

NAN-HUR-STABILITY OF AN ADDITIVE MAPPING

A. GHAFFARIPOUR, H. AZADI KENARY, A. TOORANI, A. HEIDARZADEGAN

ABSTRACT. In this paper, using the fixed point alternative approach and direct method we investigate the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of the following additive functional equation

$$H\left(\frac{x+y}{2} + z\right) = \frac{H(x) + H(y)}{2} + H(z) \quad (1)$$

in non-Archimedean normed spaces.

The concept of Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability (briefly, HUR-stability) originated from Th. M. Rassias stability theorem that appeared in his paper: On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 72 (1978), 297-300.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 39B22, 39B52, 42S10.

Keywords: Hyers-Ulam stability, non-Archimedean normed spaces, fixed point method.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

A *valuation* is a function $|\cdot|$ from a field \mathbb{K} into $[0, \infty)$ such that 0 is the unique element having the 0 valuation, $|rs| = |r||s|$ and the triangle inequality holds, i.e.,

$$|r + s| \leq \max\{|r|, |s|\}.$$

A field \mathbb{K} is called a *valued field* if \mathbb{K} carries a valuation. The usual absolute values of \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{C} are examples of valuations.

Let us consider a valuation which satisfies a stronger condition than the triangle inequality. If the triangle inequality is replaced by $|r + s| \leq \max\{|r|, |s|\}$, for all $r, s \in \mathbb{K}$, then the function $|\cdot|$ is called a *non-Archimedean valuation* and the field is called a *non-Archimedean field*. Clearly, $|1| = |-1| = 1$ and $|n| \leq 1$ for all $n \geq 1$. A trivial example of a non-Archimedean valuation is the function $|\cdot|$ taking everything except for 0 into 1 and $|0| = 0$.

Definition 1. Let X be a vector space over a field \mathbb{K} with a non-Archimedean valuation $|\cdot|$. A function $\|\cdot\| : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is called a non-Archimedean norm if the following conditions hold:

- (a) $\|x\| = 0$ if and only if $x = 0$ for all $x \in X$;
- (b) $\|rx\| = |r|\|x\|$ for all $r \in \mathbb{K}$ and $x \in X$;
- (c) the strong triangle inequality holds:

$$\|x + y\| \leq \max\{\|x\|, \|y\|\}$$

for all $x, y \in X$.

Then $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is called a non-Archimedean normed space (briefly NAN-spaces).

Definition 2. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in a non-Archimedean normed space X .

- (a) A sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in a non-Archimedean space is a Cauchy sequence iff, the sequence $\{x_{n+1} - x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges to zero.
- (b) The sequence $\{x_n\}$ is said to be convergent if, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there are a positive integer N and $x \in X$ such that $\|x_n - x\| \leq \varepsilon$, for all $n \geq N$. Then the point $x \in X$ is called the limit of the sequence $\{x_n\}$, which is denote by $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$.
- (c) If every Cauchy sequence in X converges, then the non-Archimedean normed space X is called a non-Archimedean Banach space.

Definition 3. Let X be a set. A function $d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is called a generalized metric on X if d satisfies the following conditions:

- (a) $d(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$ for all $x, y \in X$;
- (b) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in X$;
- (c) $d(x, z) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, z)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.

Theorem 1. Let (X, d) be a complete generalized metric space and $J : X \rightarrow X$ be a strictly contractive mapping with Lipschitz constant $L < 1$. Then, for all $x \in X$, either

$$d(J^n x, J^{n+1} x) = \infty \tag{2}$$

for all nonnegative integers n or there exists a positive integer n_0 such that

- (a) $d(J^n x, J^{n+1} x) < \infty$ for all $n_0 \geq n$;
- (b) the sequence $\{J^n x\}$ converges to a fixed point y^* of J ;
- (c) y^* is the unique fixed point of J in the set $Y = \{y \in X : d(J^{n_0} x, y) < \infty\}$;
- (d) $d(y, y^*) \leq \frac{1}{1-L} d(y, Jy)$ for all $y \in Y$.

The first stability problem concerning group homomorphisms was raised by Ulam [26] in 1940. In the next year, Hyres [14] gave a positive answer to the above question for additive groups under the assumption that the groups are Banach spaces. In 1978, Rassias [20] proved a generalization of Hyres' theorem for additive mappings.

