

A SERRE-SWAN THEOREM FOR GERBE MODULES ON ÉTALE LIE GROUPOIDS

CHRISTOPH SCHWEIGERT, CHRISTOPHER TROPP AND ALESSANDRO VALENTINO

ABSTRACT. Given a torsion bundle gerbe on a compact smooth manifold or, more generally, on a compact étale Lie groupoid M , we show that the corresponding category of gerbe modules is equivalent to the category of finitely generated projective modules over an Azumaya algebra on M . This result can be seen as an equivariant Serre-Swan theorem for twisted vector bundles.

1. Introduction

The celebrated Serre-Swan theorem relates the category of vector bundles over a compact smooth manifold M to the category of finite rank projective modules over the algebra of smooth functions $C^\infty(M, \mathbb{C})$ of M (see [GBV, Mor] for the Serre-Swan theorem in the smooth category). It relates geometric and algebraic notions and is, in particular, the starting point for the definition of vector bundles in non-commutative geometry.

A bundle gerbe on M can be seen as a geometric realization of its Dixmier-Douady class, which is a class in $H^3(M; \mathbb{Z})$. To such a geometric realization, a twisted K -theory group can be associated. Gerbe modules have been introduced to obtain a geometric description of twisted K -theory, see e.g. [BCMMS, TXL, BX]. A bundle gerbe (with connection) describes a string background with non-trivial B -field; gerbe modules (with connection) arise also as Chan-Patton bundles on the worldvolume M of D-branes in such backgrounds [Gaw]. It is an old idea that, in the presence of a non-trivial B -field, the worldvolume of a D-brane should become non-commutative in some appropriate sense. This has led to the idea [Kap] that an Azumaya algebra over M then plays the role of the algebra of functions on M and that a version of the Serre-Swan theorem should relate gerbe modules and finitely generated projective modules over this Azumaya algebra. This idea has been made mathematically rigorous in [Kar, Theorem 3.5], using the language of twisted vector bundles which requires using a suitable open cover of M and working with locally defined quantities.

In this note we first derive this result, but with rather different techniques, using descent theory. Our key insight can be described as follows (cf. Lemma 3.1.1): a gerbe

Received by the editors 2014-04-01 and, in revised form, 2014-11-13.

Transmitted by Larry Breen. Published on 2014-11-17.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 53C08, 55R65, 22A22.

Key words and phrases: Gerbe modules, Lie groupoids, Serre-Swan theorem.

© Christoph Schweigert, Christopher Tropp and Alessandro Valentino, 2014. Permission to copy for private use granted.

module on M is a vector bundle E on the space Y of a surjective submersion $Y \rightarrow M$, together with additional data. We show that, given two gerbe modules E, E' over the same gerbe, the homomorphism bundle $\text{Hom}(E, E')$ is not only a vector bundle over Y , but comes with enough data to turn it into an object in the descent category of vector bundles $\text{Desc}(Y \rightarrow M)$. We then identify this category with the category of vector bundles on M and use the global section functor in the spirit of the Serre-Swan theorem. This yields an Azumaya algebra on M ; not surprisingly, the Azumaya algebra is not canonical and depends on the gerbe module. Once the gerbe module is fixed, our construction is natural and thus yields for any gerbe module a module over this Azumaya algebra.

The stack of vector bundles naturally extends from smooth manifolds to Lie groupoids. Since our techniques are based on general descent techniques, they can be transferred to étale groupoids, so that we finally obtain theorem 4.19, a Serre-Swan theorem for gerbe modules on étale Lie groupoids. Action groupoids provide examples of étale Lie groupoids. Our results, apart from their intrinsic mathematical interest, therefore have applications to D-branes in string backgrounds with group actions and to D-branes in orbifolds with B -fields.

Our note is organized as follows: section 2 contains some preliminaries; in section 3, we use descent techniques to prove the Serre-Swan theorem 3.9 for gerbe modules on smooth compact manifolds. In section 4, we generalize our results to étale Lie groupoids.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. GERBES AND GERBE MODULES. In this section we will recall some background material on gerbes and gerbe modules, referring to [Mu, W] for further details. We stress that all gerbes and gerbe modules are *not* equipped with a connection.

In the following, given a surjective submersion $Y \rightarrow M$, $Y_M^{[k]}$ will denote the k -th fibered product of Y over M , and $\pi_i : Y_M^{[k]} \rightarrow Y_M^{[k-1]}$ the map given by omitting the i -th entry. Similarly, $\pi_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m} : Y_M^{[k]} \rightarrow Y_M^{[k-m]}$ denotes the composition $\pi_{i_1} \circ \pi_{i_2} \circ \dots \circ \pi_{i_m}$.

2.2. DEFINITION. A bundle gerbe \mathcal{G} over a manifold M consists of a triple (Y, L, μ) , where $\pi : Y \rightarrow M$ is a surjective submersion, L a hermitian line bundle over $Y_M^{[2]}$, and

$$\mu : \pi_3^* L \otimes \pi_1^* L \rightarrow \pi_2^* L$$

is a bundle isomorphism over $Y_M^{[3]}$ satisfying the natural associativity condition over $Y_M^{[4]}$.

Given a bundle gerbe \mathcal{G} , we can introduce the notion of \mathcal{G} -modules and their morphisms.

2.3. DEFINITION. Let $\mathcal{G} = (Y, L, \mu)$ be a bundle gerbe over M . A gerbe module \mathcal{M} over \mathcal{G} (or \mathcal{G} -module) is a pair (E, ρ) , where $E \rightarrow Y$ is a finite rank hermitian vector bundle, and

$$\rho : L \otimes \pi_1^* E \rightarrow \pi_2^* E$$

is an isomorphism of hermitian vector bundles on $Y_M^{[2]}$, satisfying a compatibility condition over $Y_M^{[3]}$, namely the bundle maps obtained from pullbacks of ρ and μ

$$\pi_3^*L \otimes \pi_1^*(L \otimes \pi_1^*E) \rightarrow \pi_3^*L \otimes \pi_{21}^*E \rightarrow \pi_{23}^*E$$

and

$$\pi_3^*L \otimes \pi_1^*(L \otimes \pi_1^*E) \rightarrow \pi_2^*L \otimes \pi_{12}^*E \rightarrow \pi_{23}^*E$$

coincide.

Any bundle gerbe admits a trivial gerbe module, given by the pair $(\underline{0}, id)$.

2.4. DEFINITION. Let $\mathcal{G} = (Y, L, \mu)$ be a bundle gerbe, and $\mathcal{M} = (E, \rho)$, $\mathcal{N} = (E', \rho')$ be \mathcal{G} -modules. A \mathcal{G} -module morphism $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ is given by a vector bundle morphism $f : E \rightarrow E'$ such that the following diagram of morphisms of hermitian vector bundles on $Y_M^{[2]}$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L \otimes \pi_1^*E & \xrightarrow{\rho} & \pi_2^*E \\ id \otimes \pi_1^*f \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_2^*f \\ L \otimes \pi_1^*E' & \xrightarrow{\rho'} & \pi_2^*E' \end{array} \tag{1}$$

commutes. We will denote by $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ the space of \mathcal{G} -module morphisms between \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} .

