  $G$  then  $M(K)$  is orientable and aspherical, so  $\det(C) = +1$ . Conversely, surgery on the mapping torus of the self homeomorphism of  $S^1 \times S^1 \times S^1$  determined by such a matrix  $C$  gives a 2-knot with group  $Z^3 \rtimes_C Z$ .

The Alexander polynomial of  $K$  is the characteristic polynomial  $\chi_K(t) = \det(tI - C)$  which has the form  $t^3 - at^2 + bt - 1$ , for some  $a$  and  $b = a - 1$ . It is irreducible, since it does not vanish at  $t = 1$ . Since  $H^1(G)$  is annihilated by  $\chi_K(t)$  it is an  $R$ -module; moreover as it is torsion free it embeds in  $\mathbb{Q} \otimes H^1(G)$ , which is a vector space over the field of fractions  $\mathbb{Q} \otimes R$ . Since  $H^1(G)$  is finitely generated and  $H^1(G)$  and  $R$  each have rank 3 as abelian groups it follows that  $H^1(G)$  is isomorphic to an ideal in  $R$ . Moreover the characteristic polynomial of  $C$  cannot be cyclotomic and so no power of  $t$  can commute with any nontrivial element of  $H^1(G)$ . Hence  $H^2(G) = H^1(G)$  and  $H^3(G) = 1$ .

By Lemma 1.1 two such semidirect products are isomorphic if and only if the matrices are conjugate up to inversion. The conjugacy classes of matrices in  $SL(3; \mathbb{Z})$  with given irreducible characteristic polynomial  $\chi(t)$  correspond to the ideal classes of  $R = \mathbb{Z}[t] / (\chi(t))$ , by Theorem 1.4. Therefore  $G$  is determined by the ideal class of  $H^1(G)$ , and there are finitely many such 2-knot groups with given Alexander polynomial.

Since  $H^0(G) = 1$  the final observation follows from Theorem 14.1. □

We shall call 2-knots with such groups "Cappell-Shaneson" 2-knots.

**Lemma 16.9** Let  $\chi_a(t) = t^3 - at^2 + (a - 1)t - 1$  for some  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then every ideal in the domain  $R = \mathbb{Z}[t] / (\chi_a(t))$  can be generated by 2 elements as an  $R$ -module.

**Proof** In this lemma "cyclic" shall mean "cyclic as an  $R$ -module" or equivalently "cyclic as a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module". Let  $M$  be an ideal in  $R$ . We shall show that we can choose a nonzero element  $x \in M$  such that  $M = (Rx + pM)$  is cyclic, for all primes  $p$ . The result will then follow via Nakayama's Lemma and the Chinese Remainder Theorem.

Let  $D$  be the discriminant of  $f_a(t)$ . Then  $D = a(a - 2)(a - 3)(a - 5) - 23$ . If  $p$  does not divide  $D$  then  $f_a(t)$  has no repeated roots modulo  $p$ . If  $p$  divides  $D$  choose integers  $\rho, \rho'$  such that  $f_a(t) \equiv (t - \rho)^2(t - \rho')$  modulo  $(p)$ , and let  $K_\rho = fM \cap M \cap j(t - \rho)m \cap 2\rho Mg$ . If  $\rho \not\equiv \rho'$  modulo  $(p)$  then  $K_\rho = (p; t - \rho)M$  and has index  $p^2$  in  $M$ .

If  $\rho \equiv \rho'$  modulo  $(p)$  then  $\rho \equiv 1$  and  $(1 - \rho)^3 \equiv -1$  modulo  $(p)$ . Together these congruences imply that  $3\rho \equiv -1$  modulo  $(p)$ , and hence that  $p = 7$  and  $\rho \equiv 2$  modulo  $(7)$ . If  $M = 7M = (Z; t - 2)^3$  then the automorphism of  $M = 49M$  induced by  $t$  is congruent to multiplication by 2 modulo  $(7)$ . But  $M = 49M = (Z = 49Z)^3$  as an abelian group, and so  $\det(t) = 8$  in  $\mathbb{Z} = 49\mathbb{Z}$ , contrary to  $t$  being an automorphism of  $M$ . Therefore

$$M = 7M = (Z; t - 2) \cap (Z; (t - 2)^2)$$

and  $K_7$  has index 7 in  $M$ , in this case.

The set  $M - \bigcup_{p|D} K_\rho$  is nonempty, since

$$\frac{1}{7} + \sum_{p|D; p \neq 7} \frac{1}{p^2} < \frac{1}{7} + \sum_{p=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p^2} < 1:$$

Let  $x$  be an element of  $M - \bigcup_{p|D} K_\rho$  which is not  $\mathbb{Z}$ -divisible in  $M$ . Then  $N = M = Rx$  is finite, and is generated by at most two elements as an abelian group, since  $M = \mathbb{Z}^3$  as an abelian group. For each prime  $p$  the  $\mathbb{Z} = p$ -module  $M = pM$  is an extension of  $N = pN$  by the submodule  $X_p$  generated by the image of  $x$  and its order ideal is generated by the image of  $f_a(t)$  in the P.I.D.  $\mathbb{Z} = p = \mathbb{F}_p[t; t^{-1}]$ .

If  $p$  does not divide  $D$  the image of  $f_a(t)$  in  $\mathbb{Z} = p$  is square free. If  $p|D$  and  $\rho \not\equiv \rho'$  the order ideal of  $X_\rho$  is divisible by  $t - \rho$ . If  $\rho = \rho' = 2$  the order ideal of  $X_7$  is  $(t - 2)^2$ . In all cases the order ideal of  $N = pN$  is square free and so  $N = pN$  is cyclic. By the Chinese Remainder Theorem there is an element  $y \in M$  whose image is a generator of  $N = pN$ , for each prime  $p$  dividing the order of  $N$ . The image of  $y$  in  $N$  generates  $N$ , by Nakayama's Lemma.  $\square$

In [AR84] matrix calculations are used to show that any matrix  $C$  as in Theorem 16.8 is conjugate to one with first row  $(0; 0; 1)$ . (The prime 7 also needs special

consideration in their argument.) This is equivalent to showing that  $M$  has an element  $x$  such that the image of  $tx$  in  $M/\mathbb{Z}x$  is indivisible, from which it follows that  $M$  is generated as an abelian group by  $x$ ,  $tx$  and some third element  $y$ . Given this, it is easy to see that the corresponding Cappell-Shaneson 2-knot group has a presentation

$$ht; x; y; z \quad j \quad xy = yx; \quad xz = zx; \quad txt^{-1} = z; \quad tyt^{-1} = x^m y^n z^p; \quad tzt^{-1} = x^q y^r z^s i;$$

Since  $p$  and  $s$  must be relatively prime these relations imply  $yz = zy$ . We may reduce the number of generators and relations on setting  $z = txt^{-1}$ .

**Lemma 16.10** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a finitely presentable group such that  $\Gamma/\Gamma^2 = Z$ , and let  $R = \mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]$  or  $\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]_{(p)}$  for some prime  $p \geq 2$ . Then*

- (1) *if  $\Gamma$  can be generated by 2 elements  $H_1(\Gamma; R)$  is cyclic as an  $R$ -module;*
- (2) *if  $\text{def}(\Gamma) = 0$  then  $H_2(\Gamma; R)$  is cyclic as an  $R$ -module.*

**Proof** If  $\Gamma$  is generated by two elements  $t$  and  $x$ , say, we may assume that the image of  $t$  generates  $\Gamma/\Gamma^2$  and that  $x \notin \Gamma^2$ . Then  $\Gamma/\Gamma^2$  is generated by the conjugates of  $x$  under powers of  $t$ , and so  $H_1(\Gamma; R) = R/\Gamma^2 R$  ( $\Gamma^2 = \Gamma^2$ ) is generated by the image of  $x$ .

If  $X$  is the finite 2-complex determined by a deficiency 0 presentation for  $\Gamma$  then  $H_0(X; R) = R/(t-1)$  and  $H_1(X; R)$  are  $R$ -torsion modules, and  $H_2(X; R)$  is a submodule of a finitely generated free  $R$ -module. Hence  $H_2(X; R) = R$ , as it has rank 1 and  $R$  is a UFD. Therefore  $H_2(\Gamma; R)$  is cyclic as an  $R$ -module, since it is a quotient of  $H_2(X; R)$ , by Hopf's Theorem.  $\square$

**Theorem 16.11** *Let  $\Gamma = Z^3 \rtimes_C Z$  be the group of a Cappell-Shaneson 2-knot, and let  $\text{def}(\Gamma) = \det(tI - C)$ . Then  $\Gamma$  has a 3 generator presentation of deficiency  $-2$ . Moreover the following are equivalent.*

- (1)  *$\Gamma$  has a 2 generator presentation of deficiency 0;*
- (2)  *$\Gamma$  is generated by 2 elements;*
- (3)  *$\text{def}(\Gamma) = 0$ ;*
- (4)  *$\Gamma/\Gamma^2$  is cyclic as a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module.*

**Proof** The first assertion follows immediately from Lemma 16.9. Condition (1) implies (2) and (3), since  $\text{def}(\Gamma) = 0$ , by Theorem 2.5, while (2) implies (4), by Lemma 16.10. If  $\text{def}(\Gamma) = 0$  then  $H_2(\Gamma; \mathbb{Z})$  is cyclic as a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module, by Lemma 16.10. Since  $\Gamma/\Gamma^2 = H_1(\Gamma; \mathbb{Z}) = H^3(\Gamma; \mathbb{Z}) = \text{Ext}^1(H_2(\Gamma; \mathbb{Z}); \mathbb{Z})$ , by

Poincare duality and the UCSS, it is also cyclic and so (3) also implies (4). If  $\mathcal{M}$  is generated as a  $\mathbb{Z}[t]$ -module by  $x$  then it is easy to see that  $\mathcal{M}$  has a presentation of the form

$$ht; x; j; xt; xt^{-1} = t; xt^{-1}; t^3; xt^{-3} = t^2; x^a; t^{-2}; t; x^b; t^{-1}; x; i;$$

for some integers  $a, b$ , and so (1) holds. □

In fact Theorem A.3 of [AR84] implies that any such group has a 3 generator presentation of deficiency -1, as remarked before Lemma 16.10.

The isomorphism class of the  $\mathbb{Z}[t]$ -module  $\mathcal{M}$  is that of its Steinitz-Fox-Smythe row invariant, which is the ideal  $(r; t - n)$  in the domain  $\mathbb{Z}[t]$  (see Chapter 3 of [H3]). Thus  $\mathcal{M}$  is cyclic if and only if this ideal is principal. In particular, this is not so for the concluding example of [AR84], which gives rise to the group with presentation

$$ht; x; y; z; j; xz = zx; yz = zy; t; xt^{-1} = y^{-5}; z^{-8}; t; yt^{-1} = y^2; z^3; t; zt^{-1} = x; z^{-7}; i;$$

Let  $G(+)$  and  $G(-)$  be the extensions of  $Z$  by  $G_6$  with presentations

$$ht; x; y; j; xy^2; x^{-1}; y^2 = 1; t; xt^{-1} = (xy)^{-1}; t; yt^{-1} = x^{-1}; i;$$

(These presentations have optimal deficiency, by Theorem 2.5.) The group  $G(+)$  is the group of the 3-twist spin of the figure eight knot ( $G(+)$  =  $3_4 1$ .)

**Theorem 16.12** *Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a 2-knot group with  $\mathcal{M} = G_6$ . Then  $\mathcal{M} = G(+)$  or  $G(-)$ . In each case  $\mathcal{M}$  is virtually  $Z^4$ ,  $\mathcal{M} \setminus \mathcal{M} = 1$  and  $\mathcal{M} = Z$ .*

**Proof** Since  $Out(G_6)$  is finite  $\mathcal{M}$  is virtually  $G_6 = Z$  and hence is virtually  $Z^4$ . The groups  $G(+)$  and  $G(-)$  are the only orientable flat 4-manifold groups with  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M} = Z$ . The next assertion ( $\mathcal{M} \setminus \mathcal{M} = 1$ ) follows as  $G_6 = 1$ . It is easily seen that  $G(+)$  and  $G(-)$  are generated by the images of  $t^3$  and  $t^6; x^{-2}; y^2; (xy)^{-2}$ , respectively, and so in each case  $\mathcal{M} = Z$ . □

Although  $G(-)$  is the group of a braid 2-knot, by Theorem 14.4, it can be shown that no power of any weight element is central and so it is not the group of any twist spin. (This also follows from Theorem 16.4 above.)

**Theorem 16.13** *Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a 2-knot group with  $\mathcal{M} = q$  for some  $q > 0$ , and let  $\mathcal{M}$  be the image of the meridional automorphism in  $Out(q)$ . Then either  $q = 1$  and  $\mathcal{M}$  is conjugate to  $[\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}; 0]$  or  $[\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{smallmatrix}; 0]$ , or  $q$  is odd and  $\mathcal{M}$  is conjugate to  $[\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}; 0]$  or its inverse. Each such group  $\mathcal{M}$  has two strict weight orbits.*

**Proof** If  $(A; \cdot)$  is a meridional automorphism of  $\mathbb{R}^3_q$  then the induced automorphisms of  $\mathbb{R}^2_q = \mathbb{R}^2$  and  $\text{tors}(\mathbb{R}^2_q) = \mathbb{Z} = q\mathbb{Z}$  are also meridional. Therefore  $\det(A - I) = \pm 1$  and  $\det(A) - 1$  is a unit modulo  $(q)$ , so  $q$  must be odd and  $\det(A) = -1$  if  $q > 1$ . The characteristic polynomial  $\chi_A(X)$  of such a  $2 \times 2$  matrix must be  $X^2 - X + 1$ ,  $X^2 - 3X + 1$ ,  $X^2 - X - 1$  or  $X^2 + X - 1$ . The corresponding rings  $\mathbb{Z}[X]/(\chi_A(X))$  are principal ideal domains (namely  $\mathbb{Z}[(1 + \sqrt{-3})/2]$  and  $\mathbb{Z}[(1 + \sqrt{5})/2]$ ) and so  $A$  is conjugate to one of  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ , or  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ , by Theorem 1.4. Now

$$[A; \cdot][[A; 0][A; \cdot]^{-1}] = [A; \cdot](I - \det(A)A)^{-1}]$$

in  $\text{Out}(\mathbb{R}^3_q)$ . (See §7 of Chapter 8.) As in each case  $I - \det(A)A$  is invertible, it follows that  $\cdot$  is conjugate to  $[A; 0]$  or to  $[A^{-1}; 0] = [A; 0]^{-1}$ . Since  $\cdot$  is meridional the final observation follows from Theorem 14.1.  $\square$

The groups  $\mathbb{R}^3_q$  are discrete cocompact subgroups of the Lie group  $Ni\beta^3$  and the coset spaces are  $S^1$ -bundles over the torus. Every automorphism of  $\mathbb{R}^3_q$  is orientation preserving and each of the groups allowed by Theorem 16.13 is the group of some braid 2-knot, by Theorem 14.4. The group of the 6-twist spin of the trefoil has commutator subgroup  $\mathbb{Z}_1$  and monodromy  $[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}; 0]$ . In all the other cases the meridional automorphism has finite order and the group is not the group of any twist spin.

The groups with commutator subgroup  $\mathbb{Z}_1$  have presentations

$$ht; x; yj xyxy^{-1} = yxy^{-1}x; txt^{-1} = xy; tyt^{-1} = wi;$$

where  $w = x^{-1}$ ,  $xy^2$  or  $x$  (respectively), while those with commutator subgroup  $\mathbb{Z}_q$  with  $q > 1$  have presentations

$$ht; u; v; zj uvu^{-1}v^{-1} = z^q; tut^{-1} = v; tvt^{-1} = zuv; tzt^{-1} = z^{-1}i;$$

(Note that as  $[v; u] = t[u; v]t^{-1} = [v; zuv] = [v; z][v; u]z^{-1} = [v; z][v; u]$ , we have  $vz = zv$  and hence  $uz = zu$  also.) These are easily seen to have 2 generator presentations of deficiency 0 also.

The other  $Ni\beta^3$ -manifolds which arise as the closed braids of braid 2-knots are Seifert braid over  $S^2$  with 3 exceptional braids of type  $(3; i)$ , with  $i = 1$ . Hence they are 2-fold branched covers of  $S^3$ , branched over a Montesinos link  $K(0j; (3; i_1); (3; i_2); (3; i_3))$  [Mo73]. If  $e$  is even this link is a knot, and is invertible, but not amphicheiral (see §12E of [BZ]). (This class includes the knots  $9_{35}$ ,  $9_{37}$ ,  $9_{46}$ ,  $9_{48}$ ,  $10_{74}$  and  $10_{75}$ .)

Let  $(e; \cdot)$  be the group of the 2-twist spin of  $K(0j; (3; 1); (3; 1); (3; \cdot))$ .

**Theorem 16.14** Let  $\Gamma$  be a 2-knot group such that  $\rho_{-\theta} = \rho_{\theta}^q$  (for some  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ ) and  $\theta = \rho_{-\theta} = Z=3Z$ . Then  $q$  is odd and  $\theta = (e; \cdot)$ , for some  $e \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\theta = 1$  or  $-1$ .

**Proof** It follows easily from Lemma 16.7 that  $\rho_{-\theta} = \rho_{\theta}$  and  $G = \theta = \theta$  is isomorphic to  $Z^2 \rtimes_B (Z=3Z)$ , where  $B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . Thus  $G$  may be identified with the orbifold fundamental group of the flat 2-orbifold  $S(3;3;3)$ , and so is a discrete subgroup of  $Isom(\mathbb{E}^2)$ . As remarked above,  $\theta$  is the fundamental group of the 2-fold branched cover of  $K(0;e; (3;1); (3;1); (3; \cdot))$ , for some  $e$  and  $\theta = 1$ . Hence it has a presentation

$$hh; x; y; z; j \ x^3 = y^3 = z^3 = h; \ xyz = h^e i;$$

(This can also be seen algebraically as  $\theta$  is a torsion free central extension of  $G$  by  $Z$ .) The image of  $h$  in  $\theta$  generates  $\theta$ , and the images of  $x^{-1}y$  and  $yx^{-1}$  in  $G = \theta = hhi$  form a basis for the translation subgroup  $T(G) = Z^2$  of  $G$ . Since  $\theta = (\theta)^2 = Z=(2; e-1)$  and  $\theta$  admits a meridional automorphism  $e$  must be even.

The isometry group  $E(2) = Isom(\mathbb{E}^2) = \mathbb{R}^2 \rtimes O(2)$  embeds in the affine group  $Aff(2) = \mathbb{R}^2 \rtimes GL(2; \mathbb{R})$ . The normalizer of  $G$  in  $Aff(2)$  is the semidirect product of the dihedral subgroup of  $GL(2; \mathbb{Z})$  generated by  $B$  and  $R = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  with the normal subgroup  $(I + B)^{-1}Z^2$ , and its centralizer there is trivial. It follows from the Bieberbach theorems (and is easily verified directly) that  $Aut(G) = N_{Aff(2)}(G)$ . Let  $b; r; k$  represent the classes of  $(0; B)$ ,  $(0; R)$  and  $((-\frac{1}{3}; \frac{1}{3}); I)$  in  $Out(G)$ . Then  $Out(G) = S_3 \rtimes (Z=2Z)$ , and has a presentation

$$hb; r; k \ j \ b^2 = r^2 = k^3 = 1; \ br = rb; \ bkb = rkr = k^{-1}i$$

Since  $\theta = \theta$  is finite  $Hom(\theta; \theta) = 1$  and so the natural homomorphism from  $Out(\theta)$  to  $Out(G)$  is injective. As each of the automorphisms  $b, r$  and  $k$  lifts to an automorphism of  $\theta$  this homomorphism is an isomorphism. On considering the effect of an automorphism of  $\theta$  on its characteristic quotients  $\theta = \rho_{-\theta} = G=T(G) = Z=3Z$  and  $G=G^{\theta} = (Z=3Z)^2$ , we see that the only outer automorphism classes which contain meridional automorphisms are  $rb, rbk$  and  $rbk^2$ . Since these are conjugate in  $Out(G)$  and  $\theta = (e; \cdot)^{\theta}$  the theorem now follows from Lemma 1.1. □

The subgroup  $A = \langle ht^2; x^3i \rangle < (e; \cdot)$  is abelian of rank 2 and normal but is not central. As  $H_1(\cdot; \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}) = H_2(\cdot; \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}) = (\cdot = (3; t+1))^2$  in all cases the presentations

$$ht; x; y \ j \ x^3 = y^3 = (x^{1-3e}y)^{-3}; \ txt^{-1} = x^{-1}; \ tyt^{-1} = xy^{-1}x^{-1}i$$



are optimal, by Lemma 16.10.

We may refine the conclusions of Theorem 15.7 as follows. If  $K$  is a 2-knot whose group has an abelian normal subgroup of rank 3 then either  $K$  is a Cappell-Shaneson 2-knot or  $K = G(+)$  or  $G(-)$ .



## Chapter 17

# Knot manifolds and geometries

In this chapter we shall attempt to characterize certain 2-knots in terms of algebraic invariants. As every 2-knot  $K$  may be recovered (up to orientations and Gluck reconstruction) from  $M(K)$  together with the orbit of a weight class in  $\pi_1(M(K))$  under the action of self homeomorphisms of  $M(K)$ , we need to characterize  $M(K)$  up to homeomorphism. After some general remarks on the algebraic 2-type in §1, and on surgery in §2, we shall concentrate on three special cases: when  $M(K)$  is aspherical, when  $\pi_1(M(K))$  is finite and when  $g:d = 2$ .

When  $\pi_1(M(K))$  is torsion free and virtually poly- $Z$  the surgery obstructions vanish, and when it is poly- $Z$  the weight class is unique. When  $\pi_1(M(K))$  has torsion the surgery obstruction groups are notoriously difficult to compute. However we can show that there are infinitely many distinct 2-knots  $K$  such that  $M(K)$  is simple homotopy equivalent to  $M(\Sigma^2_1)$ ; if the 3-dimensional Poincaré conjecture is true then among these knots only  $\Sigma^2_1$  has a minimal Seifert hypersurface. In the case of  $\pi_1(M(K))$  finite the homotopy type of  $M(K)$  determines the exterior of the knot. The difficulty here is in finding a homotopy equivalence from  $M(K)$  to a standard model.

In the final sections we shall consider which knot manifolds are homeomorphic to geometric 4-manifolds or complex surfaces. If  $M(K)$  is geometric then either  $K$  is a Cappell-Shaneson knot or the geometry must be one of  $\mathbb{E}^4$ ,  $Nil^3 \times \mathbb{E}^1$ ,  $So^4_1$ ,  $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{E}^1$ ,  $\mathbb{H}^3 \times \mathbb{E}^1$  or  $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{E}^1$ . If  $M(K)$  is homeomorphic to a complex surface then either  $K$  is a branched twist spin of a torus knot or  $M(K)$  admits one of the geometries  $Nil^3 \times \mathbb{E}^1$ ,  $So^4_0$  or  $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{E}^1$ .

### 17.1 Homotopy classification of $M(K)$

Let  $K$  and  $K_1$  be 2-knots and suppose that  $\pi_1(M(K)) \cong \pi_1(M(K_1))$  and  $\pi_2(M(K)) \cong \pi_2(M(K_1))$  determine an isomorphism of the algebraic 2-types of  $M = M(K)$  and  $M_1 = M(K_1)$ . Since the infinite cyclic covers  $M^\theta$  and  $M_1^\theta$  are homotopy equivalent to 3-complexes there is a map  $h : M^\theta \rightarrow M_1^\theta$  such that  $\pi_1(h) = j$  and  $\pi_2(h) = \text{id}$ . If  $\pi_1(M(K))$  has one end then  $\pi_3(M) = \pi_3(M^\theta)$  and so  $h$  is a homotopy equivalence. Let  $t$  and  $t_1 = \pi_1(t)$  be corresponding

generators of  $Aut(M^0=M)$  and  $Aut(M_1^0=M_1)$ , respectively. Then  $h^{-1}t_1^{-1}ht$  is a self homotopy equivalence of  $M^0$  which fixes the algebraic 2-type. If this is homotopic to  $id_{M^0}$  then  $M$  and  $M_1$  are homotopy equivalent, since up to homotopy they are the mapping tori of  $t$  and  $t_1$ , respectively. Thus the homotopy classification of such knot manifolds may be largely reduced to determining the obstructions to homotoping a self-map of a 3-complex to the identity.

We may use a similar idea to approach this problem in another way. Under the same hypotheses on  $K$  and  $K_1$  there is a map  $f_o : M \rightarrow int D^4 \times M_1$  inducing isomorphisms of the algebraic 2-types. If  $f_o$  has one end  $\pi_3(f_o)$  is an epimorphism, and so  $f_o$  is 3-connected. If there is an extension  $f : M \rightarrow M_1$  then it is a homotopy equivalence, as it induces isomorphisms on the homology of the universal covering spaces.

If  $g:d$  is a 2 the algebraic 2-type is determined by  $\pi_2$ , for then  $\pi_2(M) = H^2(\tilde{M}; \mathbb{Z}[t])$ , by Theorem 3.12, and the  $k$ -invariant is 0. In particular, if  $M^0$  is free of rank  $r$  then  $M(K)$  is homotopy equivalent to the mapping torus of a self-homeomorphism of  $J^r S^1 \times S^2$ , by Corollary 4.5.1. On the other hand, the group  $\pi_2$  has resisted attack thus far.

