

**SOME APPLICATIONS OF GENERALIZED
 RUSCHEWEYH DERIVATIVES INVOLVING A
 GENERAL FRACTIONAL DERIVATIVE
 OPERATOR TO A CLASS OF ANALYTIC
 FUNCTIONS WITH NEGATIVE COEFFICIENTS I**

Waggas Galib Atshan and S. R. Kulkarni

Abstract. For certain univalent function f , we study a class of functions f as defined by making use of the generalized Ruscheweyh derivatives involving a general fractional derivative operator, satisfying

$$Re \left\{ \frac{z(\mathcal{J}_1^{\lambda,\mu} f(z))'}{(1-\gamma)\mathcal{J}_1^{\lambda,\mu} f(z) + \gamma z^2(\mathcal{J}_1^{\lambda,\mu} f(z))''} \right\} > \beta.$$

A necessary and sufficient condition for a function to be in the class $A_{\gamma}^{\lambda,\mu,\nu}(n, \beta)$ is obtained. In addition, our paper includes distortion theorem, radii of starlikeness, convexity and close-to-convexity, extreme points. Also, we get some results in this paper.

1 Introduction

Let Ω denote the class of functions which are analytic in the unit disk $U = \{z \in \mathbf{C} : |z| < 1\}$ and let $A(n)$ denote the subclass of Ω consisting of functions of the form:

$$f(z) = z - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k z^k, \quad (a_k \geq 0, n \in \mathbf{N}), \tag{1.1}$$

where $f(z)$ is analytic and univalent in the unit disk U . Then the function $f(z) \in A(n)$ is said to be in the class $S(n, \alpha)$, if and only if

$$Re \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} > \alpha, \quad (z \in U, 0 \leq \alpha < 1). \tag{1.2}$$

A function $f(z) \in S(n, \alpha)$ is called starlike function of order α . A function $f(z) \in A(n)$ is said to be in the class $C(n, \alpha)$ if and only if

$$Re \left\{ 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right\} > \alpha, \quad (z \in U, 0 \leq \alpha < 1). \tag{1.3}$$

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C45.

Keywords: Distortion theorem; Radii of starlikeness; Extreme points.

A function $f(z) \in C(n, \alpha)$ is called convex function of order α . It is observed that

$$f(z) \in C(n, \alpha) \text{ if and only if } zf'(z) \in S(n, \alpha) \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \quad [2]. \quad (1.4)$$

A function $f(z) \in A(n)$ is said to be in the class $K(n, \alpha)$ if there is a convex function $g(z)$ such that

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{f'(z)}{g'(z)} \right\} > \alpha, \quad (\forall z \in U, 0 \leq \alpha < 1). \quad (1.5)$$

A function $f(z) \in K(n, \alpha)$ is called close-to-convex of order α .

We shall need the fractional derivative operator ([10], [11]) in this paper.

Let $a, b, c \in \mathbb{C}$ with $C \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots$. The Gaussian hypergeometric function ${}_2F_1$ is defined by

$${}_2F_1(z) \equiv {}_2F_1(a, b; c; z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n (b)_n}{(c)_n} \frac{z^n}{n!}, \quad (1.6)$$

where $(\lambda)_n$ is the pochhammer symbol defined, in terms of the Gamma function, by

$$(\lambda)_n = \frac{\Gamma(\lambda + n)}{\Gamma(\lambda)} = \begin{cases} 1 & (n = 0) \\ \lambda(\lambda + 1) \cdots (\lambda + n - 1) & (n \in \mathbb{N}) \end{cases}$$

Definition 1. Let $0 \leq \lambda < 1$ and $\mu, \nu \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, in terms of familiar (Gauss's) hypergeometric function ${}_2F_1$, the generalized fractional derivative operator $J_{0,z}^{\lambda, \mu, \nu}$ of a function $f(z)$ is defined by:

$$J_{0,z}^{\lambda, \mu, \nu} f(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\lambda)} \frac{d}{dz} \left\{ z^{\lambda-\mu} \int_0^z (z-\mathcal{E})^{-\lambda} f(\mathcal{E}) \cdot {}_2F_1(\mu-\lambda, 1-\nu; 1-\lambda; 1-\frac{\mathcal{E}}{z}) d\mathcal{E} \right\} & (0 \leq \lambda < 1) \\ \frac{d^n}{dz^n} J_{0,z}^{\lambda-n, \mu, \nu} f(z), & (n \leq \lambda < n+1, n \in \mathbb{N}) \end{cases} \quad (1.7)$$

where the function $f(z)$ is analytic in a simply-connected region of the z -plane containing the origin, with the order

$$f(z) = O(|z|^\epsilon), \quad (z \rightarrow 0), \quad (1.8)$$

for $\epsilon > \max\{0, \mu - \nu\} - 1$, and the multiplicity of $(z - \mathcal{E})^{-\lambda}$ is removed by requiring $\log(z - \mathcal{E})$ to be real, when $z - \mathcal{E} > 0$.