\mathcal{G} -modules and their morphisms form a category $\mathcal{G}\text{-mod}$. Moreover, the direct sum of vector bundles and bundle morphisms induces a direct sum on $\mathcal{G}\text{-mod}$, with the trivial gerbe module $(\underline{0}, id)$ as the neutral element.

It is not difficult to prove the following

2.5. LEMMA. Let \mathcal{G} be a bundle gerbe over M . Then $\mathcal{G}\text{-mod}$ is a \mathbb{C} -linear category.

2.6. AZUMAYA ALGEBRAS OVER MANIFOLDS. Recall that an Azumaya algebra over a commutative (local) ring R is an R -algebra A such that:

1. A is free and finite rank as an R -module
2. $A \otimes_R A^{op} \simeq \text{End}_R(A)$ via the assignment $a \otimes b \rightarrow a \cdot (\quad) \cdot b$.

2.7. LEMMA. For a complex vector space V , the endomorphism algebra $\text{End}(V)$ is an Azumaya algebra.

A generalization of the notion of Azumaya algebra in the context of algebraic geometry is due to Grothendieck [G]. In the following we give the relevant definition in the smooth setting.

2.8. DEFINITION. An Azumaya algebra over a manifold M is a complex algebra \mathcal{A} which can be obtained as the algebra of sections of an algebra bundle on M whose fibers are Azumaya algebras.

In the following, \mathcal{A} will denote either the algebra of sections or the actual algebra bundle, and we will pass between the two equivalent descriptions freely. Moreover, we will often omit to indicate the manifold M .

An example of an Azumaya algebra over a manifold M is given by the sections of the endomorphism bundle $\text{End}(E)$, where E is a complex vector bundle.

Azumaya algebras over M are equipped with a tensor product, given by the tensor product of algebra bundles. We will say that two Azumaya algebras \mathcal{A}_1 and \mathcal{A}_2 are equivalent if there exist vector bundles E_1 and E_2 such that

$$\mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \text{End}(E_1) \simeq \mathcal{A}_2 \otimes \text{End}(E_2)$$

The set of equivalence classes of Azumaya algebras over M forms a group, the Brauer group of M with inverses represented by opposite algebras.

3. Gerbe modules and descent data

3.1. DESCENT CATEGORY. Let $Y \xrightarrow{\pi} M$ be a surjective submersion, and let $S : \text{Man}^{op} \rightarrow \text{Cat}$ be a pre-sheaf over the site of manifolds with values in categories. To these data we can assign the descent category $\text{Desc}^S(Y \xrightarrow{\pi} M)$ defined as follows [B, NS, H]:

1. an object is a pair (E, φ) , where E is an object in $S(Y)$ and φ is an isomorphism

$$\varphi : \pi_1^* E \simeq \pi_2^* E$$

over $Y_M^{[2]}$ satisfying the associativity condition $\pi_2^*(\varphi) = \pi_3^*(\varphi) \circ \pi_1^*(\varphi)$ over $Y_M^{[3]}$.

2. a morphism $(E, \varphi) \rightarrow (E', \varphi')$ is given by an element $f \in \text{Hom}_{S(Y)}(E, E')$ for which the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_1^* E & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \pi_2^* E \\ \pi_1^*(f) \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_2^*(f) \\ \pi_1^* E' & \xrightarrow{\varphi'} & \pi_2^* E' \end{array}$$

We have a canonical functor $\pi^* : S(M) \rightarrow \text{Desc}^S(Y \xrightarrow{\pi} M)$, which assigns to $E \in S(M)$ the pair $(\pi^* E, \text{id})$. Moreover, when S is a stack for surjective submersions, the functor π^* is an equivalence of categories.

We will be interested in the case where $S = \text{Vect}$, the stack of vector bundles. To simplify the notation, we will denote with $\text{Desc}(Y \xrightarrow{\pi} M)$ the descent category associated to $Y \xrightarrow{\pi} M$ and Vect . In this case, π^* has a canonical inverse $D : \text{Desc}(Y \xrightarrow{\pi} M) \rightarrow \text{Vect}(M)$

(See [B], Chapter 5).

Let $\mathcal{G} = (Y, L, \mu)$ be a bundle gerbe over M , and let $\mathcal{M} = (E, \rho)$ and $\mathcal{N} = (E', \rho')$ be \mathcal{G} -modules. Consider the homomorphism bundle $\text{Hom}(E, E') \simeq E^* \otimes E'$ on Y .¹ Consider the isomorphism

$$\varphi_{EE'} : \pi_2^* \text{Hom}(E, E') \rightarrow \pi_1^* \text{Hom}(E, E') \tag{2}$$

of hermitian line bundles on $Y_M^{[2]}$ induced by

$$\pi_2^* E^* \otimes \pi_2^* E' \xrightarrow{(\rho)^* \otimes (\rho')^{-1}} \pi_1^* E^* \otimes (L^* \otimes L) \otimes \pi_1^* E' \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes c \otimes \text{id}} \pi_1^* E^* \otimes \pi_1^* E' \tag{3}$$

where $c : L^* \otimes L \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ denotes the canonical isomorphism.

We have then the following central lemma

3.2. LEMMA. *($\text{Hom}(E, E'), \varphi_{EE'}^{-1}$) is an object in $\text{Desc}(Y \xrightarrow{\pi} M)$.*

PROOF. To simplify the notation, we set $\varphi = \varphi_{EE'}$. We have to prove that φ^{-1} satisfies the associativity condition $\pi_2^* \varphi^{-1} = \pi_3^* \varphi^{-1} \circ \pi_1^* \varphi^{-1}$, or, equivalently, that φ satisfies $\pi_2^* \varphi = \pi_1^* \varphi \circ \pi_3^* \varphi$. We will simplify the notation by using E_{ij}, φ_{ij} , etc. for $\pi_{ij}^* E, \pi_{ij}^* \varphi$, etc. .