The related problem of determining the homotopy type of the exterior of a 2-knot has been considered in [Lo81], [Pl83] and [PS85]. In each of the examples considered in [Pl83] either  $M^0$  is finite or  $M(K)$  is aspherical, so they do not test the adequacy of the algebraic 2-type for the present problem. The examples of [PS85] probably show that in general  $M(K)$  is not determined by  $\pi_2$  and  $\pi_2(M(K))$  alone.

### 17.2 Surgery

Recall from Chapter 6 that we may define natural transformations  $l_G : G \rightarrow L_5^S(G)$  for any group  $G$ , which clearly factor through  $G=G^0$ . If  $\pi : G \rightarrow Z$  induces an isomorphism on abelianization the homomorphism  $\hat{l}_G = l_G \circ \pi^{-1} l_Z^{-1}$  is a canonical splitting for  $L_5^S(\pi)$ .

**Theorem 17.1** *Let  $K$  be a 2-knot with group  $\pi$ . If  $L_5^S(\pi) = Z$  and  $N$  is simple homotopy equivalent to  $M(K)$  then  $N$  is  $s$ -cobordant to  $M(K)$ .*

**Proof** Since  $M = M(K)$  is orientable and  $[M; G=TOP] = H^4(M; \mathbb{Z}) = Z$  the surgery obstruction map  $\pi_4 : [M(K); G=TOP] \rightarrow L_4^S(\pi)$  is injective, by Theorem 6.6. The image of  $L_5^S(Z)$  under  $\hat{l}$  acts trivially on  $S_{TOP}(M(K))$ , by Theorem 6.7. Hence there is a normal cobordism with obstruction 0 from any simple homotopy equivalence  $f : N \rightarrow M$  to  $id_M$ . □

This theorem applies if  $\pi_1(M)$  is square root closed accessible [Ca73], or if  $\pi_1(M)$  is a classical knot group [AFR97].

**Corollary 17.1.1** (Freedman) *A 2-knot  $K$  is trivial if and only if  $\pi_1(M(K)) = \mathbb{Z}$ .*

**Proof** The condition is clearly necessary. Conversely, if  $\pi_1(M(K)) = \mathbb{Z}$  then  $M(K)$  is homeomorphic to  $S^3 \times S^1$ , by Theorem 6.11. Since the meridian is unique up to inversion and the unknot is clearly reflexive the result follows.  $\square$

Surgery on an  $s$ -concordance  $K$  from  $K_0$  to  $K_1$  gives an  $s$ -cobordism from  $M(K_0)$  to  $M(K_1)$  in which the meridians are conjugate. Conversely, if  $M(K)$  and  $M(K_1)$  are  $s$ -cobordant via such an  $s$ -cobordism then  $K_1$  is  $s$ -concordant to  $K$  or  $K^{-1}$ . In particular, if  $K$  is reflexive then  $K$  and  $K_1$  are  $s$ -concordant.

**Lemma 17.2** *Let  $K$  be a 2-knot. Then  $K$  has a Seifert hypersurface which contains no fake 3-cells.*

**Proof** Every 2-knot has a Seifert hypersurface, by the standard obstruction theoretical argument and TOP transversality. Thus  $K$  bounds a locally flat 3-submanifold  $V$  which has trivial normal bundle in  $S^4$ . If  $\sigma$  is a homotopy 3-cell in  $V$  then  $\sigma \cap R = D^3 \cap R$ , by simply connected surgery, and the submanifold  $\sigma \cap R$  of  $\sigma \cap (D^3 \cap R) = \sigma \cap (D^3 \cap R)$  is isotopic there to the boundary of a standard 3-cell in  $D^3 \cap R$  which we may use instead of  $\sigma$ .  $\square$

The modification in this lemma clearly preserves minimality. (Every 2-knot has a closed Seifert hypersurface which is a hyperbolic 3-manifold [Ru90], and so contains no fake 3-cells, but these are rarely minimal).

### 17.3 The aspherical cases

Whenever the group of a 2-knot  $K$  contains a sufficiently large abelian normal subgroup then  $M(K)$  is aspherical. This is notably the case for most twist spins of prime knots.

**Theorem 17.3** *Let  $K$  be a 2-knot with group  $\pi_1(M(K))$  and suppose that either  $\pi_1(M(K))$  is torsion free abelian of rank 1 and  $\pi_1(M(K)) = \mathbb{Z}$  has one end or  $h(\pi_1(M(K))) \geq 2$ . Then the universal cover  $\tilde{M}(K)$  is homeomorphic to  $R^4$ .*

**Proof** If  $\rho^-$  is torsion free abelian of rank 1 and  $\pi_1 M$  has one end  $M$  is aspherical, by Theorem 15.5, and  $\pi_1 M$  is 1-connected at  $\infty$ , by Theorem 1 of [Mi87]. If  $h(\rho^-) = 2$  then  $\rho^- = \mathbb{Z}^2$  and  $M$  is  $s$ -cobordant to the mapping torus of a self homeomorphism of a  $\mathbb{S}^1$ -manifold, by Theorem 16.2. If  $h(\rho^-) = 3$  then  $M$  is homeomorphic to an infrasolvmanifold, by Theorem 8.1. In all cases,  $\widehat{M}$  is contractible and 1-connected at  $\infty$ , and so is homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^4$  by [Fr82].  $\square$

Is there a 2-knot  $K$  with  $\widehat{M}(K)$  contractible but not 1-connected at  $\infty$ ?

**Theorem 17.4** *Let  $K$  be a 2-knot such that  $\pi_1 M(K)$  is torsion free and virtually poly- $\mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $K$  is determined up to Gluck reconstruction by together with a generator of  $H_4(\pi_1 M(K); \mathbb{Z})$  and the strict weight orbit of a meridian.*

**Proof** If  $\pi_1 M(K) = \mathbb{Z}$  then  $K$  must be trivial, and so we may assume that  $\pi_1 M(K)$  is torsion free and virtually poly- $\mathbb{Z}$  of Hirsch length 4. Hence  $M(K)$  is aspherical and is determined up to homeomorphism by  $\pi_1 M(K)$ , and every automorphism of  $\pi_1 M(K)$  may be realized by a self homeomorphism of  $M(K)$ , by Theorem 6.11. Since  $M(K)$  is aspherical orientations of  $M(K)$  correspond to generators of  $H_4(\pi_1 M(K); \mathbb{Z})$ .  $\square$

This theorem applies in particular to the Cappell-Shaneson 2-knots, which have an unique strict weight orbit, up to inversion. (A similar argument applies to Cappell-Shaneson  $n$ -knots with  $n > 2$ , provided we assume also that  $\pi_i(X(K)) = 0$  for  $2 \leq i \leq (n+1)/2$ ).

**Theorem 17.5** *Let  $K$  be a 2-knot with group  $\pi_1 M(K)$ . Then  $K$  is  $s$ -concordant to a braid knot with closed braid  $\mathbb{S}^1$ -manifold if and only if  $\pi_1 M(K)$  is not virtually solvable,  $\pi_1 M(K)$  is  $FP_2$  and  $\pi_1 M(K) = \mathbb{Z}$ . The braid knot is determined up to Gluck reconstruction by together with a generator of  $H_4(\pi_1 M(K); \mathbb{Z})$  and the strict weight orbit of a meridian.*

**Proof** The conditions are clearly necessary. Suppose that they hold. The manifold  $M(K)$  is aspherical, by Theorem 15.7, so every automorphism of  $\pi_1 M(K)$  is induced by a self homotopy equivalence of  $M(K)$ . Moreover as  $\pi_1 M(K)$  is not virtually solvable  $\pi_1 M(K)$  is the fundamental group of a  $\mathbb{S}^1$ -manifold. Therefore  $M(K)$  is determined up to  $s$ -cobordism by  $\pi_1 M(K)$ , by Theorem 13.2. The rest is standard.  $\square$

Branched twist spins of torus knots are perhaps the most important examples of such knots, but there are others. (See Chapter 16).

Is every 2-knot  $K$  such that  $\pi_1(M \setminus K)$  is a  $PD_4^+$ -group determined up to  $s$ -concordance and Gluck reconstruction by  $\pi_1(M)$  together with a generator of  $H_4(\pi_1(M); \mathbb{Z})$  and a strict weight orbit? Is  $K$   $s$ -concordant to a bred knot with aspherical closed bre if and only if  $\pi_1(M)$  is  $FP_2$  and has one end? (This is surely true if  $\pi_1(M) = \pi_1(N)$  for some virtually Haken 3-manifold  $N$ ).

### 17.4 Quasi bres and minimal Seifert hypersurfaces

Let  $M$  be a closed 4-manifold with fundamental group  $\pi_1(M)$ . If  $f : M \rightarrow S^1$  is a map which is transverse to  $p \in S^1$  then  $\mathcal{V} = f^{-1}(p)$  is a codimension 1 submanifold with a product neighbourhood  $N = \mathcal{V} \times [-1; 1]$ . If moreover the induced homomorphism  $f_* : \pi_1(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  is an epimorphism and each of the inclusions  $j_{\pm} : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow M$  induces monomorphisms on fundamental groups then we shall say that  $\mathcal{V}$  is a *quasi bre* for  $f$ . The group  $\pi_1(M)$  is then an HNN extension with base  $\pi_1(W)$  and associated subgroups  $j_{\pm}^{-1}(\pi_1(\mathcal{V}))$ , by Van Kampen's Theorem. Every bre of a bundle projection is a quasi bre. We may use the notion of quasi bre to interpolate between the homotopy bration theorem of Chapter 4 and a TOP bration theorem. (See also Theorem 6.12 and Theorem 17.7).

**Theorem 17.6** *Let  $M$  be a closed 4-manifold with  $\pi_2(M) = 0$  and such that  $\pi_1(M)$  is an extension of  $\mathbb{Z}$  by a finitely generated normal subgroup  $N$ . If there is a map  $f : M \rightarrow S^1$  inducing an epimorphism with kernel  $N$  and which has a quasi bre  $\mathcal{V}$  then the finite cyclic covering space  $M$  associated with  $N$  is homotopy equivalent to  $\mathcal{V}$ .*

**Proof** As  $N$  is finitely generated the monomorphisms  $j_{\pm}$  must be isomorphisms. Therefore  $\pi_1(N)$  is finitely presentable, and so  $M$  is a  $PD_3$ -complex, by Theorem 4.5. Now  $M = W \cup Z$ , where  $(j_+(v); n) = (j_-(v); n + 1)$  for all  $v \in \mathcal{V}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $\tilde{j}(v)$  be the image of  $(j_+(v); 0)$  in  $M$ . Then  $\pi_1(\tilde{j})$  is an isomorphism. A Mayer-Vietoris argument shows that  $\tilde{j}$  has degree 1, and so  $\tilde{j}$  is a homotopy equivalence.  $\square$

One could use duality instead to show that  $H_s = H_s(W; @ W; \mathbb{Z}[t]) = 0$  for  $s \neq 2$ , while  $H_2$  is a stably free  $\mathbb{Z}[t]$ -module, of rank  $\chi(W; @ W) = 0$ . Since  $\mathbb{Z}[t]$  is weakly finite this module is 0, and so  $W$  is an  $h$ -cobordism.

**Corollary 17.6.1** *let  $K$  be a 2-knot such that  $\pi_1(M(K))$  is finitely generated, and which has a minimal Seifert hypersurface  $V$ . If every self homotopy equivalence of  $\mathcal{V}$  is homotopic to a homeomorphism then  $M(K)$  is homotopy equivalent to  $M(K_1)$ , where  $M(K_1)$  is a bred 2-knot with  $\text{bre } V$ .*

**Proof** Let  $j_+^{-1} : M(K)^\theta \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$  be a homotopy inverse to the homotopy equivalence  $j_+$ , and let  $\psi$  be a self homeomorphism of  $\mathcal{V}$  homotopic to  $j_+^{-1}j_-$ . Then  $j_+ \psi j_+^{-1}$  is homotopic to a generator of  $\text{Aut}(M(K)^\theta = M(K))$ , and so the mapping torus of  $\psi$  is homotopy equivalent to  $M(K)$ . Surgery on this mapping torus gives such a knot  $K_1$ . □

If a Seifert hypersurface  $V$  for a 2-knot has fundamental group  $Z$  then the Mayer-Vietoris sequence for  $H_1(M(K); \mathbb{Z})$  gives  $H_1(X^\theta) = \mathbb{Z} \oplus (ta_+ - a_-)$ , where  $a_\pm : H_1(V) \rightarrow H_1(S^4 - V)$ . Since  $H_1(X) = Z$  we must have  $a_+ - a_- = 1$ . If  $a_+ a_- \neq 0$  then  $V$  is minimal. However one of  $a_+$  or  $a_-$  could be 0, in which case  $V$  may not be minimal. The group  $\pi_1(M(K))$  is realized by ribbon knots with such minimal Seifert hypersurfaces (homeomorphic to  $S^2 \cup S^1 - \text{int}D^3$ ) [Fo62]. Thus minimality does not imply that  $\pi_1(M(K))$  is finitely generated.

It remains an open question whether every 2-knot has a minimal Seifert hypersurface, or indeed whether every 2-knot group is an HNN extension with finitely presentable base and associated subgroups. (There are high dimensional knot groups which are not of this type [Si91, 96]). Yoshikawa has shown that there are ribbon 2-knots whose groups are HNN extensions with base a torus knot group and associated subgroups  $Z$  but which cannot be expressed as HNN extensions with base a free group [Yo88].

### 17.5 The spherical cases

Let  $\pi_1(M(K))$  be a 2-knot group with commutator subgroup  $\pi_1(M(K))^\theta = P$  ( $Z = (2r + 1)Z$ ), where  $P = 1, Q(8), T_k$  or  $I$ . The meridional automorphism induces the identity on the set of irreducible real representations of  $\pi_1(M(K))^\theta$ , except when  $P = Q(8)$ . (It permutes the three nontrivial 1-dimensional representations when  $\pi_1(M(K))^\theta = Q(8)$ , and similarly when  $\pi_1(M(K))^\theta = Q(8)$  ( $Z = nZ$ )). It then follows as in Chapter 11 that  $L_5^\mathbb{Z}(\pi_1(M(K)))$  has rank  $r + 1, 3(r + 1), 3^{k-1}(5 + 7r)$  or  $9(r + 1)$ , respectively. Hence if  $\pi_1(M(K))^\theta \neq 1$  then there are in finitely many distinct 2-knots with group  $\pi_1(M(K))$ , since the group of self homotopy equivalences of  $M(K)$  is finite.

The simplest nontrivial such group is  $\pi_1(M(K)) = (Z = 3Z) \rtimes_{-1} Z$ . If  $K$  is any 2-knot with this group then  $M(K)$  is homotopy equivalent to  $M(\Sigma_3)$ . Since  $Wh(Z = 3Z) = 0$  [Hi40] and  $L_5(Z = 3Z) = 0$  [Ba75] we have  $L_5^\mathbb{Z}(\pi_1(M(K))) = L_4(\pi_1(M(K))) = Z^2$ , but we do not know whether  $Wh(\pi_1(M(K))) = 0$ .



**Theorem 17.7** *Let  $K$  be a 2-knot with group  $\pi_1(K) = \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$  such that  $H_2(K) = \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ , and which has a minimal Seifert hypersurface. Then  $K$  is  $\pi_1$ -bred.*

**Proof** Let  $V$  be a minimal Seifert hypersurface for  $K$ . Then we may assume  $V$  is irreducible. Let  $\mathcal{V} = V \cup D^3$  and  $W = M(K) \cup V \cup (-1; 1)$ . Then  $W$  is an  $h$ -cobordism from  $\mathcal{V}$  to itself (see the remark following Theorem 6). Therefore  $W = \mathcal{V} \times I$ , by surgery over  $Z=3Z$ . (Note that  $Wh(Z=3Z) = L_5(Z=3Z) = 0$ ). Hence  $M$  bres over  $S^1$  and so  $K$  is  $\pi_1$ -bred also.  $\square$

Free actions of  $Z=3Z$  on  $S^3$  are conjugate to the standard orthogonal action, by a result of Rubinstein (see [Th]). If the 3-dimensional Poincare conjecture is true then the closed bres must be the lens space  $L(3; 1)$ , and so  $K$  must be  $\pi_2 3_1$ . None of the other 2-knots with this group could have a minimal Seifert surface, and so we would have (in nitely many) further counter-examples to the most natural 4-dimensional analogue of Farrell's bration theorem. We do not know whether any of these knots (other than  $\pi_2 3_1$ ) is PL in some PL structure on  $S^4$ .

Let  $F$  be an  $S^3$ -group, and let  $W = (W; j_+)$  be an  $h$ -cobordism with homeomorphisms  $j_+ : N \rightarrow W$ , where  $N = S^3 = F$ . Then  $W$  is an  $s$ -cobordism [KS92]. The set of such  $s$ -cobordisms from  $N$  to itself is a finite abelian group with respect to stacking of cobordisms. All such  $s$ -cobordisms are products if  $F$  is cyclic, but there are nontrivial examples if  $F = Q(8) = \langle Z, \rho \mid Z^8 = 1, \rho Z \rho^{-1} = Z^{-1} \rangle$ , for any odd prime  $p$  [KS95]. If  $\psi$  is a self-homeomorphism of  $N$  the closed 4-manifold  $Z$  obtained by identifying the ends of  $W$  via  $j_+ \psi j_+^{-1}$  is homotopy equivalent to  $M(\psi)$ . However if  $Z$  is a mapping torus of a self-homeomorphism of  $N$  then  $W$  is trivial. In particular, if  $\psi$  induces a meridional automorphism of  $F$  then  $Z = M(K)$  for an exotic 2-knot  $K$  with  $H_2(K) = F$  and which has a minimal Seifert hypersurface, but which is not  $\pi_1$ -bred with geometric bres.

### 17.6 Finite geometric dimension 2

Knot groups with finite 2-dimensional Eilenberg-Mac Lane complexes have deficiency 1, by Theorem 2.8, and so are 2-knot groups. This class includes all classical knot groups, all knot groups with free commutator subgroup and all knot groups in the class  $X$ . (The latter class includes all those as in Theorem 15.1).

**Theorem 17.8** *Let  $K$  be a 2-knot with group  $\pi_1(K)$ . If  $\pi_1(K)$  is a 1-knot group or a  $X$ -group then  $M(K)$  is determined up to  $s$ -cobordism by its homotopy type.*

**Proof** This is an immediate consequence of Lemma 6.9, if  $\pi$  is a  $X$ -group. If  $\pi$  is a nontrivial classical knot group it follows from Theorem 17.1, since  $Wh(\pi) = 0$  [Wd78] and  $L_5^S(\pi) = Z$  [AFR97].  $\square$

Does the conclusion of this theorem hold for every knot whose group has geometric dimension 2?

**Corollary 17.8.1** *A ribbon 2-knot  $K$  with group  $\pi$  is determined by the oriented homotopy type of  $M(K)$ .*

**Proof** Since  $\pi$  is metabelian  $s$ -cobordism implies homeomorphism and there is an unique weight class up to inversion, so the knot exterior is determined by the homotopy type of  $M(K)$ , and since  $K$  is a ribbon knot it is  $\pi$ -amphicheiral and is determined by its exterior.  $\square$

Examples 10 and 11 of [Fo62] are ribbon knots with group  $\pi$ , and are mirror images of each other. Although they are  $\pi$ -amphicheiral they are not invertible, since their Alexander polynomials are asymmetric. Thus they are not isotopic. Are there any other 2-knots with this group? In particular, is there one which is not a ribbon knot?

**Theorem 17.9** *A 2-knot  $K$  with group  $\pi$  is  $s$ -concordant to a  $\pi$ -bred knot with closed braid  $J^r(S^1 \times S^2)$  if and only if  $\text{def}(\pi) = 1$  and  $\pi$  is  $FP_2$ . Moreover any such  $\pi$ -bred 2-knot is reflexive and homotopy ribbon.*

**Proof** The conditions are clearly necessary. If they hold then  $\pi = F(r)$ , for some  $r > 0$ , by Corollary 2.5.1. Then  $M(K)$  is homotopy equivalent to a PL 4-manifold  $N$  which braid over  $S^1$  with braid  $J^r(S^1 \times S^2)$ , by Corollary 4.5.1. Moreover  $Wh(\pi) = 0$ , by Lemma 6.3, and  $\pi$  is square root closed accessible, so  $\pi$  is an isomorphism, by Lemma 6.9, so there is an  $s$ -cobordism  $W$  from  $M$  to  $N$ , by Theorem 17.1. We may embed an annulus  $A = S^1 \times [0;1]$  in  $W$  so that  $M \setminus A = S^1 \times \mathbb{R}$  is a meridian for  $K$  and  $N \setminus A = S^1 \times \mathbb{R}$ . Surgery on  $A$  in  $W$  then gives an  $s$ -concordance from  $K$  to such a  $\pi$ -bred knot  $K_1$ , which is reflexive [Gl62] and homotopy ribbon [Co83].  $\square$

The group of isotopy classes of self homeomorphisms of  $J^r(S^1 \times S^2)$  which induce the identity in  $\text{Out}(F(r))$  is generated by twists about nonseparating 2-spheres, and is isomorphic to  $(Z=2Z)^r$ . Thus given a 2-knot group  $\pi = F(r) = Z$  there are  $2^r$  corresponding homotopy types of knot manifolds  $M(K)$ .

Is every automorphism of  $\pi_1(M)$  induced by a self-homeomorphism of each such  $\Sigma$ -bred manifold? If so, the knot is determined (among such  $\Sigma$ -bred knots) up to finite ambiguity by its group together with the weight orbit of a meridian. (However, the group  $\pi_1(M)$  has infinitely many weight orbits [Su85]).

The theorem implies there is a slice disc  $D^2$  for  $K$  such that the inclusion of  $M(K)$  into  $D^5 - D^2$  is 2-connected. Is  $K$  itself homotopy ribbon? (This would follow from "homotopy connectivity implies geometric connectivity", but our situation is just beyond the range of known results). Is every such group the group of a ribbon knot? Which are the groups of classical  $\Sigma$ -bred knots? If  $K = k$  is the Artin spin of a  $\Sigma$ -bred 1-knot then  $M(K)$  fibres over  $S^1$  with fibre  $J(S^2 - S^1)$ . However not all such  $\Sigma$ -bred 2-knots arise in this way. (For instance, the Alexander polynomial need not be symmetric [AY81]). There are just three groups  $G$  with  $G = G^0 = Z$  and  $G^0$  free of rank 2, namely  $\pi_1(M)$  (the trefoil knot group),  $\pi_1(M)$  (the figure eight knot group) and the group with presentation

$$\langle x, y; t j t x t^{-1} = y; t y t^{-1} = x y i \rangle$$

(Two of the four presentations given in [Rp60] present isomorphic groups). The group with presentation

$$\langle x, y; j x^2 y^2 x^2 = y i \rangle$$

is the group of a  $\Sigma$ -bred knot in the homology 3-sphere  $M(2; 3; 11)$ , but is not a classical knot group [Rt83].

Part of Theorem 17.9 also follows from an argument of Trace [Tr86]. The embedding of a Seifert hypersurface  $V$  for an  $n$ -knot  $K$  in  $X$  extends to an embedding of  $\tilde{V} = V \cup D^{n+1}$  in  $M$ , which lifts to an embedding in  $M^0$ . Since the image of  $[\tilde{V}]$  in  $H_{n+1}(M; \mathbb{Z})$  is Poincare dual to a generator of  $H^1(M; \mathbb{Z}) = \text{Hom}(\pi_1(M); \mathbb{Z}) = [M; S^1]$  its image in  $H_{n+1}(M^0; \mathbb{Z}) = Z$  is a generator. Thus if  $K$  is  $\Sigma$ -bred, so  $M^0$  is homotopy equivalent to the closed  $\Sigma$ -bred  $\tilde{K}$ , there is a degree 1 map from  $\tilde{V}$  to  $\tilde{K}$ , and hence to any factor of  $\tilde{K}$ . In particular, if  $\tilde{K}$  has a summand which is aspherical or whose fundamental group is a nontrivial finite group then  $\pi_1(V)$  cannot be free. (In particular,  $K$  cannot be a ribbon knot). Similarly, as the Gromov norm of a 3-manifold does not increase under degree 1 maps, if  $\tilde{K}$  is a  $\mathbb{H}^3$ -manifold then  $\tilde{V}$  cannot be a graph manifold [Ru90]. Rubermann observes also that the "Seifert volume" of [BG84] may be used instead to show that if  $\tilde{K}$  is a  $\mathbb{S}^1$ -manifold then  $\tilde{V}$  must have nonzero Seifert volume. (Connected sums of  $\mathbb{E}^3$ -,  $\mathbb{S}^3$ -,  $\text{Nil}^3$ -,  $\text{Sol}^3$ -,  $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{E}^1$ - or  $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{E}^1$ -manifolds all have Seifert volume 0 [BG84]).

We conclude this section by showing that  $\pi_1$ -slice  $\Sigma$ -bred 2-knots have groups with free commutator subgroup.

**Theorem 17.10** *Let  $K$  be a 2-knot with group  $\pi_1(M(K)) = K$ . If  $K$  is  $\pi_1$ -slice then the homomorphism from  $H_3(M^0; \mathbb{Z}) = H_3(M(K); \mathbb{Z})$  to  $H_3(\pi_1(M^0); \mathbb{Z}) = H_3(K; \mathbb{Z})$  induced by  $c_M$  is trivial. If moreover  $M^0$  is a  $PD_3$ -complex and  $\pi_1(M^0)$  is torsion free then  $\pi_1(M^0)$  is a free group.*

**Proof** Let  $\Sigma$  and  $R$  be chosen as above. Since  $c_M$  factors through  $D^5 - R$  the first assertion follows from the exact sequence of homology (with coefficients  $\mathbb{Z}$ ) for the pair  $(D^5 - R; M)$ . If  $M^0$  is a  $PD_3^+$ -complex with torsion free fundamental group then it is a connected sum of aspherical  $PD_3$ -complexes with handles  $S^2 \times S^1$ , by Turaev's theorem. It is easily seen that if  $H_3(c_M; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$  there is no aspherical summand, and so  $\pi_1(M^0)$  is free.  $\square$

We may broaden the question raised earlier to ask whether every  $\pi_1$ -slice 2-knot is a homotopy ribbon knot. (Every homotopy ribbon  $n$ -knot with  $n > 1$  is clearly  $\pi_1$ -slice).