The fractional derivative of order λ of a function $f(z)$ is defined by

$$D_z^\lambda \{f(z)\} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\lambda)} \frac{d}{dz} \int_0^z \frac{f(\mathcal{E})}{(z-\mathcal{E})^\lambda} d\mathcal{E}, \quad 0 \leq \lambda < 1, \quad (1.9)$$

where $f(z)$ it is chosen as in (1.7), and the multiplicity of $(z - \mathcal{E})^{-\lambda}$ is removed by requiring $\log(z - \mathcal{E})$ to be real, when $z - \mathcal{E} > 0$.

By comparing (e1.7) with (1.9), we find

$$J_{0,z}^{\lambda,\lambda,\nu} f(z) = D_z^\lambda \{f(z)\}, \quad (0 \leq \lambda < 1). \quad (1.10)$$

In terms of gamma function, we have

$$J_{0,z}^{\lambda,\mu,\nu} z^k = \frac{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(1-\mu+\nu+k)}{\Gamma(1-\mu+k)\Gamma(1-\lambda+\nu+k)} z^{k-\mu}, \quad (1.11)$$

$$(0 \leq \lambda < 1, \mu, \nu \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } k > \max\{0, \mu - \nu\} - 1).$$

Definition 2. Let $f(z) \in A(n)$ be given by (1.1). Then the class $A_\gamma^{\lambda,\mu,\nu}(n, \beta)$ is defined by

$$A_\gamma^{\lambda,\mu,\nu}(n, \beta) = \left\{ f \in A(n) : \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z(\mathcal{J}_1^{\lambda,\mu} f(z))'}{(1-\gamma)\mathcal{J}_1^{\lambda,\mu} f(z) + \gamma z^2(\mathcal{J}_1^{\lambda,\mu} f(z))''} \right\} > \beta, \right. \\ \left. (z \in U, 0 \leq \gamma < 1, n \in \mathbb{N}; 0 \leq \beta < 1; \lambda > -1) \right\}, \quad (1.12)$$

where $\mathcal{J}_1^{\lambda,\mu} f$ is a generalized Ruscheweyh derivative defined by Goyal and Goyal [3, p. 442] as

$$\mathcal{J}_1^{\lambda,\mu} f(z) = \frac{\Gamma(\mu - \lambda + \nu + 2)}{\Gamma(\nu + 2)\Gamma(\mu + 1)} z J_{0,z}^{\lambda,\mu,\nu} (z^{\mu-1} f(z)) \quad (1.13)$$

$$= z - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k C_1^{\lambda,\mu}(k) z^k, \quad (1.14)$$

where

$$C_1^{\lambda,\mu}(k) = \frac{\Gamma(k+\mu)\Gamma(\nu+2+\mu-\lambda)\Gamma(k+\nu+1)}{\Gamma(k)\Gamma(k+\nu+1+\mu-\lambda)\Gamma(\nu+2)\Gamma(1+\mu)}. \quad (1.15)$$

For $\mu = \lambda = \alpha, \nu = 1$, the generalized Ruscheweyh derivatives reduces to ordinary Ruscheweyh derivatives of $f(z)$ of order α [7]:

$$D^\alpha f(z) = \frac{z}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} D^\alpha (z^{\alpha-1} f(z)) = z - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k C_k(\alpha) z^k, \quad (1.16)$$

where

$$C_k(\alpha) = \frac{(\alpha+1)(\alpha+2)\cdots(\alpha+k-1)}{(k-1)!}. \quad (1.17)$$

The class $A_\gamma^{\lambda,\mu,\nu}(n, \beta)$ contains many well-known classes of analytic functions, for example:

- (i) If $\mu = \lambda = \alpha, \nu = 1, n = 1$, we get the class $A_\gamma^{\lambda,\lambda,1}(1, \beta)$ was studied by Tehranchi and Kulkarni [12].