First, recall that the isomorphism μ sits in the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L_1^* \otimes L_3^* \otimes L_3 \otimes L_1 & \xrightarrow{(\mu^*)^{-1} \otimes \mu} & L_2^* \otimes L_2 \\ \downarrow c_3 & & \downarrow c_2 \\ L_1^* \otimes L_1 & \xrightarrow{c_1} & \mathbb{C} \end{array} \tag{4}$$

where we have used the canonical pairing $c_i : L_i^* \otimes L_i \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. By using that the morphism ρ and ρ' are compatible with μ , we see that the morphism φ_2 can be obtained as

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_{23}^* \otimes E'_{23} & \xrightarrow{\rho_3^* \otimes (\rho'_3)^{-1}} & E_{13}^* \otimes L_3^* \otimes L_3 \otimes E'_{13} \xrightarrow{\rho_1^* \otimes (\rho'_1)^{-1}} E_{12}^* \otimes L_1^* \otimes L_3^* \otimes L_3 \otimes L_1 \otimes E'_{12} \\ & & \downarrow (\mu^*)^{-1} \otimes \mu \\ & & E_{12}^* \otimes L_2^* \otimes L_2 \otimes E'_{12} \\ & & \downarrow c_2 \\ & & E_{12}^* \otimes E'_{12} \end{array} \tag{5}$$

Moreover, we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_{13}^* \otimes L_3^* \otimes L_3 \otimes E'_{13} & \xrightarrow{c_3} & E_{13}^* \otimes E'_{13} \\ \downarrow \rho_1^* \otimes (\rho'_1)^{-1} & & \downarrow \rho_1^* \otimes (\rho'_1)^{-1} \\ E_{12}^* \otimes L_1^* \otimes L_3^* \otimes L_3 \otimes L_1 \otimes E'_{12} & \xrightarrow{c_3} & E_{12}^* \otimes L_1^* \otimes L_1 \otimes E'_{12} \end{array} \tag{6}$$

¹Whenever we refer to homomorphism bundles, the symbol Hom is used without subscript; homomorphism sets in categories in contrast always have a subscript.

The proof is completed by the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 E_{23}^* \otimes E'_{23} & \xrightarrow{\varphi_3} & E_{13}^* \otimes E'_{13} & \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} & E_{12}^* \otimes E'_{12} \\
 \downarrow \rho_3^* \otimes (\rho'_3)^{-1} & \nearrow c_3 & \downarrow \rho_1^* \otimes (\rho'_1)^{-1} & \nearrow c_1 & \uparrow c_3 \\
 E_{13}^* \otimes L_3^* \otimes L_3 \otimes E'_{13} & & E_{12}^* \otimes L_1^* \otimes L_1 \otimes E'_{12} & & \\
 \downarrow \rho_1^* \otimes (\rho'_1)^{-1} & \nearrow c_3 & & & \\
 E_{13}^* \otimes L_1^* \otimes L_3^* \otimes L_3 \otimes L_1 \otimes E'_{13} & \xrightarrow{(\mu^*)^{-1} \otimes \mu} & E_{13}^* \otimes L_2^* \otimes L_2 \otimes E'_{13} & &
 \end{array} \tag{7}$$

Notice that the whole diagram is commutative, since every single subdiagram is commutative as a consequence of the definition of φ_3, φ_1 , diagram (4) and (6). In particular, the outer square is commutative: by diagram (5), the morphism obtained as the composition of the left, lower and right outer edge coincides with the morphism φ_2 , hence we have that $\varphi_2 = \varphi_1 \circ \varphi_3$. ■

3.3. LEMMA. *Let $\mathcal{M} = (E, \rho)$, $\mathcal{N} = (E', \rho')$ and $\mathcal{P} = (E'', \rho'')$ be \mathcal{G} -modules, and let $f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$. Then f induces a morphism $(\text{Hom}(E, E'), \varphi_{EE'}^{-1}) \rightarrow (\text{Hom}(E, E''), \varphi_{EE''}^{-1})$ in $\text{Desc}(Y \xrightarrow{\pi} M)$.*

PROOF. Recall that a gerbe module morphism is an (hermitian) vector bundle morphism satisfying a compatibility condition with the morphism ρ , namely diagram (1). If we denote by abuse of notation the morphism of vector bundles by f as well, $f : E' \rightarrow E''$, it is then straightforward to show that the morphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{Hom}(E, E') & \rightarrow & \text{Hom}(E, E'') \\
 \beta & \rightarrow & f \circ \beta
 \end{array} \tag{8}$$

satisfies the condition for a morphism in the descent category. ■

Let $\mathcal{M} = (E, \rho)$ be a possibly trivial \mathcal{G} -module. Then Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.3 guarantee that we have a functor

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \tilde{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathcal{M}} : \mathcal{G} - \text{mod} & \rightarrow & \text{Desc}(Y \xrightarrow{\pi} M) \\
 (E', \rho') & \mapsto & (\text{Hom}(E, E'), \varphi_{EE'}^{-1}) \\
 f & \mapsto & (\beta \rightarrow (f \circ \beta))
 \end{array} \tag{9}$$

Moreover, we have

3.4. PROPOSITION. *The functor $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathcal{M}}$ is a faithful \mathbb{C} -linear functor.*

PROOF. Since the functor $\text{Hom}(E, -)$ is \mathbb{C} -linear and faithful, the functor $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathcal{M}}$ inherits these properties. ■

3.5. PROPOSITION. *To any nontrivial \mathcal{G} -module $\mathcal{M} = (E, \rho)$ we can canonically associate an Azumaya algebra $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{M}}$ over M .*

PROOF. Define

$$\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{M}} := \Gamma(M, D(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{M}))) \tag{10}$$

where D is the canonical inverse $D : Desc(Y \xrightarrow{\pi} M) \rightarrow \text{Vect}(M)$ and Γ is the global section functor.

Notice that $\text{End}(E) := \text{Hom}(E, E)$ is an algebra bundle over Y and φ_{EE}^{-1} is an algebra bundle isomorphism. Since D is a tensor functor, then the bundle $D(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{M}))$ is an algebra bundle over M such that $\pi^*(D(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{M}))) \simeq \text{End}(E)$. Moreover, since $\Gamma(Y, \text{End}(E))$ is an Azumaya algebra over Y , the same is true for $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{M}}$. ■

3.6. EQUIVALENCE OF CATEGORIES. The Serre-Swan theorem states that the section functor Γ induces an equivalence between the category of vector bundles over a compact manifold M and the category of finitely generated projective $C^\infty(M; \mathbb{C})$ -modules.

Let $\mathcal{M} = (E, \rho)$ be a nontrivial \mathcal{G} -module, and consider the category $\text{pmod-}\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{M}}$ of projective and finitely generated right modules over the Azumaya algebra $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{M}}$ over M . Let $\mathcal{N} = (E', \rho')$ be an arbitrary \mathcal{G} -module, and define

$$\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{N}} := \Gamma(M, D(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{N}))) \tag{11}$$

3.7. LEMMA. *$\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{N}}$ is a projective and finitely generated right module over $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{M}}$.*

PROOF. First, notice that $\text{Hom}(E, E')$ is a finitely generated right module over $\text{End}(E)$. It is moreover fibrewise projective, since the fibers are finite-dimensional modules over the endomorphism algebra of a finite dimensional vector space, i.e. a full matrix algebra. Taking into account the isomorphisms $\varphi_{EE'}$ and φ_{EE} , we have that $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{N})$ is right $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{M})$ -module in $Desc(Y \xrightarrow{\pi} M)$. Finally, use that both D and Γ are tensor functors, and that they preserve projectivity. ■