## 17.7 Geometric 2-knot manifolds

The 2-knots  $K$  for which  $M(K)$  is homeomorphic to an infrasolvmanifold are essentially known. There are three other geometries which may be realized by such knot manifolds. All known examples are  $\pi_1$ -bred, and most are derived from twist spins of classical knots. However there are examples (for instance, those with  $\pi_1(M^0) = Q(8) = \langle Z = nZ \rangle$  for some  $n > 1$ ) which cannot be constructed from twist spins. The remaining geometries may be eliminated very easily; only  $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{E}^2$  and  $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{E}^2$  require a little argument.

**Theorem 17.11** *Let  $K$  be a 2-knot with group  $\pi_1(M(K)) = K$ . If  $M(K)$  admits a geometry then the geometry is one of  $\mathbb{E}^4$ ,  $\mathbb{N}i^\beta \times \mathbb{E}^1$ ,  $Sol_0^4$ ,  $Sol_1^4$ ,  $Sol_{m,n}^4$  (for certain  $m \neq n$  only),  $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{E}^1$ ,  $\mathbb{H}^3 \times \mathbb{E}^1$  or  $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{E}^1$ . All these geometries occur.*

**Proof** The knot manifold  $M(K)$  is homeomorphic to an infrasolvmanifold if and only if  $h(\pi_1(M^0)) = 3$ , by Theorem 8.1. It is then determined up to homeomorphism by  $\pi_1(M^0)$ . We may then use the observations of §10 of Chapter 8 to show that  $M(K)$  admits a geometry of solvable Lie type. By Lemma 16.7 and Theorems 16.12 and 16.14  $\pi_1(M^0)$  must be either  $G(+)$  or  $G(-)$ ,  $(e; \pi)$  for some even  $b$  and  $\pi = 1$  or  $\pi = Z^3$  or  $\pi = q$  for some odd  $q$ . We may identify the geometry on looking more closely at the meridional automorphism.

If  $\pi = G(+)$  or  $G(-)$  then  $M(K)$  admits the geometry  $\mathbb{E}^4$ . If  $\pi = (e; \pi)$  then  $M(K)$  is the mapping torus of an involution of a  $\mathbb{N}i^\beta$ -manifold, and so

admits the geometry  $Ni^{\beta} \mathbb{E}^1$ . If  $\theta = Z^3$  then  $M(K)$  is homeomorphic to a  $Sol_{m,n}^A$ - or  $Sol_0^A$ -manifold. More precisely, we may assume (up to change of orientations) that the Alexander polynomial of  $K$  is  $X^3 - (m-1)X^2 + mX - 1$  for some integer  $m$ . If  $m \geq 6$  all the roots of this cubic are positive and the geometry is  $Sol_{m-1,m}^A$ . If  $0 \leq m \leq 5$  two of the roots are complex conjugates and the geometry is  $Sol_0^A$ . If  $m < 0$  two of the roots are negative and  $\pi_1(M(K))$  has a subgroup of index 2 which is a discrete cocompact subgroup of  $Sol_{m^\theta, n^\theta}^A$ , where  $m^\theta = m^2 - 2m + 2$  and  $n^\theta = m^2 - 4m + 1$ , so the geometry is  $Sol_{m^\theta, n^\theta}^A$ .

If  $\theta = q$  and the image of the meridional automorphism in  $Out(\pi_1(M(K)))$  has finite order then  $q = 1$  and  $K = 6_3^1$  or  $(6_3^1)^\theta = 6_5^1 3_1$ . In this case  $M(K)$  admits the geometry  $Ni^{\beta} \mathbb{E}^1$ . Otherwise (if  $\theta = q$  and the order of the image of the meridional automorphism in  $Out(\pi_1(M(K)))$  is infinite)  $M(K)$  admits the geometry  $Sol_1^A$ .

If  $K$  is a branched  $r$ -twist spin of the  $(p; q)$ -torus knot then  $M(K)$  is a  $S^3 \mathbb{E}^1$ -manifold if  $p^{-1} + q^{-1} + r^{-1} > 1$ , and is a  $\mathbb{S}^L \mathbb{E}^1$ -manifold if  $p^{-1} + q^{-1} + r^{-1} < 1$ . (The case  $p^{-1} + q^{-1} + r^{-1} = 1$  gives the  $Ni^{\beta} \mathbb{E}^1$ -manifold  $M(6_3^1)$ ). The manifolds obtained from 2-twist spins of 2-bridge knots and certain other "small" simple knots also have geometry  $S^3 \mathbb{E}^1$ . Branched  $r$ -twist spins of simple (nontorus) knots with  $r > 2$  give  $\mathbb{H}^3 \mathbb{E}^1$ -manifolds, excepting  $M(3_4^1) = M(3_2 4_1)$ , which is the  $\mathbb{E}^4$ -manifold with group  $G(+)$ .

Every orientable  $\mathbb{H}^2 \mathbb{E}^2$ -manifold is double covered by a Kähler surface [W186]. Since the unique double cover of a 2-knot manifold  $M(K)$  has first Betti number 1 no such manifold can be an  $\mathbb{H}^2 \mathbb{E}^2$ -manifold. (If  $K$  is bred we could use Lemma 16.1 instead to exclude this geometry). Since  $\chi(M(K))$  is finite and  $\chi(M(K)) = 0$  we may exclude the geometries  $S^4$ ,  $\mathbb{C}P^2$  and  $S^2 \times S^2$ , and  $\mathbb{H}^4$ ,  $\mathbb{H}^2(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{H}^2$  and  $S^2 \times \mathbb{H}^2$ , respectively. The geometry  $S^2 \mathbb{E}^2$  may be excluded by Theorem 10.10 or Lemma 16.1 (no group with two ends admits a meridional automorphism), while  $\mathbb{F}^4$  is not realized by any closed 4-manifold.  $\square$

In particular, no knot manifold is a  $Ni^A$ -manifold or a  $Sol^{\beta} \mathbb{E}^1$ -manifold, and many of the other  $Sol_{m,n}^A$ -geometries do not arise in this way. The knot manifolds which are infrasolvmanifolds or have geometry  $S^3 \mathbb{E}^1$  are essentially known, by Theorems 8.1, 11.1, 15.12 and x4 of Chapter 16. The knot is uniquely determined up to Gluck reconstruction and change of orientations if  $\theta = Z^3$  (see Theorem 17.4 and the subsequent remarks above),  $\theta = q$  (see x3 of Chapter 18) or  $Q(8)$  ( $Z=nZ$ ) (since the weight class is then unique up to inversion). If it is bred with closed fibre a lens space it is a 2-twist spin of a 2-bridge

knot [Te89]. The other knot groups corresponding to infrasolvmanifolds have in nitely many weight orbits.

**Corollary 17.11.1** *If  $M(K)$  admits a geometry then it bres over  $S^1$ .*

**Proof** This is clear if  $M(K)$  is an infrasolvmanifold or if the geometry is  $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{E}^1$ . If the geometry is  $\mathbb{H}^3 \times \mathbb{E}^1$  then  $\rho_- = \backslash (f1g \ R)$ , by Proposition 8.27 of [Rg]. Let  $\rho_- = \backslash (Isom(\mathbb{H}^3) \ R)$ . Then  $[\rho_- : \rho_-] = 2$ . Since  $\rho_- = \rho = Z$  it follows that  $\rho_-(\rho) = 1$  and hence that  $\rho_-$  maps injectively to  $\rho = \rho = \rho$ . Hence  $\rho_-$  has a subgroup of nite index which is isomorphic to  $\rho = Z$ , and so  $\rho_-$  is the fundamental group of a closed  $\mathbb{H}^3$ -manifold. If the geometry is  $\mathbb{SL} \times \mathbb{E}^1$  then  $\rho_-$  is the fundamental group of a closed  $\mathbb{SL}$ -manifold, by Theorem 16.2. In each case  $M(K)$  bres over  $S^1$ , by Corollary 13.1.1.  $\square$

If the geometry is  $\mathbb{H}^3 \times \mathbb{E}^1$  is  $M(K) = M(K_1)$  for some branched twist spin of a simple non-torus knot? (See x3 of Chapter 16).

If  $M(K)$  is Seifert bred must it be geometric? If so it is a  $\mathbb{SL} \times \mathbb{E}^1$ -,  $Ni\beta \times \mathbb{E}^1$ - or  $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{E}^1$ -manifold. (See x4 of Chapter 7).

## 17.8 Complex surfaces and 2-knot manifolds

If a complex surface  $S$  is homeomorphic to a 2-knot manifold  $M(K)$  then  $S$  is minimal, since  $\chi_2(S) = 0$ , and has Kodaira dimension  $\kappa(S) = 1, 0$  or  $-1$ , since  $\chi_1(S) = 1$  is odd. If  $\kappa(S) = 1$  or  $0$  then  $S$  is elliptic and admits a compatible geometric structure, of type  $\mathbb{SL} \times \mathbb{E}^1$  or  $Ni\beta \times \mathbb{E}^1$ , respectively [Ue90,91, Wl86]. The only complex surfaces with  $\kappa(S) = -1$ ,  $\chi_1(S) = 1$  and  $\chi_2(S) = 0$  are Inoue surfaces, which are not elliptic, but admit compatible geometries of type  $So_0^A$  or  $So_1^A$ , and Hopf surfaces [Tl94]. An elliptic surface with Euler characteristic 0 has no exceptional bres other than multiple tori.

If  $M(K)$  has a complex structure compatible with a geometry then the geometry is one of  $So_0^A$ ,  $So_1^A$ ,  $Ni\beta \times \mathbb{E}^1$ ,  $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{E}^1$  or  $\mathbb{SL} \times \mathbb{E}^1$ , by Theorem 4.5 of [Wl86]. Conversely, if  $M(K)$  admits one of the rst three of these geometries then it is homeomorphic to an Inoue surface of type  $S_M$ , an Inoue surface of type  $S_{N;p;q;r;t}^{(+)}$  or  $S_{N;p;q;r}^{(-)}$  or an elliptic surface of Kodaira dimension 0, respectively. (See [In74], [EO94] and Chapter V of [BPV]).

**Lemma 17.12** *Let  $K$  be a branched  $r$ -twist spin of the  $(p; q)$ -torus knot. Then  $M(K)$  is homeomorphic to an elliptic surface.*

**Proof** We shall adapt the argument of Lemma 1.1 of [Mi75]. (See also [Ne83]). Let  $V_0 = \{ (z_1; z_2; z_3) \in \mathbb{C}^3 \mid z_1^p + z_2^q + z_3^r = 0 \}$ , and define an action of  $\mathbb{C}^*$  on  $V_0$  by  $u \cdot v = (u^{qr} z_1; u^{pr} z_2; u^{pq} z_3)$  for all  $u$  in  $\mathbb{C}^*$  and  $v = (z_1; z_2; z_3)$  in  $V_0$ . Define functions  $m : V_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  and  $n : V_0 \rightarrow m^{-1}(1)$  by  $m(v) = (jz_1)^p + (jz_2)^q + (jz_3)^r$  and  $n(v) = m(v)^{-1} \cdot v$  for all  $v$  in  $V_0$ . Then the map  $(m; n) : V_0 \rightarrow m^{-1}(1) \times \mathbb{R}^+$  is an  $\mathbb{R}^+$ -equivariant homeomorphism, and so  $m^{-1}(1)$  is homeomorphic to  $V_0 / \mathbb{R}^+$ . Therefore there is a homeomorphism from  $m^{-1}(1)$  to the Brieskorn manifold  $M(p; q; r)$ , under which the action of the group of  $r^{\text{th}}$  roots of unity on  $m^{-1}(1) = V_0 / \mathbb{R}^+$  corresponds to the group of covering homeomorphisms of  $M(p; q; r)$  as the branched cyclic cover of  $S^3$ , branched over the  $(p; q)$ -torus knot [Mi75]. The manifold  $M(K)$  is the mapping torus of some generator of this group of self homeomorphisms of  $M(p; q; r)$ . Let  $t$  be the corresponding primitive  $r^{\text{th}}$  root of unity. If  $t > 1$  then  $t^!$  generates a subgroup of  $\mathbb{C}^*$  which acts freely and holomorphically on  $V_0$ , and the quotient  $V_0 / t^!$  is an elliptic surface over the curve  $V_0 / t^!$ . Moreover  $V_0 / t^!$  is homeomorphic to the mapping torus of the self homeomorphism of  $m^{-1}(1)$  which maps  $v$  to  $m(t! \cdot v)^{-1} \cdot t! \cdot v = t! m(v)^{-1} \cdot v$ . Since this map is isotopic to the map sending  $v$  to  $t! \cdot v$  this mapping torus is homeomorphic to  $M(K)$ . This proves the Lemma.  $\square$

The Kodaira dimension of the elliptic surface in the above lemma is 1, 0 or  $-1$  according as  $p^{-1} + q^{-1} + r^{-1}$  is  $< 1$ , 1 or  $> 1$ . In the next theorem we shall settle the case of elliptic surfaces with  $\dim = -1$ .

**Theorem 17.13** *Let  $K$  be a 2-knot. Then  $M(K)$  is homeomorphic to a Hopf surface if and only if  $K$  or its Gluck reconstruction is a branched  $r$ -twist spin of the  $(p; q)$ -torus knot for some  $p; q$  and  $r$  such that  $p^{-1} + q^{-1} + r^{-1} > 1$ .*

**Proof** If  $K = r; s; k_{p; q}$  then  $M(K)$  is homeomorphic to an elliptic surface, by Lemma 17.13, and the surface must be a Hopf surface if  $p^{-1} + q^{-1} + r^{-1} > 1$ .

If  $M(K)$  is homeomorphic to a Hopf surface then  $V_0 / t^!$  has two ends, and there is a monomorphism  $h : V_0 / t^! \rightarrow GL(2; \mathbb{C})$  onto a subgroup which contains a contraction  $c$  (Kodaira - see [Kt75]). Hence  $V_0 / t^!$  is finite and  $h(V_0 / t^!) = h(V_0 / t^!) \setminus SL(2; \mathbb{C})$ , since  $\det(c) \neq 1$  and  $V_0 / t^! = Z$ . Finite subgroups of  $SL(2; \mathbb{C})$  are conjugate to subgroups of  $SU(2) = S^3$ , and so are cyclic, binary dihedral or isomorphic to  $T_1, O_1$  or  $I$ . Therefore  $V_0 / t^! = 2k_{2; n}, 3^2_1, 4^2_1$  or  $5^2_1$ , by Theorem 15.12 and the subsequent remarks. Hopf surfaces with  $V_0 / t^! = Z$  or nonabelian are determined up to diffeomorphism by their fundamental groups, by Theorem 12 of [Kt75]. Therefore  $M(K)$  is homeomorphic to the manifold of

the corresponding torus knot. If  $\pi_1(M)$  is cyclic there is an unique weight orbit. The weight orbits of  $4_1^3$  are realized by  $2_1^2k_{3,4}$  and  $4_1^3$ , while the weight orbits of  $T_1$  are realized by  $2_1^2k_{3,5}$ ,  $3_1^2k_{2,5}$ ,  $5_1^3$  and  $5_2^23_1$  [PS87]. Therefore  $K$  agrees up to Gluck reconstruction with a branched twist spin of a torus knot.  $\square$

The Gluck reconstruction of a branched twist spin of a classical knot is another branched twist spin of that knot, by  $\S 6$  of [Pl84].

Elliptic surfaces with  $\chi = 1$  and  $\beta = 0$  are  $\mathbb{N}/\beta \times \mathbb{E}^1$ -manifolds, and so a knot manifold  $M(K)$  is homeomorphic to such an elliptic surface if and only if  $\pi_1(K)$  is virtually poly- $Z$  and  $\pi_1(K) = Z^2$ . For minimal properly elliptic surfaces (those with  $\chi = 1$ ) we must settle for a characterization up to  $s$ -cobordism.

**Theorem 17.14** *Let  $K$  be a 2-knot with group  $\pi_1(K) = K$ . Then  $M(K)$  is  $s$ -cobordant to a minimal properly elliptic surface if and only if  $\pi_1(K) = Z^2$  and  $\pi_1(K)$  is not virtually poly- $Z$ .*

**Proof** If  $M(K)$  is a minimal properly elliptic surface then it admits a compatible geometry of type  $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{E}^1$  and  $\pi_1(M)$  is isomorphic to a discrete cocompact subgroup of  $Isom_0(\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{E}^1) \times R$ , the maximal connected subgroup of  $Isom_0(\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{E}^1)$ , for the other components consist of orientation reversing or antiholomorphic isometries (see Theorem 3.3 of [Wl86]). Since  $\pi_1(M)$  meets  $(Isom_0(\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{E}^1) \times R) = \mathbb{R}^2$  in a lattice subgroup  $\pi_1(M) = Z^2$  and projects nontrivially onto the second factor  $\pi_1(M) = \pi_1(M) \setminus Isom_0(\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{E}^1)$  and is the fundamental group of a  $\mathbb{S}^1$ -manifold. Thus the conditions are necessary.

Suppose that they hold. Then  $M(K)$  is  $s$ -cobordant to a  $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{E}^1$ -manifold which is the mapping torus  $M(\phi)$  of a self homeomorphism of a  $\mathbb{S}^1$ -manifold, by Theorem 16.2. As  $\phi$  must be orientation preserving and induce the identity on  $\pi_1(M) = Z$  the group  $\pi_1(M)$  is contained in  $Isom_0(\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{E}^1) \times R$ . Hence  $M(\phi)$  has a compatible structure as an elliptic surface, by Theorem 3.3 of [Wl86].  $\square$

An elliptic surface with Euler characteristic 0 is a Seifert fibered 4-manifold, and so is determined up to diffeomorphism by its fundamental group if the base orbifold is euclidean or hyperbolic [Ue90,91]. Using this result (instead of [Kt75]) together with Theorem 16.6 and Lemma 17.12 it may be shown that if  $M(K)$  is homeomorphic to a minimal properly elliptic surface and some power of a weight element is central in  $\pi_1(K)$  then  $M(K)$  is homeomorphic to  $M(K_1)$ , where  $K_1$  is some branched twist spin of a torus knot. However in general there may be infinitely many algebraically distinct weight classes in  $\pi_1(K)$  and we cannot conclude that  $K$  is itself such a branched twist spin.



## Chapter 18

### Reflexivity

The most familiar invariants of knots are derived from the knot complements, and so it is natural to ask whether every knot is determined by its complement. This has been confirmed for classical knots [GL89]. Given a higher dimensional knot there is at most one other knot (up to change of orientations) with homeomorphic exterior. The first examples of non-reflexive 2-knots were given by Cappell and Shaneson [CS76]; these are  $\mathbb{R}^3$ -bred with closed fibre  $\mathbb{R}^3 = \mathbb{Z}^3$ . Gordon gave a different family of examples [Go76], and Plotnick extended his work to show that no  $\mathbb{R}^3$ -bred 2-knot with monodromy of odd order is reflexive. It is plausible that this may be so whenever the order is greater than 2, but this is at present unknown.

We shall consider 2-knots which are  $\mathbb{R}^3$ -bred with closed fibre a geometric 3-manifold. A nontrivial cyclic branched cover of  $S^3$ , branched over a knot, admits a geometry if and only if the knot is a prime simple knot. The geometry is then  $\mathbb{S}^3$ ,  $S^3$ ,  $\mathbb{H}^3$ ,  $\mathbb{E}^3$  or  $\mathbb{N}i^3$ . We shall show that no branched  $r$ -twist spin of such a knot is ever reflexive, if  $r > 2$ . (Our argument also explains why  $\mathbb{R}^3$ -bred knots with monodromy of order 2 are reflexive). If the 3-dimensional Poincaré conjecture is true then all  $\mathbb{R}^3$ -bred 2-knots with monodromy of finite order are branched twist spins, by Plotnick's theorem (see Chapter 16). The remaining three geometries may be excluded without reference to this conjecture, by Lemma 15.7.

This chapter is based on joint work with Plotnick and Wilson (in [HP88] and [HW89], respectively).

#### 18.1 Reflexivity for $\mathbb{R}^3$ -bred 2-knots

Let  $N$  be a closed oriented 3-manifold and  $\alpha$  an orientation preserving self diffeomorphism of  $N$  which fixes a basepoint  $P$  and induces a meridional automorphism of  $\pi_1(N)$ . Let

$$M = M(\alpha) = N \times S^1 = N \times [0; 1] / ((n; 0) \sim (\alpha^n(n); 1));$$

and let  $t$  be the weight element of  $\pi_1(M) = \pi_1(N) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$  represented by the loop sending  $[u] = e^{2\pi i u}$  to  $[\alpha^n(u)]$  in the mapping torus, for all  $0 \leq u < 1$ . The image

$C = fPg \ S^1$  of this loop is the *canonical* cross-section of the mapping torus. Let  $\mathcal{N}$  be the universal covering space of  $N$ , and let  $\tilde{\ } \sim$  be the lift of  $\tilde{\ }$  which fixes some chosen basepoint. Let  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}} = \tilde{\mathcal{N}} \times S^1$  be the (irregular) covering space corresponding to the subgroup of  $\pi_1(N)$  generated by  $t$ . This covering space shall serve as a natural model for a regular neighbourhood of  $C$  in our geometric arguments below.

Choose an embedding  $J : D^3 \times S^1 \hookrightarrow M$  onto a regular neighbourhood  $R$  of  $C$ . Let  $M_0 = M - \text{int}R$  and let  $j = J|_{\partial D^3 \times S^1}$ . Then  $\partial M_0 = M_0 \cup_j S^2 \times D^2$  and  $\partial M_0 = M_0 \cup_j S^2 \times D^2$  are homotopy 4-spheres and the images of  $S^2 \times \{0\}$  represent 2-knots  $K$  and  $K$  with group  $\pi_1(M)$ .

If  $K$  is reflexive there is a homeomorphism  $f$  of  $X = X(K)$  which (up to changes of orientations) restricts to the nontrivial twist  $\tau$  on  $\partial X = S^2 \times S^1$ . (See  $\S 1$  of Chapter 14). This extends to a homeomorphism of  $(M; C)$  via the "radial" extension of  $f$  to  $D^3 \times S^1$ . If  $f$  preserves the homology class of the meridians (i.e., if it induces the identity on  $H_1(\partial X) = \mathbb{Z}$ ) then we may assume this extension fixes  $C$  pointwise. Now  $\partial X = S^2 \times_A S^1$ , where  $A$  is the restriction of the monodromy to  $\partial(N - \text{int}D^3) = S^2$ . Roughly speaking, the local situation - the behaviour of  $f$  and  $A$  on  $D^3 \times S^1$  - determines the global situation. Assume that  $f$  is a framing preserving self homeomorphism of  $D^3 \times_A S^1$  which induces a linear map  $B$  on each fibre  $D^3$ . If  $A$  has finite order, the question as to when  $f$  "changes the framing", i.e., induces  $\tau$  on  $\partial D^3 \times_A S^1$  is delicate. (See  $\S 2$  and  $\S 3$  below). But if  $A$  has infinite order we have the following easy result.

**Lemma 18.1** *Let  $A$  in  $SO(3)$  be a rotation of order  $r \geq 2$  and let  $B$  in  $O(3)$  be such that  $BAB^{-1} = A^{-1}$ , so that  $B$  induces a diffeomorphism  $f_B$  of  $D^3 \times_A S^1$ . If  $f_B$  changes the framing then  $r = 2$ .*

**Proof** We may choose coordinates for  $R^3$  so that  $A = R_{s=r}$ , where  $R_u$  is the matrix of rotation through  $2\pi u$  radians about the  $z$ -axis in  $R^3$ , and  $0 < s < r$ . Let  $f : D^3 \times_A S^1 \rightarrow D^3 \times S^1$  be the diffeomorphism given by  $f(x; u) = (x - su, r; u)$ , for all  $x \in D^3$  and  $0 \leq u < 1$ .

If  $BA = AB$  then  $f_B([x; u]) = [Bx; u]$  and  $f_B^{-1}(x; u) = (x - su, r; B^{-1}x; u)$ . If  $r \geq 3$  then  $B = R_v$  for some  $v$ , and so  $f_B^{-1}(x; u) = (Bx; u)$  does not change the framing. But if  $r = 2$  then  $A = \text{diag}[-1; -1; 1]$  and there is more choice for  $B$ . In particular,  $B = \text{diag}[1; -1; 1]$  acts dihedrally:  $B^{-1}B = R_{-u} = R_{2u}B$ , and so  $f_B^{-1}f_B(x; u) = (x - u; u)$ , i.e.  $f_B^{-1}f_B$  is the twist  $\tau$ .