(ii) If $\mu = \lambda = 0, \nu = 1, \alpha = \beta, \gamma = 0$, we get the class of starlike function of order $\alpha, (S(n, \alpha))$.

The same properties have been found for other classes in [4], [8] and [9].

Lemma 3. Let $w = u + iv$, then

$$\operatorname{Re} w \geq \beta \text{ if and only if } |w - (1 + \beta)| \leq |w + (1 - \beta)|.$$

Definition 4. Let f, h be analytic in U . Then h is said to be subordinate to f , written $h \prec f$, if there exist function w that is analytic in U with $|w(z)| < 1$ in U and $h(z) = f(w(z))$ in U for some analytic function w with $w(0) = 0$ and $|w(z)| \leq |z|$ in U . If w is not merely a rotation of the disk (that is, if $|w(z)| < |z|$), then h is said to be properly subordinate to f .

2 Main Results

The following theorem gives a necessary and sufficient condition for function to be in $A_{\gamma}^{\lambda, \mu, \nu}(n, \beta)$.

Theorem 5. Let $f(z) \in A(n)$, then $f(z) \in A_{\gamma}^{\lambda, \mu, \nu}(n, \beta)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} (\gamma\beta(1+k-k^2) + k - \beta) C_1^{\lambda, \mu}(k) a_k < 1 - \beta(1 - \gamma), \quad (2.1)$$

where $0 \leq \gamma < 1, 0 \leq \beta < 1, \lambda > -1, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $C_1^{\lambda, \mu}(k)$ is given by (1.15).

Proof. Assume that $f \in A_{\gamma}^{\lambda, \mu, \nu}(n, \beta)$ so we have

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z(\mathcal{J}_1^{\lambda, \mu} f(z))'}{(1 - \gamma)\mathcal{J}_1^{\lambda, \mu} f(z) + \gamma z^2(\mathcal{J}_1^{\lambda, \mu} f(z))''} \right\} > \beta$$

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k C_1^{\lambda, \mu}(k) a_k z^k}{(1 - \gamma) \left(z - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} C_1^{\lambda, \mu}(k) a_k z^k \right) + \gamma \left(- \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k(k-1) C_1^{\lambda, \mu}(k) a_k z^k \right)} \right\} > \beta.$$

Hence

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{1 - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k C_1^{\lambda, \mu}(k) a_k z^{k-1}}{(1 - \gamma) - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} (1 - \gamma + \gamma k(k-1)) C_1^{\lambda, \mu}(k) a_k z^{k-1}} \right\} > \beta,$$

or equivalently

$$Re \left\{ \frac{1 - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k C_1^{\lambda, \mu}(k) a_k z^{k-1} - \beta(1-\gamma) + \beta \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} (1-\gamma + \gamma k(k-1)) C_1^{\lambda, \mu}(k) a_k z^{k-1}}{(1-\gamma) - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} (1-\gamma + \gamma k(k-1)) C_1^{\lambda, \mu}(k) a_k z^{k-1}} \right\} > 0.$$

This inequality is correct for all $z \in U$. Letting $z \rightarrow 1^-$ yields

$$Re \left\{ \frac{1 - \beta(1-\gamma) - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} (k - \beta(1-\gamma + \gamma k(k-1))) C_1^{\lambda, \mu}(k) a_k}{(1-\gamma) - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} (1-\gamma + \gamma k(k-1)) C_1^{\lambda, \mu}(k) a_k} \right\} > 0$$

and so by the mean value theorem, we have

$$Re \left\{ 1 - \beta(1-\gamma) - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} (k - \beta(1-\gamma + \gamma k^2 - \gamma k)) C_1^{\lambda, \mu}(k) a_k \right\} > 0,$$

so we have

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} (\gamma\beta(1+k-k^2) + k - \beta) C_1^{\lambda, \mu}(k) a_k < 1 - \beta(1-\gamma).$$

Conversely, let (2.1) hold. We will prove that (1.12) is correct and then $f \in A_{\gamma}^{\lambda, \mu, \nu}(n, \beta)$.