3.8. LEMMA. *A morphism $\mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ of \mathcal{G} -modules induces a morphism $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{N}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{P}}$ of $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{M}}$ -modules.*

PROOF. Use that any bundle morphism $E' \rightarrow E''$ induces a morphism $\text{Hom}(E, E') \rightarrow \text{Hom}(E, E'')$ which is also an $\text{End}(E)$ -module morphism. ■

The results above allow us to define a functor

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{M}} : \mathcal{G}\text{-mod} &\rightarrow \text{pmod-}\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{M}} \\ \mathcal{N} &\mapsto \mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{N}} \\ \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{P} &\mapsto \mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{N}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{P}} \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

3.9. THEOREM. *Let M be a compact manifold, and let \mathcal{G} be a bundle gerbe on M . If \mathcal{G} admits a nontrivial gerbe module \mathcal{M} , then the functor $\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{M}}$ is a fully faithful and essentially surjective \mathbb{C} -linear functor.*

PROOF. The faithfulness and \mathbb{C} -linearity is guaranteed by the fact that the functors $\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{M}}$, D , and Γ are faithful and \mathbb{C} -linear. We have then to prove fullness and essential surjectivity.

1. Fullness: Let $f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{M}}}(\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{N}}, \mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{P}})$. Since both Γ and D are full tensor functors, to f there corresponds a morphism $\text{Hom}(E, E') \rightarrow \text{Hom}(E, E'')$ which is also an $\text{End}(E)$ -module morphism. We have the following canonical isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}(\text{Hom}(E, E'), \text{Hom}(E, E'')) \simeq \text{End}(E) \otimes \text{Hom}(E', E'') ; \tag{13}$$

The space of bundle homomorphisms $\text{Hom}_{\text{Vect}}(E, E')$ is then obtained from the space of bundle morphisms from the trivial bundle \mathbb{C} to the homomorphism bundle, $\text{Hom}_{\text{Vect}}(\mathbb{C}, \text{Hom}(E, E')) \cong \text{Hom}_{\text{Vect}}(E, E')$. As consequence, $\text{Hom}_{\text{Vect}}(\text{Hom}(E, E'), \text{Hom}(E, E'')) \cong \text{Hom}_{\text{Vect}}(\mathbb{C}, \text{End}(E) \otimes \text{Hom}(E', E''))$. Under this isomorphism, the action of the algebra bundle $\text{End}(E)$ is multiplication on the first factor. Hence those bundle morphisms in $\text{Hom}_{\text{Vect}}(\text{Hom}(E, E'), \text{Hom}(E, E''))$ that are $\text{End}(E)$ -morphisms are in bijection with $\text{Hom}_{\text{Vect}}(\mathbb{C}, \text{Hom}(E', E'')) \cong \text{Hom}_{\text{Vect}}(E', E'')$. (This proof works quite generally in a symmetric monoidal category with duals in which all objects have invertible dimension.)

Inspection shows that the compatibility with the morphisms $\varphi_{EE'}$ and $\varphi_{EE''}$ over $Y_M^{[2]}$ guarantees that \tilde{f} is indeed a morphism of \mathcal{G} -modules.

2. Essential surjectivity: Let $\mathcal{B} \in \text{pfmod}-\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{M}}$. Recall that $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{M}}$ is a projective and finitely generated module over the algebra $C^\infty(M; \mathbb{C})$: indeed, $C^\infty(M; \mathbb{C})$ sits in the center of $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{M}}$, and the action is via multiplication. This implies that \mathcal{B} is a finitely generated and projective $C^\infty(M; \mathbb{C})$ -module as well. Hence, there exists an object $B \in \text{Vect}(M)$ which is a right module over the algebra bundle $D(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{M}))$ on M , and such that $\Gamma(B) \simeq \mathcal{B}$.

Consider the bundle π^*B over Y . It is a finitely generated and projective right module over $\text{End}(E) \simeq \pi^*D(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{M}))$. Notice that this means in particular that the fiber of π^*B over a point y is a finitely generated and projective module over $\text{End}(E_y)$. A classical result concerning endomorphism algebras states that for any finite dimensional vector space V and any finitely generated and projective right module Q over $\text{End}(V)$, we have a canonical isomorphism

$$Q \simeq \text{Hom}(V, Q \otimes_{\text{End}(V)} V) \tag{14}$$

as right $\text{End}(V)$ -modules. Applying this result to vector bundles, we have

$$\pi^*B \simeq \text{Hom}(E, \pi^*B \otimes_{\text{End}(E)} E) \tag{15}$$

Finally, we have to show that the bundle $\pi^*B \otimes_{\text{End}(E)} E$ comes equipped with a gerbe module morphism. This is indeed induced by the morphism ρ (recall that $\mathcal{M} = (E, \rho)$) as follows. First, recall that we have canonically

$$\text{End}(\pi_1^*E) \simeq \text{End}(\pi_1^*E \otimes L) \tag{16}$$

Moreover, the morphism $\rho : \pi_1^*E \otimes L \rightarrow \pi_2^*E$ is a module morphism along the algebra bundle morphism $\rho_* : \text{End}(\pi_1^*E) \rightarrow \text{End}(\pi_2^*E)$, where we have used the isomorphism (16). We have then the following isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_1^*(\pi^*B \otimes_{\text{End}(E)} E) \otimes L &\simeq (\pi_1^*\pi^*B \otimes_{\text{End}(\pi_1^*E)} \pi_1^*E) \otimes L \\ &\simeq \pi_1^*\pi^*B \otimes_{\text{End}(\pi_1^*E)} (\pi_1^*E \otimes L) \\ &\simeq \pi_2^*\pi^*B \otimes_{\text{End}(\pi_2^*E)} \pi_2^*E \\ &\simeq \pi_2^*(\pi^*B \otimes_{\text{End}(E)} E) \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

which can be proved to be compatible with μ . ■

4. Gerbe modules on Lie groupoids

In this section we will see how Theorem 3.9 can be extended from the category of smooth manifolds to the category of étale Lie groupoids.

4.1. VECTOR BUNDLES ON LIE GROUPOIDS. In the following we recall some basic results about the category of vector bundles on Lie groupoids.

Let $G = (G_0, G_1)$ be a Lie groupoid with source and target map $s, t : G_1 \rightarrow G_0$, respectively. Recall that a vector bundle over G is given by a vector bundle $E \rightarrow G_0$ together with an isomorphism $\psi_E : s^*E \xrightarrow{\cong} t^*E$ which satisfies a cocycle condition over $G_1 \times_{G_0} G_1$. A morphism between two vector bundles (E, ψ_E) and (F, ψ_F) over G is given by a morphism of vector bundles $f : E \rightarrow F$ over G_0 which is compatible with the isomorphisms ψ_E and ψ_F over G_1 . Finite rank vector bundles on G and their morphisms form a \mathbb{C} -linear symmetric monoidal category with duals $\text{Vect}(G)$: indeed, the dual of (E, ψ_E) is given by $(E^*, (\psi_E^*)^{-1})$. The monoidal unit in $\text{Vect}(G)$ is given by $(\underline{\mathbb{C}}, id)$, which by abuse of notation we denote $\underline{\mathbb{C}}$.

