



# On existence and asymptotic behavior of solutions of elliptic equations with nearly critical exponent and singular coefficients

Shiyu Li<sup>1</sup>, Gongming Wei <sup>1</sup> and Xueliang Duan<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>College of Science, University of Shanghai for Science and Technology, Shanghai 200093, China  
<sup>2</sup>School of Mathematical Sciences, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, China

Received 5 August 2020, appeared 8 September 2021

Communicated by Dimitri Mugnai

**Abstract.** In this paper we study the existence and asymptotic behavior of solutions of

$$-\Delta u = \mu \frac{u}{|x|^2} + |x|^\alpha u^{p(\alpha)-1-\varepsilon}, \quad u > 0 \text{ in } B_R(0)$$

with Dirichlet boundary condition. Here,  $-2 < \alpha < 0$ ,  $p(\alpha) = \frac{2(N+\alpha)}{N-2}$ ,  $0 < \varepsilon < p(\alpha) - 1$  and  $p(\alpha) - 1 - \varepsilon$  is a nearly critical exponent. We combine variational arguments with the moving plane method to prove the existence of a positive radial solution. Moreover, the asymptotic behaviour of the solutions, as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , is studied by using ODE techniques.

**Keywords:** asymptotic behavior, critical Sobolev exponent, Hardy exponents, singular coefficient.

**2020 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 35A15, 35A24, 35B40.

## 1 Introduction


In this paper, we consider the following elliptic problem:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = \mu \frac{u}{|x|^2} + |x|^\alpha u^{p(\alpha)-1-\varepsilon}, & x \in \Omega, \\ u > 0, & x \in \Omega, \\ u = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where  $\Omega$  is a ball  $B_R(0)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  ( $N \geq 3$ ),  $-2 < \alpha < 0$ ,  $p(\alpha) = \frac{2(N+\alpha)}{N-2}$ ,  $0 < \varepsilon < p(\alpha) - 1$ ,  $0 \leq \mu < \bar{\mu} = \left(\frac{N-2}{2}\right)^2$ .

The equation in problem (1.1) is the Euler–Lagrange equation of the energy functional  $E : H_0^1(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$E(u) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 - \mu \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} \right) - \frac{1}{p(\alpha) - \varepsilon} \int_{\Omega} |x|^\alpha u^{p(\alpha)-\varepsilon}, \quad \forall u \in H_0^1(\Omega).$$

 Corresponding author. Email: gmweixy@163.com

It is known that critical points of functional  $E(u)$  correspond to solutions of (1.1).

We denote

$$\|u\| \triangleq \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 - \mu \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \forall u \in H_0^1(\Omega).$$

Let us recall the Sobolev–Hardy inequality (see Lemma 2.1 in this paper), which using the fact  $0 \leq \mu < \bar{\mu}$  implies that  $\|u\|$  is equivalent to the norm of  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ .

In the case  $\mu = 0$  and  $\alpha = 0$ , a prototype of problem (1.1) is

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = u^{2^*-1-\varepsilon}, & x \in \Omega, \\ u > 0, & x \in \Omega, \\ u = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

When  $\varepsilon = 0$ , it is well known that the solution of problem (1.2) is bounded in the neighborhood of the origin. Gidas, Ni and Nirenberg [17] proved that all the solutions with reasonable behavior at infinity, namely

$$u = O(|x|^{2-N}), \quad (1.3)$$

are radially symmetric about some point. So, the form of the solutions may be assumed as

$$u(x) = \frac{[N(N-2)\lambda^2]^{\frac{N-2}{4}}}{(\lambda^2 + |x - x_0|^2)^{\frac{N-1}{2}}}$$

for some  $\lambda > 0$  and  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$ .

Later in [7, Corollary 8.2] and [9, Theorem 2.1], the growth assumption (1.3) was removed, which implies that, for positive  $C^2$  solutions of problem (1.2), we have the same result.

When  $\varepsilon > 0$ , Atkinson and Peletier [2] used ODE arguments to obtain exact asymptotic estimates of the radially symmetric solution of problem (1.2) as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . The following are their principal results

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon u^2(0, \varepsilon) = \frac{4}{N-2} \{N(N-2)\}^{\frac{N-2}{2}} \frac{\Gamma(N)}{[\Gamma(\frac{N}{2})]^2} \frac{1}{R^{N-2}}$$

and for  $x \neq 0$

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} u(x, \varepsilon) = \frac{1}{2} N^{\frac{N-2}{4}} (N-2)^{\frac{N}{4}} R^{\frac{R-2}{2}} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{N}{2})}{[\Gamma(N)]^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left( \frac{1}{|x|^{N-2}} - \frac{1}{R^{N-2}} \right).$$

In the case  $\mu = 0$  and  $\alpha > 0$ , problem (1.1) is known as the Hénon equation

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = |x|^\alpha u^{p-1}, & x \in \Omega, \\ u > 0, & x \in \Omega, \\ u = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

where  $p \in (2, 2^*)$ . Equation (1.4) was proposed by Hénon when he studied rotating stellar structures and readers can refer to Ni [24], Smets [26] and Cao–Peng [11]. Among these works, for equations with critical, supercritical and slightly subcritical growth, the existence and multiplicity of non-radial solutions, the symmetry and asymptotic behavior of ground states were studied by variational method (for  $p \rightarrow \frac{2N}{N-2}$  or  $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$ ).

In the case  $0 \leq \mu < \bar{\mu} = (\frac{N-2}{2})^2$  and  $\alpha = 0$ , problem (1.1) can be written as

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = \mu \frac{u}{|x|^2} + u^{2^*-1-\varepsilon}, & x \in \Omega, \\ u > 0, & x \in \Omega, \\ u = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (1.5)$$

By using Moser iteration and a generalized comparison principle, Cao and Peng [10] proved  $u(x) \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  satisfying

$$\begin{cases} u(x)|x|^\nu \geq C_1, & \forall x \in \Omega' \subset\subset \Omega, \\ u(x)|x|^\nu \leq C_2, & \forall x \in \Omega, \end{cases}$$

where  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are two positive constants,  $\nu = \sqrt{\bar{\mu}} - \sqrt{\bar{\mu} - \mu}$ . When  $\Omega = B_R$ ,  $u(x)$  is radially symmetric. Hence, they converted (1.5) to ODE and obtained the following:

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{|x| \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon u_\varepsilon^2 |x|^{2\nu} = 4(2\sqrt{\bar{\mu} - \mu})^{N-1} N^{\frac{N-2}{2}} (N-2)^{-\frac{N+2}{2}} \frac{\Gamma(N)}{[\Gamma(\frac{N}{2})]^2} \frac{1}{R^2 \sqrt{\bar{\mu} - \mu}}$$

and for  $x \neq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} u_\varepsilon(x) &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} (2\sqrt{\bar{\mu} - \mu})^{\frac{N-3}{2}} N^{\frac{N-2}{4}} (N-2)^{-\frac{N-6}{4}} R \sqrt{\bar{\mu} - \mu} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{N}{2})}{[\Gamma(N)]^{\frac{1}{2}}} \\ &\times \left( \frac{1}{|x|^{\sqrt{\bar{\mu} + \sqrt{\bar{\mu} - \mu}}} - \frac{1}{|x|^{\sqrt{\bar{\mu} - \sqrt{\bar{\mu} - \mu}}}|R|^{2\sqrt{\bar{\mu} - \mu}}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Motivated by the previous works and remark 4.2 in [10], we first prove the existence and radial symmetry of positive solution of (1.1). Then we focus on the asymptotic behavior of the solutions of problem (1.1) as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

To state our main results, for convenience, we set  $p = p(\alpha) - 1 - \varepsilon$ ,  $\nu = \sqrt{\bar{\mu}} - \sqrt{\bar{\mu} - \mu}$ ,  $\Omega = B_R = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |x| < R\}$ ,  $R > 0$ . We denote by  $u_\varepsilon(x)$  the solution of (1.1) and  $\Gamma(x)$  is the Gamma function.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Suppose that  $-2 < \alpha < 0$ ,  $0 \leq \mu < \bar{\mu}$ ,  $0 < \varepsilon < p(\alpha) - 1$ . Then problem (1.1) has a radially symmetric solution in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ .*

For the proof of this Theorem 1.1, we first obtain a solution by the Mountain Pass Lemma. Then, by moving plane method for elliptic equations with variable coefficients in [14], we can prove that the positive solution is radially symmetric. For problem (1.2), the solution satisfies Gidas–Ni–Nirenberg Theorem in [17] and hence all solutions of (1.2) are radial symmetric. However, here we cannot use Gidas–Ni–Nirenberg theorem directly since problem (1.1) includes the hardy term  $\mu \frac{u}{|x|^2}$  and singular coefficient  $|x|^\alpha$ . Luckily, through a transformation of the original solution  $u_\varepsilon(x)$ , the new equation satisfied by the new solution  $v(x)$  satisfies the conditions of a Corollary in [14] and we obtain the result. To be more precise, set

$$v(x) = |x|^{-\sqrt{\bar{\mu} + \sqrt{\bar{\mu} - \mu}}} u_\varepsilon(x),$$

using Moser iteration and a generalized comparison principle introduced by Merle and Peletier [22], we prove that  $v \in L^\infty(\Omega)$  and is bounded from below and above. Thus we obtain that the precise singularity of  $u_\varepsilon(x)$  at the origin is like  $|x|^{-\sqrt{\bar{\mu} + \sqrt{\bar{\mu} - \mu}}}$ . Then applying

Lemma 2.5 in Section 2 to this new equation, we deduce that  $v(x)$  is radially symmetric and satisfies the following ODE:

$$\begin{cases} v'' + \frac{N-1-2v}{r}v' + \frac{1}{r^{(p(\alpha)-2-\varepsilon)v-\alpha}}v^{p(\alpha)-1-\varepsilon} = 0, & \text{for } 0 < r < R, \\ v(r) > 0, & \text{for } 0 < r < R, \\ v(R) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (1.6)$$

Because (1.6) is still singular at the origin, we can use the well-known shooting argument introduced by Atkinson and Peletier [2] to convert (1.6) to the following ODE:

$$\begin{cases} y''(t) = -t^{-k(\alpha,\varepsilon)}y^{p(\alpha)-1-\varepsilon}, \\ y(t) > 0, \text{ for } T < t < \infty, \\ y(T) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.7)$$

where  $k(\alpha, \varepsilon) = \frac{2m+\alpha}{m-1} - \frac{(p(\alpha)-2-\varepsilon)v}{m-1}$ ,  $m = 1 + 2\sqrt{\bar{\mu} - \mu} = N - 2v - 1$ ,  $T = (\frac{m-1}{R})^{m-1}$ ,  $p(\alpha) - 1 = 2k(\alpha, \varepsilon) - 3 - \frac{2v\varepsilon}{m-1}$ .