By Lemma 3 it is enough to prove that $|w - (1 + \beta)| < |w + (1 - \beta)|$, where

$$w = \frac{z(\mathcal{J}_1^{\lambda, \mu} f(z))'}{(1-\gamma)\mathcal{J}_1^{\lambda, \mu} f(z) + \gamma z^2(\mathcal{J}_1^{\lambda, \mu} f(z))''}$$

or show that

$$\begin{aligned} T &= \frac{1}{|N(z)|} |z(\mathcal{J}_1^{\lambda, \mu} f(z))' - (1 + \beta)(1 - \gamma)\mathcal{J}_1^{\lambda, \mu} f(z) - (1 + \beta)\gamma z^2(\mathcal{J}_1^{\lambda, \mu} f(z))''| \\ &< \frac{1}{|N(z)|} |z(\mathcal{J}_1^{\lambda, \mu} f(z))' + (1 - \beta)(1 - \gamma)\mathcal{J}_1^{\lambda, \mu} f(z) + (1 - \beta)\gamma z^2(\mathcal{J}_1^{\lambda, \mu} f(z))''| \\ &= Q, \end{aligned}$$

where $N(z) = (1-\gamma)\mathcal{J}_1^{\lambda, \mu} f(z) + \gamma z^2(\mathcal{J}_1^{\lambda, \mu} f(z))''$ and it is easy to verify that $Q - T > 0$ and so the proof is complete. \square

Corollary 6. Let $f \in A_{\gamma}^{\lambda, \mu, \nu}(n, \beta)$, then

$$a_k < \frac{1 - \beta(1 - \gamma)}{C_1^{\lambda, \mu}(k) |\gamma\beta(1 + k - k^2) + k - \beta|}, \quad k = n + 1, n + 2, \dots$$

Theorem 7. *The class $A_{\gamma}^{\lambda, \mu, \nu}(n, \beta)$ is convex set.*

Proof. Let $f(z), g(z)$ be the arbitrary elements of $A_{\gamma}^{\lambda, \mu, \nu}(n, \beta)$, then for every t ($0 < t < 1$), we show that $(1-t)f(z) + tg(z) \in A_{\gamma}^{\lambda, \mu, \nu}(n, \beta)$ thus, we have

$$(1-t)f(z) + tg(z) = z - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} [(1-t)a_k + tb_k]z^k$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\gamma\beta(1+k-k^2) + k - \beta}{1 - \beta(1-\gamma)} \right] [(1-t)a_k + tb_k] C_1^{\lambda, \mu}(k) \\ &= (1-t) \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma\beta(1+k-k^2) + k - \beta}{1 - \beta(1-\gamma)} a_k C_1^{\lambda, \mu}(k) \\ &+ t \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma\beta(1+k-k^2) + k - \beta}{1 - \beta(1-\gamma)} b_k C_1^{\lambda, \mu}(k) < 1. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

Corollary 8. *Assume that $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ belong to $A_{\gamma}^{\lambda, \mu, \nu}(n, \beta)$, then the function $y(z)$ defined by $y(z) = \frac{1}{2}(f(z) + g(z))$ also belong to $A_{\gamma}^{\lambda, \mu, \nu}(n, \beta)$.*

3 Distortion Theorem

In the next theorem, we will find distortion bound for $\mathcal{J}_1^{\lambda, \mu} f(z)$.

Theorem 9. *Let $f(z) \in A_{\gamma}^{\lambda, \mu, \nu}(n, \beta)$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} & |z| - \frac{1 - \beta(1-\gamma)}{\gamma\beta(2+n - (1+n)^2) + (n+1) - \beta} |z|^{n+1} \leq |\mathcal{J}_1^{\lambda, \mu} f(z)| \\ & \leq |z| + \frac{1 - \beta(1-\gamma)}{\gamma\beta(2+n - (1+n)^2) + (n+1) - \beta} |z|^{n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $f(z) \in A_{\gamma}^{\lambda, \mu, \nu}(n, \beta)$. By Theorem 5, we have

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} C_1^{\lambda, \mu}(k) a_k < \frac{1 - \beta(1-\gamma)}{\gamma\beta(2+n - (1+n)^2) + (n+1) - \beta}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}.$$

Therefore

$$|\mathcal{J}_1^{\lambda, \mu} f(z)| \leq |z| + |z|^{n+1} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} C_1^{\lambda, \mu}(k) a_k < |z| + \frac{1 - \beta(1-\gamma)}{\gamma\beta(2+n - (1+n)^2) + (n+1) - \beta} |z|^{n+1}$$

and

$$|\mathcal{J}_1^{\lambda,\mu} f(z)| \geq |z|^{-1} |z|^{n+1} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} C_1^{\lambda,\mu}(k) a_k > |z|^{-1} \frac{1 - \beta(1 - \gamma)}{\gamma\beta(2 + n - (n + 1)^2) + (n + 1) - \beta} |z|^{n+1}.$$

This completes the proof. \square

4 Radii of Starlikeness, Convexity and Close-to-convexity

In the next theorems, we will find the radii of starlikeness, convexity and close-to-convexity for the class $A_{\gamma}^{\lambda,\mu,\nu}(n, \beta)$.