Let (\mathcal{C}, \otimes) be a monoidal category, and let U and V in \mathcal{C} . The internal hom $\underline{\text{Hom}}(U, V)$, if it exists, is an object in \mathcal{C} for which there is an isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(W, \underline{\text{Hom}}(U, V)) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(W \otimes U, V)$$

which is natural in U, V and W .

4.2. LEMMA. *The category $\text{Vect}(G)$ admits an internal hom $\underline{\text{Hom}}(E, F)$ for all E and F .*

PROOF. Define

$$\underline{\text{Hom}}(E, F) := (E^* \otimes F, (\psi_E^*)^{-1} \otimes \psi_F) \tag{18}$$

and let $W \in \text{Vect}(G)$. First, notice that

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Vect}(G)}(W, E^* \otimes F) \subset \text{Hom}_{\text{Vect}(G_0)}(W, E^* \otimes F) \simeq^{\Xi} \text{Hom}_{\text{Vect}(G_0)}(W \otimes E, F)$$

where

$$\Xi(f) : W \otimes E \xrightarrow{f \otimes \text{id}} E^* \otimes F \otimes E \xrightarrow{\text{tr}_E} F \quad (19)$$

for $f \in \text{Hom}(W, E^* \otimes F)$ and $\text{tr}_E : E^* \otimes E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ the canonical pairing. By using the invariance of the trace pairing tr_E under isomorphisms, we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} s^*W \otimes s^*E & \xrightarrow{\psi_W \otimes \psi_E} & t^*W \otimes t^*E \\ s^*(f) \otimes \text{id} \downarrow & & \downarrow t^*(f) \otimes \text{id} \\ s^*E^* \otimes s^*F \otimes s^*E & \xrightarrow{(\psi_E^*)^{-1} \otimes \psi_F \otimes \psi_E} & t^*E^* \otimes t^*F \otimes t^*E \\ \text{tr}_{s^*E} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{tr}_{t^*E} \\ s^*F & \xrightarrow{\psi_F} & t^*F \end{array} \quad (20)$$

for any $f \in \text{Hom}_{\text{Vect}(G)}(W, E^* \otimes F)$. The outer commutative square assures then that the isomorphism Ξ maps $\text{Hom}_{\text{Vect}(G)}(W, E^* \otimes F)$ to the subspace $\text{Hom}_{\text{Vect}(G)}(W \otimes E, F) \subset \text{Hom}_{\text{Vect}(G)}(W, E^* \otimes F)$. Moreover, notice that the isomorphism Ξ is natural in W , E , and F . ■

In particular, since the construction above is natural, we have the internal hom functor

$$\underline{\text{Hom}}(-, -) : \text{Vect}(G)^{\text{op}} \times \text{Vect}(G) \rightarrow \text{Vect}(G)$$

4.3. LEMMA. *For any $E \in \text{Vect}(G)$, $\underline{\text{End}}(E)$ is an algebra object in $\text{Vect}(G)$.*

Informally speaking, this means that $\underline{\text{End}}(E)$ is an algebra bundle on G_0 , with a multiplication that is compatible with the isomorphism ψ_E .

PROOF. The multiplication morphism

$$m : \underline{\text{End}}(E) \otimes \underline{\text{End}}(E) \rightarrow \underline{\text{End}}(E) \quad (21)$$

is induced by the vector bundle morphism

$$E^* \otimes E \otimes E^* \otimes E \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes c_{E^*E}} E^* \otimes E \otimes E \otimes E^* \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes c_{EE} \otimes \text{id}} E^* \otimes E \otimes E \otimes E^* \xrightarrow{\text{tr}_E \otimes c_{EE^*}} E^* \otimes E \quad (22)$$

where c_{AB} denote the symmetric braiding between the two vector bundles A and B . The associativity of m is guaranteed by the associativity of the tensor product of vector bundles and bundle morphisms. ■

Similarly, we have the following

4.4. LEMMA. *For any E and F in $\text{Vect}(G)$, $\underline{\text{Hom}}(E, F)$ is a right module over $\underline{\text{End}}(E)$ and a left module over $\underline{\text{End}}(F)$.*

4.5. LEMMA. *For any E , M and N in $\text{Vect}(G)$, we have a canonical isomorphism of vector spaces*

$$\text{Hom}_{\underline{\text{End}}(E)}(\underline{\text{Hom}}(E, M), \underline{\text{Hom}}(E, N)) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\text{Vect}(G)}(M, N)$$

PROOF. *The proof follows from*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Hom}_{\underline{\mathrm{End}}(E)}(\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(E, M), \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(E, N)) &\simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\underline{\mathrm{End}}(E)}(E^* \otimes M, E^* \otimes N) \\ &\simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\underline{\mathrm{End}}(E)}(E^* \otimes M \otimes E, N) \\ &\simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\underline{\mathrm{End}}(E)}(M \otimes \underline{\mathrm{End}}(E), N) \\ &\simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Vect}(G)}(M, N) \end{aligned}$$

■

4.6. REMARK. *The results above hold in general for any symmetric monoidal category \mathcal{C} with duals. See [EGNO] for a discussion of these results in the case of more general tensor categories and module categories over them.*

We conclude this section on vector bundles over Lie groupoids with the following

4.7. LEMMA. *Let L be a line bundle over a Lie groupoid $G = (G_0, G_1)$. Then there is a canonical isomorphism*

$$L^* \otimes L \xrightarrow{\cong} \underline{\mathbb{C}} \tag{23}$$

PROOF. Consider the isomorphism $c : L^* \otimes L \rightarrow \underline{\mathbb{C}}$ given by the canonical pairing. It is immediate to see that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} s^*L^* \otimes s^*L & \xrightarrow{(\psi_L^*)^{-1} \otimes \psi_L} & t^*L^* \otimes t^*L \\ s^*c \downarrow & & \downarrow t^*c \\ \underline{\mathbb{C}} & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}} & \underline{\mathbb{C}} \end{array}$$

■

4.8. GERBES AND GERBE MODULES ON LIE GROUPOIDS. Gerbes on Lie groupoids can be described in two equivalent ways: they can be seen as objects of a bicategory obtained via the plus construction applied to the 2-stack of gerbes over manifolds [NS], or by *internalizing* to Lie groupoids the definition given in Section 2.1, where we substitute manifolds with Lie groupoids, line bundles over manifolds with line bundles over Lie groupoids, etc. [H]. In this paper, we will follow the second description, with the technical caveat to replace the notion of surjective submersion in manifolds with the appropriate one in Lie groupoids: as shown in [NS], the appropriate notion is that of a *weak equivalence* of groupoids.