If  $BAB^{-1} = B^{-1}$  then  $f_B([x; u]) = [Bx; 1 - u]$ . In this case  $f_B^{-1}(x; u) = (x - s(1-u), r; B^{-1}x; 1 - u)$ . If  $r \geq 3$  then  $B$  must act as a reflection in the

rst two coordinates, so  $f_B^{-1}(x; u) = (_{-s=r}Bx; 1 - u)$  does not change the framing. But if  $r = 2$  we may take  $B = I$ , and then  $f_B^{-1}(x; u) = (_{(u-1)=2}u-2x; 1 - u) = (_{(u-\frac{1}{2})}x; 1 - u)$ , which after reversing the  $S^1$  factor is just . □

Note this explains why  $r = 2$  is special. If  $\tau^2 = id$  the diffeomorphism of  $N \times S^1$  sending  $[x; \cdot]$  to  $[x; 1 - \cdot]$  which "turns the bundle upside down" also changes the framing. This explains why 2-twist spins (in any dimension) are reflexive.

**Lemma 18.2** *Let  $\tau$  be the nontrivial twist map of  $S^3 \times S^1$ . Then  $\tau$  is not homotopic to the identity.*

**Proof** Let  $\rho$  be the projection of  $S^3 \times S^1$  onto  $S^3$ . The suspension of  $\rho$ , restricted to the top cell of  $(S^3 \times S^1) = S^2 \times S^4 \times S^5$  is the nontrivial element of  $\pi_5(S^4)$ , whereas the corresponding restriction of the suspension of  $\rho$  is trivial. (See [CS76], [Go76]). □

The hypotheses in the next lemma seem very stringent, but are satisfied by most aspherical geometric 3-manifolds.

**Lemma 18.3** *Suppose that  $\mathcal{N} = R^3$  and that every automorphism of  $\mathcal{N}$  which commutes with  $\tau$  is induced by a diffeomorphism of  $N$  which commutes with  $\tau$ . Suppose also that for any homeomorphism  $!$  of  $N$  which commutes with  $\tau$  there is an isotopy from  $id_{\tilde{N}}$  to  $\tilde{!}$  which commutes with the lift  $\tilde{!}$ . Then no orientation preserving self homeomorphism of  $M$  which fixes  $C$  pointwise changes the framing.*

**Proof** Let  $h$  be an orientation preserving self homeomorphism of  $M$  which fixes  $C$  pointwise. Suppose that  $h$  changes the framing. We may assume that  $h|_R$  is a bundle automorphism and hence that it agrees with the radial extension of  $!$  from  $@R = S^2 \times S^1$  to  $R$ . Since  $h(\tilde{t}) = \tilde{t}$  we have  $h = \tilde{!}$ . Let  $!$  be a basepoint preserving self diffeomorphism of  $N$  which induces  $h$  and commutes with  $\tau$ . Then we may define a self diffeomorphism  $h_I$  of  $M$  by  $h_I([n; s]) = [!(n); s]$  for all  $[n; s]$  in  $M = N \times S^1$ .

Since  $h_I = h$  and  $M$  is aspherical,  $h$  and  $h_I$  are homotopic. Therefore the lifts  $\hat{h}$  and  $\hat{h}_I$  to basepoint preserving maps of  $\mathcal{M}$  are properly homotopic. Let  $\tilde{!}$  be the lift of  $!$  to a basepoint preserving map of  $\mathcal{N}$ . Note that  $\tilde{!}$  is orientation preserving, and so is isotopic to  $id_{\tilde{N}}$ .

Given an isotopy from  $(0) = id_{\tilde{N}}$  to  $(1) = \tilde{\cdot}$  we may define a diffeomorphism  $\tilde{\cdot} : \tilde{N} \rightarrow S^1 \times \tilde{M}$  by  $(x; e^{2\pi i t}) = [(\tilde{t})(x); t]$ . Now  $\tilde{h}_t^{-1}(\tilde{t}; [u]) = (\tilde{t}^{-1}(\tilde{t}^{-1}(\tilde{t})(\tilde{t}); [u]))$ . Thus if  $\tilde{t}(t) = \tilde{t}(t)$  for all  $t$  then  $\tilde{h}_t^{-1} = \tilde{t}^{-1} id_{S^1}$ , and so  $\tilde{h}$  is properly homotopic to  $id_{\tilde{M}}$ .

Since the radial extension of  $\tilde{\cdot}$  and  $\tilde{h}^{-1}$  agree on  $D^3 \times S^1$  they are properly homotopic on  $R^3 \times S^1$  and so  $\tilde{\cdot}$  is properly homotopic to the identity. Now  $\tilde{\cdot}$  extends uniquely to a self diffeomorphism of  $S^3 \times S^1$ , and any such proper homotopy extends to a homotopy from  $\tilde{\cdot}$  to the identity. But this is impossible, by Lemma 18.2. Therefore  $h$  cannot change the framing.  $\square$

Note that in general there is no isotopy from  $id_N$  to  $\tilde{\cdot}$ .

We may use a similar argument to give a sufficient condition for knots constructed from mapping tori to be  $\tilde{\cdot}$ -amphicheiral. As we shall not use this result below we shall only sketch a proof.

**Lemma 18.4** *Let  $N$  be a closed orientable 3-manifold with universal cover  $\tilde{N} = R^3$ . Suppose now that there is an orientation reversing self diffeomorphism  $\tilde{\cdot} : N \rightarrow N$  which commutes with  $\tilde{\cdot}$  and which fixes  $P$ . If there is a path from  $l$  to  $\tilde{t} = D(P)$  which commutes with  $\tilde{\cdot} = D(P)$  then each of  $K$  and  $\tilde{K}$  is  $\tilde{\cdot}$ -amphicheiral.*

**Proof** The map  $\tilde{\cdot}$  induces an orientation reversing self diffeomorphism of  $M$  which fixes  $C$  pointwise. We may use such a path  $\tilde{t}$  to define a diffeomorphism  $\tilde{\cdot} : \tilde{N} \rightarrow S^1 \times \tilde{M}$ . We may then verify that  $\tilde{h}_t^{-1}$  is isotopic to  $id_{S^1}$ , and so  $\tilde{h}_t^{-1} \tilde{j}_{D^3 \times S^1}$  extends across  $S^2 \times D^2$ .  $\square$

### 18.2 Cappell-Shaneson knots

Let  $A \in SL(3; \mathbb{Z})$  be such that  $\det(A - I) = -1$ . Then  $A$  determines an orientation preserving self homeomorphism of  $R^3 = \mathbb{Z}^3$ , and the mapping torus  $M = (R^3 = \mathbb{Z}^3) \times_A S^1$  is a 2-knot manifold. All such knots are  $\tilde{\cdot}$ -amphicheiral, since inversion in each fibre gives an involution of  $M(K)$  fixing a circle, which readily passes to orientation reversing fixed point free involutions of  $(\tilde{\cdot}; K)$  and  $(\tilde{\cdot}; \tilde{K})$ . However such knots are not invertible, for the Alexander polynomial is  $\det(XI - A)$ , which has odd degree and does not vanish at  $-1$ , and so cannot be symmetric.

Cappell and Shaneson showed that if none of the eigenvalues of the monodromy of such a knot are negative then it is not reflexive. In a footnote they observed

that the two knots obtained from a matrix  $A$  in  $SL(3; \mathbb{Z})$  such that  $\det(A - I) = -1$  and with negative eigenvalues are equivalent if and only if there is a matrix  $B$  in  $GL(3; \mathbb{Z})$  such that  $AB = BA$  and the restriction of  $B$  to the negative eigenspace of  $A$  has negative determinant. We shall translate this matrix criterion into one involving algebraic numbers and settle the issue by showing that up to change of orientations there is just one reflexive Cappell-Shaneson 2-knot.

We note first that on replacing  $A$  by  $A^{-1}$  if necessary (which corresponds to changing the orientation of the knot) we may assume that  $\det(A - I) = +1$ .

**Theorem 18.5** *Let  $A \in SL(3; \mathbb{Z})$  satisfy  $\det(A - I) = 1$ . If  $A$  has trace  $-1$  then the corresponding Cappell-Shaneson knot is reflexive, and is determined up to change of orientations among all 2-knots with metabelian group by its Alexander polynomial  $X^3 + X^2 - 2X - 1$ . If the trace of  $A$  is not  $-1$  then the corresponding Cappell-Shaneson knots are not reflexive.*

**Proof** Let  $a$  be the trace of  $A$ . Then the characteristic polynomial of  $A$  is  $f_a(X) = X^3 - aX^2 + (a - 1)X - 1 = X(X - 1)(X - a + 1) - 1$ . It is easy to see that  $f_a$  is irreducible; indeed, it is irreducible modulo (2). Since the leading coefficient of  $f_a$  is positive and  $f_a(1) < 0$  there is at least one positive eigenvalue. If  $a > 5$  all three eigenvalues are positive (since  $f_a(0) = -1$ ,  $f_a(\frac{1}{2}) = (2a - 11)/8 > 0$  and  $f_a(1) = -1$ ). If  $0 < a < 5$  there is a pair of complex eigenvalues.

Thus if  $a \geq 0$  there are no negative eigenvalues, and so  $\gamma(t) = tA + (1 - t)I$  (for  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ ) defines an isotopy from  $I$  to  $A$  in  $GL(3; \mathbb{R})$ . Let  $h$  be a self homeomorphism of  $(M; C)$  such that  $h(\gamma) = \gamma$ . We may assume that  $h$  is orientation preserving and that  $h(\gamma) = \gamma$ . Since  $M$  is aspherical  $h$  is homotopic to a map  $h_B$ , where  $B \in SL(3; \mathbb{Z})$  commutes with  $A$ . Hence  $K$  is not reflexive, by Lemma 18.3.

We may assume henceforth that  $a < 0$ . There are then three real roots  $\lambda_i$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq 3$ , such that  $a - 1 < \lambda_3 < a < \lambda_2 < 0 < 1 < \lambda_1 < 2$ . Note that the products  $\lambda_i(\lambda_j - 1)$  are all positive, for  $1 \leq i \leq 3$ .

Since the eigenvalues of  $A$  are real and distinct there is a matrix  $P$  in  $GL(3; \mathbb{R})$  such that  $A = PAP^{-1}$  is the diagonal matrix  $\text{diag}[\lambda_1; \lambda_2; \lambda_3]$ . If  $B$  in  $GL(3; \mathbb{Z})$  commutes with  $A$  then  $B = PBP^{-1}$  commutes with  $A$  and hence is also diagonal (as the  $\lambda_i$  are distinct). Suppose that  $B = \text{diag}[\mu_1; \mu_2; \mu_3]$ . We may isotope  $PAP^{-1}$  linearly to  $\text{diag}[1; -1; -1]$ . If  $\mu_2 \mu_3 > 0$  for all such  $B$  then  $PBP^{-1}$  is isotopic to  $I$  through block diagonal matrices and we may again

conclude that the knot is not reflexive. On the other hand if there is such a  $B$  with  $\Delta_2 \Delta_3 < 0$  then the knot is reflexive. On replacing  $B$  by  $-B$  if necessary we may assume that  $\det(B) = +1$  and the criterion for reflexivity then becomes  $\Delta_1 < 0$ .

If  $a = -1$  the ring  $\mathbb{Z}[X] = (f_{-1}(X))$  is integrally closed. (For the discriminant  $D$  of the integral closure  $\bar{R}$  of  $R = \mathbb{Z}[X] = (f_{-1}(X))$  divides 49, the discriminant of  $f_{-1}(X)$ , and  $49 = D = [R : \bar{R}]^2$ . As the discriminant must be greater than 1, by a classical result of Minkowski, this index must be 1). As this ring has class number 1 (see the tables of [AR84]) it is a PID. Hence any two matrices in  $SL(3; \mathbb{Z})$  with this characteristic polynomial are conjugate, by Theorem 1.4. Therefore the knot group is unique and determines  $K$  up to Gluck reconstruction and change of orientations, by Theorem 17.5. Since  $B = -A - I$  has determinant 1 and  $\Delta_1 = -\Delta_1 - 1 < 0$ , the corresponding knot is reflexive.

Suppose now that  $a < -1$ . Let  $F$  be the field  $\mathbb{Q}[X] = (f_a(X))$  and let  $\alpha$  be the image of  $X$  in  $F$ . We may view  $\mathbb{Q}^3$  as a  $\mathbb{Q}[X]$ -module and hence as a 1-dimensional  $F$ -vector space via the action of  $A$ . If  $B$  commutes with  $A$  then it induces an automorphism of this vector space which preserves a lattice and so determines a unit  $u(B)$  in  $O_F$ , the ring of integers in  $F$ . Moreover  $\det(B) = N_{F=\mathbb{Q}} u(B)$ . If  $\sigma$  is the embedding of  $F$  in  $\mathbb{R}$  which sends  $\alpha$  to  $\alpha_1$  and  $P$  and  $B$  are as above we must have  $\sigma(u(B)) = \alpha_1$ .

Let  $U = O_F^\times$  be the group of all units in  $O_F$ , and let  $U, U^+, U^2$  and  $U^2$  be the subgroups of units of norm 1, units whose image under  $\sigma$  is positive, totally positive units and squares, respectively. Then  $U = \mathbb{Z}^2 \cdot f^{-1}g$ , since  $F$  is a totally real cubic number field, and so  $[U : U^2] = 8$ . The unit  $-1$  has norm  $-1$ , and  $\alpha$  is a unit of norm 1 in  $U$  which is not totally positive. Hence  $[U : U^+] = [U \setminus U^+ : U^+] = 2$ . It is now easy to see that there is a unit of norm 1 that is not in  $U^+$  (i.e.,  $U \not\subseteq U^+ \setminus U$ ) if and only if every totally positive unit is a square (i.e.,  $U^+ = U^2$ ).

The image of  $X(X - 1)$  in  $F$  is  $(\alpha - 1)$ , which is totally positive and is a unit (since  $X(X - 1)(X - a + 1) = 1 + f_a(X)$ ). Suppose that it is a square in  $F$ . Then  $\alpha - 1 = (\alpha - a + 1)^2$  is a square (since  $(\alpha - 1)(\alpha - (a - 1)) = 1$ ). The minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$  is  $g(Y) = Y^3 + (2a - 3)Y^2 + (a^2 - 3a + 2)Y - 1$ . If  $\alpha = \beta^2$  for some  $\beta$  in  $F$  then  $\beta$  is a root of  $h(Z) = g(Z^2)$  and so the minimal polynomial of  $\beta$  divides  $h$ . This polynomial has degree 3 also, since  $Q(\beta) = F$ , and so  $h(Z) = p(Z)q(Z)$  for some polynomials  $p(Z) = Z^3 + rZ^2 + sZ + 1$  and  $q(Z) = Z^3 + r^0Z^2 + s^0Z - 1$  with integer coefficients. Since the coefficients of  $Z$  and  $Z^5$  in  $h$  are 0 we must have  $r^0 = -r$  and  $s^0 = -s$ . Comparing the coefficients of  $Z^2$  and  $Z^4$  then gives the equations  $2s - r^2 = 2a - 3$  and

$s^2 - 2r = a^2 - 3a + 2$ . Eliminating  $s$  we find that  $r(r^3 + (4a - 6)r - 8) = -1$  and so  $1/r$  is an integer. Hence  $r = \pm 1$  and so  $a = -1$  or  $3$ , contrary to hypothesis. Thus there is no such matrix  $B$  and so the Cappell-Shaneson knots corresponding to  $A$  are not reflexive.  $\square$

The other  $\beta$ -bred 2-knots with closed fibre a flat 3-manifold have group  $G(+)$  or  $G(-)$ . We shall show below that one of these ( $3_41$ ) is not reflexive. The question remains open for the other knots with these groups.

### 18.3 Nil<sup>β</sup>-bred knots

The group  $Nil = Nil^\beta$  is a subgroup of  $SL(3; \mathbb{R})$  and is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , with multiplication given by  $[r; s; t][r^d; s^d; t^d] = [r + r^d; s + s^d; rs^d + t + t^d]$ . (See Chapter 7). The kernel of the natural homomorphism from  $Aut_{Lie}(Nil)$  to  $Aut_{Lie}(\mathbb{R}^2) = GL(2; \mathbb{R})$  induced by abelianization ( $Nil/Nil^\theta = \mathbb{R}^2$ ) is isomorphic to  $Hom_{Lie}(Nil; Nil) = \mathbb{R}^2$ . The set underlying the group  $Aut_{Lie}(Nil)$  is the cartesian product  $GL(2; \mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{R}^2$ , with  $(A; \gamma) = ((\begin{smallmatrix} a & c \\ b & d \end{smallmatrix}); (m_1; m_2))$  acting via  $(A; \gamma)([r; s; t]) =$

$$[ar + cs; br + ds; m_1r + m_2s + (ad - bc)t + bcrcs + ab \frac{r}{2} + cd \frac{s}{2}]:$$

The Jacobian of such an automorphism is  $(ad - bc)^2$ , and so it is orientation preserving. Let  $(B; \delta) = ((\begin{smallmatrix} g & j \\ h & k \end{smallmatrix}); (n_1; n_2))$  be another automorphism, and let

$$(A; B) = (abg(1 - g) + cdh(1 - h) - 2bcgh; abj(1 - j) + cdk(1 - k) - 2bcjk):$$

Then  $(A; \gamma)(B; \delta) = (AB; B + \det(A) + \frac{1}{2}(A; B))$ . In particular,  $Aut_{Lie}(Nil)$  is not a semidirect product of  $GL(2; \mathbb{R})$  with  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . For each  $q > 0$  in  $\mathbb{Z}$  the stabilizer of  $\gamma$  in  $Aut_{Lie}(Nil)$  is the subgroup  $GL(2; \mathbb{Z}) \times (q^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^2)$ , and this is easily verified to be  $Aut(\gamma)$ . (See x7 of Chapter 8). Thus every automorphism of  $\gamma$  extends to an automorphism of  $Nil$ . (This is a special case of a theorem of Malcev on embeddings of torsion free nilpotent groups in 1-connected nilpotent Lie groups - see [Rg]).

Let the identity element  $[0; 0; 0]$  and its images in  $N_q = Nil/\gamma$  be the basepoints for  $Nil$  and for these coset spaces. The extension of each automorphism of  $\gamma$  to  $Nil$  induces a basepoint and orientation preserving self homeomorphism of  $N_q$ .

If  $K$  is a 2-knot with group  $\pi_1(K) = K$  and  $\theta = \gamma$  then  $M = M(K)$  is homeomorphic to the mapping torus of such a self homeomorphism of  $N_q$ . (In fact,

such mapping tori are determined up to diffeomorphism by their fundamental groups). Up to conjugacy and involution there are just three classes of meridional automorphisms of  $\pi_1$  and one of  $\pi_q$ , for each odd  $q > 1$ . (See Theorem 16.13). Since  $\pi_0 = \pi_0$  it is easily seen that  $\pi_1$  has just two strict weight orbits. Hence  $K$  is determined up to Gluck reconstruction and changes of orientation by  $\pi_1$  alone, by Theorem 17.5. (Instead of appealing to 4-dimensional surgery to realize automorphisms of  $\pi_1$  by basepoint and orientation preserving self homeomorphisms of  $M$  we may use the  $S^1$ -action on  $N_q$  to construct such a self homeomorphism which in addition preserves the fibration over  $S^1$ ).

We shall show that the knots with  $\pi_0 = \pi_1$  and whose characteristic polynomials are  $X^2 - X + 1$  and  $X^2 - 3X + 1$  are not reflexive, while for all other groups the corresponding knots are reflexive.

The polynomial  $X^2 - X + 1$  is realized by  $6_3 3_1$  and its Gluck reconstruction. Since the trefoil knot  $3_1$  is strongly invertible  $6_3 3_1$  is strongly +amphicheiral [Li85]. The involution of  $X(6_3 3_1)$  extends to an involution of  $M(6_3 3_1)$  which reverses the canonical section  $C$  pointwise and does not change the framing of the normal bundle, and hence  $(6_3 3_1)$  is also +amphicheiral. (We shall see below that these knots are distinct).

**Lemma 18.6** *Let  $K$  be a braid 2-knot with closed braid  $N_1$  and Alexander polynomial  $X^2 - 3X + 1$ . Then  $K$  is +amphicheiral.*

**Proof** Let  $\pi_1 = (A; (0;0))$  be the automorphism of  $\pi_1$  with  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ . Then  $\pi_1$  induces a basepoint and orientation preserving self diffeomorphism of  $N_1$ . Let  $M = N_1 \times S^1$  and let  $C$  be the canonical section. A basepoint and orientation preserving self diffeomorphism of  $N_1$  such that  $\pi_1^{-1} = \pi_1^{-1}$  induces a self diffeomorphism of  $M$  which reverses the orientations of  $M$  and  $C$ . If moreover it does not twist the normal bundle of  $C$  then each of the 2-knots  $K$  and  $K$  obtained by surgery on  $C$  is +amphicheiral. We may check the normal bundle condition by using an isotopy from  $\pi_1$  to  $id_{Nil}$  to identify  $\mathcal{M}$  with  $Nil \times S^1$ .

Thus we seek an automorphism  $\pi_1 = (B; \pi_1)$  of  $\pi_1$  such that  $\pi_1^{-1} = \pi_1^{-1}$ , or equivalently  $\pi_1^{-1} \pi_1 = \pi_1$ , for some isotopy  $\pi_1$  from  $\pi_0 = id_{Nil}$  to  $\pi_1 = \pi_1$ .

Let  $P = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . Then  $PAP^{-1} = A^{-1}$ , or  $APA = P$ . It may be checked that the equation  $\pi_1(P; \pi_1) = (P; \pi_1)$  reduces to a linear equation for  $\pi_1$  with unique solution  $\pi_1 = -(2;3)$ . Let  $\pi_1 = (P; -(2;3))$  and let  $h$  be the induced diffeomorphism of  $M$ .



As the eigenvalues of  $A$  are both positive it lies on a 1-parameter subgroup, determined by  $L = \ln(A) = m \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -2 \\ -2 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $m = (\ln((3 + \sqrt{5})/2))^{-1} = \sqrt{5}$ . Now  $P L P^{-1} = -L$  and so  $P \exp(tL) P^{-1} = \exp(-tL) = (\exp(tL))^{-1}$ , for all  $t$ . We seek an isotopy  $\gamma_t = (\exp(tL); v_t)$  from  $id_{Nil}$  to  $\gamma$  such that  $\gamma_t \gamma_t^{-1} = id$  for all  $t$ . It is easily seen that this imposes a linear condition on  $v_t$  which has a unique solution, and moreover  $v_0 = v_1 = (0; 0)$ .

Now  $\gamma^{-1} h(x; u) = (\gamma_{1-u}^{-1}(x); 1-u) = (\gamma_{1-u}(x); 1-u)$ . Since  $\exp((1-u)L) \exp(uL) = \exp(L)$  the loop  $u \gamma_{1-u}^{-1} \gamma_u$  is freely contractible in the group  $Aut_{Lie}(Nil)$ . It follows easily that  $h$  does not change the framing of  $C$ .  $\square$

Instead of using the one-parameter subgroup determined by  $L = \ln(A)$  we may use the polynomial isotopy given by  $A_t = \frac{1}{t} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t \\ t & 1+t^2 \end{pmatrix}$ , for  $0 < t < 1$ . A similar argument could be used for the polynomial  $X^2 - X + 1$ .

On the other hand, the polynomial  $X^2 + X - 1$  is not symmetric and so the corresponding knots are not +amphicheiral. Since every automorphism of  $\mathbb{Q}$  is orientation preserving no such knot is -amphicheiral or invertible.

**Theorem 18.7** *Let  $K$  be a bred 2-knot with closed braid  $N_q$ .*

- (1) *If the braid is  $N_1$  and the monodromy has characteristic polynomial  $X^2 - X + 1$  or  $X^2 - 3X + 1$  then  $K$  is not reflexive;*
- (2) *If the braid is  $N_q$  ( $q$  odd) and the monodromy has characteristic polynomial  $X^2 - X - 1$  then  $K$  is reflexive.*

**Proof** As  $6_3^1$  is shown to be not reflexive in  $\S 4$  below, we shall concentrate on the knots with polynomial  $X^2 - 3X + 1$ , and then comment on how our argument may be modified to handle the other cases.

Let  $\gamma$ ,  $\beta$  and  $M = N_1 \times S^1$  be as in Lemma 18.6, and let  $\mathcal{M} = Nil \times S^1$  be as in  $\S 1$ . We shall take  $[0; 0; 0; 0]$  as the basepoint of  $\mathcal{M}$  and its image in  $M$  as the basepoint there.

Suppose that  $\gamma = (B; \beta)$  is an automorphism of  $\mathbb{Q}^1$  which commutes with  $\beta$ . Since the eigenvalues of  $A$  are both positive the matrix  $A(u) = uA + (1-u)I$  is invertible and  $A(u)B = BA(u)$ , for all  $0 < u < 1$ . We seek a path of the form  $\gamma(u) = (A(u); \beta(u))$  with  $\gamma(u)$  commutes with  $\beta(u)$ . On equating the second elements of the ordered pairs  $\gamma(u)$  and  $\beta(u)$  we find that  $\beta(u)(B - \det(B)I)$  is uniquely determined. If  $\det(B)$  is an eigenvalue of  $B$  then there is a corresponding eigenvector  $v$  in  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ . Then  $BA = AB = \det(B)A$ , so  $A$  is also an eigenvector of  $B$ . Since the eigenvalues of  $A$  are irrational we must

have  $B = \det(B)I$  and so  $B = I$ . But then  $\gamma = (A; A)$  and  $\gamma = (A; \cdot)$ , so  $(A - I) = 0$  and hence  $\gamma = 0$ . Therefore  $\gamma = id_{Nil}$  and there is no difficulty in finding such a path. Thus we may assume that  $B - \det(B)I$  is invertible, and then  $\gamma(u)$  is uniquely determined. Moreover, by the uniqueness, when  $A(u) = A$  or  $I$  we must have  $\gamma(u) = (0; 0)$ . Thus  $\gamma$  is an isotopy from  $\gamma(0) = id_{Nil}$  to  $\gamma(1) = \gamma$  (through diffeomorphisms of  $Nil$ ) and so determines a diffeomorphism  $\gamma$  from  $R^3 \times S^1$  to  $\mathcal{M}$  via  $\gamma(r; s; t; [u]) = [ \gamma(u)([r; s; t]); u ]$ .