Theorem 2. (Th.M. Rassias) Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping from a normed vector space X into a Banach space Y subject to the inequality

$$\|f(x + y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \epsilon(\|x\|^r + \|y\|^r) \quad (3)$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where ϵ and r are constants with $\epsilon > 0$ and $r < 1$. Then the limit $L(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n}$ exists for all $x \in X$ and $L : X \rightarrow Y$ is the unique linear mapping which satisfies

$$\|f(x) - L(x)\| \leq \frac{2\epsilon}{2 - 2^r} \|x\|^r \quad (4)$$

for all $x \in X$. Also, if for each $x \in X$ the function $f(tx)$ is continuous in $t \in \mathbb{R}$, then L is \mathbb{R} -linear.

The result of Rassias has influenced the development of what is now called the *Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability problem* for functional equations. In 1994, a generalization of Rassias's theorem was obtained by Găvruta [12] by replacing the bound $\epsilon(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)$ by a general control function $\varphi(x, y)$.

The functional equation $f(x + y) + f(x - y) = 2f(x) + 2f(y)$ is called a *quadratic functional equation*. In particular, every solution of the quadratic functional equation is said to be a *quadratic mapping*. In 1983, a generalized Hyers-Ulam stability problem for the quadratic functional equation was proved by Skof [25] for mappings $f : X \rightarrow Y$, where X is a normed space and Y is a Banach space. In 1984, Cholewa [5] noticed that the theorem of Skof is still true if the relevant domain X is replaced by an Abelian group and, in 2002, Czerwik [6] proved the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of the quadratic functional equation.

The stability problems of several functional equations have been extensively investigated by a number of authors and there are many interesting results concerning this problem ([1]- [4],[7]-[11], [17], [19]- [24]).

In 1897, Hensel [13] has introduced a normed space which does not have the Archimedean property. It turned out that non-Archimedean spaces have many nice applications ([15], [16], [18]).

In this paper, we prove the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of the following functional equation

$$H\left(\frac{x + y}{2} + z\right) = \frac{H(x) + H(y)}{2} + H(z) \quad (5)$$

in non-Archimedean spaces.

2. NON-ARCHIMEDEAN STABILITY OF EQ.(5): A FIXED POINT METHOD

Throughout this section, using the fixed point alternative approach we prove the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of functional equation (5) in non-Archimedean normed spaces.

Also, in this section we assume that X is a non-Archimedean normed space and that Y is a complete non-Archimedean space. Let $|2| \neq 1$.

Definition 4. Let $\mathcal{L} : X^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function such that there exists an $L < 1$ with

$$|2|\mathcal{L}\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{y}{2}, \frac{z}{2}\right) \leq L\mathcal{L}(x, y, z) \quad (6)$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. Let $H : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying

$$\left\| H\left(\frac{x+y}{2} + z\right) - \frac{H(x) + H(y)}{2} - H(z) \right\|_Y \leq \mathcal{L}(x, y, z) \quad (7)$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. Then there is a unique additive mapping $R : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|H(x) - R(x)\|_Y \leq \frac{L\mathcal{L}(x, x, x)}{|2|(1-L)} \quad (8)$$

Proof. Putting $x = y = z$ in (7), we have

$$\left\| 2H\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - H(x) \right\|_Y \leq \mathcal{L}\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}\right) \quad (9)$$

for all $x \in X$. Consider the set $S := \{G : X \rightarrow Y\}$ and the generalized metric d in S defined by

$$d(H, G) = \inf \left\{ \mu \in (0, +\infty) : \|G(x) - H(x)\|_Y \leq \mu\mathcal{L}(x, x, x), \forall x \in X \right\}, \quad (10)$$

where $\inf \emptyset = +\infty$. It is easy to show that (S, d) is complete (see [17], Lemma 2.1). Now, we consider a linear mapping $J : S \rightarrow S$ such that

$$JG(x) := 2G\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \quad (11)$$

for all $x \in X$. Let $G, H \in S$ be such that $d(G, H) = \lambda$. Then $\|G(x) - H(x)\|_Y \leq \lambda\mathcal{L}(x, x, x)$ for all $x \in X$ and so

$$\|JG(x) - JH(x)\|_Y = \left\| 2G\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - 2H\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \right\|_Y \leq |2|\lambda\mathcal{L}\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}\right) \leq \lambda L$$

for all $x \in X$. Thus $d(G, H) = \lambda$ implies that $d(JG, JH) \leq L\lambda$. This means that

$$d(JG, JH) \leq Ld(G, H) \quad (12)$$

for all $G, H \in S$. It follows from (9) that

$$d(H, JH) \leq \frac{L}{|2|} < \infty. \quad (13)$$

By Theorem (1), there exists a mapping $K : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying the following:

(1) K is a fixed point of J , that is,

$$K\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) = \frac{K(x)}{2} \quad (14)$$

for all $x \in X$. The mapping K is a unique fixed point of J in the set

$$\Omega = \{H \in S : d(G, H) < \infty\}. \quad (15)$$

This implies that K is a unique mapping satisfying (14) such that there exists $\mu \in (0, \infty)$ satisfying

$$\|H(x) - K(x)\|_Y \leq \mu \mathcal{L}(x, x, x) \quad (16)$$

for all $x \in X$.

(2) $d(J^n H, K) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This implies the equality

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n H\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) = K(x) \quad (17)$$

for all $x \in X$.

(3) $d(H, K) \leq \frac{d(H, JH)}{1-L}$ with $H \in \Omega$, which implies the inequality

$$d(H, K) \leq \frac{L}{|2|(1-L)}. \quad (18)$$

This implies that the inequality (8) holds. On the other hand, By (7), we get

$$\left\| K\left(\frac{x+y}{2} + z\right) - \frac{K(x) + K(y)}{2} - K(z) \right\|_Y \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} L^n \mathcal{L}(x, y, z)$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, the mapping $R : X \rightarrow Y$ is additive, as desired.

Corollary 3. *Let $\theta \geq 0$ and q be a real number with $0 < q < 1$. Let $H : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying*

$$\left\| H\left(\frac{x+y}{2} + z\right) - \frac{H(x) + H(y)}{2} - H(z) \right\|_Y \leq \theta(\|x\|^q + \|y\|^q + \|z\|^q) \quad (19)$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. Then

$$K(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n H\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) \quad (20)$$

exists for all $x \in X$ and $K : X \rightarrow Y$ is a unique additive mapping such that

$$\|H(x) - K(x)\| \leq \frac{3\theta\|x\|^q}{|2|(|2|^{q-1} - 1)} \quad (21)$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof. The proof follows from Theorem (4) by taking

$$\zeta(x, y, z) = \theta(\|x\|^q + \|y\|^q + \|z\|^q) \quad (22)$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. In fact, if we choose $L = |2|^{1-q}$, then we get the desired result.

Similarly, we have the following and then we omit the proof.

Theorem 4. Let $\mathcal{L} : X^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function such that there exists an $L < 1$ with

$$\zeta(x, y, z) \leq |2|L\mathcal{L}\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{y}{2}, \frac{z}{2}\right) \quad (23)$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. Let $H : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying

$$\left\| H\left(\frac{x+y}{2} + z\right) - \frac{H(x) + H(y)}{2} - H(z) \right\|_Y \leq \mathcal{L}(x, y, z) \quad (24)$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. Then

$$K(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{H(2^n x)}{2^n} \quad (25)$$

exists for all $x \in X$ and defines a unique additive mapping $K : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|H(x) - K(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{|2| - |2|L} \mathcal{L}(x, x, x) \quad (26)$$

Proof. It follows from (9) that

$$\left\| H(x) - \frac{1}{2}H(2x) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{|2|} \mathcal{L}(x, x, x) \quad (27)$$

for all $x \in X$. The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem (4).

Corollary 5. Let $\theta \geq 0$ and $r_1, r_2, r_3 \in \mathbb{R}^+$ be real numbers with $r_1 + r_2 + r_3 > 1$. Let $H : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying

$$\left\| H\left(\frac{x+y}{2} + z\right) - \frac{H(x) + H(y)}{2} - H(z) \right\|_Y \leq \theta(\|x\|^{r_1} \cdot \|y\|^{r_2} \cdot \|z\|^{r_3}) \quad (28)$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. Then

$$K(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{H(2^n x)}{2^n} \quad (29)$$

exists for all $x \in X$ and $K : X \rightarrow Y$ is a unique additive mapping such that

$$\|H(x) - K(x)\| \leq \frac{\theta \|x\|^{r_1+r_2+r_3}}{|2| - |2|^{r_1+r_2+r_3}} \quad (30)$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof. The proof follows from Theorem (4) by taking

$$\mathcal{L}(x, y, z) = \theta(\|x\|^{r_1} \cdot \|y\|^{r_2} \cdot \|z\|^{r_3}) \quad (31)$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. In fact, if we choose $L = |2|^{r_1+r_2+r_3-1}$, then we get the desired result.