4.9. DEFINITION. *Let Γ and Λ be Lie groupoids. A morphism $F : \Gamma \rightarrow \Lambda$ is called a weak equivalence if*

1. *The diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Gamma_1 & \xrightarrow{F_1} & \Lambda_1 \\ s \times t \downarrow & & \downarrow s \times t \\ \Gamma_0 \times \Gamma_0 & \xrightarrow{F_0 \times F_0} & \Lambda_0 \times \Lambda_0 \end{array}$$

is a pullback diagram.

2. *The smooth map*

$$\Gamma_0 \times_{\Lambda_0} \Lambda_1 \rightarrow \Lambda_0$$

is a surjective submersion.

To generalise the notion of a bundle gerbe over a manifold, we need the notion of a fiber product of groupoids.

4.10. DEFINITION. *Let Γ^1, Γ^2 and Λ be Lie groupoids, and let $F^1 : \Gamma^1 \rightarrow \Lambda$ and $F^2 : \Gamma^2 \rightarrow \Lambda$ be morphisms of Lie groupoids. The fiber product $\Gamma^1 \times_{\Lambda} \Gamma^2$ is the groupoid such that*

$$\text{Obj}(\Gamma^1 \times_{\Lambda} \Gamma^2) := \{(a, b, \alpha) \in \Gamma_0^1 \times \Gamma_0^2 \times \Lambda_1 : s(\alpha) = F_0^1(a), t(\alpha) = F_0^2(b)\}$$

and

$$\text{Mor}((a, b, \alpha), (a', b', \alpha')) := \{(f, g) \in \text{Mor}(a, a') \times \text{Mor}(b, b') : \alpha \circ F_1^2(g) = F_1^1(f) \circ \alpha'\}$$

One can show that if F^1 or F^2 is a weak equivalence of groupoids, then $\Gamma^1 \times_{\Lambda} \Gamma^2$ is also a Lie groupoid (see [Moe], section 2.3).

Given a weak equivalence $\Gamma \rightarrow \Lambda$, we will use the notation $\Gamma^{[k]}$ for the k -th fibered product of Γ over Λ .

A bundle gerbe over a Lie groupoid Λ is then a triple (Γ, L, μ) , where $\Gamma \xrightarrow{\pi} \Lambda$ is a weak equivalence, L a line bundle over $\Gamma^{[2]}$, and μ an isomorphism over $\Gamma^{[3]}$ satisfying an associativity condition over $\Gamma^{[4]}$. Similarly, Definition 2.3 carries through to Lie groupoids immediately, and we obtain in particular a category of bundle gerbe modules. We have a descent category $\text{Desc}(\Gamma \rightarrow \Lambda)$ of vector bundles associated to a weak equivalence $\Gamma \rightarrow \Lambda$ of groupoids, defined by internalizing the construction in Section 3.1. Moreover, we have an equivalence $\text{Vect}(\Lambda) \simeq \text{Desc}(\Gamma \rightarrow \Lambda)$ induced by the pullback functor [NS].

Let $\mathcal{G} = (\Gamma, L, \mu)$ be a bundle gerbe over Λ , and let $\mathcal{M} = (E, \rho)$ and $\mathcal{N} = (E', \rho')$ be \mathcal{G} -modules. Consider the homomorphism

$$\varphi_{EE'} : \pi_2^* \underline{\text{Hom}}(E, E') \rightarrow \pi_1^* \underline{\text{Hom}}(E, E') \tag{24}$$

defined as in (3).

Since all the properties of the category of vector bundles over manifolds used there extend directly to the category of vector bundles over Lie groupoids, we have, as shown in Section 4.1,

4.11. LEMMA. $(\underline{\text{Hom}}(E, E'), \varphi_{EE'}^{-1})$ is an object in $\text{Desc}(\Gamma \rightarrow \Lambda)$.

In the same spirit we have the following

4.12. LEMMA. Let $\mathcal{M} = (E, \rho)$, $\mathcal{N} = (E', \rho')$ and $\mathcal{P} = (E'', \rho'')$ be \mathcal{G} -modules, and let $f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$. Then f induces a morphism $(\underline{\text{Hom}}(E, E'), \varphi_{EE'}^{-1}) \rightarrow (\underline{\text{Hom}}(E, E''), \varphi_{EE''}^{-1})$ in $\text{Desc}(\Gamma \rightarrow \Lambda)$.

As in Section 3.1, given a possibly trivial \mathcal{G} -module \mathcal{M} we can set up a functor

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\text{Grp}}^{\mathcal{M}} : \mathcal{G}\text{-mod} \rightarrow \text{Desc}(\Gamma \rightarrow \Lambda) \tag{25}$$

Since the internal Hom functor of vector bundles on Lie groupoids is \mathbb{C} -linear and faithful, the functor $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathcal{M}}$ inherits these properties, hence we have

4.13. PROPOSITION. The functor $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathcal{M}}$ is a faithful \mathbb{C} -linear functor.

4.14. MODULES OF SECTIONS AND SERRE-SWAN THEOREM. Recall that an étale Lie groupoid is a Lie groupoid for which the source map (and, as a consequence, all the structure maps – target, identity and composition) is a local diffeomorphism. Let $G = (G_0, G_1)$ be an étale Lie groupoid, and let $C_c^\infty(G)$ be its convolution algebra. In particular, $C_c^\infty(G)$ admits the structure of a Hopf algebroid over the commutative algebra $C_c^\infty(G_0)$. Briefly, a Hopf algebroid is given by an algebra A together with a commutative subalgebra A_0 in which A has local units, and is equipped with a commutative coalgebra structure (Δ, ϵ) over the right A_0 -action, and a linear antipode S satisfying a certain number of axioms. See [Mr], Definition 2.1 for details. In particular, in the case of the Hopf algebroid associated to the groupoid G , we have

1. the algebra A is given by $C_c^\infty(G)$
2. the commutative algebra A_0 is given by $C_c^\infty(G_0)$
3. the counit $\epsilon : C_c^\infty(G) \rightarrow C_c^\infty(G_0)$ is given by

$$\epsilon(a)(x) := \sum_{s(g)=x} a(g)$$

for any $a \in C_c^\infty(G)$ and $x \in G_0$. (This expression makes sense, since the Lie groupoid is étale.)

4. the antipode $S : C_c^\infty(G) \rightarrow C_c^\infty(G)$ is given by

$$S(a)(g) := a(g^{-1})$$

for any $a \in C_c^\infty(G)$ and $g \in G$.