A homeomorphism  $f$  from  $\mathcal{M}$  to  $\mathcal{M}$  carrying  $K$  to  $K$  (as unoriented submanifolds) extends to a self homeomorphism  $h$  of  $M$  which leaves  $C$  invariant, but changes the framing. We may assume that  $h$  preserves the orientations of  $M$  and  $C$ , by Lemma 18.6. But then  $h$  must preserve the framing, by Lemma 18.3. Hence there is no such homeomorphism and such knots are not reflexive.

If  $\gamma = \gamma_6 \gamma_1$  then we may assume that the meridional automorphism is  $\gamma = \left( \begin{smallmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}; (0; 0) \right)$ . As an automorphism of  $Nil$ ,  $\gamma$  fixes the centre pointwise, and it has order 6. Moreover  $\left( \begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}; (0; 0) \right)$  is an involution of  $Nil$  which conjugates  $\gamma$  to its inverse, and so  $M$  admits an orientation reversing involution. It can easily be seen that any automorphism of  $\gamma_1$  which commutes with  $\gamma$  is a power of  $\gamma$ , and the rest of the argument is similar.

If the monodromy has characteristic polynomial  $X^2 - X - 1$  we may assume that the meridional automorphism is  $\gamma = (D; (0; 0))$ , where  $D = \left( \begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix} \right)$  or its inverse. As  $\gamma = (-I; (-1; 1))$  commutes with  $\gamma$  (in either case) it determines a self homeomorphism  $h_I$  of  $M = N_q \times S^1$  which leaves the meridional circle  $\mathbb{R} \times \{0\} \times S^1$  pointwise fixed. The action of  $h_I$  on the normal bundle may be detected by the induced action on  $\mathcal{M}$ . In each case there is an isotopy from  $\gamma$  to  $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$  which commutes with  $\gamma$ , and so we may replace  $\mathcal{M}$  by the mapping torus  $Nil \times S^1$ . (Note also that  $\gamma$  and  $\gamma_I$  act linearly under the standard identification of  $Nil$  with  $R^3$ ).

Let  $R(u) \in SO(2)$  be rotation through  $u$  radians, and let  $v(u) = (0; u)$ , for  $0 \leq u \leq 1$ . Then  $\gamma(u) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & v(u) \\ 0 & R(u) \end{pmatrix}$  defines a path  $\gamma$  in  $SL(3; \mathbb{R})$  from  $\gamma(0) = id_{Nil}$  to  $\gamma(1) = \gamma$  which we may use to identify the mapping torus of  $\gamma$  with  $R^3 \times S^1$ . In the "new coordinates"  $h_I$  acts by sending  $(r; s; t; e^{2\pi i u})$  to  $(R(u)^{-1}(r; s; t); e^{2\pi i u})$ . The loop sending  $e^{2\pi i u}$  in  $S^1$  to  $(R(u)^{-1}(r; s; t); e^{2\pi i u})$  in  $SL(3; \mathbb{R})$  is freely homotopic to the loop  $\gamma_1(u)^{-1} \gamma_1(u)$ , where  $\gamma_1(u) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & R(u) \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\gamma_1 = \text{diag}[-1; -1; 1]$ . These loops are essential in  $SL(3; \mathbb{R})$ , since on multiplying the latter matrix product on the left by  $\text{diag}[-1; 1; -1]$  we obtain  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & R(2u) \end{pmatrix}$ . Thus  $h_I$  induces the twist  $\gamma_1$  on the normal bundle of the meridian, and so the knot is equivalent to its Gluck reconstruction.  $\square$

The other bred 2-knots with closed bre a  $\mathbb{N}/\beta$ -manifold have group  $(b; \ )$ , for some even  $b$  and  $\ = 1$ . The 2-twist spins of Montesinos knots are reflexive (by Lemma 18.1). Are the other knots with these groups also reflexive?

It has been shown that for many of the Cappell-Shaneson knots at least one of the (possibly two) corresponding smooth homotopy 4-spheres is the standard  $S^4$  [AR84]. Can a similar study be made in the  $Nil$  cases?

### 18.4 Other geometrically bred knots

We shall assume henceforth throughout this section that  $k$  is a prime simple 1-knot, i.e., that  $k$  is either a torus knot or a hyperbolic knot.

**Lemma 18.8** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be automorphisms of a group such that  $AB = BA$ ,  $A(h) = h$  for all  $h$  in and the images of  $A^i$  and  $B$  in  $Aut( = )$  are equal. Let  $[A]$  denote the induced automorphism of  $= ^\theta$ . If  $I - [A]$  is invertible in  $End( = ^\theta)$  then  $B = A^i$  in  $Aut( )$ .*

**Proof** There is a homomorphism  $: !$  such that  $BA^{-i}(x) = x(x)$  for all  $x$  in . Moreover  $A =$ , since  $BA = AB$ . Equivalently,  $[ ](I - [A]) = 0$ , where  $[ ]: = ^\theta !$  is induced by . If  $I - [A]$  is invertible in  $End( = ^\theta)$  then  $[ ] = 0$  and so  $B = A^i$ . □

Let  $p = ap^\theta$ ,  $q = bq^\theta$  and  $r = p^\theta q^\theta c$ , where  $(a; qc) = (b; pc) = 1$ . Let  $A$  denote both the canonical generator of the  $Z=rZ$  action on  $M(p; q; r) = f(u; v; w) \geq \mathbb{C}^3 \ j \ u^p + v^q + w^r = 0 \ g \setminus S^5$  given by  $A(u; v; w) = (u; v; e^{2\pi i/r} w)$  and its effect on  $_1(M(p; q; r))$ . Then the image of the Seifert bration of  $M(p; q; r)$  under the projection to the orbit space  $M(p; q; r)/hAi = S^3$  is the Seifert bration of  $S^3$  with one bre of multiplicity  $p$  and one of multiplicity  $q$ . The quotient of  $M(p; q; r)$  by the subgroup generated by  $A^{p^\theta q^\theta}$  may be identified with  $M(p; q; p^\theta q^\theta)$ . (Note that  $S^2(p; q; r) = S^2(p; q; p^\theta q^\theta)$ ). Sitting above the bre in  $S^3$  of multiplicity  $p$  in both  $M$ 's we find  $q^\theta$  bres of multiplicity  $a$ , and above the bre of multiplicity  $q$  we find  $p^\theta$  bres of multiplicity  $b$ . But above the branch set, a principal bre in  $S^3$ , we have one bre of multiplicity  $c$  in  $M(p; q; r)$ , but a principal bre in  $M(p; q; p^\theta q^\theta)$ .

We may display the factorization of these actions as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 M(p; q; r) & \xrightarrow{=S^1} & S^2(p; q; r) \\
 \uparrow \text{?} & & \uparrow \text{?} \\
 \mathbb{Y} & & \mathbb{Y} \\
 \\ 
 M(p; q; p^\ell q^\ell) & \xrightarrow{=S^1} & S^2(p; q; p^\ell q^\ell) \\
 \uparrow \text{?} & & \uparrow \text{?} \\
 \mathbb{Y} & & \mathbb{Y} \\
 \\ 
 (S^3; (p; q)) & \xrightarrow{=S^1} & S^2
 \end{array}$$

We have the following characterization of the centralizer of  $A$  in  $Aut(\mathbb{Y})$ .

**Theorem 18.9** *Assume that  $p^{-1} + q^{-1} + r^{-1} = 1$ , and let  $A$  be the automorphism of  $\mathbb{Y} = \mathbb{Y}_1(M(p; q; r))$  of order  $r$  induced by the canonical generator of the branched covering transformations. If  $B$  in  $Aut(\mathbb{Y})$  commutes with  $A$  then  $B = A^i$  for some  $0 \leq i < r$ .*

**Proof** The 3-manifold  $M = M(p; q; r)$  is aspherical, with universal cover  $R^3$ , and  $\mathbb{Y}$  is a central extension of  $Q(p; q; r)$  by an infinite cyclic normal subgroup. Here  $Q = Q(p; q; r)$  is a discrete planar group with signature  $((1 - p^\ell)(1 - q^\ell) = 2; a :: a; b :: b; c)$  (where there are  $q^\ell$  entries  $a$  and  $p^\ell$  entries  $b$ ). Note that  $Q$  is Fuchsian except for  $Q(2; 3; 6) = Z^2$ . (In general,  $Q(p; q; pq)$  is a  $PD_2^+$ -group of genus  $(1 - p)(1 - q) = 2$ ).

There is a natural homomorphism from  $Aut(\mathbb{Y})$  to  $Aut(Q) = Aut(\mathbb{Y} = \mathbb{Y})$ . The strategy shall be to show first that  $B = A^i$  in  $Aut(Q)$  and then lift to  $Aut(\mathbb{Y})$ . The proof in  $Aut(Q)$  falls naturally into three cases.

**Case 1.**  $r = c$ . In this case  $M$  is a homology 3-sphere, covered over  $S^2$  with three exceptional covers of multiplicity  $p$ ,  $q$  and  $r$ . Thus  $Q = Q(p; q; r) = \langle q_1; q_2; q_3 \mid q_1^p = q_2^q = q_3^r = q_1 q_2 q_3 = 1 \rangle$ , the group of orientation preserving symmetries of a tessellation of  $H^2$  by triangles with angles  $\pi/p$ ,  $\pi/q$  and  $\pi/r$ . Since  $Z_r$  is contained in  $S^1$ ,  $A$  is inner. (In fact it is not hard to see that the image of  $A$  in  $Aut(Q)$  is conjugation by  $q_3^{-1}$ . See x3 of [Pl83]).

It is well known that the automorphisms of a triangle group correspond to symmetries of the tessellation (see Chapters V and VI of [ZVC]). Since  $p$ ,  $q$  and  $r$  are pairwise relatively prime there are no self symmetries of the  $(p; q; r)$  triangle. So, fixing a triangle  $T$ , all symmetries take  $T$  to another triangle. Those that preserve orientation correspond to elements of  $Q$  acting by inner

automorphisms, and there is one nontrivial outerautomorphism,  $R$  say, given by reflection in one of the sides of  $T$ . We can assume  $R(q_3) = q_3^{-1}$ .

Let  $B$  in  $Aut(Q)$  commute with  $A$ . If  $B$  is conjugation by  $b$  in  $Q$  then  $BA = AB$  is equivalent to  $bq_3 = q_3b$ , since  $Q$  is centreless. If  $B$  is  $R$  followed by conjugation by  $b$  then  $bq_3 = q_3^{-1}b$ . But since  $hq_3i = Z_r$  in  $Q$  is generated by an elliptic element the normalizer of  $hq_3i$  in  $PSL(2; \mathbb{R})$  consists of elliptic elements with the same fixed point as  $q_3$ . Hence the normalizer of  $hq_3i$  in  $Q$  is just  $hq_3i$ . Since  $r > 2$   $q_3 \notin q_3^{-1}$  and so we must have  $bq_3 = q_3b$ ,  $b = q_3^j$  and  $B = A^j$ . (Note that if  $r = 2$  then  $R$  commutes with  $A$  in  $Aut(Q)$ ).

Case 2.  $r = p^l q^l$  so that  $Z_r \setminus S^1 = 1$ . The map from  $S^2(p; q; p^l q^l)$  to  $S^2$  is branched over three points in  $S^2$ . Over the point corresponding to the fibre of multiplicity  $p$  in  $S^3$  the map is  $p^l$ -fold branched; it is  $q^l$ -fold branched over the point corresponding to the fibre of multiplicity  $q$  in  $S^3$ , and it is  $p^l q^l$ -fold branched over the point corresponding to the branching locus of  $M$  over  $S^3$ .

Represent  $S^2$  as a hyperbolic orbifold  $H^2 = (p; q; p^l q^l)$ . (If  $(p; q; r) = (2; 3; 6)$  we use instead the flat orbifold  $E^2 = (2; 3; 6)$ ). Lift this to an orbifold structure on  $S^2(p; q; p^l q^l)$ , thereby representing  $Q = Q(p; q; p^l q^l)$  into  $PSL(2; \mathbb{R})$ . Lifting the  $Z_{p^l q^l}$ -action to  $H^2$  gives an action of the semidirect product  $Q \sim Z_{p^l q^l}$  on  $H^2$ , with  $Z_{p^l q^l}$  acting as rotations about a point  $\sim$  of  $H^2$  lying above  $\cdot$ . Since the map from  $H^2$  to  $S^2(p; q; p^l q^l)$  is unbranched at  $\sim$  (equivalently,  $Z_r \setminus S^1 = 1$ ),  $Q \setminus Z_{p^l q^l} = 1$ . Thus  $Q \sim Z_{p^l q^l}$  acts effectively on  $H^2$ , with quotient  $S^2$  and three branch points, of orders  $p$ ,  $q$  and  $p^l q^l$ .

In other words,  $Q \sim Z_{p^l q^l}$  is isomorphic to  $(p; q; p^l q^l)$ . The automorphism  $A$  extends naturally to an automorphism of  $\cdot$ , namely conjugation by an element of order  $p^l q^l$ , and  $B$  also extends to  $Aut(\cdot)$ , since  $BA = AB$ .

We claim  $B = A^j$  in  $Aut(\cdot)$ . We cannot directly apply the argument in Case 1, since  $p^l q^l$  is not prime to  $pq$ . We argue as follows. In the notation of Case 1,  $A$  is conjugation by  $q_3^{-1}$ . Since  $BA = AB$ ,  $B(q_3) = q_3^{-1}B(q_3)q_3$ , which forces  $B(q_3) = q_3^j$ . Now  $q_3^{-1}B(q_2)q_3 = AB(q_2) = B(q_3^{-1})B(q_2)B(q_3) = q_3^{-j}B(q_2)q_3^j$ , or  $B(q_2) = q_3^{1-j}B(q_3)q_3^{j-1}$ . But  $B(q_2)$  is not a power of  $q_3$ , so  $q_3^{1-j} = 1$ , or  $j = 1$  modulo  $(r)$ . Thus  $B(q_3) = q_3$ . This means that the symmetry of the tessellation that realizes  $B$  shares the same fixed point as  $A$ , so  $B$  is in the dihedral group fixing that point, and now the proof is as before.

Case 3.  $r = p^l q^l c$  (the general case). We have  $Z_{p^l q^l c}$  contained in  $Aut(\cdot)$ , but  $Z_{p^l q^l c} \setminus S^1 = Z_c$ , so that  $Z_c$  is the kernel of the composition

$$Z_r \rightarrow Out(\cdot) \rightarrow Out(Q):$$

Let  $Q$  be the extension corresponding to the abstract kernel  $Z_{p^l q^l} \wr \text{Out}(Q)$ . (The extension is unique since  $Q = 1$ ). Then  $Q$  is a quotient of the semidirect product  $Q(p; q; r) \sim (Z=rZ)$  by a cyclic normal subgroup of order  $c$ .

Geometrically, this corresponds to the following. The map from  $S^2(p; q; r)$  to  $S^2$  is branched as in Case 2, over three points with branching indices  $p, q$  and  $p^l q^l$ . This time, represent  $S^2$  as  $H^2 = (p; q; p^l q^l)$ . Lift to an orbifold structure on  $S^2(p; q; r)$  with one cone point of order  $c$ . Lifting an elliptic element of order  $r$  in  $(p; q; r)$  to the universal orbifold cover of  $S^2(p; q; r)$  gives  $Z_r$  contained in  $\text{Aut}(Q(p; q; r))$  defining the semidirect product. But  $Q(p; q; r) \setminus Z_r = Z_c$ , so the action is ineffective. Projecting to  $Z_{p^l q^l}$  and taking the extension  $Q$  kills the ineffective part of the action. Note that  $Q(p; q; r)$  and  $Z_r$  inject into  $Q$ .

As in Case 2,  $Q = (p; q; r)$ ,  $A$  extends to conjugation by an element of order  $r$  in  $Q$ , and  $B$  extends to an automorphism of  $Q(p; q; r) \sim Z_r$ , since  $BA = AB$ . Now  $(q_3; p^l q^l)$  in  $Q(p; q; r) \sim Z_r$  normally generates the kernel of  $Q(p; q; r) \sim Z_r \wr Q$ , where  $q_3$  is a rotation of order  $c$  with the same fixed point as the generator of  $Z_r$ . In other words,  $A$  in  $\text{Aut}(Q(p; q; r))$  is such that  $A^{p^l q^l}$  is conjugation by  $q_3$ . Since  $BA^{p^l q^l} = A^{p^l q^l}B$  the argument in Case 2 shows that  $B(q_3) = q_3$ . So  $B$  also gives an automorphism of  $Q$ , and now the argument of Case 2 finishes the proof.

We have shown that  $B = A^i$  in  $\text{Aut}(Q)$ . Since  $A$  in  $\text{Aut}(\ )$  is the monodromy of a braid knot in  $S^4$  (or, more directly, since  $A$  is induced by a branched cover of a knot in a homology sphere),  $I - [A]$  is invertible. Thus the Theorem now follows from Lemma 18.8. □

**Theorem 18.10** *Let  $k$  be a prime simple knot in  $S^3$ . Let  $0 < s < r$ ,  $(r; s) = 1$  and  $r > 2$ . Then  ${}_{r;s}k$  is not reflexive.*

**Proof** We shall consider separately the three cases (a)  $k$  a torus knot and the branched cover aspherical; (b)  $k$  a torus knot and the branched cover spherical; and (c)  $k$  a hyperbolic knot.

**Aspherical branched covers of torus knots** Let  $K = {}_{r;s}(k_{p;q})$  where  $r > 2$  and  $M(p; q; r)$  is aspherical. Then  $X(K) = (M(p; q; r) - \text{int}D^3) \cup_{A^s} S^1$ ,  $M = M(K) = M(p; q; r) \cup_{A^s} S^1$  and  $\pi_1(K) = \pi_1(M(p; q; r)) \cup_{A^s} Z$ .

If  $K$  is reflexive there is a homeomorphism  $f$  of  $X$  which changes the framing on  $@X$ . Now  $k_{p;q}$  is strongly invertible - there is an involution of  $(S^3; k_{p;q})$  fixing two points of the knot and reversing the meridian. This lifts to an involution of  $M(p; q; r)$  fixing two points of the branch set and conjugating  $A^s$  to  $A^{-s}$ , thus

inducing a diffeomorphism of  $X(K)$  which reverses the meridian. By Lemma 18.1 this preserves the framing, so we can assume that  $f$  preserves the meridian of  $K$ . Since  $M(p; q; r)$  is an aspherical Seifert bred 3-manifold  $\widetilde{M(p; q; r)} = \mathbb{R}^3$  and all automorphisms of  $\pi_1(M(p; q; r))$  are induced by self-diffeomorphisms [Hm]. Hence  $f$  must be orientation preserving also, as all self-homeomorphisms of  $\mathbb{S}^1$ -manifolds are orientation preserving [NR78]. The remaining hypothesis of Lemma 18.3 is satisfied, by Theorem 18.9. Therefore there is no such self-homeomorphism  $f$ , and  $K$  is not reflexive.

**Spherical branched covers of torus knots** We now adapt the previous argument to the spherical cases. The analogue of Theorem 18.9 is valid, except for  $(2; 5; 3)$ . We sketch the proofs.

$(2; 3; 3)$ :  $M(2; 3; 3) = S^3 = Q(8)$ . The image in  $Aut(Q(8) = Q(8)) = S_3$  of the automorphism  $A$  induced by the 3-fold cover of the trefoil knot has order 3 and so generates its own centralizer.

$(2; 3; 4)$ :  $M(2; 3; 4) = S^3 = T_1$ . In this case the image of  $A$  in  $Aut(T_1) = S_4$  must be a 4-cycle, and generates its own centralizer.

$(2; 3; 5)$ :  $M(2; 3; 5) = S^3 = I$ . In this case the image of  $A$  in  $Aut(I) = S_5$  must be a 5-cycle, and generates its own centralizer.

$(2; 5; 3)$ : We again have  $I$ , but in this case  $A^3 = I$ , say  $A = (123)(4)(5)$ . Suppose  $BA = AB$ . If  $B$  fixes 4 and 5 then it is a power of  $A$ . But  $B$  may transpose 4 and 5, and then  $B = A^i C$ , where  $C = (1)(2)(3)(45)$  represents the nontrivial outer automorphism class of  $I$ .

Now let  $K = r,s(k_p; q)$  as usual, with  $(p; q; r)$  one of the above four triples, and let  $M = M(p; q; r) \times_{A^s} S^1$ . As earlier, if  $K$  is reflexive we have a homeomorphism  $f$  which preserves the meridian  $t$  and changes the framing on  $D^3 \times_{A^s} S^1$ .

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be the cover of  $M$  corresponding to the meridian subgroup, so  $\mathcal{M} = S^3 \times_{\hat{A}^s} S^1$ , where  $\hat{A}$  is a rotation about an axis. Let  $f$  be a basepoint preserving self-homotopy equivalence of  $M$  such that  $f(t) = t$  in  $\mathcal{M}$ . Let  $B$  in  $Aut(\pi_1(M(p; q; r)))$  be induced by  $f$ , so  $BA^s = A^s B$ . The discussion above shows that  $B = A^{si}$  except possibly for  $(2; 5; 3)$ . But if  $B$  represented the outer automorphism of  $I$  then after lifting to infinite cyclic covers we would have a homotopy equivalence of  $S^3 = I$  inducing  $C$ , contradicting Lemma 11.5. So we have an obvious basepoint preserving diffeomorphism  $f_B$  of  $M$ .

The proof that  $\hat{f}_B$  is homotopic to  $id_{\hat{\mathcal{M}}}$  is exactly as in the aspherical case. To see that  $\hat{f}_B$  is homotopic to  $\hat{f}$  (the lift of  $f$  to a basepoint preserving proper self-homotopy equivalence of  $\mathcal{M}$ ) we investigate whether  $f_B$  is homotopic to

$f$ . Since  $H_2(M) = 0$  we can homotope  $f_B$  to  $f$  on the 2-skeleton of  $M$ . On the 3-skeleton we meet an obstruction in  $H^3(M; \mathbb{Z}) = H^3(M; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$ , since  $M$  has the homology of  $S^3 \times S^1$ . But this obstruction is detected on the top cell of  $M(p; q; r)$  and just measures the difference of the degrees of  $f$  and  $f_B$  on the infinite cyclic covers [Ol53]. Since both  $f$  and  $f_B$  are orientation preserving homotopy equivalences this obstruction vanishes. On the 4-skeleton we have an obstruction in  $H^4(M; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ , which may not vanish. But this obstruction is killed when we lift to  $\tilde{M}$ , since the map from  $\tilde{M}$  to  $M$  has even degree, proving that  $\hat{f}_B \sim \hat{f}$ .

We now use radial homotopies on  $S^3 \times S^1$  to finish, as before.

**Branched covers of hyperbolic knots** Let  $k$  be hyperbolic. Excluding  $N_3(4_1)$  (the 3-fold cyclic branched cover of the figure eight knot),  $N = N_r(k)$  is a closed hyperbolic 3-manifold, with  $h \cdot i = Z \cdot r Z$  acting by isometries. As usual, we assume there is a homeomorphism  $f$  of  $M = M(r, s)(k)$  which changes the framing on  $D^3 \times_{A^s} S^1$ . As in the aspherical torus knot case, it shall suffice to show that the lift  $\hat{f}$  on  $\tilde{M}$  is properly homotopic to a map of  $(\mathbb{R}^3 \times S^1; D^3 \times S^1)$  that does not change the framing on  $D^3 \times S^1$ .

Letting  $B = f$  on  $\pi_1(N)$ , we have  $BA^sB^{-1} = A^{-s}$ , depending on whether  $f(t) = t^{-1}$  in  $\pi_1(N) = \mathbb{Z}$ . There is a unique isometry  $\phi$  of  $N$  realizing the class of  $B$  in  $Out(\pi_1(N))$ , by Mostow rigidity, and  $\phi^{-s} = \phi^s$ . Hence there is an induced self diffeomorphism  $\tilde{f}$  of  $M = N \times_{\mathbb{Z}} S^1$ . Note that  $\tilde{f} = (f)$  in  $Out(\pi_1(N))$ , so  $\tilde{f}$  is homotopic to  $f$ . We cannot claim that  $\tilde{f}$  fixes the basepoint of  $N$ , but  $\tilde{f}$  preserves the closed geodesic fixed by  $A^s$ .

Now  $\tilde{M} = H^3 \times_{\mathbb{Z}} S^1$  where  $A^s$  is an elliptic rotation about an axis  $L$ , and  $\hat{f}$  is likewise an isometry  $\hat{f}$  preserving  $L$ . We can write  $H^3 = \mathbb{R}^2 \times L$  (non-metrically!) by considering the family of hyperplanes perpendicular to  $L$ , and then  $\hat{f}$  is just an element of  $O(2) \times E(1)$  and  $A^s$  is an element of  $SO(2) \times \text{flg}$ . The proof of Lemma 18.1, with trivial modifications, shows that, after picking coordinates and ignoring orientations,  $\hat{f}$  is the identity. This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

The manifolds  $M(p; q; r)$  with  $p^{-1} + q^{-1} + r^{-1} < 1$  are coset spaces of  $\tilde{SL}$  [Mi75]. Conversely, let  $K$  be a 2-knot obtained by surgery on the canonical cross-section of  $N \times S^1$ , where  $N$  is such a coset space. If  $\tilde{f}$  is induced by an automorphism of  $\tilde{SL}$  which normalizes  $\pi_1(N)$  then it has finite order, since  $N_{\tilde{SL}}(\pi_1(N)) = N_{PSL(2, \mathbb{R})}(\pi_1(N)) = \pi_1(N)$ . Thus if  $\tilde{f}$  has finite order we cannot expect to use such geometric arguments to analyze the question of reflexivity.