Theorem 10. *Let $f(z) \in A_{\gamma}^{\lambda,\mu,\nu}(n, \beta)$. Then $f(z)$ is a starlike of order α ($0 \leq \alpha < 1$) in $|z| < r = r_1(\lambda, \mu, \nu, \beta, \gamma, \alpha)$, where*

$$r_1(\lambda, \mu, \nu, \beta, \gamma, \alpha) = \inf_k \left\{ \frac{(1 - \alpha)[\gamma\beta(1 + k - k^2) + k - \beta]C_1^{\lambda,\mu}(k)}{(k - \alpha)(1 - \beta(1 - \gamma))} \right\}^{\frac{1}{k-1}} \quad (4.1)$$

and $C_1^{\lambda,\mu}(k)$ is given by (1.15).

Proof. Let $f(z) \in A_{\gamma}^{\lambda,\mu,\nu}(n, \beta)$. Then by Theorem 5

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{(\gamma\beta(1 + k - k^2) + k - \beta)C_1^{\lambda,\mu}(k)}{1 - \beta(1 - \gamma)} a_k < 1.$$

For $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, we need to show that $\left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right| < 1 - \alpha$, we have to show that

$$\left| \frac{zf'(z) - f(z)}{f(z)} \right| = \left| \frac{-\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} (k-1)a_k z^{k-1}}{1 - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k z^{k-1}} \right| \leq \frac{\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} (k-1)a_k |z|^{k-1}}{1 - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k |z|^{k-1}} < 1 - \alpha.$$

Hence $\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{k-\alpha}{1-\alpha} \right) a_k |z|^{k-1} \leq 1$. This is enough to consider

$$|z|^{k-1} \leq \frac{(1 - \alpha)[\gamma\beta(1 + k - k^2) + k - \beta]C_1^{\lambda,\mu}(k)}{(k - \alpha)(1 - \beta(1 - \gamma))},$$

therefore,

$$|z| \leq \left\{ \frac{(1 - \alpha)[\gamma\beta(1 + k - k^2) + k - \beta]C_1^{\lambda,\mu}(k)}{(k - \alpha)(1 - \beta(1 - \gamma))} \right\}^{\frac{1}{k-1}}. \quad (4.2)$$

Setting $|z| = r_1(\lambda, \mu, \nu, \beta, \gamma, \alpha)$ in (4.2), we get the radii of starlikeness, which completes the proof of the Theorem 10. \square

By using (1.4) (Alexander's theorem [2]: f is convex if and only if zf' is starlike), we obtain:

Theorem 11. Let $f(z) \in A_{\gamma}^{\lambda, \mu, \nu}(n, \beta)$. Then $f(z)$ is convex of order α ($0 \leq \alpha < 1$) in $|z| < r = r_2(\lambda, \mu, \nu, \beta, \gamma, \alpha)$, where

$$r_2(\lambda, \mu, \nu, \beta, \gamma, \alpha) = \inf_k \left\{ \frac{(1-\alpha)[\beta\gamma(1+k-k^2) + k - \beta]}{k(k-\alpha)(1-\beta(1-\gamma))} C_1^{\lambda, \mu}(k) \right\}^{\frac{1}{k-1}} \quad (4.3)$$

and $C_1^{\lambda, \mu}(k)$ is given by (1.15).

Proof. Let $f(z) \in A_{\gamma}^{\lambda, \mu, \nu}(n, \beta)$. Then by Theorem 5

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{(\gamma\beta(1+k-k^2) + k - \beta) C_1^{\lambda, \mu}(k)}{1 - \beta(1-\gamma)} a_k < 1.$$

For $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, we show that $\left| \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right| < 1 - \alpha$, that is

$$\left| \frac{-\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k(k-1)a_k z^{k-1}}{1 - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k a_k z^{k-1}} \right| \leq \frac{\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k(k-1)a_k |z|^{k-1}}{1 - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k a_k |z|^{k-1}} < 1 - \alpha,$$

or equivalently $\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k \left(\frac{k-\alpha}{1-\alpha} \right) a_k |z|^{k-1} \leq 1$. It is enough letting

$$|z|^{k-1} \leq \frac{(1-\alpha)[\gamma\beta(1+k-k^2) + k - \beta]}{k(k-\alpha)(1-\beta(1-\gamma))} C_1^{\lambda, \mu}(k).$$