Till now, study on behaviors and precise properties of the original solution  $u_\varepsilon(x)$  can be reduced to deal with (1.7). Based on this, we have

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $u_\varepsilon(x) \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  be a solution of problem (1.1). Then*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{|x| \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon u_\varepsilon^2 |x|^{2v} = 2(\alpha + 2)(2\sqrt{\bar{\mu} - \mu})^{\frac{2N+\alpha-2}{\alpha+2}} (N + \alpha)^{\frac{N-2}{\alpha+2}} (N - 2)^{-\frac{2\alpha+N+2}{\alpha+2}} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{2(N+2)}{\alpha+2})}{[\Gamma(\frac{N+\alpha}{\alpha+2})]^2} \frac{1}{R^2\sqrt{\bar{\mu} - \mu}}.$$

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $u_\varepsilon(x) \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  be a solution of problem (1.1). Then, for every  $x \neq 0$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} u_\varepsilon(x) &= \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + 2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (2\sqrt{\bar{\mu} - \mu})^{\frac{2N-\alpha-6}{2\alpha+4}} (N + \alpha)^{\frac{N-2}{2\alpha+4}} (N - 2)^{\frac{2\alpha-N+6}{2\alpha+4}} R\sqrt{\bar{\mu} - \mu} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{N+\alpha}{\alpha+2})}{\left[\Gamma\left(\frac{2(N+\alpha)}{\alpha+2}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}} \\ &\quad \times \left( \frac{1}{|x|\sqrt{\bar{\mu} + \sqrt{\bar{\mu} - \mu}}} - \frac{1}{|x|\sqrt{\bar{\mu} - \sqrt{\bar{\mu} - \mu}}|R|^{2\sqrt{\bar{\mu} - \mu}}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

**Notations:**

- $C, C_i, i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  denote positive constants, which may vary from line to line;
- $\|\cdot\|$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{L^q}$  denote the usual norms of the spaces  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  and  $L^q(\Omega)$ , respectively,  $\Omega \in \mathbb{R}^N$ ;
- Some of the notations that will appear in the following paragraphs:

$$\begin{aligned} m &= 1 + 2\sqrt{\bar{\mu} - \mu} = N - 2v - 1, & T &= \left(\frac{m-1}{R}\right)^{m-1}, \\ k &= k(\alpha, \varepsilon) = \frac{2m+\alpha}{m-1} - \frac{(p(\alpha)-2-\varepsilon)v}{m-1}, & k_1(\alpha, \varepsilon) &= (k-1)^{\frac{1}{k-2}}, \\ k_2(\alpha, \varepsilon) &= \frac{k-1}{k-2}, & T_{\alpha,\varepsilon} &= \frac{\gamma^{\frac{p(\alpha)-2-\varepsilon}{k-2}}}{k_1(\alpha, \varepsilon)} = \frac{\gamma^{2-\frac{m-1-2v}{(m-1)(k-2)}\varepsilon}}{k_1(\alpha, \varepsilon)}, \\ \tau(\alpha, \varepsilon) &= \left(\frac{t}{T_{\alpha,\varepsilon}}\right)^{k-2}, & \varphi(\alpha, \varepsilon) &= \frac{m-1+2v}{(m-1)(k-2)}\varepsilon, \\ C_{\alpha,\beta,\varepsilon} &= \frac{\beta}{(1+\beta^{k-2})^{\frac{1}{k-2}}}, & d_{\alpha,\beta,\varepsilon} &= \frac{(1-C_{\alpha,\beta,\varepsilon})(1+2v/(m-1))}{C_{\alpha,\beta,\varepsilon}^{2+(1+2v/(m-1))\varepsilon}}. \end{aligned}$$

## 2 Preliminary results and existence of solution

In this section, we shall provide some preliminaries which will be used in the sequel and prove the existence of solution to problem (1.1).

**Lemma 2.1** (see [16, Lemma 3.1 and 3.2]). *Suppose  $-2 < \alpha < 0$ ,  $2 \leq q \leq p(\alpha)$ , and  $0 \leq \mu < \bar{\mu}$ . Then*

(i) (Hardy inequality)

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} \leq \frac{1}{\bar{\mu}} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2, \quad \forall u \in H_0^1(\Omega);$$

(ii) (Sobolev–Hardy inequality)

there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$\left( \int_{\Omega} |x|^{\alpha} |u|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq C \|u\|, \quad \forall u \in H_0^1(\Omega);$$

(iii) the map  $u \mapsto |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{q}} u$  from  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  into  $L^q(\Omega)$  is compact for  $q < p(\alpha)$ .

**Lemma 2.2** (see [5, Theorem 2.2]). *Let  $J$  be a  $C^1$  function on a Banach space  $X$ . Suppose there exists a neighborhood  $U$  of 0 in  $X$  and a constant  $\rho$  such that  $J(u) \geq \rho$  for every  $u$  in the boundary of  $U$ ,*

$$J(0) < \rho \quad \text{and} \quad J(v) < \rho \quad \text{for some} \quad v \notin U.$$

Set

$$c = \inf_{g \in \Gamma} \max_{\omega \in g} J(\omega) \geq \rho,$$

where  $\Gamma = \{g \in C([0, 1], X) : g(0) = 0, g(1) = v, J(g(1)) < \rho\}$ .

Conclusion: there is a sequence  $\{u_n\}$  in  $X$  such that  $J(u_n) \rightarrow c$  and  $J'(u_n) \rightarrow 0$  in  $X^*$ .

**Lemma 2.3** (The Caffarelli–Kohn–Nirenberg inequalities, see [8] and [12]). *For all  $u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ ,*

$$\left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-bq} |u|^q \right)^{\frac{p}{q}} \leq C_{a,b} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-ap} |Du|^p dx,$$

where (i) for  $n > p$ ,

$$-\infty < a < \frac{n-p}{p}, \quad 0 \leq b-a \leq 1, \quad \text{and} \quad q = \frac{np}{n-p+p(b-a)}$$

and (ii) for  $n \leq p$ ,

$$-\infty < a < \frac{n-p}{p}, \quad \frac{p-n}{p} \leq b-a \leq 1, \quad \text{and} \quad q = \frac{np}{n-p+p(b-a)}.$$

**Lemma 2.4** (see [25, page 4]). *Suppose  $V$  is a reflexive Banach space with norm  $\|\cdot\|$ , and let  $M \subset V$  be a weakly closed subset of  $V$ . Suppose  $E : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  is coercive and (sequentially) weakly lower semi-continuous on  $M$  with respect to  $V$ , that is, suppose the following conditions are fulfilled:*

(1) (coercive)  $E(u) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $\|u\| \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $u \in M$ .

(2) (W.S.L.S.C) For any  $u \in M$ , any sequence  $\{u_m\}$  in  $M$  such that  $u_m \rightharpoonup u$  weakly in  $V$  there holds:

$$E(u) \leq \liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} E(u_m).$$

Then  $E$  is bounded from below on  $M$  and attains its infimum in  $M$ .

**Lemma 2.5** (see [14, Corollary 1.6]). *Let  $u$  be a bounded  $C^2(B_R \setminus \{0\}) \cap C^1(\overline{B_R} \setminus \{0\})$  solution of*

$$\begin{cases} \partial_i(|x|^b \partial_i u) + K|x|^a u^q = 0, & x \in B_R \setminus \{0\}, \\ u > 0, & x \in B_R \setminus \{0\}, \\ u = 0, & x \in \partial B_R \setminus \{0\}, \end{cases}$$

where  $K$  is a positive constant. Then  $u$  is radially symmetric in  $B_R$  provided  $q \geq 1$ ,  $b(\frac{1}{2}b + N - 2) \leq 0$  and  $\frac{1}{2}b \geq \frac{a}{q}$ .

*Proof.* When  $b < 0$ , we have  $|x|^b$  is singular at origin.

It's clear that

$$\frac{S(x)}{|x|^b} - \frac{S(x^\lambda)}{|x^\lambda|^b} = \frac{1}{2}b \left( \frac{1}{2}b + N - 2 \right) (|x|^{b-2} - |x^\lambda|^{b-2}) \geq 0$$

and

$$K \left( \frac{|x|^b}{|x^\lambda|^b} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} |x^\lambda|^a u^q - K|x|^a \left[ \left( \frac{|x|^b}{|x^\lambda|^b} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} u \right]^q = K|x|^{\frac{1}{2}b} |x^\lambda|^{a-\frac{1}{2}b} \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{|x^\lambda|}{|x|} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}bq-a} \right] u^q \geq 0,$$

where  $S(x) = \frac{1}{2}(\Delta|x|^b - \frac{1}{2|x|^b}|\nabla|x|^b|^2)$ .

From [14], we have

$$h_\lambda(x) = \left( \frac{|x^\lambda|^b}{|x|^b} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} u(x^\lambda) - u(x),$$

where  $x^\lambda = (2\lambda - x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N)$ .

By Lemma 4.2 in [14], we can obtain  $u$  has a positive lower bound near the origin. Hence, we can get the estimate of  $h_\lambda(x)$  near the origin. Furthermore, if  $x^\lambda = 0$ , we have  $h_\lambda(x) = \infty$ .

Now, we consider the case of  $u \in C^2(B_1 \setminus \{0\}) \cap C^1(\overline{B_1} \setminus \{0\})$  in Proposition 1.3 of [14]. Analogically, we can also obtain  $u(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N) \leq u(-x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N)$  for  $x_1 \in (-1, 0)$  and  $x_1 \in (0, 1)$ . Hence,  $u$  is symmetric in  $x_1$ . By Lemma 1.1 in [14], the above analysis and scaling transformation,  $u(x)$  is radially symmetric in  $B_R$ .  $\square$

Next, we shall prove the existence of solution to the problem (1.1). To start with, we prove the existence of nonnegative solution to the following Dirichlet problem:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = \mu \frac{u}{|x|^2} + |x|^\alpha |u|^{p(\alpha)-2-\varepsilon} u, & x \in \Omega, \\ u = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

where  $\Omega$  is a ball in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  ( $N \geq 3$ ) centered at the origin,  $-2 < \alpha < 0$ ,  $p(\alpha) = \frac{2(N+\alpha)}{N-2}$ ,  $0 < \varepsilon < p(\alpha) - 1$ ,  $0 \leq \mu < \bar{\mu} = \left(\frac{N-2}{2}\right)^2$ .

The energy functional corresponding to problem (2.1) is

$$J(u) = \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^2 - \frac{1}{p(\alpha) - \varepsilon} \int_\Omega |x|^\alpha |u|^{p(\alpha)-\varepsilon}, \quad u \in H_0^1(\Omega).$$

**Lemma 2.6.** *The function  $J$  satisfies  $(PS)_c$  condition for every  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ .*

*Proof.* Take  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  and assume that  $\{u_n\}$  is a Palais–Smale sequence at level  $c$ , namely such that

$$J(u_n) \rightarrow c \quad \text{and} \quad J'(u_n) \rightarrow 0 \quad (\text{in } H^{-1}(\Omega)).$$

This implies that there is a constant  $M > 0$  such that

$$|J(u_n)| \leq M. \quad (2.2)$$

From  $J'(u_n) \rightarrow 0$ , we obtain

$$o(1)\|u_n\| = \langle J'(u_n), u_n \rangle = \|u_n\|^2 - \int_{\Omega} |x|^{\alpha} |u_n|^{p(\alpha)-\varepsilon}. \quad (2.3)$$

Calculating (2.2) –  $\frac{1}{p(\alpha)-\varepsilon}$ (2.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} M + o(1)\|u_n\| &\geq \frac{1}{2}\|u_n\|^2 - \frac{1}{p(\alpha)-\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega} |x|^{\alpha} |u_n|^{p(\alpha)-\varepsilon} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{p(\alpha)-\varepsilon} \|u_n\|^2 + \frac{1}{p(\alpha)-\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega} |x|^{\alpha} |u_n|^{p(\alpha)-\varepsilon} \\ &= \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p(\alpha)-\varepsilon} \right) \|u_n\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

which implies the boundedness of  $\{u_n\}$ . By usual arguments, we can assume that up to a subsequence, there exists  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  such that

- $u_n \rightharpoonup u$  in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ ;
- $|x|^{\frac{\alpha}{p(\alpha)-\varepsilon}} u_n \rightarrow |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{p(\alpha)-\varepsilon}} u$  in  $L^{p(\alpha)-\varepsilon}(\Omega)$ ;
- $\cdot u_n \rightarrow u$  for almost every  $x \in \Omega$ .

We now show that the convergence of  $u_n$  to  $u$  is strong.