3. NON-ARCHIMEDEAN HYERS-ULAM STABILITY OF EQ.(5): A DIRECT METHOD

Throughout this section, using direct method we prove the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of functional equation (5) in non-Archimedean normed spaces.

Also, in this section we assume that G is a commutative semigroup and X is a complete non-Archimedean space.

Theorem 6. Let $\omega : G^3 \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ be a function such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |2|^n \omega\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, \frac{y}{2^n}, \frac{z}{2^n}\right) = 0 \quad (32)$$

for all $x, y, z \in G$ and let for each $x \in G$ the limit

$$\nabla(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max\left\{|2|^k \omega\left(\frac{x}{2^k}, \frac{x}{2^k}, \frac{x}{2^k}\right); 0 \leq k < n\right\} \quad (33)$$

exists. Suppose that $Q : G \rightarrow X$ is a mapping satisfies

$$\left\| H\left(\frac{x+y}{2} + z\right) - \frac{H(x) + H(y)}{2} - H(z) \right\|_Y \leq \omega(x, y, z). \quad (34)$$

Then

$$\mathfrak{R}(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n H\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) \quad (35)$$

exists for all $x \in G$ and defines an additive mapping $\mathfrak{R} : G \rightarrow X$ such that

$$\|H(x) - \mathfrak{R}(x)\| \leq \nabla(x) \quad (36)$$

Moreover, if

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max\left\{|2|^k \omega\left(\frac{x}{2^k}, \frac{x}{2^k}, \frac{x}{2^k}\right); j \leq k < n + j\right\} = 0 \quad (37)$$

then \mathfrak{R} is the unique additive mapping satisfying (36).

Proof. Putting $x = y = z$ in (34), we get

$$\left\|2H\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - H(x)\right\| \leq \omega\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}\right) \quad (38)$$

for all $x \in G$. Replacing x by $\frac{x}{2^n}$ in (38), we obtain

$$\left\|2^{n+1}H\left(\frac{x}{2^{n+1}}\right) - 2^n H\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right)\right\| \leq |2|^n \omega\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, \frac{x}{2^n}, \frac{x}{2^n}\right) \quad (39)$$

It follows from (32) and (39) that the sequence $\left\{2^n H\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right)\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ is a Cauchy sequence.

Since X is complete, so $\left\{2^n H\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right)\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ is convergent. Set $\mathfrak{R}(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n H\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right)$.

Using induction one can show that

$$\left\|2^n H\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) - H(x)\right\|_Y \leq \max\left\{|2|^k \omega\left(\frac{x}{2^k}, \frac{x}{2^k}, \frac{x}{2^k}\right); 0 \leq k < n\right\}. \quad (40)$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $x \in G$. By taking n to approach infinity in (40), and using (33), one obtains (36). By (32) and (42), we get

$$\left\|K\left(\frac{x+y}{2} + z\right) - \frac{K(x) + K(y)}{2} - H(z)\right\|_Y \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |2|^n \omega\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, \frac{y}{2^n}, \frac{z}{2^n}\right) = 0$$

for all $x, y, z \in G$. Therefore the function $\mathfrak{R} : G \rightarrow X$ satisfies (5). To prove the uniqueness property of \mathfrak{R} , Let $\mathfrak{S} : G \rightarrow X$ be another function satisfying (36). Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left\|\mathfrak{S}(x) - \mathfrak{R}(x)\right\|_Y &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |2|^n \left\|\mathfrak{S}\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) - \mathfrak{R}\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right)\right\|_Y \\ &\leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |2|^n \max\left\{\left\|\mathfrak{S}\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) - H\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right)\right\|_Y, \left\|H\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) - \mathfrak{R}\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right)\right\|_Y\right\} \\ &\leq \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max\left\{|2|^k \omega\left(\frac{x}{2^k}, \frac{x}{2^k}, \frac{x}{2^k}\right); j \leq k < n + j\right\} \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in G$. Therefore $\mathfrak{S} = \mathfrak{R}$, and the proof is complete.