# Bibliography

## Books

- [BPV] Barth, W., Peters, C. and Van de Ven, A. *Compact Complex Surfaces*,  
Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete 3 Folge, Bd 4,  
Springer-Verlag, Berlin - Heidelberg - New York - Tokyo (1984).
- [Ba] Baues, H.J. *Obstruction Theory*,  
Lecture Notes in Mathematics 628,  
Springer-Verlag, Berlin - Heidelberg - New York (1977).
- [Ba'] Baues, H.J. *Combinatorial Homotopy and 4-Dimensional Complexes*,  
De Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics 2,  
Walter De Gruyter, Berlin - New York (1991).
- [Bi] Bieri, R. *Homological Dimension of Discrete Groups*,  
Queen Mary College Mathematics Notes, London (1976).
- [B-Z] Brown, H., Bülow, R., Neubüser, J., Wondratschek, H. and Zassenhaus, H.  
*Crystallographic Groups of Four-Dimensional Space*,  
John Wiley and Sons Inc., New York - London - Sydney - Toronto (1978).
- [BZ] Burde, G. and Zieschang, H. *Knots*,  
de Gruyter Studies in Mathematics 5,  
W. de Gruyter, Berlin - New York (1985).
- [Cb] Cobb, R. *Infrasolvmanifolds of Dimension Four*,  
PhD thesis, University of Sydney (1999).
- [Co] Cohen, M.M. *A Course in Simple Homotopy Theory*,  
Graduate Texts in Mathematics 10,  
Springer-Verlag, Berlin - Heidelberg - New York (1973).
- [Cn] Cohn, P.M. *Skew Fields. Theory of General Division Rings*,  
Cambridge University Press (1995).
- [De] Dekimpe, K. *Almost-Bieberbach Groups: A Fine and Polynomial Structures*,  
Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1639,  
Springer-Verlag, Berlin - Heidelberg - New York (1996).
- [DD] Dicks, W. and Dunwoody, M.J. *Groups acting on Graphs*,  
Cambridge studies in advanced mathematics 17,  
Cambridge University Press, Cambridge - New York - Melbourne (1989).
- [FJ] Farrell, F.T. and Jones, L.E. *Classical Aspherical Manifolds*,  
CBMS Regional Conference Series 75,  
American Mathematical Society, Providence (1990).
- [Fi] Filipkiewicz, R.O. *Four-Dimensional Geometries*,  
Ph.D thesis, University of Warwick (1984).

- [FQ] Freedman, M.H. and Quinn, F. *Topology of 4-Manifolds*, Princeton University Press, Princeton (1990).
- [Go] Goldman, W.M. *Complex Hyperbolic Geometry*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Oxford University Press, Oxford - New York (1999).
- [GS] Gompf, R. and Stipsicz, A. *4-Manifolds and Kirby Calculus*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics 20, American Mathematical Society, Providence (1999).
- [GK] Gordon, C. McA. and Kirby, R.C. (editors) *Four-Manifold Theory*, CONM 35, American Mathematical Society, Providence (1984).
- [Gr] Gromov, M. *Asymptotic Invariants of Infinite Groups*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series 182, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge - New York - Melbourne (1993).
- [Hm] Hempel, J. *3-Manifolds*, Annals of Mathematics Study 86, Princeton University Press, Princeton (1976).
- [Hn] Hendriks, H. *Applications de la theorie d'obstruction en dimension 3*, Bull. Soc. Math. France Memoire 53 (1977), 1-86.
- [H1] Hillman, J.A. *2-Knots and their Groups*, Australian Mathematical Society Lecture Series 5, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge - New York - Melbourne (1989).
- [H2] Hillman, J.A. *The Algebraic Characterization of Geometric 4-Manifolds*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series 198, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge - New York - Melbourne (1994).
- [H3] Hillman, J.A. *Algebraic Invariants of Links*, Series on Knots and Everything, Vol. 32, World Scientific Publishing Co, Singapore (2002).
- [JS] Jaco, W. and Shalen, P.B. *Seifert fibered spaces in 3-manifolds*, MEMO 220, American Mathematical Society, Providence (1979).
- [KS] Kirby, R.C. and Siebenmann, L. *Foundational Essays on Topological Manifolds, Smoothings, and Triangulations*, Annals of Mathematics Study 88, Princeton University Press, Princeton (1977).
- [La] Laudenbach, F. *Topologie de la Dimension Trois: Homotopie et Isotopie*, Asterisque 12 (1974).
- [Lu] Lück, W.  *$L^2$ -Invariants: Theory and Applications to Geometry and K-Theory*, Volume 44, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete 3 Folge, Springer-Verlag, Berlin - Heidelberg - New York (2002).

- [Ma] Margulis, G. *Discrete Subgroups of Semisimple Lie Groups*,  
Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete 3 Folge Bd. 17,  
Springer-Verlag, Berlin - Heidelberg - New York (1991).
- [Mc] McCleary, J. *User's Guide to Spectral Sequences*,  
Mathematics Lecture Series 12,  
Publish or Perish, Inc., Wilmington (1985).
- [Mi] Milnor, J.W. *Introduction to Algebraic K-Theory*,  
Annals of Mathematics Study 72,  
Princeton University Press, Princeton (1971).
- [Mo] Montesinos, J.M. *Classical Tessellations and Three-Manifolds*,  
Springer-Verlag, Berlin - Heidelberg - New York (1987).
- [Pa] Passman, D.S. *The Algebraic Structure of Group Rings*,  
John Wiley and Sons Inc., New York - London - Sydney - Toronto (1977).
- [Pi] Pier, J. *Amenable Locally Compact Groups*,  
John Wiley and Sons Inc., New York - London - Sydney - Toronto (1984).
- [Rg] Raghunathan, M.S. *Discrete Subgroups of Lie Groups*,  
Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete 2 Folge, Bd 68,  
Springer-Verlag, Berlin - Heidelberg - New York (1972).
- [Rn] Ranicki, A. *Algebraic L-Theory and Topological Manifolds*,  
Cambridge University Press, Cambridge - New York - Melbourne (1992).
- [Rt] Ratcliffe, J.G. *Foundations of Hyperbolic Manifolds*,  
Graduate Texts in Mathematics 149,  
Springer-Verlag, Berlin - Heidelberg - New York (1994).
- [Ro] Robinson, D.J.S. *A Course in the Theory of Groups*,  
Graduate Texts in Mathematics 80,  
Springer-Verlag, Berlin - Heidelberg - New York (1982).
- [Se] Serre, J.P. *Linear Representations of Finite Groups*,  
Graduate Texts in Mathematics 42,  
Springer-Verlag, Berlin - Heidelberg - New York (1977).
- [Sp] Spanier, E.H. *Algebraic Topology*,  
McGraw-Hill, New York (1966).
- [St] Stallings, J.R. *Group Theory and Three-Dimensional Manifolds*,  
Yale Mathematical Monographs 4,  
Yale University Press, New Haven - London (1971).
- [Su] Sunder, V.S. *An Invitation to Von Neumann Algebras*,  
Springer-Verlag, Berlin - Heidelberg - New York (1987).
- [Te] Teichner, P. *Topological Four-Manifolds with Finite Fundamental Group*,  
Verlag Shaker, Aachen (1992).
- [Th] Thomas, C.B. *Elliptic Structures on 3-Manifolds*,  
London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series 104,  
Cambridge University Press, Cambridge - New York - Melbourne (1986).

- [Vi] Vigneras, M.-F. *Arithmetique des Algebres de Quaternions*,  
Lecture Notes in Mathematics 800,  
Springer-Verlag, Berlin - Heidelberg - New York (1980).
- [Wl] Wall, C.T.C. *Surgery on Compact Manifolds*,  
Academic Press, New York - London (1970).
- [Wo] Wolf, J.A. *Spaces of Constant Curvature*, 4th edition,  
Publish or Perish Inc., Wilmington (1984).
- [Zi] Zieschang, H. *Finite Groups of Mapping Classes of Surfaces*,  
Lecture Notes in Mathematics 875,  
Springer-Verlag, Berlin - Heidelberg - New York (1981).
- [ZVC] Zieschang, H., Vogt, E. and Coldewey, H.D. *Surfaces and Planar  
Discontinuous Groups*,  
Lecture Notes in Mathematics 835,  
Springer-Verlag, Berlin - Heidelberg - New York (1980).

#### Journal articles

- [AR84] Aitchison, I.R. and Rubinstein, J.H. Fibered knots and involutions on  
homotopy spheres, in [GK], 1-74.
- [ABR92] Arapura, D., Bressler, P. and Ramachandran, M. On the fundamental group  
of a compact Kähler manifold, *Duke Math. J.* 68 (1993), 477-488.
- [AFR97] Aravinda, C.S., Farrell, F.T. and Roushon, S.K. Surgery groups of knot  
and link complements, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* 29 (1997), 400-406.
- [Ar25] Artin, E. Zur Isotopie Zwei-dimensionaler Flächen im  $R_4$ ,  
*Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg* 4 (1925), 174-177.
- [AY81] Asano, K. and Yoshikawa, K. On polynomial invariants of braid 2-knots,  
*Pacific J. Math.* 97 (1981), 267-269.
- [At76] Atiyah, M.F. Elliptic operators, discrete groups and von Neumann algebras,  
*Asterisque* 32/33 (1976), 43-72.
- [Au73] Auslander, L. An exposition of the structure of solvmanifolds,  
I, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 79 (1973), 227-261; II, *ibid.* 262-285.
- [AJ76] Auslander, L. and Johnson, F.E.A. On a conjecture of C.T.C.Wall,  
*J. London Math. Soc.* 14 (1976), 331-332.
- [BH72] Baer, R. and Heineken, H. Radical groups of finite abelian section rank,  
*Illinois J. Math.* 16 (1972), 533-589.
- [Ba75] Bak, A. Odd dimension surgery groups of odd torsion groups vanish,  
*Topology* 14 (1975), 367-374.
- [Ba80] Barge, J. An algebraic proof of a theorem of J.Milnor,  
in *Proceedings of the Topology Symposium, Siegen, 1979*,  
(edited by U. Koschorke and W.D.Neumann),  
Lecture Notes in Mathematics 788,  
Springer-Verlag, Berlin - Heidelberg - New York (1980), 396-398.

- [Ba80'] Barge, J. Dualite dans les revêtements galoisiens, *Inventiones Math.* 58 (1980), 101-106.
- [Ba88] Bauer, S. The homotopy type of a four-manifold with finite fundamental group, in *Algebraic Topology and Transformation Groups* (edited by T. tom Dieck), *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* 1361, Springer-Verlag, Berlin - Heidelberg - New York (1988), 1-6.
- [BHK81] Bayer, E., Hillman, J.A. and Kearton, C. The factorization of simple knots, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* 90 (1981), 495-506.
- [Be84] Bedient, R.E. Double branched covers and pretzel knots, *Pacific J. Math.* 112 (1984), 265-272.
- [BB97] Bestvina, M. and Brady, N. Morse theory and finiteness properties of groups, *Inventiones Math.* 129 (1997), 445-470.
- [BH91] Bieri, R. and Hillman, J.A. Subnormal subgroups of 3-dimensional Poincaré duality groups, *Math. Z.* 206 (1991), 67-69.
- [BNS87] Bieri, R., Neumann, W.D. and Strebel, R. A geometric invariant of discrete groups, *Inventiones Math.* 90 (1987), 451-477.
- [BS78] Bieri, R. and Strebel, R. Almost finitely presentable soluble groups, *Comment. Math. Helvetici* 53 (1978), 258-278.
- [BS79] Bieri, R. and Strebel, R. Soluble groups with coherent group rings, in *Homological Group Theory* (edited by C.T.C.Wall), *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series* 36, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1979), 235-240.
- [BO91] Boileau, M. and Otal, J.-P. Scindements de Heegaard et groupe des homeotopies des petites varietes de Seifert, *Inventiones Math.* 106 (1991), 85-107.
- [Bo99] Bowditch, B.H. Planar groups and the Seifert conjecture, preprint, University of Southampton (1999).
- [BM94] Bowditch, B. and Mess, G. A 4-dimensional Kleinian group, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 344 (1994), 391-405.
- [BG84] Brooks, R. and Goldman, W. Volumes in Seifert space. *Duke Math. J.* 51 (1984), 529-545.
- [Br67] Browder, W. Diffeomorphisms of 1-connected manifolds, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 128 (1967), 155-163.
- [Br72] Browder, W. Poincaré spaces, their normal fibrations and surgery, *Inventiones Math.* 17 (1972), 191-202.
- [Br75] Brown, K.S. A homological criterion for finiteness, *Comment. Math. Helvetici* 50 (1975), 129-135.
- [BG85] Brown, K.S. and Geoghegan, R. Cohomology with free coefficients of the fundamental group of a graph of groups, *Comment. Math. Helvetici* 60 (1985), 31-45.

- [BM70] Burde, G. and Murasugi, K. Links and Seifert fibre spaces, *Duke Math. J.* 37 (1970), 89-93.
- [Ca90] Cairns, G. Compact 4-manifolds that admit totally umbilic metric foliations, in *Differential Geometry and its Applications (Brno 1989)* (edited by Josef Januska and Demeter Krupka), World Scientific Publishing Inc., Teaneck N.J. (1990), 9-16.
- [Ca73] Cappell, S.E. Mayer-Vietoris sequences in Hermitean  $K$ -theory, in *Hermitean  $K$ -Theory and Geometric Applications* (edited by H.Bass), Lecture Notes in Mathematics 343, Springer-Verlag, Berlin - Heidelberg - New York (1973), 478-512.
- [Ca76] Cappell, S.E. A splitting theorem for manifolds, *Inventiones Math.* 33 (1976), 69-170.
- [CS71] Cappell, S.E. and Shaneson, J.L. On four-dimensional surgery and applications, *Comment. Math. Helvetici* 46 (1971), 500-528.
- [CS76] Cappell, S.E. and Shaneson, J.L. There exist inequivalent knots with the same complement, *Ann. Math.* 103 (1976), 349-353.
- [CS76'] Cappell, S.E. and Shaneson, J.L. Some new four-manifolds, *Ann. Math.* 104 (1976), 61-72.
- [CS85] Cappell, S.E. and Shaneson, J.L. On four-dimensional  $s$ -cobordisms, *J. Diff. Geom.* 22 (1985), 97-115.
- [CG86] Cheeger, J. and Gromov, M.  $L_2$ -Cohomology and group cohomology, *Topology* 25 (1986), 189-215.
- [CH96] Cobb, R. and Hillman, J.A.  $S^2$ -bundles over aspherical closed surfaces are geometric, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 125 (1997), 3415-3422.
- [Co83] Cochran, T.D. Ribbon knots in  $S^4$ , *J. London Math. Soc.* 28 (1983), 563-576.
- [Co84] Cochran, T.D. Slice links in  $S^4$ , *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 285 (1984), 389-401.
- [CH90] Cochran, T.D. and Habegger, N. On the homotopy theory of simply-connected four-manifolds, *Topology* 29 (1990), 419-440.
- [CR77] Conner, P.E. and Raymond, F. Deforming homotopy equivalences to homeomorphisms in aspherical manifolds, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 83 (1977), 36-85.
- [CZ79] Cox, D.A. and Zucker, S. Intersection numbers of sections of elliptic surfaces, *Inventiones Math.* 53 (1979), 1-44.
- [Cr00] Crisp, J.S. The decomposition of 3-dimensional Poincare complexes, *Comment. Math. Helvetici* 75 (2000), 232-246.
- [Cr61] Crowell, R.H. Corresponding group and module sequences, *Nagoya Math. J.* 19 (1961), 27-40.
- [CS83] Culler, M. and Shalen, P.B. Varieties of group representations and splittings of three-manifolds, *Ann. Math.* 117 (1983), 109-146.

- [Da95] Davis, J. The Borel/Novikov conjectures and stable diffeomorphisms of 4-manifolds, preprint, Johannes Gutenberg-Universität Mainz (1995).
- [DM85] Davis, J.F. and Milgram, R.J. A survey of the spherical space form problem, *Math. Reports* 2(1985), 223-283.
- [Da83] Davis, M.W. Groups generated by reflections and aspherical manifolds not covered by Euclidean space, *Ann. Math.* 117 (1983), 293-325.
- [Da85] Davis, M.W. A hyperbolic 4-manifold, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 93 (1985), 325-328.
- [Da98] Davis, M.W. The cohomology of a Coxeter group with group ring coefficients, *Duke Math. J.* 91 (1998), 397-314.
- [DJ91] Davis, M.W. and Januszkiewicz, T. Hyperbolization of polyhedra, *J. Diff. Geom.* 34 (1991), 347-388.
- [De97] Dekimpe, K. Determining the translational part of the fundamental group of an infrasolvmanifold of type  $(R)$ , *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* 122 (1997), 515-524.
- [Du83] Dunbar, W. Geometric orbifolds, *Revista Matematica de la Universidad Complutense de Madrid* 1 (1988), 67-99.
- [DF87] Dunwoody, M.J. and Fenn, R.A. On the finiteness of higher knot sums, *Topology* 26 (1987), 337-343.
- [DST96] Dwyer, W.G., Stolz, S and Taylor, L.R. On the dimension of finite covers, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 124 (1996), 2235-2239.
- [DV73] Dyer, E. and Vasquez, A.T. The sphericity of higher dimensional knots, *Canadian J. Math.* 25 (1973), 1132-1136.
- [DV73'] Dyer, E. and Vasquez, A.T. Some small aspherical spaces, *J. Aust. Math. Soc.* 16 (1973), 332-352.
- [Dy87] Dyer, M. Localization of group rings and applications to 2-complexes, *Comment. Math. Helvetici* 62 (1987), 1-17.
- [Dy87'] Dyer, M. Euler characteristics of groups, *Quarterly J. Math. Oxford* 38 (1987), 35-44.
- [EE69] Earle, C. and Eells, J. A fibre bundle description of Teichmüller theory, *J. Diff. Geom.* 3 (1969), 19-43.
- [EO94] Ebeling, W. and Okonek, C. Homology Hopf surfaces, *Compositio Math.* 91 (1994), 271-304.
- [Eb80] Eberlein, P. Lattices in spaces of nonpositive curvature, *Ann. Math.* 111 (1980), 435-476.
- [Ec76] Eckmann, B. Aspherical manifolds and higher-dimensional knots, *Comment. Math. Helvetici* 51 (1976), 93-98.
- [Ec86] Eckmann, B. Cyclic homology of groups and the Bass conjecture, *Comment. Math. Helvetici* 61 (1986), 193-202.

- [Ec92] Eckmann, B. Amenable groups and Euler characteristic, *Comment. Math. Helvetici* 67 (1992), 383-393.
- [Ec94] Eckmann, B. Manifolds of even dimension with amenable fundamental group, *Comment. Math. Helvetici* 69 (1994), 501-511.
- [Ec96] Eckmann, B. Projective and Hilbert modules over group algebras, and nitely dominated spaces, *Comment. Math. Helvetici* 71 (1996), 453-462. Addendum, *ibid.* 72 (1996), 329.
- [EM82] Eckmann, B. and Müller, H. Plane motion groups and virtual Poincare duality groups of dimension two, *Inventiones Math.* 69 (1982), 293-310.
- [En98] Endo, H. A construction of surface bundles over surfaces with nonzero signature, *Osaka J. Math.* 35 (1998), 915-930.
- [Et01] Etgü, T. Lefschetz brations, complex structures and Seifert brations on  $S^1 \times M^3$ , *Alg. Geom. Top.* 1 (2001), 469-489.
- [EJ73] Evans, B. and Jaco, W. Varieties of groups and 3-manifolds, *Topology* 12 (1973), 83-97.
- [Fa77] Farber, M.S. Duality in an in nite cyclic covering and even-dimensional knots, *Math. USSR Izvestija* 11 (1977), 749-781.
- [Fa71] Farrell, F.T. The obstruction to bering a manifold over a circle, *Indiana Univ. J. Math.* 21 (1971), 315-346.
- [Fa74] Farrell, F.T. The second cohomology group of  $G$  with coe cients  $Z=2Z[G]$ , *Topology* 13 (1974), 313-326.
- [Fa75] Farrell, F.T. Poincare duality and groups of type  $FP$ , *Comment. Math. Helvetici* 50 (1975), 187-195.
- [FJ86] Farrell, F.T. and Jones, L.E.  $K$ -Theory and dynamics.I, *Ann. Math.* 124 (1986), 531-569.
- [FJ89] Farrell, F.T. and Jones, L.E. A topological analogue of Mostow's rigidity theorem, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 2 (1989), 257-370.
- [FJ93] Farrell, F.T. and Jones, L.E. Isomorphism conjectures in algebraic  $K$ -theory, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 6 (1993), 249-297.
- [FJ93'] Farrell, F.T. and Jones, L.E. Topological rigidity for compact nonpositively curved manifolds, in *Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics 54, Part 3*, American Mathematical Society (1993), 229-274.
- [FJ97] Farrell, F.T. and Jones, L.E. Compact infrasolvmanifolds are smoothly rigid, in *Geometry from the Paci c Rim* (edited by A.J.Berrick and H.-Y. Wang), W.de Gruyter (1997), 85-97.
- [FS93] Farrell, F.T. and Stark, C.W. Compact spherical-Euclidean spaceform groups of in nite VCD, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* 25 (1993), 189-192.
- [Fi78] Fintushel, R. Classification of circle actions on 4-manifolds, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 242 (1978), 377-390.



- [Fo62] Fox, R.H. A quick trip through knot theory, in *Topology of 3-Manifolds and Related Topics* (edited by M.K.Fort, Jr), Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J.(1962), 120-167.
- [Fo66] Fox, R.H. Rolling, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 72 (1966), 162-164.
- [FQ80] Freedman, M.H. and Quinn, F. A quick proof of the 4-dimensional stable surgery theorem, *Comment. Math. Helvetici* 55 (1980), 668-671.
- [FT95] Freedman, M.H. and Teichner, P. 4-Manifold topology I: subexponential groups, *Inventiones Math.* 122 (1995), 509-529.
- [Ga97] Gabai, D. On the geometric and topological rigidity of hyperbolic 3-manifolds, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 10 (1997), 37-74.
- [GMT96] Gabai, D., Meyerhoff, G.R. and Thurston, N. Homotopy hyperbolic 3-manifolds are hyperbolic, *Ann. Math.*, to appear (preprint, MSRI 1996-058).
- [Ga00] Gaboriau, D. Sur la (co-)homologie  $L^2$  des actions preservant une mesure, *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris* 330 (2000), 365-370.
- [Ge92] Geiges, H. Symplectic structures on  $T^2$ -bundles over  $T^2$ , *Duke Math. J.* 67 (1992), 539-555.
- [GG95] Geiges, H. and Gonzalo, J. Contact geometry and complex surfaces I, *Inventiones Math.* 121 (1995), 147-209.
- [GM86] Geoghegan, R. and Mihalik, M.L. A note on the vanishing of  $H^n(G; Z[G])$ , *J. Pure Appl. Alg.* 39 (1986), 301-304.
- [Gi79] Gildenhuys, D. Classification of soluble groups of cohomological dimension two, *Math. Z.* 166 (1979), 21-25.
- [GS81] Gildenhuys, D. and Strebel, R. On the cohomological dimension of soluble groups, *Canad. Math. Bull.* 24 (1981), 385-392.
- [Gl62] Gluck, H. The embedding of two-spheres in the four-sphere, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 104 (1962), 308-333.
- [GK78] Goldsmith, D. and Kaulman, L.H. Twist spinning revisited, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 239 (1978), 229-251.
- [GA94] Gonzalez-Acuña, F. A characterization of 2-knot groups, *Revista Mat. Iberoamericana* 10 (1994), 221-228.
- [GM78] Gonzalez-Acuña, F. and Montesinos, J.M. Ends of knot groups, *Ann. Math.* 108 (1978), 91-96.
- [Go71] Gorbatsevich, V.V. Discrete subgroups of solvable Lie groups of type  $R$ , *Math. USSR Sbornik* 14 (1971), 233-251.
- [Go76] Gordon, C. McA. Knots in the 4-sphere, *Comment. Math. Helvetici* 51 (1976), 585-596.
- [Go81] Gordon, C. McA. Ribbon concordance of knots in the 3-sphere, *Math. Ann.* 257 (1981), 157-170.