First of all, from the above convergence properties, we obtain

$$\left\| |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{p(\alpha)-\varepsilon}} u_n - |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{p(\alpha)-\varepsilon}} u \right\|_{L^{p(\alpha)-\varepsilon}(\Omega)} \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

As  $J'(u_n) \rightarrow 0$  and  $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ , we also have  $\langle J'(u_n), u_n - u \rangle \rightarrow 0$  and obviously  $\langle J'(u), u_n - u \rangle \rightarrow 0$ . Then, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , on the one hand,

$$\langle J'(u_n) - J'(u), u_n - u \rangle \leq |\langle J'(u_n), u_n - u \rangle| + |\langle J'(u), u_n - u \rangle| = o(1).$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle J'(u_n) - J'(u), u_n - u \rangle \\ &= \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n - \nabla u|^2 - \int_{\Omega} \mu \frac{|u_n - u|^2}{|x|^2} - \int_{\Omega} |x|^{\alpha} (|u_n|^{p(\alpha)-2-\varepsilon} u_n - |u|^{p(\alpha)-2-\varepsilon} u)(u_n - u) \\ &= \|u_n - u\|^2 - \int_{\Omega} |x|^{\alpha} (|u_n|^{p(\alpha)-2-\varepsilon} u_n - |u|^{p(\alpha)-2-\varepsilon} u)(u_n - u). \end{aligned}$$

We claim  $\int_{\Omega} |x|^{\alpha} (|u_n|^{p(\alpha)-2-\varepsilon} u_n - |u|^{p(\alpha)-2-\varepsilon} u)(u_n - u) \rightarrow 0$ .

Indeed, by Hölder's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} |x|^{\alpha} |u_n|^{p(\alpha)-2-\varepsilon} u_n (u_n - u) \\
& \leq \int_{\Omega} |x|^{\alpha} |u_n|^{p(\alpha)-1-\varepsilon} |u_n - u| \\
& = \int_{\Omega} |x|^{\alpha \cdot \frac{p(\alpha)-1-\varepsilon}{p(\alpha)-\varepsilon}} |u_n|^{p(\alpha)-1-\varepsilon} |x|^{\alpha \cdot \frac{1}{p(\alpha)-\varepsilon}} |u_n - u| \\
& \leq \left[ \int_{\Omega} \left( |x|^{\alpha \cdot \frac{p(\alpha)-1-\varepsilon}{p(\alpha)-\varepsilon}} |u_n|^{p(\alpha)-1-\varepsilon} \right)^{\frac{p(\alpha)-\varepsilon}{p(\alpha)-1-\varepsilon}} \right]^{\frac{p(\alpha)-1-\varepsilon}{p(\alpha)-\varepsilon}} \left[ \int_{\Omega} \left( |x|^{\alpha \cdot \frac{1}{p(\alpha)-\varepsilon}} |u_n - u| \right)^{p(\alpha)-\varepsilon} \right]^{\frac{1}{p(\alpha)-\varepsilon}} \quad (2.4) \\
& = \left( \int_{\Omega} |x|^{\alpha} |u_n|^{p(\alpha)-\varepsilon} \right)^{\frac{p(\alpha)-1-\varepsilon}{p(\alpha)-\varepsilon}} \left\| |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{p(\alpha)-\varepsilon}} |u_n - u| \right\|_{L^{p(\alpha)-\varepsilon}(\Omega)} \\
& \leq C \|u_n\|^{p(\alpha)-1-\varepsilon} \left\| |u_n| |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{p(\alpha)-\varepsilon}} - u |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{p(\alpha)-\varepsilon}} \right\|_{L^{p(\alpha)-\varepsilon}(\Omega)} \\
& = o(1).
\end{aligned}$$

By (2.4), similar calculation also gives

$$\int_{\Omega} |x|^{\alpha} |u|^{p(\alpha)-2-\varepsilon} u (u_n - u) = o(1). \quad (2.5)$$

From the above analysis, we obtain

$$o(1) = \langle J'(u_n) - J'(u), u_n - u \rangle = \|u_n - u\|^2 + o(1),$$

which implies  $u_n \rightarrow u$  in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  and proves that  $J$  satisfies  $(PS)_c$  condition for every  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.7.** *The function  $J$  admits a  $(PS)_c$  sequence in the cone of nonnegative function at the level*

$$c = \inf_{g \in \Gamma} \max_{t \in [0,1]} J(g(t)),$$

where  $\Gamma = \{g \in C([0,1], H_0^1(\Omega)) : g(0) = 0, J(g(1)) < 0\}$ .

*Proof.* We next prove that  $J$  satisfies all the hypotheses of the mountain pass lemma. Obviously,  $J(0) = 0$ .

From the Sobolev–Hardy inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
J(u) &= \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2 - \frac{1}{p(\alpha) - \varepsilon} \int_{\Omega} |x|^{\alpha} |u|^{p(\alpha)-1-\varepsilon} u \\
&\geq \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2 - C_1 \|u\|^{p(\alpha)-\varepsilon}.
\end{aligned}$$

For any  $\alpha$ , we can choose  $\varepsilon$  small enough such that  $p(\alpha) - \varepsilon > 2$ . From the above analysis, there exist  $\rho, e > 0$  such that  $J(u) \geq \rho, \forall u \in \{u \in H_0^1(\Omega) : \|u\| = e\}$ . Furthermore, for any  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ ,

$$J(tu) = \frac{t^2}{2} \|u\|^2 - \frac{t^{p(\alpha)-\varepsilon}}{p(\alpha) - \varepsilon} \int_{\Omega} |x|^{\alpha} |u|^{p(\alpha)-1-\varepsilon} u.$$

We obtain  $J(tu) \rightarrow -\infty$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence, we can choose  $t_0 > 0$  such that  $J(t_0 u) < 0$ . Therefore, by Lemma 2.2, we infer that  $J$  admits a  $(PS)_c$  sequence at level  $c$ , such sequence may be chosen in the set of nonnegative functions because  $J(|u|) \leq J(u)$  for all  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ .  $\square$

By Lemma 2.6, 2.7 and mountain pass lemma, we get a nonnegative solution  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  for (1.1), this solution is positive by the maximum principle.



### 3 Estimate of the singularity

First, we fix  $p = p(\alpha) - 1 - \varepsilon > 0$  in problem (1.1) and study the singularity and radial symmetry of the solution  $u_\varepsilon(x) \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ . By standard elliptic regularity theory,  $u_\varepsilon(x) \in C^2(\Omega \setminus \{0\}) \cap C^1(\overline{\Omega} \setminus \{0\})$ . Hence the singular point of  $u_\varepsilon(x)$  should be the origin.

Suppose that  $u_\varepsilon(x) \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  satisfies problem (1.1).

Let  $v(x) = |x|^\nu u_\varepsilon(x)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta u &= (-\nu^2 - 2\nu + N\nu)|x|^{-\nu-2}v(x) + 2\nu|x|^{-\nu-2}x\nabla v(x) - |x|^{-\nu}\Delta v(x), \\ \mu \frac{u}{|x|^2} + |x|^\alpha u^{p(\alpha)-1-\varepsilon} &= \mu|x|^{-\nu-2}v(x) + |x|^{\alpha-(p(\alpha)-1-\varepsilon)\nu}v(x)^{p(\alpha)-1-\varepsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

From equation in (1.1),

$$\begin{aligned} (-\nu^2 - 2\nu + N\nu)|x|^{-\nu-2}v(x) + 2\nu|x|^{-\nu-2}x\nabla v(x) - |x|^{-\nu}\Delta v(x) \\ = \mu|x|^{-\nu-2}v(x) + |x|^{\alpha-(p(\alpha)-1-\varepsilon)\nu}v(x)^{p(\alpha)-1-\varepsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

Multiply both sides of the above equation by  $|x|^{-\nu}$ , then we get

$$[-\nu^2 + (N-2)\nu]|x|^{-2\nu-2}v(x) - \operatorname{div}(|x|^{-2\nu}\nabla v(x)) = \mu|x|^{-2\nu-2}v(x) + |x|^{\alpha-(p(\alpha)-\varepsilon)\nu}v(x)^{p(\alpha)-1-\varepsilon}.$$

For  $\nu = \sqrt{\bar{\mu}} - \sqrt{\bar{\mu} - \mu}$ , we obtain

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(|x|^{-2\nu}\nabla v) = |x|^{-(p(\alpha)-\varepsilon)\nu+\alpha}v^{p(\alpha)-1-\varepsilon}, & x \in \Omega, \\ v > 0, & x \in \Omega, \\ v = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

By the regularity theory of elliptic equations,  $v_\varepsilon(x) \in C^2(\Omega \setminus \{0\}) \cap C^1(\overline{\Omega} \setminus \{0\})$ . Moreover, we have

**Lemma 3.1.**

(i)  $v(x) \in H_0^1(\Omega, |x|^{-2\nu})$ .

(ii)  $v(x)$  is bounded in  $\Omega$ .

*Proof.* (i) For any  $u(x) \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  satisfying problem (1.1), by Hardy inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-2\nu} |\nabla v|^2 &= \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-2\nu} |x|^\nu \nabla u + \nu |x|^{\nu-2} u x|^2 \\ &\leq 2 \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 + \nu^2 \int_{\Omega} \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} \right) \\ &\leq C. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we claim  $v(x) = |x|^\nu u(x) \in H_0^1(\Omega, |x|^{-2\nu})$ .

(ii) From Caffarelli–Kohn–Nirenberg inequality mentioned in Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\left( \int_{\Omega} |x|^{m_1} |\nabla u|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \geq C_{m_1, n_1} \left( \int_{\Omega} |x|^{n_1} |u|^{p(m_1, n_1)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p(m_1, n_1)}}, \quad \forall u \in H_0^1(\Omega, |x|^{m_1}), \quad (3.2)$$

where

$$m_1 = -2\nu, \quad n_1 = -(p(\alpha) - \varepsilon)\nu + \alpha, \quad p(m_1, n_1) = p(\alpha) + \frac{\varepsilon\nu}{\sqrt{\mu - \mu}}.$$

Note that

$$\int_{\Omega} |x|^{m_1} \nabla v \cdot \nabla \varphi = \int_{\Omega} |x|^{n_1} v^p \varphi, \quad \forall \varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega, |x|^{m_1}).$$

For  $s, l > 1$ , define  $v_l(x) = \min\{v(x), l\}$ . Taking  $\varphi = v \cdot v_l^{2(s-1)} \in H_0^1(\Omega, |x|^{m_1})$  in the above equation, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} |x|^{m_1} |\nabla v|^2 v_l^{2(s-1)} + 2(s-1) \int_{\Omega} |x|^{m_1} |\nabla v_l|^2 v_l^{2(s-1)} = \int_{\Omega} |x|^{n_1} v^{p+1} v_l^{2(s-1)}.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \int_{\Omega} |x|^{n_1} (v \cdot v_l^{s-1})^{p(m_1, n_1)} \right)^{\frac{2}{p(m_1, n_1)}} \\ & \leq C_{m_1, n_1}^{-2} \int_{\Omega} |x|^{m_1} |\nabla (v \cdot v_l^{s-1})|^2 \\ & \leq 2C_{m_1, n_1}^{-2} \left( (s-1)^2 \int_{\Omega} |x|^{m_1} |\nabla v_l|^2 v_l^{2(s-1)} + \int_{\Omega} |x|^{m_1} |\nabla v|^2 v_l^{2(s-1)} \right) \\ & \leq 2C_{m_1, n_1}^{-2} s \int_{\Omega} |x|^{n_1} v^{p+2s-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

From (3.3) and Levi's theorem, we see that  $v \in L^{p+2s-1}(\Omega, |x|^{n_1})$  implies  $v \in L^{sp(m_1, n_1)}(\Omega, |x|^{n_1})$ . For  $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , by induction we define

$$\begin{cases} p-1+2s_0 = p(m_1, n_1), \\ p-1+2s_{j+1} = p(m_1, n_1)s_j, \end{cases} \quad (3.4)$$

$$\begin{cases} M_0 = (C \cdot C_{m_1, n_1}^{-2})^{\frac{p(m_1, n_1)}{2}}, \\ M_{j+1} = (2C_{m_1, n_1}^{-2} s_j M_j)^{\frac{p(m_1, n_1)}{2}}, \end{cases} \quad (3.5)$$

where  $C$  is a fixed number such that  $\int_{\Omega} |x|^{m_1} |\nabla v|^2 \leq C$ .