5. the comultiplication $\Delta : C_c^\infty(G) \rightarrow C_c^\infty(G) \otimes_{C_c^\infty(G_0)} C_c^\infty(G)$ is given by the composition

$$C_c^\infty(G) \rightarrow C_c^\infty(G \times_s G) \rightarrow C_c^\infty(G) \otimes_{C_c^\infty(G_0)} C_c^\infty(G)$$

where the first homomorphism is induced by the diagonal embedding of G in $G \times_s G$, and the second is given by the inverse of the isomorphism $\Omega : C_c^\infty(G) \otimes_{C_c^\infty(G_0)} C_c^\infty(G) \rightarrow C_c^\infty(G \times_s G)$ given by

$$\Omega(a \otimes a')(g, g') := a(g)a'(g')$$

Let E be a vector bundle over the groupoid G , and denote with $\Gamma_c(E)$ the vector space of smooth sections of the vector bundle $E \rightarrow G_0$. As shown in [Kal], $\Gamma_c(E)$ admits a left action of the Hopf algebroid $C_c^\infty(G)$ associated to G . Indeed, we have a bilinear map

$$C_c^\infty(G) \times \Gamma_c(E) \rightarrow \Gamma_c(E) \tag{26}$$

given by

$$(af)(x) := \sum_{t(g)=x} a(g)(g \cdot f(s(g))) \tag{27}$$

Given a morphism $\varphi : E \rightarrow F$ of vector bundles over G , the induced homomorphism of $C_c^\infty(G_0)$ -modules $\Gamma(\varphi) : \Gamma_c(E) \rightarrow \Gamma_c(F)$ is also a homomorphism of left $C_c^\infty(G)$ -modules. Hence, we have a functor

$$\Gamma_c : \text{Vect}(G) \rightarrow {}_G\text{Mod} \tag{28}$$

from the category of vector bundles over the groupoid G to the category of left modules over the Hopf algebroid $C_c^\infty(G)$ of the groupoid G .

4.15. DEFINITION. *Let $G = (G_0, G_1)$ be an étale Lie groupoid. A left module M over $C_c^\infty(G)$ is said to be of finite type if it is isomorphic as a $C_c^\infty(G_0)$ -module to some submodule of the module $C_c^\infty(G_0)^k$ for some natural number k .*

Given a left module M over $C_c^\infty(G)$ of finite type, consider for any $x \in G_0$ the $C_c^\infty(G_0)$ -module $I_x M := I_x C_c^\infty(G_0) \cdot M$, where $I_x C_c^\infty(G_0) := \{f \in C_c^\infty(G_0) : f(x) = 0\}$. Denote

$$M(x) := M/I_x M \tag{29}$$

the quotient $C_c^\infty(G_0)(x)$ -module, where $C_c^\infty(G_0)(x) := C_c^\infty(G_0)/I_x C_c^\infty(G_0)$. Since M is of finite type, one can prove that $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} M(x) < \infty$.

4.16. DEFINITION. *Let $G = (G_0, G_1)$ be an étale Lie groupoid. A left module M over $C_c^\infty(G)$ of finite type is said to be of constant rank if the function*

$$x \mapsto \dim_{\mathbb{C}} M(x)$$

is constant over G_0 .

Let M and N be modules of finite type and constant rank over $C_c^\infty(G)$. Their direct sum $M \oplus N$ is again of finite type and of constant rank. Moreover, the tensor product $M \otimes_{C_c^\infty(G_0)} N$ can be given the structure of a left $C_c^\infty(G)$ -module [Kal]. With this tensor product we have the following

4.17. LEMMA. *Let $G = (G_0, G_1)$ be an étale groupoid. Modules of finite type and of constant rank over the Hopf algebroid $C_c^\infty(G)$ and module morphisms form a monoidal tensor category $\text{Mod}(G)$.*

Notice that the unit in $\text{Mod}(G)$ is given by the algebra $C_c^\infty(G_0)$ equipped with a trivial left $C_c^\infty(G)$ -action. Moreover, we have the following

4.18. LEMMA. *Let $G = (G_0, G_1)$ be an étale groupoid, and let E be a vector bundle over G . Then the left $C_c^\infty(G)$ -module $\Gamma_c(E)$ is a module of finite type and of constant rank.*

Lemma 4.18 tells us that the section functor Γ_c induces a tensor functor

$$\Gamma_c : \text{Vect}(G) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(G) \tag{30}$$

from the category of vector bundles over the groupoid G to the category of modules of constant type and of finite rank over the Hopf algebroid $C_c^\infty(G)$ of G .

Finally, we have a Serre-Swan type theorem for vector bundles over étale Lie groupoids[Kal].

4.19. THEOREM. *The functor $\Gamma_c : \text{Vect}(G) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(G)$ is an equivalence of tensor categories for any étale Lie groupoid.*

4.20. EQUIVALENCE OF CATEGORIES. Let $G = (G_0, G_1)$ be an étale groupoid, and we will assume that the manifold of objects G_0 is compact. Let $\mathcal{G} = (\Gamma, L, \mu)$ be a bundle gerbe over G , and $\mathcal{M} = (E, \rho)$ a nontrivial \mathcal{G} -module. As in Proposition 3.5, we can assign to \mathcal{M} an infinite dimensional algebra defined as

$$\mathcal{A}_{Grp}^{\mathcal{M}} := \Gamma(D(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{Grp}^{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{M}))) \tag{31}$$

$\mathcal{A}_{Grp}^{\mathcal{M}}$ is an algebra object with unit in $\text{Mod}(G)$, with the unit morphism $C^\infty(G_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{Grp}^{\mathcal{M}}$ given by the embedding via the identity section.

Moreover, for any other \mathcal{G} -module \mathcal{N} we obtain a right $\mathcal{A}_{Grp}^{\mathcal{M}}$ -module given by

$$\mathcal{A}_{Grp}^{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{N}} := \Gamma(D(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{Grp}^{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{N}))) \tag{32}$$

Consider the category $\text{Mod} - \mathcal{A}_{Grp}^{\mathcal{M}}$ of right $\mathcal{A}_{Grp}^{\mathcal{M}}$ -modules in $\text{Mod}(G)$, the category of modules of constant type and of finite rank over the Hopf algebroid $C_c^\infty(G)$ of G .

By the same arguments as in Section 3.6, we have a functor

$$\mathcal{F}_{Grp}^{\mathcal{M}} : \mathcal{G} - \text{mod} \rightarrow \text{Mod} - \mathcal{A}_{Grp}^{\mathcal{M}} \tag{33}$$

By using the results in Section 4, the proof of Theorem 3.9 extends immediately to the proof of

4.21. THEOREM. *Let $G = (G_0, G_1)$ be an étale groupoid, with G_0 a compact manifold. Let $\mathcal{G} = (\Gamma, L, \mu)$ be a bundle gerbe over G admitting a nontrivial \mathcal{G} -module \mathcal{M} . Then the functor $\mathcal{F}_{Grp}^{\mathcal{M}}$ is \mathbb{C} -linear, fully faithful and essentially surjective, hence an equivalence of categories.*

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS: We thank Domenico Fiorenza, Thomas Nikolaus and Urs Schreiber for helpful discussions and correspondence. CS and AV are partially supported by the Collaborative Research Centre 676 “Particles, Strings and the Early Universe - the Structure of Matter and Space-Time” and by the DFG Priority Programme 1388 “Representation Theory”.