- [GL84] Gordon, C. McA. and Litherland, R.A. Incompressible surfaces in branched coverings, in *The Smith Conjecture* (edited by J. Morgan and H. Bass), Academic Press, New York - London (1984), 139-152.
- [GL89] Gordon, C. McA. and Luecke, J. Knots are determined by their complements, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 2 (1989), 371-415.
- [Go65] Gottlieb, D.H. A certain subgroup of the fundamental group, *Amer. J. Math.* 87 (1965), 840-856.
- [Go68] Gottlieb, D.H. On fibre spaces and the evaluation map, *Ann. Math.* 87 (1968), 42-55.
- [Go79] Gottlieb, D.H. Poincare duality and fibrations, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 76 (1979), 148-150.
- [Gr98] Grigorchuk, R.I. An example of a finitely presentable amenable group which is not in the class  $EG$ , *Mat. Sbornik* 198 (1998), 79-100.
- [Gu72] Gutierrez, M.A. Boundary links and an unlinking theorem, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 171 (1972), 491-499.
- [Ha82] Habegger, N. Une variete de dimension 4 avec forme d'intersection paire et signature -8, *Comment. Math. Helvetici* 57 (1982), 22-24.
- [HK88] Hambleton, I. and Kreck, M. On the classification of topological 4-manifolds with finite fundamental group, *Math. Ann.* 280 (1988), 85-104.
- [HKT94] Hambleton, I., Kreck, M. and Teichner, P. Nonorientable four-manifolds with fundamental group of order 2, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 344 (1994), 649-665.
- [HM86] Hambleton, I. and Madsen, I. Actions of finite groups on  $R^{n+k}$  with fixed point set  $R^k$ , *Canad. J. Math.* 38 (1986), 781-860.
- [HM86'] Hambleton, I. and Madsen, I. Local surgery obstructions and space forms, *Math. Z.* 193 (1986), 191-214.
- [HM78] Hambleton, I. and Milgram, R.J., Poincare transversality for double covers, *Canad. J. Math.* 30 (1978), 1319-1330.
- [Ha71] Harvey, W.J. Branch loci in Teichmüller space, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 153 (1971), 387-399.
- [HK78] Hausmann, J.-C. and Kervaire, M. Sous-groupes derives des groupes de noeuds, *L'Enseignement Math.* 24 (1978), 111-123.
- [HK78'] Hausmann, J.-C. and Kervaire, M. Sur le centre des groupes de noeuds multidimensionnelles, *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris* 287 (1978), 699-702.
- [HW85] Hausmann, J.-C. and Weinberger, S. Caracteristiques d'Euler et groupes fondamentaux des varietes de dimension 4, *Comment. Math. Helvetici* 60 (1985), 139-144.

- [He87] Hempel, J. Residual finiteness for 3-manifolds, in *Combinatorial Group Theory and Topology* (edited by S.M.Gersten and J.R.Stallings), Annals of Mathematics Study 111, Princeton University Press, Princeton (1987), 379-396.
- [Hn77] Hendriks, H. Obstruction theory in 3-dimensional topology: an extension theorem, *J. London Math. Soc.* 16 (1977), 160-164. Corrigendum, *ibid.* 18 (1978), 192.
- [HL74] Hendriks, H. and Laudenbach, F. Scindement d'une equivalence d'homotopie en dimension 3, *Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup.* 7 (1974), 203-217.
- [HP96] Hersensky, S. and Paulin, F. On the volumes of complex hyperbolic manifolds, *Duke J. Math.* 84 (1996), 719-737.
- [Hg40] Higman, G. The units of group rings, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* 46 (1940), 231-248.
- [Hg51] Higman, G. A finitely generated finite simple group, *J. London Math. Soc.* 26 (1951), 61-64.
- [Hi87] Hillman, J.A. 3-dimensional Poincare duality groups which are extensions, *Math. Z.* 195 (1987), 89-92.
- [Hi89] Hillman, J.A. A homotopy classification theorem in dimension four, *Topology Appl.* 33 (1989), 151-161.
- [Hi91] Hillman, J.A. Elementary amenable groups and 4-manifolds with Euler characteristic 0, *J. Austral. Math. Soc.* 50 (1991), 160-170.
- [Hi93] Hillman, J.A. On 3-dimensional Poincare duality complexes and 2-knot groups, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* 114 (1993), 215-218.
- [Hi94] Hillman, J.A. Minimal 4-manifolds for groups of cohomological dimension 2, *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.* 37 (1994), 455-462.
- [Hi94'] Hillman, J.A. Finitely dominated subnormal covers of 4-manifolds, Research Report 94-23, The University of Sydney, 1994.
- [Hi95] Hillman, J.A. Free products and 4-dimensional connected sums, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* 27 (1995), 387-391.
- [Hi95'] Hillman, J.A. Flat 4-manifold groups, *New Zealand Math. J.* 24 (1995), 29-40.
- [Hi97] Hillman, J.A.  $L^2$ -homology and asphericity, *Israel J. Math.* 99 (1997), 271-283.
- [Hi97'] Hillman, J.A. On the homotopy types of closed 4-manifolds covered by  $S^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2$ , *Topology Appl.* 75 (1997), 287-295.
- [Hi98] Hillman, J.A. On 4-dimensional mapping tori and product geometries, *J. London Math. Soc.* 58 (1998), 229-238.
- [Hi02] Hillman, J.A. An homology 4-sphere group with negative deficiency, *L'Enseignement Math.*, to appear.

- [Hi03] Hillman, J.A. Tits alternatives for groups of finite cohomological dimension, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, to appear
- [HL92] Hillman, J.A. and Linnell, P.A. Elementary amenable groups of finite Hirsch length are locally-finite by virtually-solvable, *J. Austral. Math. Soc.* 52 (1992), 237-241.
- [HP88] Hillman, J.A. and Plotnick, S.P. Geometrically bred 2-knots, *Math. Ann.* 287 (1990), 259-273.
- [HW89] Hillman, J.A. and Wilson, S.M.J. On the reflexivity of Cappell-Shaneson 2-knots, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* 21 (1989), 591-593.
- [Hi79] Hitt, L.R. Examples of higher-dimensional slice knots which are not ribbon knots, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 77 (1979), 291-297.
- [HR92] Holt, D.F. and Rees, S. Testing for isomorphism between finitely presented groups, in *Groups, Combinatorics and Geometry* (edited by M.W. Liebeck and J. Saxl), Cambridge University Press, Cambridge - New York - Melbourne (1992), 459-475.
- [Ho77] Houghton, C.H. Cohomology and the behaviour at infinity of finitely presentable groups, *J. London Math. Soc.* 15 (1977), 465-471.
- [Ho82] Howie, J. On locally indicable groups, *Math. Z.* 180 (1982), 445-461.
- [Ho83] Howie, J. Some remarks on a problem of J.H.C.Whitehead, *Topology* 22 (1983), 475-485.
- [In74] Inoue, I. On surfaces of class  $V//o$ , *Inventiones Math.* 24 (1974), 269-310.
- [Jo79] Johnson, F.E.A. On the realisability of poly-surface groups, *J. Pure Appl. Math.* 15 (1979), 235-241.
- [Jo93] Johnson, F.E.A. Surface braidings and automorphisms of non-abelian extensions, *Quarterly J. Math. Oxford* (2) 44 (1993), 199-214.
- [Jo94] Johnson, F.E.A. A group-theoretic analogue of the Parshin-Arakelov theorem, *Archiv Math. (Basel)* 63 (1994), 354-361.
- [Jo96] Johnson, F.E.A. Finite coverings and surface braidings, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 112 (1996), 41-52.
- [Jo99] Johnson, F.E.A. A rigidity theorem for group extensions, *Archiv Math. (Basel)* 73 (1999), 81-89.
- [JK93] Johnson, F.E.A. and Kotschick, D. On the signature and Euler characteristic of certain four-manifolds, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* 114 (1993), 431-438.
- [KLR83] Kamashima, Y., Lee, K.B. and Raymond, F. The Seifert construction and its applications to infranilmanifolds, *Quarterly J. Math. Oxford* 34 (1983), 433-452.
- [Kn80] Kanenobu, T. 2-knot groups with elements of finite order, *Math. Sem. Notes Kobe University* 8 (1980), 557-560.

- [Kn83] Kanenobu, T. Groups of higher dimensional satellite knots, *J. Pure Appl. Alg.* 28 (1983), 179-188.
- [Kn83'] Kanenobu, T. Fox's 2-spheres are twist spun knots, *Mem. Fac. Sci. Kyushu University (Ser. A)* 37 (1983), 81-86.
- [Kn88] Kanenobu, T. Deforming twist spun 2-bridge knots of genus one, *Proc. Japan Acad. (Ser. A)* 64 (1988), 98-101.
- [Kp79] Kaplan, S.J. Constructing framed 4-manifolds with given almost framed boundaries, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 254 (1979), 237-263.
- [Ka98] Kapovich, M. On normal subgroups in the fundamental groups of complex surfaces, preprint, University of Utah (August, 1998).
- [KK99] Kapovich, M. and Kleiner, B. Coarse Alexander duality and duality groups, preprint, University of Utah (1999).
- [HL96] Kapovich, M. and Leeb, B. Actions of discrete groups on nonpositively curved spaces, *Math. Ann.* 306 (1996), 341-352.
- [Ks68] Kas, A. On deformations of a certain type of irregular algebraic surface, *Amer. J. Math.* 90 (1968), 789-804.
- [Kt69] Kato, M. A concordance classification of PL homeomorphisms of  $S^p \times S^q$ , *Topology* 8 (1969), 371-383.
- [Kt75] Kato, M. Topology of Hopf surfaces, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* 27 (1975), 222-238.  
Erratum, *ibid.* 41 (1989), 173.
- [Ke83] Kerckhoff, S.P. The Nielsen realization problem, *Ann. Math.* 117 (1983), 235-265.
- [Ke65] Kervaire, M.A. Les noeuds de dimensions superieures, *Bull. Soc. Math. France* 93 (1965), 225-271.
- [Ke65'] Kervaire, M.A. On higher dimensional knots, in *Differential and Combinatorial Topology (A Symposium in Honor of Marston Morse)* (edited by S.S.Cairns), Princeton University Press, Princeton (1965), 105-109.
- [Ke69] Kervaire, M.A. Smooth homology spheres and their fundamental groups, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 144 (1969), 67-72.
- [KKR92] Kim, M.H., Kojima, S. and Raymond, F. Homotopy invariants of nonorientable 4-manifolds, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 333 (1992), 71-83.
- [KR90] Kim, M.H. and Raymond, F. The deformation group of the twisted 2-sphere bundle over the circle, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 322 (1990), 159-168.
- [KS75] Kirby, R.C. and Siebenmann, L. Normal bundles for codimension 2 locally flat embeddings, in *Geometric Topology* (edited by L.C.Glaser and T.B.Rushing), Lecture Notes in Mathematics 438, Springer-Verlag, Berlin - Heidelberg - New York (1975), 310-324.

- [Kl93] Klyachko, A. Funny property of sphere and equations over groups, *Comm. Alg.* 21 (1993), 2555-2575.
- [Ko86] Kojima, S. Determining knots by branched covers, in *Low-dimensional Topology and Kleinian Groups* (edited by D.B.A.Epstein), London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series 112, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge - New York - Melbourne (1986), 193-207.
- [Ko92] Kotschick, D. Remarks on geometric structures on compact complex surfaces, *Topology* 31 (1992), 317-321.
- [Ko94] Kotschick, D. Four-manifold invariants of finitely presentable groups, in *Topology, Geometry and Field Theory* (edited by K.Fukuya, M.Fukuya, T.Kohno and D.Kotschick), World Scientific Publishing Co., Teaneck, N.J. (1994), 89-99.
- [Ko99] Kotschick, D. On regular bred complex surfaces, in *Proceedings of the Kirbyfest* (edited by Joel Hass and Martin Scharlemann), Geometry and Topology Monographs, Volume 2 (1999), 291-298.
- [Kr99] Kreck, M. *Surgery and Duality*, *Ann. Math.* 149 (1999), 707-754.
- [Kr86] Kropholler, P.H. Cohomological dimensions of soluble groups, *J. Pure Appl. Alg.* 43 (1986), 281-287.
- [Kr90] Kropholler, P.H. An analogue of the torus decomposition theorem for certain Poincare duality groups, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* 60 (1990), 503-529.
- [Kr90'] Kropholler, P.H. Baumslag-Solitar groups and some groups of cohomological dimension two, *Comment. Math. Helvetici* 65 (1990), 547-558.
- [Kr90a] Kropholler, P.H. A note on centrality in 3-manifold groups, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* 107(1990), 261-266.
- [Kr93] Kropholler, P.H. A group theoretic proof of the torus theorem, in *Geometric Group Theory* vol. 1 (edited by G.A.Niblo and M.A.Roller), London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series 181, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge - New York - Melbourne (1993), 138-158.
- [KR88] Kropholler, P.H. and Roller, M.A. Splittings of Poincare duality groups I, *Math. Z.* 197 (1988), 421-438.
- [KR89] Kropholler, P.H. and Roller, M.A. Splittings of Poincare duality groups III, *J. London Math. Soc.* 39 (1989), 271-284.
- [Kw86] Kwasiak, S. On low dimensional  $s$ -cobordisms, *Comment. Math. Helvetici* 61 (1986), 415-428.
- [KS88] Kwasiak, S. and Schultz, R. Desuspensions of group actions and the ribbon theorem, *Topology* 27 (1988), 443-457.

- [KS92] Kwasik, S. and Schultz, R. Vanishing of Whitehead torsion in dimension four, *Topology* 31 (1992), 735-756.
- [KS95] Kwasik, S. and Schultz, R. Visible surgery, four-dimensional  $s$ -cobordisms and related questions in geometric topology, *K-Theory* 9 (1995), 323-352.
- [LS71] Lashof, R. and Shaneson, J.L. Smoothing 4-manifolds, *Inventiones Math.* 14 (1971), 197-210
- [La79] Lawson, T.J. Trivializing 5-dimensional  $h$ -cobordisms by stabilization, *Manuscripta Math.* 29 (1979), 305-321.
- [Le95] Lee, K.B. Infrasmooth manifolds of type  $(R)$ , *Quarterly J. Math. Oxford* 46 (1995), 185-195.
- [LS00] Leeb, B. and Scott, P. A geometric characteristic splitting in all dimensions, *Comment. Math. Helvetici* 75 (2000), 201-215.
- [Le77] Levine, J. Knot modules. I, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 229 (1977), 1-50.
- [Le78] Levine, J. Some results on higher dimensional knot groups, in *Knot Theory, Proceedings, Plans-sur-Bex, Switzerland 1977* (edited by J.C.Hausmann), *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* 685, Springer-Verlag, Berlin - Heidelberg - New York (1978), 243-269.
- [LW78] Weber, C. Appendix to [Le78], *ibid.* 270-273.
- [Li62] Lickorish, W.B.R. A representation of orientable combinatorial 3-manifolds, *Ann. Math.* 76 (1962), 531-538.
- [Li79] Litherland, R.A. Deforming twist-spun knots, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 250 (1979), 311-331.
- [Li85] Litherland, R.A. Symmetries of twist-spun knots, in *Knot Theory and Manifolds* (edited by D.Rolfen), *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* 1144, Springer-Verlag, Berlin - Heidelberg - New York (1985), 97-107.
- [Li96] Liu Kefeng, Geometric height inequalities, *Math. Research Letters* 3 (1996), 693-702.
- [Lo81] Lomonaco, S.J., Jr, The homotopy groups of knots I. How to compute the algebraic 2-type, *Pacific J. Math.* 96 (1981), 349-390.
- [LR00] Long, D.D. and Reid, A.W. On the geometric boundaries of hyperbolic 4-manifolds, *Geometry and Topology* 4 (2000), 171-178.
- [LS00'] Lück, W. and Stamm, R. Computations of  $K$ - and  $L$ -theory of cocompact planar groups, *K-Theory* 21 (2000), 249-292.
- [Ma79] Matsumoto, T. On homotopy equivalences of  $S^2$  and  $RP^2$  to itself, *J. Math. Kyoto University* 19 (1979), 1-17.
- [Ma62] Mazur, B. Symmetric homology spheres, *Illinois J. Math.* 6 (1962), 245-250.

- [Mc02] McCullough, D. Isometries of elliptic 3-manifolds,  
J. London Math. Soc. 65 (2002), 167-182.
- [MS86] Meeks, W.H.,III and Scott, G.P. Finite group actions on 3-manifolds,  
Inventiones Math. 86 (1986), 287-346.
- [Me84] Melvin, P. 2-Sphere bundles over compact surfaces,  
Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 92 (1984), 567-572.
- [Me73] Meyer, W. Die Signatur von Flächenbündeln,  
Math. Ann. 201 (1973), 239-264.
- [Mi86] Mihalik, M.L. Ends of double extension groups,  
Topology 25 (1986), 45-53.
- [Mi87] Mihalik, M.L. Solvable groups that are simply connected at  $\infty$ ,  
Math. Z. 195 (1987), 79-87.
- [Mi67] Milgram, R.J. The bar construction and abelian  $H$ -spaces,  
Illinois J. Math. 11 (1967), 241-250.
- [Mi68] Milnor, J.W. In finite cyclic coverings,  
in *Conference on the Topology of Manifolds* (edited by J.G.Hocking),  
Prindle, Weber and Schmidt, Boston - London - Sydney (1968), 115-133.
- [Mi75] Milnor, J.W. On the 3-dimensional Brieskorn manifolds  $M(p; q; r)$ ,  
in *Knots, Groups and 3-Manifolds* (edited by L.P.Neuwirth),  
Annals of Mathematics Study 84,  
Princeton University Press, Princeton (1975), 175-225.
- [Mo73] Montesinos, J.M. Variedades de seifert que son recubricadores ciclicos ramificados de dos hojas, Boletino Soc. Mat. Mexicana 18 (1973), 1-32.
- [Mo83] Montesinos, J.M. On twins in the 4-sphere I,  
Quarterly J. Math. 34 (1983), 171-199;
- [Mo84] Montesinos, J.M. On twins in the 4-sphere II,  
Quarterly J. Math. 35 (1984), 73-84.
- [Ms68] Mostow, G.D. Quasi-conformal mappings in  $n$ -space and the rigidity of hyperbolic space forms, Publ. Math. I.H.E.S. 34 (1968), 53-104.
- [Mu65] Murasugi, K. On the center of the group of a link,  
Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 16 (1965), 1052-1057.  
Corrigendum *ibid.* 18 (1967), 1142.
- [Ne83] Neumann, W.D. Geometry of quasihomogeneous surface singularities,  
in *Singularities* (edited by P.Orlik),  
Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics 40,  
American Mathematical Society, Providence (1983), 245-258.
- [NR78] Neumann, W.D. and Raymond, F. Seifert manifolds, plumbing,  $\chi$ -invariant and orientation reversing homeomorphisms,  
in *Algebraic and Geometric Topology* (edited by K.C.Millet),  
Lecture Notes in Mathematics 664,  
Springer-Verlag, Berlin - Heidelberg - New York (1978), 163-196.



- [NS85] Nicas, A. and Stark, C.W.  $K$ -Theory and surgery of codimension-two torus actions on aspherical manifolds, *J. London Math. Soc.* 31 (1985), 173-183.
- [Ni98] Nimmersheim, B. All flat three-manifolds appear as cusps of hyperbolic four-manifolds, *Topology Appl.* 90 (1998), 109-133.
- [No64] Novikov, S.P. Homotopically equivalent smooth manifolds, *Izv. AN SSSR* 28 (1964), 365-474.
- [Oh90] Ohba, K. On fiber bundles over  $S^1$  having small Seifert manifolds as fibers, *J. Fac. Sci. Tokyo* 37 (1990), 659-702.
- [OS01] Ol'shanskii, A.Yu. and Sapir, M.V. Non-amenable finitely presented torsion-by-cyclic groups, *Electronic Res. Ann. AMS* 7 (2001), 63-71.
- [Ol53] Olum, P. Mappings of manifolds and the notion of degree, *Ann. Math.* 58 (1953), 458-480.
- [Pa78] Pao, P. Non-linear circle actions on the 4-sphere and twisting spun knots, *Topology* 17 (1978), 291-296.
- [Pa57] Papakyriakopolous, C. On Dehn's lemma and the asphericity of knots, *Ann. Math.* 66 (1957), 1-26.
- [Pa68] Parshin, A.N. Algebraic curves over function fields, *Math. USSR Izvestija* 2 (1968), 1145-1170.
- [Pa96] Patrangenaru, V. Classifying 3 and 4 dimensional homogeneous riemannian manifolds by Cartan triples, *Pacific J. Math.* 173 (1996), 511-532.
- [Pe98] Pearson, K. Algebraic  $K$ -theory of two-dimensional crystallographic groups,  *$K$ -Theory* 14 (1998), 265-280.
- [Pl80] Plotnick, S.P. Vanishing of Whitehead groups for Seifert manifolds with infinite fundamental group, *Comment. Math. Helvetici* 55 (1980), 654-667.
- [Pl82] Plotnick, S.P. Homotopy equivalences and free modules, *Topology* 21 (1982), 91-99.
- [Pl83] Plotnick, S.P. The homotopy type of four-dimensional knot complements, *Math. Z.* 183 (1983), 447-471.
- [Pl83'] Plotnick, S.P. In finitely many disc knots with the same exterior, *Math Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* 93 (1983), 67-72.
- [Pl84] Plotnick, S.P. Finite group actions and non-separating 2-spheres, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 90 (1984), 430-432.
- [Pl84'] Plotnick, S.P. Fibered knots in  $S^4$  - twisting, spinning, rolling, surgery, and branching, in [GK], 437-459.
- [Pl86] Plotnick, S.P. Equivariant intersection forms, knots in  $S^4$ , and rotations in 2-spheres, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 296 (1986), 543-575.
- [PS85] Plotnick, S.P. and Suciuc, A.I.  $k$ -invariants of knotted 2-spheres, *Comment. Math. Helvetici* 60 (1985), 54-84.
- [PS87] Plotnick, S.P. and Suciuc, A.I. Fibered knots and spherical space forms, *J. London Math. Soc.* 35 (1987), 514-526.

- [Po71] Poenaru, V. A note on the generators for the fundamental group of the complement of a submanifold of codimension 2, *Topology* 10 (1971), 47-52.
- [Pr43] Preissmann, A. Quelques proprietees globales des espaces de Riemann, *Comment. Math. Helvetici* 15 (1943), 175-216.
- [Qi93] Qin, Z. Complex structures on certain differentiable 4-manifolds, *Topology* 32 (1993), 551-566.
- [Qu83] Quinn, F. The stable topology of 4-manifolds, *Topology Appl.* 15 (1983), 71-77.
- [Rn86] Ranicki, A. Algebraic and geometric splittings of the  $K$ - and  $L$ -groups of polynomial extensions, in *Transformation Groups, Poznan 1985* (edited by S.Jackowski and K.Pawalowski), *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* 1217, Springer-Verlag, Berlin - Heidelberg - New York (1986), 321-363.
- [Rn95] Ranicki, A. Finite domination and Novikov rings, *Topology* 34 (1995), 619-632.
- [Rp60] Rapaport, E.S. On the commutator subgroup of a knot group, *Ann. Math.* 71 (1960), 157-162.
- [Rt83] Ratcliffe, J.G. A knotted knot in a homology 3-sphere whose group is non-classical, in *Low Dimensional Topology* (edited by S.J.Lomonaco, Jr), *CONM* 20, American Mathematical Society, Providence (1983), 327-339.
- [RT01] Ratcliffe, J.G. and Tschantz, S.T. On the Davis hyperbolic 4-manifold, *Topology Appl.* 111 (2001), 327-342.
- [Ro75] Robinson, D.J.S. On the cohomology of soluble groups of finite rank, *J. Pure Appl. Alg.* 6 (1975), 155-164.
- [Ro77] Romanovskii, N.S. Free subgroups of finitely presentable groups, *Algebra i Logika* 16 (1977), 88-97.
- [Ro84] Rosset, S. A vanishing theorem for Euler characteristics, *Math. Z.* 185 (1984), 211-215.
- [Ro00] Roushon, S.K.  $L$ -Theory of 3-manifolds with nonvanishing first Betti number, *Internat. Math. Res. Notices* 2000, No 3, 107-113.
- [Ru84] Rubermann, D. Invariant knots of free involutions of  $S^4$ , *Topology Appl.* 18 (1984), 217-224.
- [Ru90] Rubermann, D. Seifert surfaces of knots in  $S^4$ , *Pacific J. Math.* 145 (1990), 97-116.
- [RS97] Rubermann, D. and Stern, R. A fake smooth  $CP^2 \setminus RP^4$ , *Math. Research Letters* 4 (1997), 375-379.
- [Sa81] Sakuma, M. Periods of composite links, *Math. Sem. Notes Kobe University* 9 (1981), 445-452.
- [Sc73] Scott, G.P. Compact submanifolds of 3-manifolds, *J. London Math. Soc.* 7 (1973), 437-440.

- [Sc76] Scott, G.P. Normal subgroups in 3-manifold groups,  
J. London Math. Soc. 13 (1976), 5-12.
- [Sc83] Scott, G.P. There are no fake Seifert fibre spaces with infinite  $\pi_1$ ,  
Ann. Math. 117 (1983), 35-70.
- [Sc83'] Scott, G.P. The geometries of 3-manifolds,  
Bull. London Math. Soc. 15 (1983), 401-487.
- [Sc85] Scott, G.P. Homotopy implies isotopy for some Seifert fibre spaces,  
Topology 24 (1985), 341-351.
- [Sh97] Shiga, H. On monodromies of holomorphic families of Riemann surfaces and modular transformations, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 122 (1997), 541-549.
- [Sh63] Shimizu, H. On discontinuous groups operating on the product of upper half planes, Ann. Math. 77 (1963), 33-71.
- [Si71] Siebenmann, L.C. Topological manifolds,  
in *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Nice, 1970*,  
vol. 2,  
Gauthier-Villars, Paris (1971), 133-163. See [KS].
- [Si67] Siegel, J. Higher order cohomology operations in local coefficient theory,  
American J. Math. 89 (1967), 909-931.
- [Si91] Silver, D. Examples of 3-knots with no minimal Seifert manifolds,  
Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 110 (1991), 417-420.
- [Si96] Silver, D. HNN bases and high-dimensional knots,  
Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 124 (1996), 1247-1252.
- [Si97] Silver, D. Free group automorphisms and knotted tori in  $S^4$ ,  
J. Knot Theory R. 6 (1997), 95-103.
- [Si80] Simon, J. Wirtinger approximations and the knot groups of  $F^n$  in  $S^{n+2}$ ,  
Pacific J. Math. 90 (1980), 177-190.
- [Sp49] Specker, E. Die erste Cohomologiegruppe von Überlagerungen und Homotopie Eigenschaften dreidimensionaler Mannigfaltigkeiten,  
Comment. Math. Helvetici 23 (1949), 303-333.
- [St65] Stallings, J. Homology and central series of groups,  
J. Algebra 2 (1965), 170-181.
- [St84] Stark, C.W. Structure sets vanish for certain bundles over Seifert manifolds,  
Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 285 (1984), 603-615.
- [St87] Stark, C.W.  $L$ -Theory and graphs of free abelian groups,  
J. Pure Appl. Alg. 47 (1987), 299-309.
- [St76] Strebel, R. A homological finiteness condition,  
Math. Z. 151 (1976), 263-275.
- [St77] Strebel, R. A remark on subgroups of finite index in Poincare duality groups,  
Comment. Math. Helvetici 52 (1977), 317-324.