From (3.4), we see that

$$s_j = \frac{(2^{-1}p(m_1, n_1))^{j+1}(p(m_1, n_1) - p - 1) + p - 1}{p(m_1, n_1) - 2}.$$

From (3.5), similar to the computation in [21], we can see that

$$\exists d > 0 \text{ and } d \text{ is independent of } j, \text{ such that } M_j \leq e^{ds_{j-1}}.$$

Since  $2 < p+1 < p(m_1, n_1)$ , it follows that  $s_j > 1$  for all  $j \geq 0$ ,  $s_j \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $j \rightarrow +\infty$ .

By (3.3), (3.4) and (3.5),

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |x|^{n_1} v^{p+2s_1-1} & \leq (2C_{m_1, n_1}^{-2} s_0)^{\frac{p(m_1, n_1)}{2}} \left( \int_{\Omega} |x|^{n_1} v^{p+2s_0-1} \right)^{\frac{p(m_1, n_1)}{2}} \\ & \leq (2C_{m_1, n_1}^{-2} s_0)^{\frac{p(m_1, n_1)}{2}} \left( C^{\frac{p(m_1, n_1)}{2}} C_{m_1, n_1}^{-p(m_1, n_1)} \right)^{\frac{p(m_1, n_1)}{2}} \\ & \leq (2C_{m_1, n_1}^{-2} s_0 M_0)^{\frac{p(m_1, n_1)}{2}} \\ & \leq M_1. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\int_{\Omega} |x|^{n_1} v^{p+2s_j-1} \leq M_j.$$

Hence, by  $p + 2s_{j+1} - 1 = p(m_1, n_1)s_j$ , denoting  $C(\Omega, n_1) = \max_{x \in \Omega} |x|^{-n_1}$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |v|_{L^{p(m_1, n_1)s_j}(\Omega)} &\leq \left( \int_{\Omega} |v|^{p(m_1, n_1)s_j} |x|^{n_1} \cdot |x|^{-n_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{p(m_1, n_1)s_j}} \\ &\leq C(\Omega, n_1)^{\frac{1}{p(m_1, n_1)s_j}} |v|_{L^{p(m_1, n_1)s_j}(\Omega, |x|^{n_1})} \\ &\leq C(\Omega, n_1)^{\frac{1}{p(m_1, n_1)s_j}} M_{j+1} \\ &\leq C(\Omega, n_1)^{\frac{1}{p(m_1, n_1)s_j}} e^{\frac{d}{p(m_1, n_1)}}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking limit on each side of the above inequality and using  $s_j \rightarrow +\infty$ , as  $j \rightarrow +\infty$ , we have

$$|v|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq e^{\frac{d}{p(m_1, n_1)}},$$

which implies the conclusion.  $\square$

From Lemma 3.1, we can see that  $v(x) = |x|^v u(x)$  is bounded from above in  $\Omega$ . For the lower bound of  $v(x) = |x|^v u(x)$ , we have

**Lemma 3.2.** *Suppose that  $u(x) \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  satisfies problem (1.1) and  $0 \leq \mu < \bar{\mu}$ , then for any  $B_\rho \subset\subset \Omega$  there exists a  $C(\rho) > 0$ , such that*

$$u(x) \geq C(\rho)|x|^{-v}, \quad \forall x \in B_\rho \subset\subset \Omega.$$

*Proof.* Let  $f(x) = \min\{|x|^\alpha u^{p(\alpha)-1-\varepsilon}(x), l\}$  with  $l > 0$ , then  $f \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ .

Let  $u_1 \geq 0$  and  $u_1 \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  be the solution of the following linear problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u_1 = \mu \frac{u_1}{|x|^2} + f, & x \in \Omega, \\ u_1 = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (3.6)$$

Set  $U = u - u_1$ , then  $U \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  and  $U$  satisfies the following problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta U = \mu \frac{U}{|x|^2} + g, & x \in \Omega, \\ U = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (3.7)$$

where  $g \geq 0$  and  $0 \leq \mu < \bar{\mu} = (\frac{N-2}{2})^2$ .

From Lemma 2.4, there exist solutions for problem (3.6) and (3.7). From the Hardy inequality and the comparison principle proved in [15], we obtain that  $u$  is a super-solution of problem (3.6) and  $0 \leq u_1 \leq u$ . Actually we can prove this as follows. Multiplying  $U^- := \max\{0, -U(x)\}$  on both side of equation in (3.7) and integrating by parts, we have

$$-\int_{\Omega} |\nabla U^-|^2 = -\int_{\Omega} \mu \frac{(U^-)^2}{|x|^2} + \int_{\Omega} g U^-.$$

It follows that  $U^- = 0$  in  $\Omega$  and hence  $U \geq 0$ .

By Lemma 3.1, there exists a constant  $C_1 > 0$  such that  $0 \leq u_1(x) \leq u(x) \leq C_1|x|^{-\nu}$ . So it suffices to prove the result for  $u_1$ .

Since  $u_1 \not\equiv 0$ ,  $u_1 \geq 0$  and  $-\Delta u_1 \geq 0$  in  $\Omega$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that for sufficiently small  $\rho > 0$  it holds that  $u_1 \geq \delta$  for  $\forall x \in B_{2\rho}$ . Choose  $C(\rho) \geq 0$  satisfying  $C(\rho)|x|^{-\nu} \leq \delta$  for  $|x| = \rho$  and set  $\omega = (u_1 - C|x|^{-\nu})^-$ . By  $\int_{B_\rho} |\nabla|x|^{-\nu}|^2 < \infty$  and  $u_1 \in H_0^1(B_\rho)$ , we have  $\omega \in H_0^1(B_\rho)$ .

From (3.6) and the fact that  $|x|^{-\nu}$  is the solution of equation  $-\Delta u - \mu \frac{u}{|x|^2} = 0$ , the linear combination of  $u_1$  and  $|x|^{-\nu}$  is the solution of  $-\Delta u = \mu \frac{u}{|x|^2} + f$ . Hence,

$$-\Delta(u_1 - C|x|^{-\nu}) = \mu \frac{(u_1 - C|x|^{-\nu})}{|x|^2} + f.$$

Multiply  $\omega$  on both side of the above equation and integrate by part, we obtain

$$-\int_{B_\rho} |\nabla\omega|^2 + \int_{B_\rho} \mu \frac{\omega^2}{|x|^2} = \int_{B_\rho} f\omega \geq 0.$$

Since  $0 \leq \mu < \bar{\mu}$ , it follows that  $\omega = 0$ .

Another proof of  $\omega = 0$ : It only need to prove that  $-\int_{B_\rho} |\nabla\omega|^2 + \int_{B_\rho} \mu \frac{\omega^2}{|x|^2} \omega \geq 0$ . Otherwise,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &> -\int_{B_\rho} |\nabla\omega|^2 + \int_{B_\rho} \mu \frac{\omega^2}{|x|^2} \\ &= \int_{B_\rho} \nabla(u_1 - C|x|^{-\nu}) \cdot \nabla\omega - \int_{B_\rho} \frac{\mu}{|x|^2} (u_1 - C|x|^{-\nu})\omega \\ &= \int_{B_\rho} f\omega - C \left( \int_{B_\rho} \nabla|x|^{-\nu} \cdot \nabla\omega - \int_{B_\rho} \frac{\mu}{|x|^2} |x|^{-\nu}\omega \right) \\ &= \int_{B_\rho} f\omega + \frac{C\nu}{\rho^{\nu+1}} \int_{\partial B_\rho} \omega \\ &> \frac{C\nu}{\rho^{\nu+1}} \int_{\partial B_\rho} \omega \\ &\geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

This is a contradiction and we are done.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.3.** *Suppose that  $u(x) \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  satisfies problem (1.1) and  $0 \leq \mu < \bar{\mu}$ . Then for any  $\Omega' \subset\subset \Omega$  there exists two positive constants  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ , such that*

$$\begin{cases} u(x)|x|^\nu \geq C_1, & \forall x \in \Omega' \subset\subset \Omega. \\ u(x)|x|^\nu \leq C_2, & \forall x \in \Omega. \end{cases} \quad (3.8)$$

Next, we use Lemma 2.5 and Proposition 3.3 to prove that the solution is radially symmetric with  $\Omega = B_R$ .

**Theorem 3.4.** *Suppose that  $-2 < \alpha < 0$  and  $p(\alpha) = \frac{2(N+\alpha)}{N-2}$ . Then the solution of problem (1.1) is radially symmetric.*

*Proof.* Using the previous notations, we only need to show that  $v(x)$  is radially symmetric in  $\Omega$ . By the regularity theory of elliptic equations, we have  $v(x) \in C^2(B_R \setminus \{0\}) \cap C^1(\overline{B_R} \setminus \{0\})$ . Next, we have to prove that  $v(x)$  satisfies Lemma 2.5. From (3.1), we obtain

$$\partial_i(|x|^{-2\nu}\partial_i v) + |x|^{-(p(\alpha)-\varepsilon)\nu+\alpha} v^{p(\alpha)-1-\varepsilon} = 0.$$

Hence,  $v(x)$  satisfies Lemma 2.5 when  $b = -2\nu, a = -(p(\alpha) - \varepsilon)\nu + \alpha, q = p(\alpha) - 1 - \varepsilon$  and  $K = 1$ .  $\square$

## 4 Some basic estimates

Set  $r = |x|$ . Let  $v(r) = |x|^\nu u_\varepsilon(x)$ . Then  $v(r)$  satisfies

$$\begin{cases} v'' + \frac{N-1-2\nu}{r}v' + \frac{1}{r^{(p(\alpha)-2-\varepsilon)\nu-\alpha}}v^{p(\alpha)-1-\varepsilon} = 0, \\ v(r) > 0, \text{ for } 0 < r < R, \\ v(R) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

Let  $t = \left(\frac{N-2\nu-2}{r}\right)^{N-2\nu-2}$  and  $y(t) = (N-2\nu-2)^{-g(\alpha,\varepsilon)}v(r)$ , where  $g(\alpha,\varepsilon) = \frac{(p(\alpha)-2-\varepsilon)\nu-\alpha}{p(\alpha)-2-\varepsilon}$ . Then problem (4.1) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{cases} y''(t) = -t^{-k(\alpha,\varepsilon)}y^{p(\alpha)-1-\varepsilon}, \\ y(t) > 0, \text{ for } T < t < \infty, \\ y(T) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (4.2)$$

where  $k(\alpha,\varepsilon) = \frac{2m+\alpha}{m-1} - \frac{(p(\alpha)-2-\varepsilon)\nu}{m-1}$ ,  $m = 1 + 2\sqrt{\mu - \mu} = N - 2\nu - 1$ ,  $T = \left(\frac{m-1}{R}\right)^{m-1}$ ,  $p(\alpha) - 1 = 2k(\alpha,\varepsilon) - 3 - \frac{2\nu\varepsilon}{m-1}$ .

In order to simplify the expression, we will always replace  $k(\alpha,\varepsilon)$  with  $k$  in the sequel.