References

- [BX] K. Behrend and P. Xu, *Differentiable Stacks and Gerbes*, J. Symplectic Geom. **9** (2011) 285-341
- [BCMMS] P. Bouwknegt, A. L. Carey, V. Mathai, M. K. Murray and D. Stevenson, *Twisted K-Theory and K-Theory of Bundle Gerbes*, Comm. Math. Phys. **228** (2002), 17-49
- [B] J. Brylinski, *Loop Spaces, Characteristic Classes and Geometric Quantization*, Progress in Mathematics, Birkhäuser, 1992
- [EGNO] P. Etingof, S. Gelaki, D. Nikshych, and V. Ostrik, *Tensor Categories*, Lecture Notes, www-math.mit.edu/~etingof/tenscat.pdf
- [Gaw] K. Gawedzki, *Abelian and non-Abelian branes in WZW models and gerbes*, Comm. Math. Phys. **258** (2005), 23-73
- [GBV] J. Gracia-Bondía and J. Várilly, *Connes' noncommutative differential geometry and the standard model*, J. Geom. Phys. **12** (1993), 223-301
- [G] A. Grothendieck, *Le groupe de Brauer: I. algèbres d'Azumaya et interprétations diverses*, Séminaire N. Bourbaki, 290, 199-219
- [H] D. Husemöller et al., *Basic Bundle Theory and K-Cohomology Invariants*, Springer, 2010
- [Kal] J. Kališnik, *Representation of étale Lie groupoids and modules over Hopf algebroids*, Czech. Math. J., **61** (2001), 3, 653-672
- [Kap] A. Kapustin, *D-branes in a topologically nontrivial B-field*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **4** (2000), 127-154
- [Kar] M. Karoubi, *Twisted Bundles and Twisted K-Theory*, Clay Mathematics Proceedings, **16**, 2012
- [Moe] I. Moerdijk, *Orbifolds as groupoids*, [arXiv:math/0203100]
- [Mor] A. Morye, *Note on the Serre-Swan theorem*, Math. Nachr. **286** (2013), no. 2-3, 272278
- [Mr] J. Mrčun, *The Hopf algebroid of functions on étale groupoids and their principal Morita equivalence*, J. Pure. App. Alg., **160** (2001), 249-262
- [Mu] M. Murray, *Bundle gerbes*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. **54** (1996), 403-416
- [NS] T. Nikolaus and C. Schweigert, *Equivariance In Higher Geometry*, Adv. Math. **226** (2011), 4, 3367-3408

- [TXL] J.L. Tu, P. Xu and C. Laurent-Gengoux, *Twisted K-theory of differentiable stacks* Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. **37** (2004) 841-910
- [W] K. Waldorf, *The 2-Category of Bundle Gerbes*, Diploma thesis in mathematics, Universität Hamburg, September 2006

Fachbereich Mathematik, Universität Hamburg

Bereich Algebra und Zahlentheorie

Bundesstraße 55, D-20 146 Hamburg

Mathematisches Institut, WWU Münster

Einsteinstr. 62, D-48149 Münster

Email: `Christoph.Schweigert@uni-hamburg.de`

`c_trop01@math.uni-muenster.de`

`alessandro.valentino@gmail.com`

This article may be accessed at <http://www.tac.mta.ca/tac/>

THEORY AND APPLICATIONS OF CATEGORIES (ISSN 1201-561X) will disseminate articles that significantly advance the study of categorical algebra or methods, or that make significant new contributions to mathematical science using categorical methods. The scope of the journal includes: all areas of pure category theory, including higher dimensional categories; applications of category theory to algebra, geometry and topology and other areas of mathematics; applications of category theory to computer science, physics and other mathematical sciences; contributions to scientific knowledge that make use of categorical methods.

Articles appearing in the journal have been carefully and critically refereed under the responsibility of members of the Editorial Board. Only papers judged to be both significant and excellent are accepted for publication.

Full text of the journal is freely available in .dvi, Postscript and PDF from the journal's server at <http://www.tac.mta.ca/tac/> and by ftp. It is archived electronically and in printed paper format.

SUBSCRIPTION INFORMATION Individual subscribers receive abstracts of articles by e-mail as they are published. To subscribe, send e-mail to tac@mta.ca including a full name and postal address. For institutional subscription, send enquiries to the Managing Editor, Robert Rosebrugh, rrosebrugh@mta.ca.

INFORMATION FOR AUTHORS The typesetting language of the journal is \TeX , and $\text{\LaTeX}2\epsilon$ strongly encouraged. Articles should be submitted by e-mail directly to a Transmitting Editor. Please obtain detailed information on submission format and style files at <http://www.tac.mta.ca/tac/>.

MANAGING EDITOR. Robert Rosebrugh, Mount Allison University: rrosebrugh@mta.ca

\TeX EDITOR. Michael Barr, McGill University: barr@math.mcgill.ca

ASSISTANT \TeX EDITOR. Gavin Seal, Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne: gavin_seal@fastmail.fm

TRANSMITTING EDITORS.

Clemens Berger, Université de Nice-Sophia Antipolis: cberger@math.unice.fr

Richard Blute, Université d' Ottawa: rblute@uottawa.ca

Lawrence Breen, Université de Paris 13: breen@math.univ-paris13.fr

Ronald Brown, University of North Wales: [ronnie.profbrown\(at\)btinternet.com](mailto:ronnie.profbrown(at)btinternet.com)

Valeria de Paiva: valeria.depaiva@gmail.com

Ezra Getzler, Northwestern University: [getzler\(at\)northwestern\(dot\)edu](mailto:getzler(at)northwestern(dot)edu)

Kathryn Hess, Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne: kathryn.hess@epfl.ch

Martin Hyland, University of Cambridge: M.Hyland@dpmms.cam.ac.uk

Anders Kock, University of Aarhus: kock@imf.au.dk

Stephen Lack, Macquarie University: steve.lack@mq.edu.au

F. William Lawvere, State University of New York at Buffalo: wlawvere@buffalo.edu

Tom Leinster, University of Edinburgh: Tom.Leinster@ed.ac.uk

Ieke Moerdijk, Radboud University Nijmegen: i.moerdijk@math.ru.nl

Susan Niefield, Union College: niefiels@union.edu

Robert Paré, Dalhousie University: pare@mathstat.dal.ca

Jiri Rosicky, Masaryk University: rosicky@math.muni.cz

Giuseppe Rosolini, Università di Genova: rosolini@disi.unige.it

Alex Simpson, University of Edinburgh: Alex.Simpson@ed.ac.uk

James Stasheff, University of North Carolina: jds@math.upenn.edu

Ross Street, Macquarie University: street@math.mq.edu.au

Walter Tholen, York University: tholen@mathstat.yorku.ca

Myles Tierney, Rutgers University: tierney@math.rutgers.edu

Robert F. C. Walters, University of Insubria: rfcwalters@gmail.com

R. J. Wood, Dalhousie University: rjwood@mathstat.dal.ca