Therefore,

$$|z| \leq \left\{ \frac{(1-\alpha)[\gamma\beta(1+k-k^2) + k - \beta]}{k(k-\alpha)(1-\beta(1-\gamma))} C_1^{\lambda, \mu}(k) \right\}^{\frac{1}{k-1}}. \quad (4.4)$$

Setting $|z| = r_2(\lambda, \mu, \nu, \beta, \gamma, \alpha)$ in (4.4), we get the radii of convexity, which completes the proof of Theorem 11. \square

Theorem 12. If $f(z) = z - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k z^k \in A_{\gamma}^{\lambda, \mu, \nu}(n, \beta)$, then $f(z)$ is close-to-convex of order δ , $0 \leq \delta < 1$ in $|z| < r = r_3(\lambda, \mu, \nu, \beta, \gamma, \delta)$, where

$$r_3(\lambda, \mu, \nu, \beta, \gamma, \delta) = \inf_k \left\{ \frac{(1-\delta)[\gamma\beta(1+k-k^2) + k - \beta]}{k(1-\beta(1-\gamma))} C_1^{\lambda, \mu}(k) \right\}^{\frac{1}{k-1}} \quad (4.5)$$

and $C_1^{\lambda, \mu}(k)$ is given by (1.15).

Proof. Let $f(z) \in A_{\gamma}^{\lambda, \mu, \nu}(n, \beta)$. Then by Theorem 5

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{(\gamma\beta(1+k-k^2) + k - \beta)C_1^{\lambda, \mu}(k)}{1 - \beta(1 - \gamma)} a_k < 1,$$

for $0 \leq \delta < 1$, we need to show that $|f'(z) - 1| \leq 1 - \delta$ for $|z| < r = r_3(\lambda, \mu, \nu, \beta, \gamma, \delta)$, when $r_3(\lambda, \mu, \nu, \beta, \gamma, \delta)$ is given by (4.5). Now

$$|f'(z) - 1| = \left| \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k a_k z^{k-1} \right| \leq \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k a_k |z|^{k-1}.$$

Thus $|f'(z) - 1| < 1 - \delta$ if $\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{k a_k}{(1-\delta)} |z|^{k-1} \leq 1$ but, by Theorem 5 above inequality holds true if

$$|z|^{k-1} \leq \frac{(1-\delta)[\beta\gamma(1+k-k^2) + k - \beta]}{k(1-\beta(1-\gamma))} C_1^{\lambda, \mu}(k).$$

This completes the proof. \square

5 Extreme Points

In the next theorem, we will find extreme points for the class $A_{\gamma}^{\lambda, \mu, \nu}(n, \beta)$.

Theorem 13. Let $f_n(z) = z$ and

$$f_k(z) = z - \frac{1 - \beta(1 - \gamma)}{[\gamma\beta(1 + k - k^2) + k - \beta]C_1^{\lambda, \mu}(k)} z^k, \quad (k = n+1, n+2, \dots, n \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}),$$

where $C_1^{\lambda, \mu}(k)$ is given by (1.15). Then $f \in A_{\gamma}^{\lambda, \mu, \nu}(n, \beta)$ if and only if it can be expressed in the form $f(z) = \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \sigma_k f_k(z)$, where $\sigma_k \geq 0$ and $\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \sigma_k = 1$. In particular, the extreme points of $A_{\gamma}^{\lambda, \mu, \nu}(n, \beta)$ are the functions $f_n(z) = z$ and

$$f_k(z) = z - \frac{(1 - \beta(1 - \gamma))}{[\gamma\beta(1 + k - k^2) + k - \beta]C_1^{\lambda, \mu}(k)} z^k, \quad k = n + 1, n + 2, \dots.$$

Proof. Firstly, let us express f as in the above theorem, therefore we can write

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \sigma_k f_k(z) = \sigma_n z + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \sigma_k \left[z - \frac{1 - \beta(1 - \gamma)}{[\gamma\beta(1 + k - k^2) + k - \beta]C_1^{\lambda, \mu}(k)} z^k \right] \\ &= z \left(\sigma_n + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \sigma_k \right) - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{1 - \beta(1 - \gamma)}{[\gamma\beta(1 + k - k^2) + k - \beta]C_1^{\lambda, \mu}(k)} \sigma_k z^k \\ &= z - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} g_k z^k, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$g_k = \frac{1 - \beta(1 - \gamma)}{[\gamma\beta(1 + k - k^2) + k - \beta]C_1^{\lambda,\mu}(k)} \sigma_k.$$