First we give

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $y(t)$  be a solution of problem (4.2), then there exists a positive number  $\gamma < \infty$  such that*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y'(t) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t) = \gamma.$$

*Proof.* By Proposition 3.3, it is obvious that  $y(t)$  is bounded in  $[T, \infty)$ . From (4.2), we know  $y''(t) < 0$  for all  $t > T$ , so  $y'(t)$  decreases strictly in  $t \in (T, \infty)$ . Hence

$$y'(t) \rightarrow c \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow +\infty.$$

If  $c > 0$ , we can deduce  $y(t) \rightarrow +\infty$  when  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ . Similarly, when  $c < 0$ , we have  $y(t) \rightarrow -\infty$  when  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ . However, the boundedness of  $y(t)$  leads to the contradiction. Hence,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y'(t) = 0$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t) = \gamma$ .  $\square$

**Remark 4.2.**

- (i) From Lemma 4.1, if we define  $v(0) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} v(r) = (N-2\nu-2)^{g(\alpha,\varepsilon)}\gamma$ , then  $v(r) \in C[0, R]$ . Furthermore,  $v'(r) < 0$  for all  $r \in (0, R]$ .
- (ii)  $y'(t) > 0$  for all  $t > T$  and  $y'(t) \sim \frac{1}{k-1}t^{1-k}\gamma^{p(\alpha)-1-\varepsilon}$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

Next, we consider

$$\begin{cases} y''(t) + t^{-k}y^{p(\alpha)-1-\varepsilon} = 0, \quad t < \infty, \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t) = \gamma, \end{cases} \quad (4.3)$$

where  $\gamma > 0$ .

Since  $k > 2$ , it follows from [2] that problem (4.3) has a unique solution which will be denoted by  $y(t, \gamma)$  for every  $\gamma > 0$ . Define

$$T(\gamma) = \inf\{t > 0 : y(t, \gamma) > 0 \text{ on } (t, \infty)\}. \quad (4.4)$$

From Lemma 4.1, we have  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} w(s) = 1$ , where  $w(s) = \frac{y(t)}{\gamma}$ . Hence,

$$T(1) = \inf\{s > 0 : w(s, 1) > 0 \text{ on } (s, \infty)\}.$$

Set  $t = \gamma^{\frac{p(\alpha)-2-\varepsilon}{k-2}} s$ , then

$$w''(s) = -s^{-k} w^{p(\alpha)-1-\varepsilon}(s).$$

So, we have

$$T(\gamma) = \gamma^{\frac{p(\alpha)-2-\varepsilon}{k-2}} T(1).$$

By Lemma 5.1 in Section 5,  $T(1) > 0$ . Thus for every  $\gamma > 0$ ,  $T(\gamma) > 0$ .

Hence, for any  $T > 0$  and given  $\varepsilon > 0$  small, there exists a unique  $\gamma$  such that problem (4.3) has a solution  $y(t, \gamma)$  such that  $\gamma > 0$ ,  $T(\gamma) > 0$ .

**Remark 4.3.** From the above analysis, when  $\Omega$  is a ball centered at the origin, we conclude that the solution to problem (1.1) is unique.

Now we give an upper and lower bound for  $y(t, \gamma)$ .

**Lemma 4.4.** *Suppose  $\varepsilon > 0$ , then*

$$y(t, \gamma) < z(t, \gamma), \quad \text{for } T(\gamma) \leq t < \infty, \quad (4.5)$$

where

$$z(t, \gamma) = \gamma \left( 1 + \frac{1}{k-1} \frac{\gamma^{p(\alpha)-2-\varepsilon}}{t^{k-2}} \right)^{-\frac{1}{k-2}}.$$

*Proof.* Since

$$(y' t^{k-1} y^{1-k})' = -(k-1) t^{k-2} y^{-k} H_1(t),$$

where

$$H_1(t) = t(y')^2 - y y' + \frac{1}{k-1} t^{1-k} y^{p+1}$$

and  $y'(t) \sim \frac{1}{k-1} t^{1-k} \gamma^{p(\alpha)-1-\varepsilon}$  (see Remark 4.2), we have  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} H_1(t) = 0$ .

By  $H_1'(t) = \frac{1}{k-1} t^{1-k} y'(t) (p-2k+3) y^p$ , we have

$$H_1'(t) < 0 \quad \text{for } \forall t \in [T, \infty).$$

Hence  $H_1(t)$  decreases strictly on  $[T, \infty)$ . In combination with  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} H_1(t) = 0$ , we can obtain  $H_1(t) > 0$  on  $(T, \infty)$  which implies  $(y' t^{k-1} y^{1-k})' < 0$ .

Integrating  $(y' t^{k-1} y^{1-k})' < 0$  from  $t > T$  to  $t = \infty$ , we deduce

$$y^{1-k} y'(t) > \frac{1}{k-1} \gamma^{p-k+1} t^{1-k}, \quad \text{for } T < t < \infty.$$

Integrating the above equation again from  $t > T$  to  $t = \infty$ , we deduce

$$y^{2-k}(t) > \frac{1}{k-1} \gamma^{p-k+1} t^{2-k} + \gamma^{2-k}, \quad \text{for } T < t < \infty,$$

which implies the conclusion.  $\square$

**Remark 4.5.** The function  $z(t, \gamma)$  is the solution of the following problem

$$\begin{cases} z''(t) + t^{-k} \gamma^{-\left(\frac{2\nu}{m-1}+1\right)\varepsilon} z^{2k-3} = 0, & 0 < t < \infty, \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} z(t, \gamma) = \gamma. \end{cases} \quad (4.6)$$

In the sequel,  $z(t, \gamma)$  plays an important role.

Set

$$T_{\alpha, \varepsilon} = \frac{\gamma^{\frac{p(\alpha)-2-\varepsilon}{k-2}}}{k_1(\alpha, \varepsilon)} = \frac{\gamma^{2-\frac{m-1-2\nu}{(m-1)(k-2)}\varepsilon}}{k_1(\alpha, \varepsilon)}, \quad (4.7)$$

where  $k_1(\alpha, \varepsilon) = (k-1)^{\frac{1}{k-2}}$ .

Then for any  $\beta > 0$ , direct computation gives

$$z(\beta T_{\alpha, \varepsilon}, \gamma) = C_{\alpha, \beta, \varepsilon} \gamma, \quad (4.8)$$

where  $C_{\alpha, \beta, \varepsilon} = \frac{\beta}{(1+\beta^{k-2})^{\frac{1}{k-2}}}$ .

**Lemma 4.6.** Let  $\beta > 0$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , then for every  $t \geq \beta T_{\alpha, \varepsilon}$

$$y(t, \gamma) \geq z(t, \gamma)(1 - d_{\alpha, \beta, \varepsilon}),$$

where

$$d_{\alpha, \beta, \varepsilon} = \frac{(1 - C_{\alpha, \beta, \varepsilon})(1 + 2\nu/(m-1))}{C_{\alpha, \beta, \varepsilon}^{2+(1+2\nu/(m-1))\varepsilon}}.$$

*Proof.* Integrating (4.3) twice, we have

$$y(t, \gamma) = \gamma - \int_t^\infty (s-t) s^{-k} y^{2k-3-(1+2\nu/(m-1))\varepsilon}(s, \gamma) ds.$$

Hence, by Lemma 4.4, we obtain

$$y(t, \gamma) > \gamma - \int_t^\infty (s-t) s^{-k} z^{2k-3-(1+2\nu/(m-1))\varepsilon}(s, \gamma) ds.$$

Similarly, integrate (4.6) for  $z$  twice, then

$$z(t, \gamma) = \gamma - \int_t^\infty (s-t) s^{-k} \gamma^{-(1+2\nu/(m-1))\varepsilon} z^{2k-3}(s, \gamma) ds.$$

Hence

$$y(t, \gamma) > z(t, \gamma) - \int_t^\infty (s-t) s^{-k} z^{2k-3}(s, \gamma) (z^{-(1+2\nu/(m-1))\varepsilon} - \gamma^{-(1+2\nu/(m-1))\varepsilon}) ds. \quad (4.9)$$

By the mean value theorem, we deduce

$$|z^{-(1+2\nu/(m-1))\varepsilon} - \gamma^{-(1+2\nu/(m-1))\varepsilon}| = (1 + 2\nu/(m-1))\varepsilon \theta^{-1-(1+2\nu/(m-1))\varepsilon} |z(s, \gamma) - \gamma|,$$

where  $z(s, \gamma) \leq \theta \leq \gamma$ .

Hence, using (4.8), if  $\beta T_{\alpha, \varepsilon} \leq t < \infty$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |z^{-(1+2\nu/(m-1))\varepsilon} - \gamma^{-(1+2\nu/(m-1))\varepsilon}| \\ & \leq (1 + 2\nu/(m-1))\varepsilon (C_{\alpha, \beta, \varepsilon} \gamma)^{-1-(1+2\nu/(m-1))\varepsilon} \gamma \\ & \leq (1 + 2\nu/(m-1))\varepsilon C_{\alpha, \beta, \varepsilon}^{-1-(1+2\nu/(m-1))\varepsilon} \gamma^{-(1+2\nu/(m-1))\varepsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

Using this bound in (4.9), if  $t \geq \beta T_{\alpha, \varepsilon}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} y(t, \gamma) &> z(t, \gamma) - (1 + 2\nu/(m-1))\varepsilon C_{\alpha, \beta, \varepsilon}^{-1-(1+2\nu/(m-1))\varepsilon} \\ &\quad \times \int_t^\infty (s-t)s^{-k}\gamma^{-(1+2\nu/(m-1))\varepsilon} z^{2k-3}(s, \gamma) ds \\ &= z(t, \gamma) + (1 + 2\nu/(m-1))\varepsilon C_{\alpha, \beta, \varepsilon}^{-1-(1+2\nu/(m-1))\varepsilon} (z(t, \gamma) - \gamma). \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

On the other hand, by (4.8), if  $t \geq \beta T_{\alpha, \varepsilon}$ ,

$$\gamma = C_{\alpha, \beta, \varepsilon}^{-1} z(\beta T_{\alpha, \varepsilon}, \gamma) \leq C_{\alpha, \beta, \varepsilon}^{-1} z(t, \gamma).$$

So we can deduce from (4.10) that

$$\begin{aligned} y(t, \gamma) &> z(t, \gamma) \left(1 + \frac{(1 + 2\nu/(m-1))}{C_{\alpha, \beta, \varepsilon}^{1+(1+2\nu/(m-1))\varepsilon}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{C_{\alpha, \beta, \varepsilon}}\right)\varepsilon\right) \\ &= z(t, \gamma) (1 - d_{\alpha, \beta, \varepsilon} \varepsilon), \end{aligned}$$

which is the bound we want to prove.  $\square$

Now we return to problem (4.2). We fix  $T$  and denote the solution by  $y(t)$ . Then

$$\gamma(\varepsilon) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t)$$

and  $\gamma(\varepsilon)$  depends on  $\varepsilon$ . The next lemma tells us the asymptotic behavior of  $\gamma(\varepsilon)$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

**Lemma 4.7.**

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \gamma(\varepsilon) = \infty$$

*Proof.* By contradiction, we can assume there exists a sequence  $\{\varepsilon_n\}$ ,  $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , and a number  $M > 0$  such that  $\gamma(\varepsilon_n) \leq M$  for all  $n$ . Then we can choose a number  $\beta_1 > 0$  satisfying

$$\beta_1 T_{\alpha, \varepsilon_n} = \beta_1 k_1^{-1} \gamma(\varepsilon_n)^{2 - \frac{m-1+2\nu}{(m-1)(k-2)}\varepsilon} \leq \beta_1 \left(\frac{n-2}{n+\alpha}\right)^{\frac{n-2}{\alpha+2}} M^2 + o(1) \leq T \quad \text{for large } n.$$