- [Su85] Suci, A. In nitely many ribbon knots with the same fundamental group, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* 98 (1985), 481-492.
- [Su71] Summers, D.W. Invertible knot cobordisms, *Comment. Math. Helvetici* 46 (1971), 240-256.
- [Su76] Suzuki, S. Knotting problems of 2-spheres in the 4-sphere, *Math. Sem. Notes Kobe University* 4 (1976), 241-371.
- [Sw60] Swan, R.G. Periodic resolutions for finite groups, *Ann. Math.* 72 (1960), 267-291.
- [Sw73] Swarup, G.A. On embedded spheres in 3-manifolds, *Math. Ann.* 203 (1973), 89-102.
- [Sw74] Swarup, G.A. On a theorem of C.B.Thomas, *J. London Math. Soc.* 8 (1974), 13-21.
- [Sw75] Swarup, G.A. An unknotting criterion, *J. Pure Appl. Alg.* 6 (1975), 191-296.
- [Sw77] Swarup, G.A. Relative version of a theorem of Stallings, *J. Pure Appl. Alg.* 11 (1977), 75-82.
- [Te97] Teichner, P. On the star-construction for topological 4-manifolds, in *Geometric Topology* (edited by W.H.Kazez), American Mathematical Society (1997), part 1, 300-312.
- [TI94] Teleman, A.-D. Projectively flat surfaces and Bogomolov's theorem on class  $V//I_0$  surfaces, *Internat. J. Math.* 5 (1994), 253-264.
- [Tr89] Teragaito, M. Fibered 2-knots and lens spaces, *Osaka J. Math.* 26 (1989), 57-63.
- [Tr90] Teragaito, M. Twisting symmetry-spins of pretzel knots, *Proc. Japan Acad.* 66 (1990), 179-183.
- [Th77] Thomas, C.B. On Poincare 3-complexes with binary polyhedral fundamental group, *Math. Ann.* 226 (1977), 207-221.
- [Th80] Thomas, C.B. Homotopy classification of free actions by finite groups on  $S^3$ , *Proc. London Math. Soc.* 40 (1980), 284-297.
- [Th76] Thurston, W.P. Some simple examples of symplectic manifolds, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 55 (1976), 467-468.
- [Tr86] Trace, B. A note concerning Seifert manifolds for 2-knots, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* 100 (1986), 113-116.
- [Tr78] Trotter, H.F. Knot modules and Seifert matrices, in *Knot Theory, Proceedings, Plans-sur-Bex, Switzerland 1977*, (edited by J.-C.Hausmann), Lecture Notes in Mathematics 685, Springer-Verlag, Berlin - Heidelberg - New York (1978), 291-299.
- [Ts80] Tsukiyama, K. Self-homotopy-equivalences of a space with two nonvanishing homotopy groups, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 79 (1980), 134-139.

- [Tu90] Turaev, V.G. Three-dimensional Poincare complexes: homotopy classification and splitting, *Math. USSR Sbornik* 67 (1990), 261-282.
- [Ue90] Ue, M. Geometric 4-manifolds in the sense of Thurston and Seifert 4-manifolds I, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* 42 (1990), 511-540.
- [Ue91] Ue, M. Geometric 4-manifolds in the sense of Thurston and Seifert 4-manifolds II, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* 43 (1991), 149-183.
- [Wd67] Waldhausen, F. Eine Klasse von 3-dimensionaler Mannigfaltigkeiten I, *Inventiones Math.* 3 (1967), 308-333; II, *ibid.* 4 (1967), 87-117.
- [Wd78] Waldhausen, F. Algebraic  $K$ -theory of generalized free products, *Ann. Math.* 108 (1978), 135-256.
- [Wl64] Wall, C.T.C. On simply connected 4-manifolds, *J. London Math. Soc.* 39 (1964), 141-149.
- [Wl65] Wall, C.T.C. Finiteness conditions for CW-complexes I, *Ann. Math.* 81 (1965), 56-69.
- [Wl67] Wall, C.T.C. Poincare complexes: I, *Ann. Math.* 86 (1967), 213-245.
- [Wl76] Wall, C.T.C. Classification of hermitian forms VI. Group rings, *Ann. Math.* 103 (1976), 1-80.
- [Wl78] Wall, C.T.C. Free actions of finite groups on spheres, in *Algebraic and Geometric Topology, Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics XXXII*, (edited by R.J.Milgram), American Mathematical Society, Providence (1978), Part 1, 115-124.
- [Wl85] Wall, C.T.C. Geometries and geometric structures in real dimension 4 and complex dimension 2, in *Geometry and Topology* (edited by J.Alexander and J.Harer), *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* 1167, Springer-Verlag, Berlin - Heidelberg - New York (1985), 268-292.
- [Wl86] Wall, C.T.C. Geometric structures on complex analytic surfaces, *Topology* 25 (1986), 119-153.
- [Wa72] Wang, H.C. Topics in totally disconnected groups, in *Symmetric Spaces* (edited by W.M.Boothby and G.L.Weiss), Marcel Dekker, New York (1972), 460-485.
- [We83] Weinberger, S. The Novikov conjecture and low-dimensional topology, *Comment. Math. Helvetici* 58 (1983), 355-364.
- [We87] Weinberger, S. On bounding four- and five-manifolds, *Israel J. Math.* 59 (1987), 1-7.
- [Yj69] Yajima, T. On a characterization of knot groups of some spheres in  $R^4$ , *Osaka J. Math.* 6 (1969), 435-446.
- [Ym93] Yamanoshita, T. On the space of self homotopy equivalences of the projective plane, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* 45 (1993), 489-494.

- [Yn69] Yanagawa, T. On ribbon 2-knots - the 3-manifold bounded by the 2-knots, *Osaka J. Math.* 6 (1969), 447-464.
- [Yn77] Yanagawa, T. On cross sections of higher dimensional ribbon knots, *Math. Sem. Notes Kobe University* 7 (1977), 609-628.
- [Yo80] Yoshikawa, K. On 2-knot groups with the finite commutator subgroup, *Math. Sem. Notes Kobe University* 8 (1980), 321-330.
- [Yo82] Yoshikawa, K. On a 2-knot group with nontrivial center, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* 25 (1982), 321-326.
- [Yo82'] Yoshikawa, K. A note on Levine's condition for knot groups, *Math. Sem. Notes Kobe University* 10 (1982), 633-636.
- [Yo86] Yoshikawa, K. Knot groups whose bases are abelian, *J. Pure Appl. Alg.* 40 (1986), 321-335.
- [Yo88] Yoshikawa, K. A ribbon knot which has no free base, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 102 (1988), 1065-1070.
- [Yo92] Yoshikawa, K. Certain abelian subgroups of two-knot groups, in *Knots 90* (edited by A.Kawauchi), W. de Gruyter, Berlin - New York (1992), 231-240.
- [Yo97] Yoshikawa, K. The centres of fibered two-knot groups, *AMS/IP Studies in Advanced Math.* 2 (1997), 473-477.
- [Ze65] Zeeman, E.C. On twisting spun knots, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 115 (1965), 471-495.
- [Zn79] Zimmermann, B. Periodische Homöomorphismen Seifertscher Faserräume, *Math. Z.* 166 (1979), 287-297.
- [Zn80] Zimmermann, B. Über Gruppen von Homöomorphismen Seifertscher Faserräume und flacher Mannigfaltigkeiten, *Manuscripta Math.* 30 (1980), 361-373.
- [Zn82] Zimmermann, B. Das Nielsensche Realisierungsproblem für hinreichend grosse 3-Mannigfaltigkeiten, *Math. Z.* 180 (1982), 349-359.
- [Zn85] Zimmermann, B. Zur Klassifikation höherdimensionaler Seifertscher Faserräume, in *Low Dimensional Topology* (edited by R.Fenn), London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series 95, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1985), 214-255.
- [Zn86] Zimmermann, B. Finite group actions on Haken 3-manifolds, *Quarterly J. Math. Oxford* 37 (1986), 499-511.
- [Zn90] Zimmermann, B. On the Hantsche-Wendt manifold, *Monatshefte Math.* 110 (1990), 321-327.

# Index

*Expressions beginning with Greek characters and non-alphabetic symbols are listed at the end of this index.*

$(A; \rho; C)$  (isometry of  $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{E}^2$ ), 203

$A(m; e)$  (metacyclic group of order  $2^e m$ ), 222

$A(\rho)$  (augmentation ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}[\rho]$ ), 36

$A_{\mathbb{Q}}(\rho)$  (augmentation ideal of  $\mathbb{Q}[\rho]$ ), 59

admits a geometry, 132

algebraic 2-type  
( $[\rho; \rho_2(M); k_1(M)]$ ), 26

almost coherent, 16

almost complex structure, 148

almost finitely presentable  
( $FP_2$ ), 14

amenable group, 8

amphicheiral knot, 267

Artin spin of a knot ( $\rho(K)$ ), 272

automorphisms of  $\rho_q$ , 167

$B_1 - B_4$  (nonorientable flat 3-manifold groups), 154

Bieri's Theorem  
(Theorem 8.8 of [Bi]), 17

Bieri-Strebel Theorem [BS78], 14

boundary link, 282

Bowditch's Theorem, 20

branched twist spin, 311

Brieskorn manifold  
( $M(p; q; r)$ ), 307

Brown-Geoghegan Theorem  
[BG85], 18

$c(\mathfrak{g})$  (Kervaire-Arf invariant of  $\mathfrak{g}: M \rightarrow G=TOP$ ), 117

$c_M: M \rightarrow K(\rho_1(M); 1)$   
(classifying map), 26

$\mathbb{C}P^2$  (geometry of complex

projective plane), 234

$Ch = \mathbb{C}P^2$  (the fake complex projective plane), 235

$C_G(H)$  (centralizer of a subgroup), 3

$Cl$  (Waldhausen's class of groups), 112

canonical cross-section, 338

Cappell-Shaneson knot, 315

Cartan-Leray spectral sequence, 26

centre of a group  $G$  ( $Z(G)$ ), 3

characteristic subgroup, 3

classifying map  
( $c_M: M \rightarrow K(\rho_1(M); 1)$ ), 26

closed fibre, 269

closed manifold, 26

codimension-2 Kervaire invariant, 117

coherent group, 15

coherent ring, 15

commutator subgroup of a group  $G$  ( $G^0$ ), 3

companion, 271

complex surface, 146

complex torus, 148

conjugate  $M$  of a module  $M$ , 13

connecting homomorphism  
 $@: \rho_2(B) \rightarrow \rho_1(F)$ , 89

Crisp's Theorem [Cr00], 34

cuspidal, 138

$D$  (infinite dihedral group  
( $Z=2Z$ ) ( $Z=2Z$ )), 16

deficiency ( $\text{def}(P)$ ,  $\text{def}(\rho)$ ), 28

$\dim_{N(\rho)}(M)$  (von Neumann dimension of  $M$ ), 22

doubly slice knot, 272

$e(G)$  (number of ends of the group  $G$ ,  $= 0, 1, 2$  or  $\infty$ ), 16

$\mathbb{E}^n$  (flat geometry), 134

- $E(n)$  (isometry group of  $\mathbb{E}^n$ ), 134  
 $E(X)$ ,  $E_0(X)$  (space of self homotopy equivalences), 89  
 $EA$  (class of elementary amenable groups), 9  
 $ev^{(2)}$  (evaluation into  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ), 49  
 elliptic surface, 148, 259, 334  
 ends (and  $H^1(G; Z[G])$ ), 16  
 equivariant (co)homology, 25  
 extension of groups, 4  
 exterior of a knot ( $X(K)$ ,  $X$ ), 267  
  
 $f$  (self homotopy equivalence of a closed 4-manifold), 117  
 $f_M : M \rightarrow P_2(M)$  (second map of Postnikov tower), 26  
 $FF$ ,  $FP$ ,  $FP_n$  (finiteness conditions), 14  
 $F(r)$  (free group), 3  
 $\mathbb{F}^4$  (geometry of  $T_{\mathbb{H}^2}$ ), 133, 256  
 Farrell's Theorem [Fa74], 18  
     braid theorem, 123  
     bred knot, 269  
     nite  $PD_n$ -complex, 33  
 flat manifold, 134  
 flat  $n$ -manifold group, 134  
 foliation by circles, 262  
 F inner exhaustion, 8  
  
 $g:d$ : (geometric dimension), 28  
 $G_1 - G_6$  (orientable flat 3-manifold groups), 153  
 $G(\ )$  (flat 2-knot groups), 318  
 geometric decomposition, 138  
 geometric dimension  
     of a group ( $g:d$ ), 28  
 geometry, 132  
 Gluck reconstruction of a knot  $K$  ( $K$ ), 269  
 graph manifold, 114  
 Gromov's Theorem  
     (x8.A of [Gr]), 28  
  
 $\mathbb{H}^2 - \mathbb{H}^2$  (semisimple product geometry), 188  
  
 $\mathbb{H}^4$ ,  $\mathbb{H}^2(\mathbb{C})$  (rank 1 geometries), 192  
 $\mathbb{H}^2 - \mathbb{E}^2$  (product geometry), 182  
 $\mathbb{H}^3 - \mathbb{E}^1$  (product geometry), 185  
 $H_i(X; R[G=H])$ ,  $H^i(X; R[G=H])$  (equivariant (co)homology), 25  
 $h(G)$  (Hirsch length of a group  $G$ ), 10  
 $h_{\mathbb{Z}[1]}$ , 73  
 Haken 3-manifold, 114  
 Hantzsche-Wendt flat 3-manifold group ( $G_6$ ), 154  
 Hendrik's Theorem [Hn], 34  
 Hilbert  $N(\ )$ -module, 22  
 Hilbert  $N(\ )$ -complex, 23  
 Hirsch length of a group ( $h(G)$ ), 4, 10  
 Hirsch-Plotkin radical of a group  $G$  ( $\rho(G)$ ), 6  
 homology 4-sphere, 288  
 holonomy group, 134  
 homotopy ribbon knot, 273  
 Hopf surface, 147, 335  
 hyperelliptic surface, 148  
  
 $I(G) = \langle fg \mid G^j g^n > 0; g^n \in G^0 g \rangle$ , 3  
 $I$  (binary icosahedral group), 222  
 $I$  (homomorphism from  $H_1(\ ; \mathbb{Z})$  to  $L_1^S(\ )$ ), 118  
 $I^+$  (homomorphism from  $\text{Ker}(w)$  to  $L_1^S(\ ; w)$ ), 119  
 indicable group, 3  
 in nite cyclic covering space ( $E$ ,  $X^0(K)$ ,  $M^0(K)$ ), 69, 269  
 in nite dihedral group ( $D = (Z=2Z) \ltimes (Z=2Z)$ ), 16  
 infranilmanifold, 134  
 infrasolvmanifold, 135, 176  
 Inoue surface, 147, 245  
 invertible knot, 267  
 irreducible knot, 271  
 $Isom(\mathbb{X})$ , 132  
  
 $J(F)$ ,  $J_+(F)$  (automorphisms of  $F$  inducing 1 on  $H_3(F; \mathbb{Z})$ ), 220



- Johnson's trichotomy  
(surface bundle groups), 92
- $k_1(M)$  (first  $k$ -invariant), 26
- Kaplansky rank (of  $(P)$ ), 14
- $Kb$  (Klein bottle), 89
- $k_{p;q}$  ( $(p;q)$ -torus knot), 311
- $kerv(\mathfrak{g})$  (codimension-2 Kervaire invariant of  $\mathfrak{g}$ ), 117
- Kervaire-Arf invariant, 117
- knot, 267
- knot group (of  $K$ ), 268
- knot-like group, 280
- Kodaira surface, 148
- $'P$  (locally  $P$ ), 3
- $'^2(\ )$  ( $L^2$ -completion of  $\mathbb{C}[ ]$ ), 22
- $L^2$ -Betti number, 26
- lattice, 132
- $Lexp(f;a)$  (Laurent expansion), 74
- link, 282
- link group, 282, 286
- LHSSS (Lyndon-Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence), 17
- locally  $P$  ( $'P$ ), 3
- Lück's Theorem [Lü94], 27
- $Mb$  (Möbius band), 105
- $M(K)$  (closed manifold arising from a knot  $K$ ), 268
- $M(\ )$  (mapping torus of a self homotopy equivalence), 69
- $M(p;q;r)$  (Brieskorn manifold), 307
- mapping torus, 69
- $Max-c$  (increasing chains of centralizers are finite), 43
- maximal finite normal subgroup (of a group with two ends), 16
- Mayer-Vietoris sequence of Waldhausen, 112
- Melvin's Theorem, 99
- meridian, 268
- meridional automorphism, 276
- minimal complex surface, 147
- minimal Seifert hypersurface, 269
- monodromy, 269
- Mostow orbifold bundle, 142
- Mostow rigidity, 193
- $n$ -dimensional geometry, 132
- $Ni^3 \mathbb{E}^1$  (nilpotent Lie geometry), 134, 164
- $Ni^4$  (nilpotent Lie geometry), 135, 164
- $N(\ )$  (von Neumann algebra of  $\ )$ , 22
- $n$ -knot, 267
- $N_G(H)$  (normalizer of a subgroup), 3
- normal closure of  $S$  in  $G$  ( $hhSi_G$ ), 3
- $Out(G)$  (group of outer automorphism classes), 3
- $O_1$  (binary octahedral group), 222
- $O_k$  (extended binary octahedral group), 222
- orbifold bundle, 141
- orientable  $PD_n$ -group ( $PD_n^+$ -group), 20
- $P$  ( $= PSL(2; \mathbb{R})$ ), 188
- $P_2(M)$  (second stage of Postnikov tower), 26
- $PD_3$ -complex (3-dimensional Poincaré duality complex), 33
- $PD_n$ -complex (Poincaré duality complex), 33
- $PD_n^{(+)}$ -group, 20
- $PD_3$ -group, 37
- $PD_4$ -polarization, 198
- piece (of a geometric decomposition), 138
- Plotnick's Theorem [Pl86], 311
- Poincaré duality, 32
- poly-, 4
- proper geometric decomposition, 138
- $q(\ )$ ,  $q^{SG}(\ )$  (minimal Euler characteristic), 57
- $Q(2^na; b; c)$  (generalized

- quaternionic group), 223
- $Q(2^n k)$  (quaternionic group of order  $2^n k$ ), 221
- quadratic 2-type  
( $(\pi_2(M); k_1(M); S(\bar{M}))$ ), 241
- quasi bre, 327
- quaternion group ( $Q(8)$ ), 221
  
- rational surface, 148
- reduced  $L^2$ -homology, 23
- reducible ( $\mathbb{H}^2/\mathbb{H}^2$ -manifold), 188
- reflexive knot, 269
- regular coherent ring, 15
- regular noetherian ring, 15
- restrained (group), 9
- ribbon knot, 273
- ruled surface, 148
  
- $SA$  (class of groups of subexponential growth), 9
- $S^1$ -actions, 261
- $S^3$ -group, 225
- $S_4^{PD}(P)$  (polarized  $PD_4$ -complexes), 198
- $S_{TOP}^S(M)$  ( $s$ -cobordism structure set), 116
- $S^4$  (spherical geometry), 234
- $S^2 \times S^2$  (compact product geometry), 235
- $Sol_{m,n}^A, Sol^B \mathbb{E}^1$ , (solvable Lie geometries), 136, 164
- $Sol_0^A$  (solvable Lie geometry), 137, 164
- $Sol_1^A$  (solvable Lie geometry), 137, 164
- $S^3 \times \mathbb{E}^1$  (2-ended spherical-euclidean product geometry), 224
- $S^2 \times \mathbb{E}^2$  (1-ended spherical-euclidean product geometry), 201, 206
- $S^2 \times \mathbb{H}^2$  (spherical-hyperbolic product geometry), 201
- $\mathbb{S}L \mathbb{E}^1$ , 182
- safe extension, 23
- satellite, 271
- $s$ -concordant, 273
  
- Seifert bred (4-manifold), 144
- Seifert hypersurface, 269
- semidirect product ( $G \ltimes Z$ ), 4
- slice knot, 272
- solvable Lie type, 132, 176
- spin (Artin) of a knot ( $K$ ), 272
- split link, 282
- stably homeomorphic, 121
- strict weight orbit, 275
- Strebel's Theorem [St77], 21
- sum of knots ( $K_1/K_2$ ), 270
- surface bundles, 89, 252
- surgery exact sequence, 116
- Swan complex, 219
- symplectic structure, 149, 263
  
- $T$  (torus), 89
- $T_1$  (binary tetrahedral group), 221
- $T_k$  (extended binary tetrahedral group), 221
- $T(\ )$  (translation subgroup), 134, 136
- Tits alternative, 29, 38, 302
- translation subgroup ( $T(\ )$ ), 134, 136
- triangular (solvable Lie group), 135
- trivial knot, 267
- trivial link, 282
- Turaev's Theorem [Tu90], 34
- twist spin of a knot ( ${}_r K$ ), 272
- type I, II, III (Johnson's trichotomy for surface bundle groups), 92
- type R (solvable Lie group), 136
  
- UCSS (universal coefficient spectral sequence), 26
- unreduced  $L^2$ -homology, 23
  
- $\nu P$  (virtually  $P$ ), 4
- virtually (qualifying a property of a group or space), 4
- von Neumann dimension of a Hilbert module ( $dim_{N(\ )} M$ ), 22
  
- Waldhausen's Mayer-Vietoris sequence for  $K$ -theory, 112

Weak Bass Conjecture  
 (  $(P) = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} Q(P)$  ), 14  
 weakly exact, 23  
 weakly finite (ring), 15  
 weight (class, element), 273  
 weight orbit, 275  
 Whitehead quadratic functor  
 (  $(-)$  ), 241  
 Whitehead's question, 279  
  
 $X$ -group, 31  
 $X(K)$  (knot exterior), 267  
 $X_H$  (covering space with  
 fundamental group  $H$ ), 25  
 $\mathbb{X}$ -manifold, 132  
  
 $Z^w$  ( $w$ -twisted integers), 13  
 $Z_m$  (group with presentation  
 $\langle ha; tjt^{-1} = a^m i \rangle$ ), 29  
 $Z_{-1}Z$  (fundamental group  
 of Klein bottle,  $= Z_{-1}$ ), 29

*Greek characters*

$i^{(2)}$  ( $L^2$ -Betti number), 26  
 $u$  ( $u$ -twisted Bockstein), 197  
 (cohomology class,  
 generating  $Ext^1(\mathbb{Z}; \quad)$ ), 73  
 ( $= Z_2$ , 2-knot group, 291  
 ( $-$ ) (Whitehead quadratic  
 functor), 241  
 $q$  (nilpotent group), 7  
 $(P)$  (Kaplansky rank), 14  
 $= \mathbb{Z}[Z] = \mathbb{Z}[t; t^{-1}]$   
 (Laurent polynomial ring), 6  
 $K$  (knot group), 268  
 $_1$ -slice, 273  
 $(e; \quad)$  (group of 2-twist spin  
 of Montesinos knot), 319  
 $[ \quad ; m ]_{\mathcal{F}}$ -complex, 32  
 $K$  (Artin spin of  $K$ ), 272  
 (the twist of  $S^2 \times S^1$ ), 83  
 $_r K$  ( $r$ -twist spin  
 of a knot  $K$ ), 272  
 $_{r,S} K$  (branched twist spin

of a knot  $K$ ), 311  
 $( \quad )$  (Euler characteristic  
 of  $vFP$  group  $\quad$ ), 14  
 $G$  (centre of a group), 3  
 $_2 G$  ( $_2 G = G = (G = G)$ ), 8

*Non-alphabetic symbols*

boundary  $@: \quad_2(B) \rightarrow \quad_1(F)$   
 (connecting homomorphism), 89  
 double angle brackets  $hh \ ii: \ hhSii_G$   
 (normal closure of  $S$  in  $G$ ), 3  
 overbar  $\bar{\quad}$ : anti-involution  $g = w(g)g^{-1}$ ,  
 conjugate module  $\overline{M}$ , 13  
 prime  $^{\theta}$ : commutator subgroup  $G^{\theta}$ ,  
 maximal abelian cover  $X^{\theta}$ , 3, 269  
 semidirect product:  $G \rtimes Z$ , 4  
 sharp  $\sharp$ : sum of knots  $K_1 \sharp K_2$ , 270  
 surd  $\rho$ :  $\rho_{\overline{G}}$   
 (Hirsch-Plotkin radical of  $G$ ), 6  
 tilde  $\tilde{e}$ :  $\mathcal{X}$  (universal cover  
 of  $X$ ), 25