Corollary 7. Let $\xi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function satisfying

$$\xi(|2|^{-1}t) \leq \xi(|2|^{-1})\xi(t) \quad (t \geq 0) \quad \xi(|2|^{-1}) < |2|^{-1} \quad (41)$$

Let $\kappa > 0$ and $H : G \rightarrow X$ be a mapping satisfying

$$\left\| H\left(\frac{x+y}{2} + z\right) - \frac{H(x) + H(y)}{2} - H(z) \right\|_Y \leq \kappa(\xi(|x|) + \xi(|y|) + \xi(|z|)). \quad (42)$$

for all $x, y, z \in G$. Then there exists a unique additive mapping $\mathfrak{R} : G \rightarrow X$ such that

$$\|H(x) - \mathfrak{R}(x)\| \leq 3\kappa\xi(|x|) \quad (43)$$

Proof. Defining $\omega : G^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by $\omega(x, y, z) := \kappa(\xi(|x|) + \xi(|y|) + \xi(|z|))$. Applying Theorem (6), we get desired results.

Theorem 8. Let $\omega : G^3 \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ be a function such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |2|^{-n}\omega(2^n x, 2^n y, 2^n z) = 0 \quad (44)$$

for all $x, y, z \in G$ and let for each $x \in G$ the limit

$$\nabla(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max\left\{|2|^{-k}\omega(2^k x, 2^k y, 2^k z); 0 \leq k < n\right\} \quad (45)$$

exists. Suppose that $f : G \rightarrow X$ be a mapping satisfies

$$\left\| H\left(\frac{x+y}{2} + z\right) - \frac{H(x) + H(y)}{2} - H(z) \right\|_Y \leq \omega(x, y, z). \quad (46)$$

Then

$$\mathfrak{R}(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{H(2^n x)}{2^n} \quad (47)$$

exists for all $x \in G$ and defines an additive mapping $\mathfrak{R} : G \rightarrow X$, such that

$$\|H(x) - \mathfrak{R}(x)\| \leq |2|^{-1}\nabla(x) \quad (48)$$

Moreover, if

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max\left\{|2|^{-k}\omega(2^k x, 2^k x, 2^k x); j \leq k < n + j\right\} = 0 \quad (49)$$

then \mathfrak{R} is the unique mapping satisfying (48).

Proof. Putting $x = y = z$ in (46), we get

$$\left\| H(x) - \frac{H(2x)}{2} \right\| \leq |2|^{-1} \omega(x, x, x) \quad (50)$$

for all $x \in G$. Replacing x by $2^n x$ in (50), we obtain

$$\left\| \frac{H(2^n x)}{2^n} - \frac{H(2^{n+1} x)}{2^{n+1}} \right\| \leq |2|^{-(n+1)} \omega(2^n x, 2^n x, 2^n x) \quad (51)$$

It follows from (44) and (51) that the sequence $\left\{ \frac{H(2^n x)}{2^n} \right\}_{n \geq 1}$ is convergent. Set $\mathfrak{R}(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{H(2^n x)}{2^n}$. On the other hand, it follows from (51) that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{H(2^p x)}{2^p} - \frac{H(2^q x)}{2^q} \right\| &\leq \max \left\{ \left\| \frac{H(2^k x)}{2^k} - \frac{H(2^{k+1} x)}{2^{k+1}} \right\| ; p \leq k < q \right\} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|2|} \max \left\{ |2|^{-k} \omega(2^k x, 2^k x, 2^k x) ; p \leq k < q \right\} \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in G$ and all non-negative integers p, q with $q > p \geq 0$. Letting $p = 0$ and passing the limit $q \rightarrow \infty$ in the last inequality and using (45), we obtain (48). The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem (6).

Corollary 9. *Let $\xi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function satisfying*

$$\xi(|2|t) \leq \xi(|2|)\xi(t) \quad (t \geq 0), \quad \xi(|2|) < |2| \quad (52)$$

Let $\kappa > 0$ and $f : G \rightarrow X$ be a mapping satisfying

$$\left\| H\left(\frac{x+y}{2} + z\right) - \frac{H(x) + H(y)}{2} - H(z) \right\|_Y \leq \kappa(\xi(|x|) \cdot \xi(|y|) \cdot \xi(|z|)). \quad (53)$$

for all $x, y, z \in G$. Then, there exists a unique additive mapping $\mathfrak{S} : G \rightarrow X$ such that

$$\|Q(x) - \mathfrak{S}(x)\| \leq \frac{\kappa \xi^3(|x|)}{|2|} \quad (54)$$

Proof. Define $\zeta : G^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by $\zeta(x, y, z) := \kappa(\xi(|x|) \cdot \xi(|y|) \cdot \xi(|z|))$ and apply Theorem (8) to get the result.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Azadi Kenary, *Hyers-Rassias stability of the Pexiderial functional equation*, to appear in Ital. J. Pure Appl. Math.