So by Lemma 4.6, we have

$$z(t, \gamma(\varepsilon_n))(1 - d_{\alpha, \beta, \varepsilon_n} \varepsilon_n) < z(T, \gamma(\varepsilon_n))(1 - d_{\alpha, \beta, \varepsilon_n} \varepsilon_n) \leq y(T, \gamma(\varepsilon_n)) = 0,$$

for  $0 < t < \beta_1 T_{\alpha, \varepsilon_n}$  and large  $n$ , which is impossible.  $\square$

Finally, we give two formulae to use later. Define incomplete Beta function

$$B(\varsigma, P, Q) = \int_{\varsigma}^{\infty} x^{P-1} (1+x)^{-P-Q} dx,$$

where  $P$  and  $Q$  are positive parameters. It is well-known that

$$B(0, P, Q) = \frac{\Gamma(P)\Gamma(Q)}{\Gamma(P+Q)}. \quad (4.11)$$

**Lemma 4.8.** Suppose  $k > 2$ ,  $p = 2k - 3 - (1 + \frac{2\nu}{m-1})\varepsilon$  and  $\varepsilon$  small. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (i) \quad &\int_t^\infty s^{-k} z^p(s, \gamma) ds = k_1(\alpha, \varepsilon) k_2(\alpha, \varepsilon) \gamma^{-1+\varphi(\alpha, \varepsilon)} B(\tau(\alpha, \varepsilon), 1 - \varphi(\alpha, \varepsilon), k_2(\alpha, \varepsilon)), \\ (ii) \quad &\int_t^\infty s^{-k} z^{p+1}(s, \gamma) ds = k_1(\alpha, \varepsilon) k_2(\alpha, \varepsilon) \gamma^{\varphi(\alpha, \varepsilon)} B(\tau(\alpha, \varepsilon), k_2(\alpha, \varepsilon) - \varphi(\alpha, \varepsilon), k_2(\alpha, \varepsilon)), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\varphi(\alpha, \varepsilon) = \frac{m-1+2\nu}{(m-1)(k-2)}\varepsilon$ ,  $k_1(\alpha, \varepsilon) = (k-1)^{\frac{1}{k-2}}$ ,  $k_2(\alpha, \varepsilon) = \frac{k-1}{k-2}$ ,  $\tau(\alpha, \varepsilon) = (\frac{t}{T_{\alpha, \varepsilon}})^{k-2}$ .



*Proof.* (i) Insert the expression

$$z(t, \gamma) = \gamma \left( 1 + \frac{1}{k-1} \frac{\gamma^{p(\alpha)-2-\varepsilon}}{t^{k-2}} \right)^{-\frac{1}{k-2}}$$

into the integral

$$\begin{aligned} \int_t^\infty s^{-k} z^p(s, \gamma) ds &= \gamma^p \int_t^\infty s^{p-k} \left( s^{k-2} + \frac{1}{k-1} \gamma^{p-1} \right)^{-\frac{p}{k-2}} ds \\ &= \gamma^p \int_t^\infty s^{p-k} (s^{k-2} + T_{\alpha, \varepsilon}^{k-2})^{-\frac{p}{k-2}} ds \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

and by routine calculus, we can get the result as follows.

By making the change of variable  $x = (\frac{s}{T_{\alpha, \varepsilon}})^{k-2}$ , we can write (4.12) as

$$\begin{aligned} \int_t^\infty s^{-k} z^p(s, \gamma) ds &= \gamma^p \int_t^\infty s^{p-k} (s^{k-2} + T_{\alpha, \varepsilon}^{k-2})^{-\frac{p}{k-2}} ds \\ &= \frac{\gamma^p}{k-2} T_{\alpha, \varepsilon}^{1-k} \int_{(\frac{t}{T_{\alpha, \varepsilon}})^{k-2}}^\infty x^{P-1} (1+x)^{-P-Q} dx, \end{aligned}$$

where  $P = \frac{p-k-1}{k-2}$  and  $Q = \frac{k-1}{k-2}$ .

Since

$$\frac{\gamma^p}{k-2} T_{\alpha, \varepsilon}^{1-k} = k_1(\alpha, \varepsilon) k_2(\alpha, \varepsilon) \gamma^{-1+\varphi(\alpha, \varepsilon)},$$

we have

$$\int_t^\infty s^{-k} z^p(s, \gamma) ds = k_1(\alpha, \varepsilon) k_2(\alpha, \varepsilon) \gamma^{-1+\varphi(\alpha, \varepsilon)} B(\tau(\alpha, \varepsilon), 1 - \varphi(\alpha, \varepsilon), k_2(\alpha, \varepsilon)),$$

where  $\varphi(\alpha, \varepsilon) = \frac{m-1+2\nu}{(m-1)(k-2)} \varepsilon$ ,  $k_1(\alpha, \varepsilon) = (k-1)^{\frac{1}{k-2}}$ ,  $k_2(\alpha, \varepsilon) = \frac{k-1}{k-2}$ ,  $\tau(\alpha, \varepsilon) = (\frac{t}{T_{\alpha, \varepsilon}})^{k-2}$ .

(ii) In a similar way as in (i). □

We end this section by giving

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} k &= \frac{2N-2+\alpha}{N-2} \triangleq k_0, & \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} k_1(\alpha, \varepsilon) &= \left( \frac{N+\alpha}{N-2} \right)^{\frac{N-2}{\alpha+2}} \triangleq k_1, \\ \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} k_2(\alpha, \varepsilon) &= \frac{N+\alpha}{\alpha+2} \triangleq k_2, & \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} C_{\alpha, \beta, \varepsilon} &= \frac{\beta}{(1+\beta)^{\frac{\alpha+2}{N-2}}} \triangleq C_{\alpha, \beta}, \\ \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} d_{\alpha, \beta, \varepsilon} &= \frac{(1-c_{\alpha, \beta})(1+2\nu/(m-1))}{c_{\alpha, \beta}^2} \triangleq d_{\alpha, \beta}, & \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varphi(\alpha, \varepsilon) &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \tau(\alpha, \varepsilon) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

## 5 Proof of the main results

Note that if  $u_\varepsilon(x)$  is a solution of problem (1.1) when  $\Omega = B_R$ , then from the previous analysis, we know that

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow 0} u_\varepsilon(x) |x|^\nu = (N-2\nu-2)^{g(\alpha, \varepsilon)} \gamma(\varepsilon),$$

where  $g(\alpha, \varepsilon) = \frac{p(\alpha)-2-\varepsilon}{p(\alpha)-2-\varepsilon} \nu - \alpha$  and  $R = (m-1)T^{-1/(m-1)}$ . Thus we need to understand how  $\gamma(\varepsilon)$  tends to infinity as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

We define the following Pohozaev functional introduced from [1] and [22],

$$H(t) = ty'^2 - yy' + 2t^{1-k} \frac{y^{p+1}}{p+1}, \quad (5.1)$$

where

$$p = 2k - 3 - \left(1 + \frac{2\nu}{m-1}\right) \varepsilon = p(\alpha) - 1 - \varepsilon.$$

If  $y(t)$  solves problem (4.3), then

$$H'(t) = -\frac{(1 + 2\nu/(m-1))\varepsilon}{p+1} t^{-k} y^{p+1} \quad (5.2)$$

and  $y'(t) = O(t^{1-k})$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  (see Remark 4.2). Hence

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} H(t) = 0.$$

Since  $H(T) = Ty'^2(T)$ , integrating (5.2) from  $t > T$  to  $t = \infty$ , we obtain

$$Ty'^2(T) = \frac{(1 + 2\nu/(m-1))\varepsilon}{p+1} \int_T^\infty t^{-k} y^{p+1}(t) dt. \quad (5.3)$$

This equation is crucial for us to obtain the desired results.

**Lemma 5.1.** *Let  $T(\gamma)$  be defined as (4.4), then  $T(1) > 0$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 4.2,  $y(t, 1) \leq z(t, 1)$  for  $t \geq T(1)$ . Suppose in contrast that  $T(1) = 0$ , then

$$y'(0, 1) \leq z'(0, 1) = k_1^{k-1}(\alpha, \varepsilon).$$

So

$$y(t, 1) \leq k_1^{k-1}(\alpha, \varepsilon)t, \quad t \geq 0,$$

which means  $H(0) = 0$ .

On the other hand, combination of (5.2) and the fact  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} H(t) = 0$  yields  $H(t) > 0$  for  $T(1) \leq t < \infty$ . This is a contradiction and our conclusion follows.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.2.**  $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \gamma^{1-\varphi(\alpha, \varepsilon)} y'(T) = k_1$ , where  $\gamma = \gamma(\varepsilon)$ .

*Proof.* Integrating equation (4.2) over  $(T, \infty)$ , we derive

$$y'(T) = \int_T^\infty t^{-k} y^p(t) dt < \int_T^\infty t^{-k} z^p(t) dt. \quad (5.4)$$

Hence, by Lemma 4.8 (i) and Lemma 4.4, as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ ,

$$\gamma^{1-\varphi(\alpha, \varepsilon)} y'(T) \leq k_1(\alpha, \varepsilon) k_2(\alpha, \varepsilon) B\left(\left(\frac{T}{T_{\alpha, \varepsilon}}\right)^{k-2}, 1 - \varphi(\alpha, \varepsilon), k_2(\alpha, \varepsilon)\right) \rightarrow k_1 k_2 B(0, 1, k_2).$$

By (4.11) and the fact that  $\Gamma(x+1) = x\Gamma(x)$ , we deduce

$$k_1 k_2 B(0, 1, k_2) = \frac{k_1 k_2}{k_2} = k_1.$$

Therefore

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \gamma^{1-\varphi(\alpha,\varepsilon)} y'(T) \leq k_1. \quad (5.5)$$

Next, we shall show that for any  $\delta > 0$ ,

$$\liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \gamma^{1-\varphi(\alpha,\varepsilon)} y'(T) \geq k_1 - \delta, \quad (5.6)$$

which completes the proof of this lemma.

For a given  $\beta > 0$ , by (4.2) and Lemma 4.7, we can choose  $\varepsilon > 0$  so small that  $\beta T_{\alpha,\varepsilon} > T$ . Thus (5.4) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma^{1-\varphi(\alpha,\varepsilon)} y'(T) &= \gamma^{1-\varphi(\alpha,\varepsilon)} \int_T^{\beta T_{\alpha,\varepsilon}} t^{-k} y^p(t) dt + \gamma^{1-\varphi(\alpha,\varepsilon)} \int_{\beta T_{\alpha,\varepsilon}}^{\infty} t^{-k} y^p(t) dt \\ &= G_1(\alpha, \beta, \varepsilon) + G_2(\alpha, \beta, \varepsilon). \end{aligned} \quad (5.7)$$

Because  $z(t) \leq \left(\frac{\gamma}{T_{\alpha,\varepsilon}}\right)t$  for all  $t > 0$ , using Lemma 4.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} G_1(\alpha, \beta, \varepsilon) &\leq \gamma^{1-\varphi(\alpha,\varepsilon)} \left(\frac{\gamma}{T_{\alpha,\varepsilon}}\right)^p \int_T^{\beta T_{\alpha,\varepsilon}} t^{p-k} dt \\ &< \gamma^{1-\varphi(\alpha,\varepsilon)} \left(\frac{\gamma}{T_{\alpha,\varepsilon}}\right)^p \frac{(\beta T_{\alpha,\varepsilon})^{p-k+1}}{p-k+1} \\ &= \frac{k_1^{k-1}(\alpha, \varepsilon)}{(k-2)(1-\varphi(\alpha, \varepsilon))} \beta^{(k-2)(1-\varphi(\alpha,\varepsilon))}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 4.3 and (i) of Lemma 4.8, for  $\varepsilon > 0$  small,

$$\begin{aligned} G_2(\alpha, \beta, \varepsilon) &> \gamma^{1-\varphi(\alpha,\varepsilon)} (1 - d_{\alpha,\beta,\varepsilon})^p \int_{\beta T_{\alpha,\varepsilon}}^{\infty} t^{-k} z^p(t) dt \\ &= (1 - d_{\alpha,\beta,\varepsilon})^p k_1(\alpha, \varepsilon) k_2(\alpha, \varepsilon) B(\beta^{k-2}, 1 - \varphi(\alpha, \varepsilon), k_2(\alpha, \varepsilon)). \end{aligned} \quad (5.9)$$

Combining (5.7), (5.8) and (5.9), we derive

$$\liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \gamma^{1-\varphi(\alpha,\varepsilon)} y'(T) \geq k_1 k_2 B(\beta^{k_0-2}, 1, k_2) - L_1 \beta^{k_0-2},$$

where  $L_1 = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{k_1^{k-1}(\alpha,\varepsilon)}{(k-2)(1-\varphi(\alpha,\varepsilon))}$ .