Therefore, $f \in A_\gamma^{\lambda,\mu,\nu}(n, \beta)$, since

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{g_k[\gamma\beta(1 + k - k^2) + k - \beta]C_1^{\lambda,\mu}(k)}{1 - \beta(1 - \gamma)} = \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \sigma_k = 1 - \sigma_n < 1.$$

Conversely, assume that $f \in A_\gamma^{\lambda,\mu,\nu}(n, \beta)$, then by (2.1) we may set

$$\sigma_k = \frac{[\gamma\beta(1 + k - k^2) + k - \beta]C_1^{\lambda,\mu}(k)}{1 - \beta(1 - \gamma)} a_k, k \geq n + 1 \text{ and } 1 - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \sigma_k = \sigma_n.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= z - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k z^k = z - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - \beta(1 - \gamma))\sigma_k}{[\gamma\beta(1 + k - k^2) + k - \beta]C_1^{\lambda,\mu}(k)} z^k \\ &= z - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \sigma_k (z - f_k(z)) = z \left(1 - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \sigma_k \right) + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \sigma_k f_k(z) \\ &= \sigma_n z + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \sigma_k f_k(z) = \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \sigma_k f_k(z). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

6 Subordination

Theorem 14. For $n = 1$, let $f(z) \in A_\gamma^{\lambda,\mu,\nu}(1, \beta)$ and $h(z)$ be an arbitrary element of $A(1)$ such that $h \prec f$, defined in Definition 4, and if

$$h_k = \frac{1}{k!} \left[\frac{d^k(f(w(z)))}{dz^k} \right]_{z=0} \quad (6.1)$$

also if

$$\frac{\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [\beta(1 - \gamma) + \beta\gamma k(k - 1) - k] |h_k|}{|h_1|} < (1 - \beta(1 - \gamma)). \quad (6.2)$$

Then $h \in A_\gamma^{\lambda,\mu,\nu}(1, \beta)$.

Proof. Since $h \prec f$ by definition of subordination there is analytic function $w(z)$ such that $|w(z)| \leq |z|$ and $h(z) = f(w(z))$. But $h(z)$ is the composition of two analytic functions in the unit disk, therefore we can expand this function in terms of Taylor series at origin as below

$$h(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} h_k z^k,$$

where h_k is defined in (6.1). Hence

$$h_0 = \frac{f(w(0))}{0!} = 0, \quad h_1 = \frac{w'(0)f'(0)}{1!} = w'(0).$$

Therefore, we can write

$$h(z) = h_1 z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} h_k z^k$$

and

$$\mathcal{J}_1^{\lambda, \mu} h(z) = h_1 z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} C_1^{\lambda, \mu}(k) h_k z^k,$$

we must prove $h(z) \in A_{\gamma}^{\lambda, \mu, \nu}(1, \beta)$ in other words we show that

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z(\mathcal{J}_1^{\lambda, \mu} h(z))' - \beta(1 - \gamma)\mathcal{J}_1^{\lambda, \mu} h(z) - \beta\gamma z^2(\mathcal{J}_1^{\lambda, \mu} h(z))''}{(1 - \gamma)\mathcal{J}_1^{\lambda, \mu} h(z) + \gamma z^2(\mathcal{J}_1^{\lambda, \mu} h(z))''} \right\} > 0$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Re} \left\{ h_1 z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k h_k C_1^{\lambda, \mu}(k) z^k - \beta(1 - \gamma)h_1 z - \beta(1 - \gamma) \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} h_k C_1^{\lambda, \mu}(k) z^k \right. \\ & \left. - \beta\gamma \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k(k-1) h_k C_1^{\lambda, \mu}(k) z^k \right\} / \left[(1 - \gamma)h_1 z + (1 - \gamma) \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} C_1^{\lambda, \mu}(k) h_k z^k \right. \\ & \left. + \gamma \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k(k-1) h_k C_1^{\lambda, \mu}(k) z^k \right] > 0, \end{aligned}$$

or we prove

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{h_1(1 - \beta(1 - \gamma)) - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} h_k C_1^{\lambda, \mu}(k) z^{k-1} (\beta(1 - \gamma) + \beta\gamma k(k-1) - k)}{(1 - \gamma)h_1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} h_k C_1^{\lambda, \mu}(k) ((1 - \gamma) + \gamma k(k-1)) z^{k-1}} \right\} > 0.$$