- [2] H. Azadi Kenary, *Stability of a Pexiderial functional equation in random normed spaces*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2011) 60:59-68 DOI 10.1007/s12215-011-0027-5.
- [3] H. Azadi Kenary, *On the Stability of a Cubic Functional Equation in Random Normed Spaces*, J. of Math. Extension, Vol. 4, No. 1 (2009), 1-11.
- [4] H. Azadi Kenary, Y.J. Cho, *Stability of mixed additivequadratic Jensen type functional equation in various spaces*, Computers and Mathematics with Applications 61 (2011) 2704-2724.
- [5] P. W. Cholewa, *Remarks on the stability of functional equations*, Aequat. Math. 27(1984), 76-86.
- [6] S. Czerwik, *Functional Equations and Inequalities in Several Variables*, World Scientific, River Edge, NJ, 2002.
- [7] M. Eshaghi Gordji, H. Khodaei, R. Khodabakhsh, *General quartic–cubic–quadratic functional equation in non–Archimedean normed spaces*, U.P.B. Sci.Bull., Series A, Vol. 72, Iss. 3, 2010, 69-84.
- [8] M. Eshaghi Gordji, M. S. Moslehian, *A trick for investigation of approximate derivations*, Math. Commun. **15** (2010), no. 1, 99-105.
- [9] M. Eshaghi Gordji and A. Najati, *Approximately J^* -homomorphisms: A fixed point approach*, Journal of Geometry and Physics **60** (2010), 809–814.
- [10] M. Eshaghi Gordji, J. M. Rassias, N. Ghobadipour, *Generalized Hyers–Ulam stability of the generalized (n, k) –derivations*, Abs. Appl. Anal., Volume 2009, Article ID 437931, 8 pages.
- [11] M. Eshaghi Gordji, M. B. Savadkouhi, M. Bidkham, *Stability of a mixed type additive and quadratic functional equation in non-Archimedean spaces*, Journal of Computational Analysis and Applications, VOL.12, No.2, 2010, 454–462.
- [12] P. Gävruta, *A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 184 (1994), 431-436.
- [13] K. Hensel, *Ubereine neue Begründung der Theorie der algebraischen Zahlen*, Jahresber. Deutsch. Math. Verein 6 (1897), 83-88.
- [14] D. H. Hyers, *On the stability of the linear functional equation*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 27 (1941), 222-224.
- [15] A. K. Katsaras and A. Beoyiannis, *Tensor products of non-Archimedean weighted spaces of continuous functions*, Georgian Math. J. 6 (1999), 33-44.
- [16] A. Khrennikov, *Non-Archimedean Analysis: quantum paradoxes, dynamical systems and biological models*, Mathematics and its Applications, 427. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1997.
- [17] D. Mihet, V. Radu, *On the stability of the additive Cauchy functional equation in random normed spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 343(2008), 567-572.

- [18] P. J. Nyikos, *On some non-Archimedean spaces of Alexandroff and Urysohn*, Topology Appl. 91 (1999), 1-23.
- [19] C. Park, J. An, J. Cui, *Jordan $*$ -derivations on C^* -algebras and JC^* -algebras*, Abstract and Applied Analysis(preprint).
- [20] Th. M. Rassias, *On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 72 (1978), 297-300.
- [21] Th.M. Rassias, *On the stability of the quadratic functional equation and its applications*, Studia Univ. Babeş-Bolyai Math. **43** (1998), 89–124.
- [22] Th.M. Rassias, *The problem of S.M. Ulam for approximately multiplicative mappings*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **246** (2000), 352–378.
- [23] Th.M. Rassias, *On the stability of functional equations in Banach spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **251** (2000), 264–284.
- [24] Th.M. Rassias, *Functional Equations, Inequalities and Applications*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Boston and London, 2003.
- [25] F. Skof, *Local properties and approximation of operators*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Fis. Milano 53 (1983), 113-129.
- [26] S. M. Ulam, *Problems in Modern Mathematics*, Science Editions, John Wiley and Sons, 1964.

A. Ghaffaripour
Department of Mathematics,
Faculty of Science
Yasouj University, Yasouj, Iran.
email: *an.ghaffaripour@gmail.com*

H. Azadi Kenary, A. Toorani and A. Heidarzadegan
Department of Mathematics,
Beyza Branch, Islamic Azad University
Beyza, Iran.
email: *h.azadikenary@gmail.com, a.toorani@yahoo.com, a.heidarzadegan@gmail.com*