Hence, given any  $\delta > 0$ , we can choose  $\beta > 0$  such that (5.6) holds. This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.3.**  $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \gamma^{1-\varphi(\alpha,\varepsilon)} \int_T^{\infty} t^{-k} y^{p+1}(t, \gamma(\varepsilon)) dt = k_1 k_2 [\Gamma(k_2)]^2 / \Gamma(2k_2)$ , where  $\gamma = \gamma(\varepsilon)$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 4.4 and Lemma 4.8(ii), as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma^{-\varphi(\alpha,\varepsilon)} \int_T^{\infty} t^{-k} y^{p+1}(t, \gamma) dt &\leq \gamma^{-\varphi(\alpha,\varepsilon)} \int_T^{\infty} t^{-k} z^{p+1}(t, \gamma) dt \\ &= k_1(\alpha, \varepsilon) k_2(\alpha, \varepsilon) B\left(\left(\frac{T}{T_{\alpha,\varepsilon}}\right)^{k-2}, k_2(\alpha, \varepsilon) - \varphi(\alpha, \varepsilon), k_2(\alpha, \varepsilon)\right) \\ &\rightarrow k_1 k_2 B(0, k_2, k_2) \\ &= k_1 k_2 [\Gamma(k_2)]^2 / \Gamma(2k_2). \end{aligned} \quad (5.10)$$

Hence,

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \gamma^{-\varphi(\alpha, \varepsilon)} \int_T^\infty t^{-k} y^{p+1}(t, \gamma) dt \leq k_1 k_2 [\Gamma(k_2)]^2 / \Gamma(2k_2).$$

Next, we shall show that for any  $\delta > 0$ ,

$$\liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \gamma^{-\varphi(\alpha, \varepsilon)} \int_T^\infty t^{-k} y^{p+1}(t, \gamma) dt \geq k_1 k_2 [\Gamma(k_2)]^2 / \Gamma(2k_2) - \delta.$$

which completes the proof of this lemma.

For a given  $\beta > 0$ , by (4.2) and Lemma 4.7, we can choose a sufficiently small  $\varepsilon$  such that  $\beta T_{\alpha, \varepsilon} > T$ . Thus (5.10) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \gamma^{-\varphi(\alpha, \varepsilon)} \int_T^\infty t^{-k} y^{p+1}(t, \gamma) dt \\ &= \gamma^{-\varphi(\alpha, \varepsilon)} \int_T^{\beta T_{\alpha, \varepsilon}} t^{-k} y^{p+1}(t, \gamma) dt + \gamma^{-\varphi(\alpha, \varepsilon)} \int_{\beta T_{\alpha, \varepsilon}}^\infty t^{-k} y^{p+1}(t, \gamma) dt \\ &= G_3(\alpha, \beta, \varepsilon) + G_4(\alpha, \beta, \varepsilon). \end{aligned} \quad (5.11)$$

Because  $z(t) \leq (\frac{\gamma}{T_{\alpha, \varepsilon}})t$  for all  $t > 0$ , using Lemma 4.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} G_3(\alpha, \beta, \varepsilon) &< \gamma^{-\varphi(\alpha, \varepsilon)} \int_T^{\beta T_{\alpha, \varepsilon}} t^{-k} z^{p+1}(t, \gamma) dt \\ &\leq \gamma^{-\varphi(\alpha, \varepsilon)} \int_T^{\beta T_{\alpha, \varepsilon}} t^{-k} \left( \frac{\gamma}{T_{\alpha, \varepsilon}} t \right)^{p+1} dt \\ &= \frac{k_1(\alpha, \varepsilon)}{k-1-\varepsilon} \beta^{k-1-\varepsilon}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.12)$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 4.3 and (ii) of Lemma 4.8, for  $\varepsilon > 0$  small,

$$\begin{aligned} G_4(\alpha, \beta, \varepsilon) &> \gamma^{-\varphi(\alpha, \varepsilon)} (1 - d_{\alpha, \beta, \varepsilon})^{p+1} \int_{\beta T_{\alpha, \varepsilon}}^\infty t^{-k} z^{p+1}(t, \gamma) dt \\ &= (1 - d_{\alpha, \beta, \varepsilon})^{p+1} k_1(\alpha, \varepsilon) k_2(\alpha, \varepsilon) B(\beta^{k_0-2}, k_2(\alpha, \varepsilon) - \varphi(\alpha, \varepsilon), k_2(\alpha, \varepsilon)). \end{aligned} \quad (5.13)$$

Combining (5.11), (5.12) and (5.13), we derive

$$\liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \gamma^{-\varphi(\alpha, \varepsilon)} \int_T^\infty t^{-k} y^{p+1}(t, \gamma) dt \geq k_1 k_2 B(\beta^{k_0-2}, k_2, k_2) - L_2 \beta^{k_0-1}. \quad (5.14)$$

where  $L_2 = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{k_1(\alpha, \varepsilon)}{k-1-\varepsilon}$ .

Hence, given any  $\delta > 0$ , we can choose  $\beta > 0$  such that this conclusion is tenable.  $\square$

Now we are ready to analyze the behavior of  $\gamma(\varepsilon)$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

**Theorem 5.4.** *Let  $y(t)$  be the solution of problem (4.2) and denote*

$$\gamma(\varepsilon) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t).$$

Then

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon \gamma^2(\varepsilon) = \frac{4(N + \alpha) \sqrt{\mu - \mu} k_1}{(N - 2)^2} \frac{\Gamma(2k_2)}{k_2 [\Gamma(k_2)]^2} T,$$

where  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  are defined by (4.13).

*Proof.* Noting (5.3), we have

$$\left(1 + \frac{2\nu}{m-1}\right) \varepsilon \gamma^{2-\varphi(\alpha,\varepsilon)} = (p+1)T \frac{[\gamma^{1-\varphi(\alpha,\varepsilon)} y'(T)]^2}{\gamma^{-\varphi(\alpha,\varepsilon)} \int_T^\infty t^{-k} y^{p+1}(t) dt}. \quad (5.15)$$

From (5.15), Lemma 5.2 and Lemma 5.3, we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon \gamma^{2-\varphi(\alpha,\varepsilon)}(\varepsilon) = \frac{4(N+\alpha) \sqrt{\bar{\mu}-\mu} k_1}{(N-2)^2} \frac{\Gamma(2k_2)}{k_2 [\Gamma(k_2)]^2} T. \quad (5.16)$$

The exponent  $2 - \varphi(\alpha, \varepsilon)$  in (5.16) may be replaced by 2, because

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \gamma(\varepsilon)^{\varphi(\alpha,\varepsilon)} = 1. \quad (5.17)$$

To see this, note that (5.16) implies that

$$\gamma(\varepsilon)^{2-\varphi(\alpha,\varepsilon)} < \frac{C}{\varepsilon},$$

for small  $\varepsilon$  and some constant  $C$ . Therefore

$$\ln \gamma(\varepsilon)^{\varphi(\alpha,\varepsilon)} = \varphi(\alpha,\varepsilon) \ln \gamma(\varepsilon) < \frac{\varphi(\alpha,\varepsilon)}{2-\varphi(\alpha,\varepsilon)} \ln \frac{C}{\varepsilon}.$$

This means that

$$\ln \gamma(\varepsilon)^{\varphi(\alpha,\varepsilon)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0$$

and (5.17) follows.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 1.2.** If  $y(t)$  is the solution of (4.2), then

$$v(x) = (N - 2\nu - 2)^{g(\alpha,\varepsilon)} y((m-1)^{m-1} |x|^{1-m})$$

is the solution of problem (3.1) in  $B_R$  with  $R = (m-1)T^{-1/(m-1)}$  and

$$u_\varepsilon(x) = |x|^{-\nu} v(x) = (N - 2\nu - 2)^{g(\alpha,\varepsilon)} |x|^{-\nu} y((m-1)^{m-1} |x|^{1-m}).$$

Therefore, Theorem 5.4 yields

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{|x| \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon u_\varepsilon^2 |x|^{2\nu} &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} (N - 2\nu - 2)^{2g(\alpha,\varepsilon)} \varepsilon \gamma^2(\varepsilon) \\ &= 2(\alpha + 2) (2\sqrt{\bar{\mu}-\mu})^{\frac{2N+\alpha-2}{\alpha+2}} (N + \alpha)^{\frac{N-2}{\alpha+2}} (N - 2)^{-\frac{2\alpha+N+2}{\alpha+2}} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{2(N+2)}{\alpha+2})}{[\Gamma(\frac{N+\alpha}{\alpha+2})]^2} \frac{1}{R^2 \sqrt{\bar{\mu}-\mu}}, \end{aligned}$$

which is the content of Theorem 1.2.  $\square$

Before proving Theorem 1.3, we first give two lemmas.

As a first observation, we note from Lemma 4.4 that

$$y(t, \gamma) < z(t, \gamma) < k_1 t \gamma^{-1+\varphi(\alpha,\varepsilon)} \quad \text{for } t > T.$$

Hence, by Theorem 5.4, for every fixed  $t > T$ , we have

$$y(t, \gamma(\varepsilon)) = O(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}) \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

If we allow  $t$  to tend to infinity as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , we obtain the following upper bound.

**Lemma 5.5.** For every  $M > 0$  and  $\xi \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ ,

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \{y(t, \gamma(\varepsilon)) : T < t < M\varepsilon^{-\xi}\} = 0.$$

To obtain information about the limiting form of  $y(t, \gamma(\varepsilon))$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , we are led by Lemma 5.2 to multiply  $y$  as the weight factor  $\gamma^{1-\varphi(\alpha, \varepsilon)}$ , because

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \gamma^{1-\varphi(\alpha, \varepsilon)} y'(T) = k_1. \quad (5.18)$$

In the next lemma, we show that (5.18) continues to be true for values of  $t > T$ , provided

$$t = O(\gamma^\sigma),$$

where  $\sigma$  may be any number less than 2.