Letting $z \rightarrow 1^-$, and using the mean value theorem, we have to prove

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ h_1(1 - \beta(1 - \gamma)) - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (\beta(1 - \gamma) + \beta\gamma k(k-1) - k) h_k C_1^{\lambda, \mu}(k) \right\} > 0$$

by (6.2) the last inequality is true and the result can be obtained. \square

References

- [1] E. S. Aqlan, *Some problems connected with geometric function theory*, Ph.D. Thesis (2004), Pune University, Pune.
- [2] P. L. Duren, *Univalent Functions*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften **259**, Springer-Verlag, New York, Berlin, Heidelberg, Tokyo, 1983. [MR708494](#) (85j:30034). [Zbl 0514.30001](#).
- [3] S. P. Goyal and Ritu Goyal, *On a class of multivalent functions defined by generalized Ruscheweyh derivatives involving a general fractional derivative operator*, Journal of Indian Acad. Math. **27(2)** (2005), 439-456. [MR2259538](#) (2007d:30005). [Zbl 1128.30008](#).
- [4] S. Kanas and A. Wisniowska, *Conic regions and k -uniformly convexity II*, Folia Sci. Tech. Reso. **170** (1998), 65-78. [MR1693661](#) (2000e:30017). [Zbl 0995.30013](#).
- [5] R. K. Raina and T. S. Nahar, *Characterization properties for starlikeness and convexity of some subclasses of analytic functions involving a class of fractional derivative operators*, Acta Math. Univ. Comen., New Ser. **69**, No.1, 1-8 (2000). ISSN 0862-9544. [MR1796782](#) (2001h:30014). [Zbl 0952.30011](#).
- [6] V. Ravichandran, N. Sreenivasagan, and H. M. Srivastava, *Some inequalities associated with a linear operator defined for a class of multivalent functions*, JIPAM, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. 4, No. 4, Paper No. 70, 12 p., electronic only (2003). ISSN 1443-5756. [MR2051571](#) (2004m:30022). [Zbl 1054.30013](#).
- [7] T. Rosy, K. G. Subramanian and G. Murugusundaramoorthy, *Neighbourhoods and partial sums of starlike functions based on Ruscheweyh derivatives*, JIPAM, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. 4, No. 4, Paper No. 64, 8 p., electronic only (2003). ISSN 1443-5756. [MR2051565](#). [Zbl 1054.30014](#).
- [8] S. Shams and S. R. Kulkarni, *Certain properties of the class of univalent functions defined by Ruscheweyh derivative*, Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc. **97** (2005), 223-234. [MR2191072](#). [Zbl 1093.30012](#).
- [9] H. Silverman, *Univalent functions with negative coefficients*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **51** (1975), 109-116. [MR0369678](#) (51 #5910). [Zbl 0311.30007](#).
- [10] H. M. Srivastava, *Distortion inequalities for analytic and univalent functions associated with certain fractional calculus and other linear operators* (In Analytic and Geometric Inequalities and Applications eds. T. M. Rassias and H. M. Srivastava), Kluwar Academic Publishers, **478** (1999), 349-374. [MR1785879](#) (2001h:30016). [Zbl 0991.30007](#).

Surveys in Mathematics and its Applications **5** (2010), 35 – 47

<http://www.utgjiu.ro/math/sma>

- [11] H. M. Srivastava and R. K. Saxena, *Operators of fractional integration and their applications*, Applied Mathematics and Computation, **118** (2001), 1-52. [MR1805158](#) (2001m:26016). [Zbl 1022.26012](#).
- [12] A. Tehranchi and S. R. Kulkarni, *Study of the class of univalent functions with negative coefficients defined by Ruscheweyh derivatives (II)*, J. Rajasthan Acad. Phy. Sci., **5**(1) (2006), 105-118. [MR2214020](#). [Zbl 1138.30015](#).

Waggas Galib Atshan
Department of Mathematics,
College of Computer Science and Mathematics,
University of AL-Qadisiya, Diwaniya, Iraq.
e-mail: waggashnd@yahoo.com

S. R. Kulkarni
Department of Mathematics,
Fergusson College, Pune - 411004,
India.
e-mail: kulkarni_ferg@yahoo.com