**Lemma 5.6.** Let  $M > 0$  and  $0 < \sigma < 2$ . Then

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \{|\gamma^{1-\varphi(\alpha, \varepsilon)} y'(t) - k_1| : T < t < M\gamma^\sigma\} = 0.$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 5.2, and the concavity of  $y$ ,

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \gamma^{1-\varphi(\alpha, \varepsilon)} y(t, \gamma) \leq k_1, \quad \forall t \geq T. \quad (5.19)$$

To get a lower bound on  $y'$ , we also use the concave property of  $y$ . For  $\forall t \geq T$  and for  $t_0 > t$ , we have

$$y'(t_0) > \frac{y(t_0) - y(t)}{t_0 - t} > \frac{1}{t_0} \{y(t_0) - y(t)\}.$$

Hence, by Lemma 4.4,

$$\gamma^{1-\varphi(\alpha, \varepsilon)} y'(t) > \frac{\gamma^{1-\varphi(\alpha, \varepsilon)} y(t_0)}{t_0} - \frac{\gamma^{1-\varphi(\alpha, \varepsilon)} z(t)}{t} \cdot \frac{t}{t_0}. \quad (5.20)$$

We assume that  $t = O(\gamma^\sigma)$  and  $0 < \sigma < 2$ , so it is possible for us to substitute  $\beta T_{\alpha, \varepsilon}$ ,  $\beta > 0$  for  $t_0$ . Hence, for  $\gamma \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\frac{t}{\beta T_{\alpha, \varepsilon}} \rightarrow 0. \quad (5.21)$$

By Lemma 4.3,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\gamma^{1-\varphi(\alpha, \varepsilon)} y(\beta T_{\alpha, \varepsilon})}{\beta T_{\alpha, \varepsilon}} &\geq \frac{\gamma^{1-\varphi(\alpha, \varepsilon)} z(\beta T_{\alpha, \varepsilon})}{\beta T_{\alpha, \varepsilon}} (1 - d_{\alpha, \beta, \varepsilon} \varepsilon) \\ &= k_1 (1 + \beta^{k-2})^{-\frac{1}{k-2}} (1 - d_{\alpha, \beta, \varepsilon} \varepsilon). \end{aligned} \quad (5.22)$$

Thus, from (5.20)–(5.22), we conclude that

$$\liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \gamma^{1-\varphi(\alpha, \varepsilon)} y(t, \gamma) \geq k_1 - \delta(\beta),$$

where  $\delta(\beta) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\beta \rightarrow 0$ . Because we can choose  $\beta$  small enough, this means

$$\liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \gamma^{1-\varphi(\alpha, \varepsilon)} y(t, \gamma) \geq k_1. \quad (5.23)$$

By (5.19) and (5.22), we obtain the desired result.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 1.3.** By the concavity of  $y(t)$ , we deduce

$$y'(t) \leq \frac{y(t) - y(T)}{t - T} \leq y'(T), \quad t \geq T. \quad (5.24)$$

So, there exists a  $\theta \in [T, t]$  such that

$$y(t) = y'(\theta)(t - T). \quad (5.25)$$

Combining Lemma 5.5, (5.25) and noting that  $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \gamma(\varepsilon)^{\varphi(\alpha, \varepsilon)} = 1$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} y(t) &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} \gamma^{-1 + \varphi(\alpha, \varepsilon)} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \gamma^{1 - \varphi(\alpha, \varepsilon)} y(t) \\ &= [A(k_1, k_2, T)]^{-\frac{1}{2}} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \gamma^{1 - \varphi(\alpha, \varepsilon)} y'(\theta)(t - T) \\ &= k_1 [A(k_1, k_2, T)]^{-\frac{1}{2}} (t - T), \end{aligned} \quad (5.26)$$

where  $A(k_1, k_2, T) = \frac{4(N+\alpha)\sqrt{\bar{\mu}-\mu}}{(N-2)^2} \frac{k_1}{k_2} \frac{\Gamma(2k_2)}{[\Gamma(k_2)]^2} T$ ,

and the convergence is uniform on bounded intervals.

For the solution  $u_\varepsilon(x)$  of problem (1.1), (5.26) means that as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} u_\varepsilon(x) &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} |x|^{-\nu} (N - 2\nu - 2)^{g(\alpha, \varepsilon)} k_1 [A(k_1, k_2, T)]^{-\frac{1}{2}} (t - T) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (\alpha + 2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (2\sqrt{\bar{\mu} - \mu})^{\frac{2N - \alpha - 6}{2\alpha + 4}} (N + \alpha)^{\frac{N - 2}{2\alpha + 4}} (N - 2)^{\frac{2\alpha - N + 6}{2\alpha + 4}} R \sqrt{\bar{\mu} - \mu} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{N + \alpha}{\alpha + 2}\right)}{\left[\Gamma\left(\frac{2(N + \alpha)}{\alpha + 2}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}} \\ &\quad \times \left( \frac{1}{|x| \sqrt{\bar{\mu} + \sqrt{\bar{\mu} - \mu}}} - \frac{1}{|x| \sqrt{\bar{\mu} - \sqrt{\bar{\mu} - \mu}} |R|^{2\sqrt{\bar{\mu} - \mu}}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we obtain the desired result.  $\square$

## References

- [1] F. V. ATKINSON, H. BREZIS, L. A. PELETIER, Nodal solutions of elliptic equations with critical Sobolev exponents, *J. Differential Equations* **85**(1990), No. 1, 151–170. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-0396\(90\)90093-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-0396(90)90093-5); MR1052332; Zbl 0702.35099
- [2] F. V. ATKINSON, L. A. PELETIER, Elliptic equations with nearly critical growth, *J. Differential Equations* **70**(1987), No. 3, 349–365. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-0396\(87\)90156-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-0396(87)90156-2); MR0915493; Zbl 0657.35058
- [3] A. AMBROSETTI, P. H. RABINOWITZ, Dual variational methods in critical point theory and applications, *J. Funct. Anal.* **14**(1973), No. 4, 349–381. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1236\(73\)90051-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1236(73)90051-7); MR0370183; Zbl 0273.49063
- [4] M. BHAKTA, D. MUKHERJEE, S. SANTRA, Profile of solutions for nonlocal equations with critical and supercritical nonlinearities, *Commun. Contemp. Math.* **21**(2019), No. 1, 1750099, 35 pp. <https://doi.org/10.1142/s0219199717500997>; MR3904641; Zbl 1406.35459
- [5] H. BREZIS, L. NIRENBERG, Positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations involving critical sobolev exponents, *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* **36**(1983), No. 4, 437–477. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cpa.3160360405>; MR0709644; Zbl 0541.35029

- [6] M. BHAKTA, S. SANTRA, On singular equations with critical and supercritical exponents, *J. Differential Equations* **263**(2017), No. 5, 2886–2953. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jde.2017.04.018>; MR3655804; Zbl 1373.35103
- [7] L. A. CAFFARELLI, B. GIDAS, J. SPRUCK, Asymptotic symmetry and local behavior of semi-linear elliptic equations with critical growth, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **42**(1989), No. 3, 271–297. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cpa.3160420304>; MR0982351; Zbl 0702.35085
- [8] L. CAFARELLI, R. KOHN, L. NIRENBERG, First order interpolation inequality with weights, *Compos. Math.* **53**(1984), No. 3, 259–275. MR0768824; Zbl 0563.46024
- [9] W. CHEN, C. LI, Classification of solutions of some nonlinear elliptic equations, *Duke Math. J.* **63**(1991), No. 3, 615–622. <https://doi.org/10.1215/s0012-7094-91-06325-8>; MR1121147; Zbl 0768.35025
- [10] D. CAO, S. PENG, Asymptotic behavior for elliptic problems with singular coefficient and nearly critical Sobolev growth, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* **185**(2006), No. 2, 189–205. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10231-005-0150-z>; MR2214132; Zbl 1232.35058
- [11] D. CAO, S. PENG, The asymptotic behaviour of the ground state solutions for Hénon equation, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **278**(2003), No. 1, 1–17. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-247X\(02\)00292-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-247X(02)00292-5); MR1963460; Zbl 1086.35036
- [12] F. CATRINA, Z. Q. WANG, On the Caffarelli–Kohn–Nirenberg inequalities: Sharp constants, existence (and nonexistence), and symmetry of extremal functions, *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* **54**(2001), No. 2, 229–258. [https://doi.org/10.1002/1097-0312\(200102\)54:2<229::aid-cpa4>3.0.co;2-i](https://doi.org/10.1002/1097-0312(200102)54:2<229::aid-cpa4>3.0.co;2-i); MR1794994; Zbl 1072.35506
- [13] D. CAO, S. YAN, Infinitely many solutions for an elliptic problem involving critical Sobolev growth and Hardy potential, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* **38**(2010), No. 3–4, 471–501. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00526-009-0295-5>; MR2647129; Zbl 1194.35161
- [14] K. S. CHOU, C. W. CHU, On the best constant for a weighted Sobolev–Hardy inequality, *J. London Math. Soc. (2)* **48**(1993), No. 1, 137–151. <https://doi.org/10.1112/jlms/s2-48.1.137>; MR1223899; Zbl 0739.26013
- [15] L. DUPAIGNE, A nonlinear elliptic PDE with the inverse-square potential, *J. Anal. Math.* **86**(2002), No. 1, 359–398. <https://doi.org/10.1007/bf02786656>; MR1894489; Zbl 1034.35043
- [16] N. GHOUSSOUB, C. YUAN, Multiple solutions for quasi-linear PDEs involving the critical Sobolev and Hardy exponents, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **352**(2000), No. 12, 5703–5743. <https://doi.org/10.1090/s0002-9947-00-02560-5>; MR1695021; Zbl 0956.35056
- [17] B. GIDAS, W. M. NI, L. NIRENBERG, Symmetry and related properties via the maximum principle, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **68**(1979), No. 3, 209–243. <https://doi.org/10.1007/bf01221125>; MR0544879; Zbl 0425.35020
- [18] J. P. GARCÍA AZORERO, I. PERAL ALONSO, Hardy inequalities and some critical elliptic and parabolic problems, *J. Differential Equations* **144**(1998), No. 2, 441–476. <https://doi.org/10.1006/jdeq.1997.3375>; MR1616905; Zbl 0918.35052



- [19] M. HÉNON, Numerical experiments on the stability of spherical stellar systems, *Astronom. Astrophys. Lib.* **62**(1974), No. 24, 229–238. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-010-9877-9\\_37](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-010-9877-9_37)
- [20] D. KANG, S. PENG, Positive solutions for singular critical elliptic problems, *Appl. Math. Lett.* **17**(2004), No. 4, 411–416. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0893-9659\(04\)90082-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0893-9659(04)90082-1); MR2045745; Zbl 1133.35358
- [21] C. S. LIN, W. M. NI, I. TAKAGI, Large amplitude stationary solutions to a chemotaxis system, *J. Differential Equations* **72**(1988), No. 1, 1–27. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-0396\(88\)90147-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-0396(88)90147-7); MR0929196; Zbl 0676.35030
- [22] F. MERLE, L. A. PELETIER, Asymptotic behaviour of positive solutions of elliptic equations with critical and supercritical growth I. The radial case, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **112**(1990), No. 1, 1–19. <https://doi.org/10.1007/bf00431720>; MR1073623; Zbl 0719.35004
- [23] F. MERLE, L. A. PELETIER, Asymptotic behaviour of positive solutions of elliptic equations with critical and supercritical growth II. The nonradial case, *J. Funct. Anal.* **105**(1992), No. 1, 1–41. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1236\(92\)90070-y](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1236(92)90070-y); MR1156668; Zbl 0771.35008
- [24] W. M. NI, A nonlinear Dirichlet problem on the unit ball and its applications, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **31**(1982), No. 6, 801–807. MR0674869; Zbl 0515.35033
- [25] M. STRUWE, *Variational methods*, 4th ed., Springer, Berlin, 2008. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-74013-1>; MR2431434; Zbl 1284.49004
- [26] D. SMETS, M. WILLEM, J. SU, Non-radial ground states for the Hénon equation, *Commun. Contemp. Math.* **4**(2002), No. 3, 467–480. <https://doi.org/10.1142/s0219199702000725>; MR1918755; Zbl 1160.35415